SUNGARD DATA SYSTEMS INC Form 10-Q November 09, 2005 Table of Contents

# **United States**

# **Securities and Exchange Commission**

	Washington, D.C. 20549
	FORM 10-Q
(Mark	One)
X	Quarterly report pursuant to section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the	e quarterly period ended September 30, 2005
	OR
,	Transition report pursuant to section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the	e transition period from to
	Commission file number 1-12989
	SunGard® Data Systems Inc.

Table of Contents 1

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of	51-0267091 (IRS Employer								
incorporation or organization)	Identification No.)								
680 East Swedesford Road, Wayne, Pennsylvania 19087									
(Address of principal executive of	offices, including zip code)								
484-582-2	000								
(Registrant s telephone numb	per, including area code)								
; <del></del>									
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports requir of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes "No x									
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defi	ned in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes x No "								
There were 100 shares of the registrant s common stock, par value \$.01 per	share, outstanding at September 30, 2005.								
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined	1 in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes "No x								

### SUNGARD DATA SYSTEMS INC.

### AND SUBSIDIARIES

#### INDEX

		PAGE
Part I.	Financial Information	
Item 1.	Financial Statements:	
	Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2004 for the Predecessor and September 30, 2005 (unaudited) for the Successor	1
	Consolidated Statements of Operations (unaudited) for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 and for the period from January 1, 2005 through August 10, 2005 for the Predecessor and for the period from August 11, 2005 through September 30, 2005 for the Successor	2
	Consolidated Statements of Operations (unaudited) for the three months ended September 30, 2004 and for the period from July 1, 2005 through August 10, 2005 for the Predecessor and for the period from August 11, 2005 through September 30, 2005 for the Successor	3
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited) for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 and for the period from January 1, 2005 through August 10, 2005 for the Predecessor and for the period from August 11, 2005 through September 30, 2005 for the Successor	4
	Consolidated Statement of Stockholders Equity (unaudited) for the period from January 1, 2005 through August 10, 2005 for the Predecessor and for the period from August 11, 2005 through September 30, 2005 for the Successor	5
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)	6
Item 2.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	16
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	38
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	38
Part II.	Other Information	
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	39
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	39
Item 3.	<u>Defaults upon Senior Securities</u>	39
Item 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	39
Item 5.	Other Information	40
Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	41
Signatur	<u>ES</u>	44

### Part I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

### **Item 1. Financial Statements**

SunGard Data Systems Inc.

**Consolidated Balance Sheets** 

(In thousands, except share and

per-share amounts)

	Predecessor		Successor	
	De	ecember 31, 2004	September 30,	
		_	(u	naudited)
Assets				
Current:				
Cash and equivalents	\$	674,946	\$	303,458
Trade receivables, less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$50,036 and \$24,657		618,181		397,463
Earned but unbilled receivables		117,564		79,753
Prepaid expenses and other current assets		108,766		139,317
Clearing broker assets		232,450		422,793
Retained interest in accounts receivable sold				150,536
Deferred income taxes		42,579		43,606
Total current assets		1,794,486		1,536,926
Property and equipment, less accumulated depreciation of \$924,895 and \$27,541		620,293		716,980
Software products, less accumulated amortization of \$415,950 and \$26,896		352,722		1,241,391
Customer base, less accumulated amortization of \$213,393 and \$27,892		556,965		2,704,123
Other tangible and intangible assets, less accumulated amortization of \$25,816 and \$985		45,958		233,361
Trade name				770,000
Goodwill		1,824,217		7,260,336
Total Assets	\$	5,194,641	\$ 1	4,463,117
	_			
Liabilities and Stockholders Equity				
Current:				
Short-term and current portion of long-term debt	\$	45,332	\$	49,614
Accounts payable		60,334		60,093
Accrued compensation and benefits		179,638		190,287
Other accrued expenses		252,381		290,915
Clearing broker liabilities		208,730		411,866
Deferred revenue		629,710		653,863
Total current liabilities		1,376,125		1,656,638

Long-term debt	509,046	7,680,555
Deferred income taxes	57,834	1,548,052
Total liabilities	1,943,005	10,885,245
Commitments and contingencies		
Stockholders equity:		
Predecessor:		
Preferred stock, par value \$.01 per share; 5,000,000 shares authorized, of which 3,200,000 is designated as Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock		
Common stock, par value \$.01 per share; 800,000,000 shares authorized; 292,257,243 shares issued at		
December 31, 2004	2,923	
Successor:		
Common stock, par value \$.01 per share; 100 shares authorized, issued and outstanding at September 30, 2005		
Capital in excess of par value	957,092	3,612,460
Restricted stock plans	(2,143)	
Retained earnings (accumulated deficit)	2,220,631	(23,071)
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	176,964	(11,517)
	3,355,467	3,577,872
Treasury stock, at cost, 3,930,000 and 0 shares	(103,831)	
Total stockholders equity	3,251,636	3,577,872
Total Liabilities and Stockholders Equity	\$ 5,194,641	\$ 14,463,117

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

### SunGard Data Systems Inc.

### **Consolidated Statements of Operations**

(In thousands)

(Unaudited)

	Nine months ended September 30,	Period from January 1, 2005 through	Period from August 11, 2005
	2004	2005	through September 30, 2005
Revenue:			
Services	\$ 2,364,263	\$ 2,125,549	\$ 490,719
License and resale fees	200,974	179,589	42,246
Total products and services	2,565,237	2,305,138	532,965
Reimbursed expenses	73,469	66,203	14,828
	2,638,706	2,371,341	547,793
Costs and expenses:	1.016.702	1 110 555	246 520
Cost of sales and direct operating	1,216,793	1,119,555	246,530
Sales, marketing and administration Product development	480,987 177,541	456,003 153,657	116,837 36,390
Depreciation and amortization	162,689	141,410	32,516
Amortization of acquisition-related intangible assets	89,491	84,092	51,187
Merger costs	3,076	121,013	18,443
	2,130,577	2,075,730	501,903
Income from operations	508,129	295,611	45,890
Interest income	5,233	9,076	2,157
Interest expense	(22,173)	(16,617)	(88,268)
Other income (expense)	79,362	(174)	(2,734)
Income (loss) before income taxes	570,551	287,896	(42,955)
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	228,789	142,144	(19,884)
Net income (loss)	\$ 341,762	\$ 145,752	\$ (23,071)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

2

### SunGard Data Systems Inc.

### **Consolidated Statements of Operations**

(In thousands)

(Unaudited)

	Prede	cessor	Successor
		Period from July 1, 2005	
	Three months ended September 30,	through August 10,	Period from August 11, 2005 through September 30,
	2004	2005	2005
Revenue:			
Services	\$ 799,878	\$ 388,503	\$ 490,719
License and resale fees	75,540	17,562	42,246
Total products and services	875,418	406,065	532,965
Reimbursed expenses	23,920	11,363	14,828
	899,338	417,428	547,793
Costs and expenses:			
Cost of sales and direct operating	410,484	205,471	246,530
Sales, marketing and administration	158,481	75,650	116,837
Product development	57,728	29,108	36,390
Depreciation and amortization	54,679	26,006	32,516
Amortization of acquisition-related intangible assets Merger costs	31,065 3,500	15,486 102,708	51,187 18,443
	715,937	454,429	501,903
Income (loss) from operations	183,401	(37,001)	45,890
Interest income	1,859	2,809	2,157
Interest expense	(7,780)	(3,032)	(88,268)
Other income (expense)	79,362		(2,734)
Income (loss) before income taxes	256,842	(37,224)	(42,955)
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	103,305	5,441	(19,884)
Net income (loss)	\$ 153,537	\$ (42,665)	\$ (23,071)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

3

### SunGard Data Systems Inc.

### **Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows**

(In thousands)

### (Unaudited)

	Prede	ecessor	Successor	
	Nine months ended September 30, 2004	Period from January 1, 2005 through August 10, 2005	Period from August 11, 2005 through September 30, 2005	
Cash flow from operations:				
Net income (loss)	\$ 341,762	\$ 145,752	\$ (23,071)	
Reconciliation of net income (loss) to cash flow from operations:	· ,	·	. , , ,	
Gain on sale of Brut, net of tax	(47,049)			
Depreciation and amortization	252,180	225,502	83,703	
Stock compensation expense		58,798	7,949	
Deferred income tax provision	30,356	14,671	23,941	
Deferred financing cost amortization	·	,	5,807	
Other noncash credits	(17,523)	(17,046)	(3,651)	
Accounts receivable and other current assets	69,092	78,979	104,759	
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	(124,864)	77,301	10,014	
Clearing broker assets and liabilities, net	(19,161)	(3,038)	14,930	
Deferred revenue	(20,381)	(9,664)	32,323	
Cash flow from operations	464,412	571,255	256,704	
Investment activities:				
Cash paid for acquired businesses, net of cash acquired	(763,759)	(419,288)	(14,900)	
Cash received for sale of businesses, net of cash sold	193,993	4,767		
Acquisition of SunGard by Solar Capital			(11,615,057)	
Cash paid for property and equipment	(142,400)	(131,429)	(46,021)	
Cash paid for software and other assets	(24,728)	(23,036)	(8,854)	
Cash used in investment activities	(736,894)	(568,986)	(11,684,832)	
Financing activities:				
Cash received from stock option and award plans	45,729	385,975		
Cash used to purchase treasury stock	(65,930)	202,572		
Cash received from borrowings for the Transaction	(05,550)		7,333,000	
Investment by Parent Companies			3,450,102	
Cash received from other borrowings, net of fees	590.807	75,407	12,749	
Cash used to repay debt	(289,724)	(132,457)	(70,405)	
Cash provided by financing activities	280,882	328,925	10,725,446	
Increase (decrease) in each and equivalents	9.400	331,194	(702 692)	
Increase (decrease) in cash and equivalents Beginning cash and equivalents	8,400 478,941	674,946	(702,682)	
beginning cash and equivalents	4/8,941	0/4,940	1,006,140	

Ending cash and equivalents	\$ 487,341	\$ 1,006,140	\$ 303,458
Supplemental information:			
Acquired businesses:			
Property and equipment	\$ 26,908	\$ 66,144	\$ 184
Software products	168,876	56,560	5,626
Customer base	202,112	159,723	164
Goodwill	521,681	213,387	6,518
Other tangible and intangible assets	9,794	2,495	924
Deferred income taxes	(53,980)	(52,844)	676
Purchase price obligations and debt assumed	(58,186)	(20,894)	(2,460)
Net current (liabilities) assets assumed	(53,446)	(5,283)	3,268
Cash paid for acquired businesses, net of cash acquired of \$148,749, \$30,958 and \$0,			
respectively	\$ 763,759	\$ 419,288	\$ 14,900

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

### SunGard Data Systems Inc.

### Consolidated Statement of Stockholders Equity

(In thousands)

(Unaudited)

**Accumulated Other** 

						(	Comprehensiv	'e		
	Preferred Stock	Common St	tock				Income (Loss)	Treasu	ry Stock	
						Retained	Foreign			
(in thousands)	Number	Number		Capital in	Restricted	Earnings (Accumulated	Currency	Number		
(iii tiiousanus)	of SharPar Val	lwd SharesPar	Value				Translation	of Shares	Cost	Total
Predecessor										
Balances at December 31, 2004	\$	292,258 \$ 2	2,923 \$	957,092	\$ (2,143)	\$ 2,220,631	\$ 176,964	(3,930)	\$ (103,831)	\$ 3,251,636
Comprehensive income:										
Net income						145,752				
Foreign currency translation							(68,767)			
Total comprehensive income										76,985
Shares issued under stock plans		17,997	180	385,795						385,975
Compensation expense related to stock plans				58,798	2,143					60,941
Income tax benefit arising from										
employee stock options				58,078						58,078
Balances at August 10, 2005	\$	310,255 \$ 3	3,103 \$	1,459,763	\$	\$ 2,366,383	\$ 108,197	(3,930)	\$ (103,831)	\$ 3,833,615
Successor										
Investment by Parent Companies		100 \$	\$	3,604,511	\$	\$	\$			\$ 3,604,511
Comprehensive loss:										
Net loss						(23,071)				
Foreign currency translation							(11,517)			
Total comprehensive loss										(34,588)
Stock-based compensation										
expense				7,949						7,949
Balances at September 30, 2005		100 \$	\$	3,612,460	\$	\$ (23,071)	\$ (11,517)		\$	\$ 3,577,872

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

5

#### SUNGARD DATA SYSTEMS INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

#### 1. Basis of Presentation:

SunGard Data Systems Inc. was acquired on August 11, 2005 through a merger transaction with Solar Capital Corp., a Delaware corporation formed by investment funds associated with Bain Capital Partners, The Blackstone Group, Goldman Sachs & Co., Kohlberg Kravis Roberts & Co., Providence Equity Partners, Silver Lake Partners and Texas Pacific Group (collectively, the Sponsors). The acquisition was accomplished through the merger of Solar Capital Corp. into SunGard Data Systems Inc. with SunGard Data Systems Inc. being the surviving company (the Transaction).

The Company is a wholly owned subsidiary of SunGard Holdco LLC, which is owned by SunGard Holding Corp. and SunGard Capital Corp. II. SunGard Capital Corp. II is a subsidiary of SunGard Capital Corp. and these two companies are collectively referred to as the Parent Companies. All four of these companies were formed for the purpose of facilitating the Transaction.

Although SunGard Data Systems Inc. continued as the same legal entity after the Transaction, the accompanying consolidated statements of operations, cash flows and stockholders—equity are presented for two periods: Predecessor and Successor, which relate to the period preceding the Transaction and the period succeeding the Transaction, respectively. The Company refers to the operations of SunGard Data Systems Inc. and subsidiaries for both the Predecessor and Successor periods.

SunGard Data Systems Inc. has three segments: Financial Systems (FS, formerly Investment Support Systems), Higher Education and Public Sector Systems (HE/PS) and Availability Services (AS). The Company s Software & Processing Solutions business is comprised of the FS and HE/PS segments. The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its majority-owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany transactions and accounts have been eliminated. The consolidated financial statements exclude the accounts of the Parent Companies.

The accompanying interim consolidated financial statements of the Company have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP), consistent in all material respects with those applied in the Predecessor s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004. Interim financial reporting does not include all of the information and footnotes required by GAAP for complete financial statements. The interim financial information is unaudited, but reflects all normal adjustments which are, in the opinion of management, necessary to provide a fair statement of results for the interim periods presented. Operating results for the interim periods presented are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2005.

#### 2. Acquisitions:

ACQUISITION OF SUNGARD DATA SYSTEMS INC. BY SOLAR CAPITAL CORP.

As discussed in Note 1, the Transaction was completed on August 11, 2005 and was financed by a combination of borrowings under the Company s new senior secured credit facilities, the issuance of senior notes due 2013 and senior subordinated notes due 2015, the funding under the Company s new receivables facilities, and the equity investment of the Sponsors, co-investors and management. See Note 5 for a description of the Company s indebtedness.

The purchase price including transaction costs was approximately \$11.77 billion. The sources and uses of funds in connection with the acquisition are summarized below (in millions):

Sources	
Secured revolving credit facility	\$ 149.0
Secured term loan facilities	4,000.0
Receivables facilities	375.0
Senior notes due 2013	2,000.0
Senior subordinated notes due 2015	1,000.0
Cash on hand	641.0
Equity contribution - cash	3,450.1
Equity contribution - non-cash	154.4
Total sources	\$ 11,769.5
Uses	
<del></del>	
Payment consideration to stockholders	\$ 11,240.9
Converted share and option consideration	154.4
Estimated transaction costs	374.2

\$11,769.5

Total uses

The non-cash equity contribution was a combination of shares and fully vested stock options of the Predecessor. The shares were converted into shares of the Parent Companies. The fully vested stock options were automatically converted into fully vested stock options of the Parent Companies (continuation options).

Preliminary Purchase Price Allocation

Under business combination accounting, the total purchase price was allocated to the Company s net tangible and identifiable intangible assets based on their estimated fair values as of August 11, 2005 as set forth below, in millions. The excess of the purchase price over the net tangible and identifiable intangible assets was recorded as goodwill. The preliminary allocation of the purchase price for property and equipment, intangible assets and deferred income taxes was based upon preliminary valuation data and our estimates and assumptions are subject to change.

Property and equipment	\$	702.0
Software products		1,259.3
Customer base		2,735.7
Trade name		770.0
Goodwill		7,262.3
Other tangible and intangible assets		228.2
Deferred income taxes		(1,531.7)
Debt assumed		(459.5)
Net current assets acquired		803.2
Total purchase price		11,769.5
Non-cash equity contribution		154.4
Cash used in acquisition of SunGard by Solar Capital	\$ 1	11,615.1

The preliminary estimated useful lives are five to seven years for software and ten to nineteen years for customer base. The SunGard trade name has an indefinite life and is not subject to amortization; it, along with goodwill, will be reviewed at least annually for impairment.

#### ACQUISITIONS BY THE COMPANY

The Company seeks to acquire businesses that broaden its existing product lines and service offerings by adding complementary products and services offerings and by expanding its geographic reach. During the nine months ended September 30, 2005, the Company completed five acquisitions in its FS segment, two acquisitions in its HE/PS segment and one acquisition in its AS segment. Cash paid, net of cash acquired and subject to certain adjustments, was \$434 million, including \$363 million for the acquisitions of Inflow, Inc. and Vivista Holdings Limited.

The following table lists the businesses the Company acquired since January 1, 2005:

Inflow, Inc. 1/4/2005 Hosting and managed services for information availability.

Protegent, Inc. 1/26/2005 Risk management solutions.

Vivista Holdings Limited 2/25/2005 Public safety and justice software solutions in the U.K.

Ensemble Technology (Pty) Ltd 2/28/2005 Professional services for the financial services industry in South Africa.

Integrity Treasury Solutions Limited 3/3/2005 Treasury management systems.

Recognition Research, Inc. 5/12/2005 Data-capture technology for the healthcare and financial services industries.

Understanding Systems, Inc. 7/25/2005 Graphical mapping solutions for the public sector.

Pyramid Digital Systems, LLC 8/17/2005 Software and solutions to the retirement plan industry.

In connection with seven previously acquired businesses, up to \$152 million could be paid as additional consideration, primarily over the next year depending on the future operating results of those businesses. The amount paid, if any, is recorded as additional goodwill at the time the actual performance is known and the amounts become due. As of and during the nine months ended September 30, 2005, there were no amounts earned or paid.

#### GOODWILL AND AMORTIZATION

Changes in total goodwill during the nine months ended September 30, 2005 follow (in thousands):

Predecessor	
Balance at December 31, 2004	\$ 1,824,217
Acquisitions by the Company from January 1, 2005 through August 10, 2005	231,236
Adjustments to previous acquisitions	(17,849)
Effect of foreign currency translation	(40,468)
Balance at August 10, 2005	\$ 1,997,136
Successor	
Acquisition of SunGard Data Systems Inc. by Solar Capital Corp.	\$7,262,334
Acquisitions by the Company from August 11, 2005 through September 30, 2005	5,288
Adjustments to previous acquisitions	1,230
Effect of foreign currency translation	(8,516)
Balance at September 30, 2005	\$ 7,260,336

The estimated amortization expense through 2009 has been updated to reflect the Transaction as well as acquisitions subsequent to August 10, 2005. Since the allocation of the Transaction purchase price is preliminary and subject to finalization of independent appraisals, the estimated annual amortization expense will continue to be updated as the appraisals are finalized. Based on preliminary valuation data and amounts recorded at September 30, 2005, total estimated amortization of all acquisition-related intangible assets during the period from August 11, 2005

through December 31, 2005 and for each of the years ended December 31, 2006 to 2009 follows (in thousands):

August 11, 2005 through December 31, 2005	\$ 146,549
2006	376,630
2007	376,630
2008	376,630
2009	376,630

8

#### PRO FORMA FINANCIAL INFORMATION:

The following unaudited pro forma results of operations (in thousands) assume that the Transaction and certain significant acquisitions and dispositions occurred on January 1, 2004 and were reflected in the Company's results from that date. The pro forma results include the 2005 acquisitions of Inflow, Inc., Vivista Holdings Limited, Integrity Treasury Solutions Limited, and Recognition Research, Inc., the 2004 acquisitions of FAME Information Services, Inc. (closed January 22, 2004), Systems & Computer Technology Corporation (closed February 12, 2004), Collegis, Inc. (closed March 4, 2004), and Open Software Solutions, Inc. (closed May 21, 2004), and the disposition of Brut LLC (closed September 7, 2004). This unaudited pro forma information should not be relied upon as necessarily being indicative of the historical results that would have been obtained if these acquisitions and disposition had actually occurred on that date, nor of the results that may be obtained in the future.

	Nine months ended September 30, 2004	Nine months ended September 30, 2005	Three months ended September 30, 2004	Three months ended September 30, 2005
Revenue	\$ 2,718,389	\$ 2,944,993	\$ 911,648	\$ 960,214
Net (loss) income	(58,912)	(200,392)	13,026	(129,016)

#### MERGER AND OTHER COSTS:

During the period from January 1, 2005 through August 10, 2005, the Company recorded merger costs of \$121 million (\$94 million after tax). These costs primarily include \$59 million of accounting, investment banking, legal and other costs associated with the Transaction and a non-cash charge for stock compensation of approximately \$60 million (\$39 million after tax) in the period ended August 10, 2005 resulting from the acceleration of stock options and restricted stock. During the period from August 11, 2005 through September 30, 2005, the Company recorded merger costs of \$19 million (\$13 million after tax) consisting primarily of payroll taxes and certain compensation expenses related to the Transaction.

In the first quarter of 2005, the Company recorded a one-time charge of \$12 million, included in cost of sales and direct operating expenses, related to the relocation of a leased availability services facility in North Bergen, New Jersey to an expanded facility in Carlstadt, New Jersey.

#### 3. Stock-Based Compensation:

#### **Post-Transaction**

In connection with the Transaction, the Parent Companies adopted a new equity-based management compensation plan, which authorizes equity awards to be granted for up to 60 million shares of Class A common stock and 7 million shares of Class L common stock of SunGard Capital Corp. and 2.5 million shares of preferred stock of SunGard Capital Corp. II. Under this plan, certain management and key employees were granted time-based options or a combination of time-based and performance-based options to purchase stock in the Parent Companies. The issued options are for equity units (Unit). Each Unit consists of an option to purchase 1.3 shares of Class A common stock and 0.1444 shares of Class L common stock of SunGard Capital Corp. and 0.05 shares of preferred stock of SunGard Capital Corp. II. The shares comprising a Unit

are in the same proportion as the shares issued to the shareholders of the Parent Companies. Options are exercisable only as a Unit and the individual classes cannot be separately exercised.

As discussed in Note 2, options to purchase shares of the Predecessor held by certain members of management that were not exercised before closing of the Transaction were automatically converted into fully-vested continuation options to purchase 7.4 million Units having the same aggregate intrinsic value of \$100 million. The continuation options have an exercise price of \$4.50 and a weighted average remaining life of 5.5 years.

During the period ended September 30, 2005, the Parent Companies granted time-based and performance-based options to purchase approximately 12.5 million and 18.2 millions Units, respectively. Time-based options vest over the next five years as follows: 25% one year after date of grant, and 1/48 of the remaining balance each month thereafter for 48 months. Performance-based options vest upon the attainment of certain annual and cumulative earnings goals for the Company during the six-year period beginning January 1, 2005. Time-based and performance-based options can vest upon a change in control, subject to certain conditions, and expire ten years from the date of grant.

9

As of the date of the Transaction, the Company adopted SFAS Number 123R (revised 2004), Share-Based Payment (SFAS 123R), using the modified prospective method, which requires companies to record stock compensation expense for all unvested and new awards as of the adoption date. Accordingly, prior period amounts presented herein have not been restated.

Time-based and performance-based options granted in the period ended September 30, 2005 have an exercise price of \$18.00 per Unit and a fair value of \$10.54 per Unit based on the Black-Scholes option-pricing model using the following assumptions: expected term to exercise of 5.5 years; expected volatility of 62%; risk-free interest rate of 4.18%; and no dividend yield. Expected volatility is based primarily on a combination of the Company s historical volatility adjusted for its new leverage and estimates of implied volatility of the Company s peer group. The requisite service period is up to 5.4 years from the date of grant.

For the period ended September 30, 2005, the Company recognized non-cash stock compensation expense of \$8 million included in sales, marketing and administration expenses. The adoption of FAS 123R had no impact on the Company's cash flow from operations or financing activities. At September 30, 2005, there is approximately \$115 million of unearned non-cash stock-based compensation that the Company expects to recognize as expense over the next 5.25 years. For performance-based options, vesting, and therefore compensation expense, is estimated at the time that the achievement of the annual and cumulative earnings goals becomes probable. For time-based options, compensation expense is recorded on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period of five years. During the period ended September 30, 2005, there were options granted to purchase approximately 38.1 million Units, including continuation options, and no option exercises or cancellations. At September 30, 2005, no performance-based or time-based options are exercisable and all continuation options are exercisable.

#### Pre-Transaction stock-based compensation accounting

Prior to the closing of the Transaction, the Company applied APB 25 in accounting for its stock option and award plans. Accordingly, compensation expense has been recorded for its restricted stock awards and the Company recorded in merger costs a non-cash charge for stock compensation of approximately \$60 million (\$39 million after tax) in the period from July 1, 2005 to August 10, 2005 as a result of the acceleration of vesting of all options and restricted stock in connection with the Transaction (see Note 2). SFAS Number 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation (SFAS 123), as amended by SFAS Number 148, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure an Amendment of FASB Statement 123 changed the method for recognition of cost of stock option and award plans. Adoption of the cost recognition requirements under SFAS 123 was optional; however, the following supplemental information is provided (in thousands):

	Predecessor*							
	Nine months		eriod from anuary 1,	ree months	Po	eriod from July 1,		
	ended September 30, 2004	20	005 through August 10, September 30, 2005 2004				05 through august 10, 2005	
Net income (loss), as reported (including stock compensation expense, net of								
tax, of \$363, \$36,565, \$118 and \$36,190, respectively)	\$ 341,762	\$	145,752	\$	153,537	\$	(42,665)	
Additional stock compensation expenses under SFAS 123, net of tax	(70,751)		(134,845)		(30,473)		(102,069)	
		_		_		_		
Pro forma net income (loss)	\$ 271,011	\$	10,907	\$	123,064	\$	(144,734)	

\* At the date of Acquisition, the Company adopted FAS 123R. This disclosure is therefore not applicable for the period August 11, 2005 through September 30, 2005.

10

### 4. Clearing Broker Assets and Liabilities:

Clearing broker assets and liabilities are comprised of the following (in thousands):

	Prec	Predecessor		Successor	
		mber 31, 2004	Sep	tember 30, 2005	
Segregated customer cash and treasury bills	\$	24,027	\$	32,592	
Securities owned		23,006		33,063	
Securities borrowed		150,019		305,903	
Receivables from customers and other		35,398		51,235	
Clearing broker assets	\$	232,450	\$	422,793	
Payables to customers	\$	59,250	\$	52,619	
Securities loaned		117,816		321,200	
Customer securities sold short, not yet purchased		12,589		27,828	
Payable to brokers and dealers		19,075		10,219	
Clearing broker liabilities	\$	208,730	\$	411,866	

Segregated customer cash and treasury bills are held by the Company on behalf of customers. Clearing broker securities consist of trading and investment securities at fair market values. Securities borrowed and loaned are collateralized financing transactions which are cash deposits made to or received from other broker/dealers. Receivables from and payables to customers represent amounts due or payable on cash and margin transactions.

#### 5. Debt:

Debt consisted of the following at December 31, 2004 and September 30, 2005 (in thousands):

	Predecessor	Successor
	December 31, 2004	September 30, 2005
Secured revolving credit facility, effective interest rate of 6.32% (A)	\$	\$ 100,000
Secured term loan facilities, effective interest rate of 6.24% (A)		3,985,205
Senior Notes due 2009 at 3.75%, net of discount of \$22,189 (B)	250,000	227,811
Senior Notes due 2014 at 4.875%, net of discount of \$34,423 (B)	250,000	215,577

Senior Notes due 2013 at 9.125% (C)		1,600,000
Senior Subordinated Notes due 2015 at 10.25% (C)		1,000,000
Senior Notes due 2013 at LIBOR plus 4.5% (8.5248% at September 30, 2005) (C)		400,000
Accounts receivable securitization facility due 2011 at LIBOR plus 1.8% (3.6% at September 30, 2005)		
(D)		187,060
Other, primarily acquisition purchase price and capital lease obligations	54,378	14,516
	554,378	7,730,169
Short-term borrowings and current portion of long-term debt	(45,332)	(49,614)
Long-term debt	\$ 509,046	\$ 7,680,555

On August 11, 2005, in connection with the Transaction, the Company (i) entered into a new \$4.0 billion senior secured credit facility, consisting of a \$3.69 billion term loan facility with SunGard Data Systems Inc. as the borrower, a \$315 million-equivalent term loan facility with a U.K. subsidiary as the borrower (\$165 million of which is denominated in euros and \$150 million of which is denominated in pounds sterling), and a \$1.0 billion revolving credit facility (\$900 million available as of September 30, 2005), (ii) issued \$3.0 billion aggregate principal amount of senior notes and senior subordinated notes and (iii) entered into receivables securitization facilities totaling \$375 million.

The senior secured credit facilities and the indentures governing the senior notes and senior subordinated notes issued in connection with the Transaction limit the Company s (and most or all of its subsidiaries ) ability to incur additional

11

#### **Table of Contents**

indebtedness, pay dividends on or make other distributions or repurchase capital stock, make certain investments, enter into certain types of transactions with affiliates, use assets as security in other transactions, and sell certain assets or merge with or into other companies. In addition, under the senior secured credit agreement, the Company will be required to satisfy and maintain specified financial ratios and other financial condition tests.

(A) Senior Secured Credit Facilities

Borrowings under the senior secured credit facilities bear interest at a rate equal to an applicable margin plus, at the Company s option, either (a) a base rate determined by reference to the higher of (1) the prime rate of JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A. and (2) the federal funds rate plus 1/2 of 1% or (b) a LIBOR rate determined by reference to the costs of funds for deposits in the currency of such borrowing for the interest period relevant to such borrowing adjusted for certain additional costs. The applicable margin for borrowings under the revolving credit facility may be reduced subject to attaining certain leverage ratios. In addition to paying interest on outstanding principal under the senior secured credit facilities, the Company pays a commitment fee to the lenders under the revolving credit facility in respect of the unutilized commitments thereunder. The initial commitment fee rate is 0.50% per annum. The commitment fee rate may be reduced subject to attaining certain leverage ratios.

All obligations under the senior secured credit agreement are unconditionally guaranteed by SunGard Holdco LLC and, subject to certain exceptions, by all domestic wholly owned subsidiaries, referred to, collectively, as U.S. Guarantors. In addition, the borrowings of U.K. subsidiary borrowers under the revolving credit facility are unconditionally guaranteed by certain wholly owned U.K. subsidiaries.

(B) Senior Notes due 2009 and 2014

On January 15, 2004, the Company issued \$500 million of senior unsecured notes, \$250 million of 3.75% notes due 2009 and \$250 million of 4.875% notes due 2014, which are subject to certain standard covenants. Upon completion of the Transaction and to the extent required by their indentures, these senior notes became collateralized on an equal and ratable basis with loans under the senior secured credit facilities and are guaranteed by all subsidiaries that guarantee the senior notes due 2013 and senior subordinated notes due 2015. The senior notes due 2009 and 2014 are recorded at \$443 million as of September 30, 2005 as a result of fair value adjustments related to purchase accounting. The discount of \$57 million will be amortized and added to the recorded amounts over the remaining periods to maturity.

(C) Senior Notes due 2013 and Senior Subordinated Notes due 2015

The senior notes due 2013 are senior unsecured obligations that rank senior in right of payment to future debt and other obligations that are, by their terms, expressly subordinated in right of payment to the senior notes, including the senior subordinated notes. The senior notes (i) rank equally in right of payment to all existing and future senior debt and other obligations that are not, by their terms, expressly subordinated in right of payment to the senior notes, (ii) are effectively subordinated in right of payment to all existing and future secured debt to the extent of the value of the assets securing such debt, and (iii) are structurally subordinated to all obligations of each subsidiary that is not a guarantor of the senior notes.

The senior subordinated notes due 2015 are unsecured senior subordinated obligations that are subordinated in right of payment to existing and future senior debt, including the senior secured credit facilities, the senior notes due 2009 and 2014 and the senior notes due 2013. The senior

subordinated notes (i) rank equally in right of payment to all future senior subordinated debt, (ii) are effectively subordinated in right of payment to all existing and future secured debt to the extent of the value of the assets securing such debt, (iii) are structurally subordinated to all obligations of each subsidiary that is not a guarantor of the senior subordinated notes, and (iv) rank senior in right of payment to all future debt and other obligations that are, by their terms, expressly subordinated in right of payment to the senior subordinated notes.

The senior notes due 2013 and senior subordinated notes due 2015 are redeemable in whole or in part, at the option of the Company, at any time at varying redemption prices that generally include premiums, which are defined in the applicable indentures. In addition, upon a change of control, the Company is required to make an offer to redeem all of the senior notes and senior subordinated notes at a redemption price equal to 101% of the aggregate principal amount thereof plus accrued and unpaid interest.

(D) Receivables Securitization Facilities

In August 2005, certain domestic subsidiaries entered into two receivables facilities, a transitional facility and a long-term facility (the Facilities ). The Facilities provide the Company funding of up to \$375 million by allowing it to sell, on a revolving basis, an undivided interest in eligible receivables, subject to the satisfaction of other customary conditions, for a period of up to six years following the Transaction.

12

Domestic subsidiaries that are not yet eligible to participate in the long-term facility participate in the transitional facility. Subsidiaries that participate in the transitional facility transfer their eligible receivables to third party conduits through a wholly owned bankruptcy remote special purpose entity that is consolidated for financial reporting purposes. The funding under the transitional facility is provided by the lenders under the Company senior secured credit facilities. The Company must use reasonable commercial efforts to cause the participating subsidiaries to join the long-term facility within 150 days after the Transaction. If this does not occur, the lenders will have the right to refinance the transitional facility on terms no less favorable to the Company than the terms of the senior secured term loan facility. Sales of receivables under the transitional facility cannot be accounted for as sales under the provisions of FASB Statement No. 140 Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishment of Liabilities (SFAS 140). Accordingly, these receivables, totaling \$281 million, are included in trade receivables and secure the corresponding borrowings, which are included in long-term debt in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet as of September 30, 2005.

Under the long-term facility, eligible receivables are sold to third-party conduits through a wholly owned bankruptcy remote special purpose entity that is not consolidated for financial reporting purposes. The Company continues to service the receivables and charges a monthly servicing fee at market rates. The third-party conduits are sponsored by the lenders under the Company senior secured credit facilities. Additional subsidiaries of the Company may become parties to the long-term facility, subject to the satisfaction of specified conditions including the completion of satisfactory due diligence. Sales of receivables under the long-term facility qualify as sales under the provisions of SFAS 140. Accordingly, these receivables, totaling \$303 million net of applicable allowances, and the corresponding borrowings, totaling \$139 million, are excluded from the accompanying consolidated balance sheet as of September 30, 2005. The Company sertained interest in receivables sold as of September 30, 2005 is \$151 million. Expenses associated with the long-term facility totaled \$7.6 million for the period ended September 30, 2005, of which \$4 million related to the loss on sale of the receivables and discount on retained interests (net of the related servicing revenue) is recorded in other income (expense) and the remainder, representing facility and professional fees, are recorded in merger and other costs in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. The gain or loss on sale of receivables is determined at the date of transfer based upon the fair value of the assets sold and the interests retained. The Company estimates fair value based on the present value of expected cash flows. The collection period and discount rate (prime rate at September 30, 2005) are the key assumptions used in this estimate. At September 30, 2005, neither a 10% nor a 20% adverse change in the assumed collection period or assumed discount rate would have a material impact on the Company s financial position or results of operatio

At September 30, 2005, annual maturities of long-term debt during the next five years and thereafter are as follows (in thousands):

2006	\$ 49,614
2007	40,029
2008	40,029
2009	267,840
2010	40,029
Thereafter	7.292.628

13

### 6. Comprehensive Income (Loss):

Comprehensive income (loss) consists of net income (loss) adjusted for other increases and decreases affecting stockholders equity that are excluded from the determination of net income (loss). The calculation of comprehensive income (loss) follows (in thousands):

	Pred	Predecessor					
	Nine months ended September 30, 2004	Period from January 1, 2005 through August 10, 2005	Period from August 11, 2005 through September 30, 2005				
Net income (loss)	\$ 341,762	\$ 145,752	\$ (23,071)				
Foreign currency translation gains (losses)	6,166	(68,767)	(11,517)				
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 347,928	\$ 76,985	\$ (34,588)				
	Pred	ecessor	Successor				
	Three months ended September 30, 2004	Period from July 1, 2005 through August 10, 2005	Period from August 11, 2005 through September 30, 2005				
Net income (loss)	\$ 153,537	\$ (42,665)	\$ (23,071)				
Foreign currency translation gains (losses)	4,093	5,180	(11,517)				
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 157,630	\$ (37,485)	\$ (34,588)				

### 7. Segment Information:

The Company has three segments: FS and HE/PS, which together form the Company s Software & Processing Solutions business, and AS. Effective January 1, 2005, the Company reclassified one data center facility from AS to FS. This change has been reflected for all periods presented. The operating results for each segment follow (in thousands):

			Prede	cess	or			S	Successor	
	Nine months ended September 30, 2004		aree months ended ptember 30, 2004	J 20	eriod from January 1, 05 through August 10, 2005	20	eriod from July 1, 05 through August 10, 2005	A 200	eriod from august 11, 05 through otember 30, 2005	
Revenue:										
Financial systems	\$ 1,398,085	\$	460,734	\$	1,120,044	\$	192,683	\$	256,085	
Higher education and public sector systems	379,618		150,886		471,171		82,890		110,302	
Software & processing solutions	1,777,703		611,620		1,591,215		275,573		366,387	
Availability services	861,003		287,718		780,126		141,855		181,406	
	\$ 2,638,706	\$	899,338	\$	2,371,341	\$	417,428	\$	547,793	
Income (loss) from operations:										
Financial systems	\$ 230,155	\$	81,093	\$	183,143	\$	24,228	\$	23,890	
Higher education and public sector systems	62,127		29,275		75,683		9,729		14,605	
Software & processing solutions	292,282		110,368		258,826		33,957		38,495	
Availability services	257,146		89,676		197,411		38,642		45,369	
Corporate administration	(38,223)	,	(13,143)		(39,613)		(6,892)		(19,531)	
Merger and other costs	(3,076)	_	(3,500)	_	(121,013)	_	(102,708)		(18,443)	
	\$ 508,129	\$	183,401	\$	295,611	\$	(37,001)	\$	45,890	
Depreciation and amortization:								_		
Financial systems	\$ 50,629	\$	16,603	\$	38,915	\$	6,731	\$	8,627	
Higher education and public sector systems	8,059		2,983		8,600		1,749		2,148	
Software & processing solutions	58,688		19,586		47,515		8,480		10,775	
Availability services	102,771		34,687		93,707		17,492		21,694	
Corporate administration	1,230	_	406	_	188	_	34	_	47	
	\$ 162,689	\$	54,679	\$	141,410	\$	26,006	\$	32,516	
Amortization of acquisition-related intangible assets:										
Financial systems	\$ 48,000	\$	15,540	\$	39,363	\$	7,086	\$	28,538	
Higher education and public sector systems	26,003		10,384		29,816	_	5,765	_	9,364	

Edgar Filing: SUNGARD DATA SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-Q

Software & processing solutions		74,003		25,924		69,179		12,851	37,902
Availability services		15,488		5,141		14,913		2,635	13,285
Corporate administration									
	_		_						 
	\$	89,491	\$	31,065	\$	84,092	\$	15,486	\$ 51,187
	_		_		_				
Cash paid for property and equipment:									
Financial systems	\$	25,284	\$	7,791	\$	29,175	\$	5,015	\$ 5,880
Higher education and public sector systems		3,337		2,357		11,435		2,039	2,763
	_						-		 
Software & processing solutions		28,621		10,148		40,610		7,054	8,643
Availability services		113,508		34,203		90,762		17,874	37,327
Corporate administration		271		155		56		42	51
	_		_				_		 
	\$	142,400	\$	44,506	\$	131,428	\$	24,970	\$ 46,021
	_								

### 8. Related Party Transactions

In connection with the Transaction, the Company and its Parent Companies paid the Sponsors \$96 million in fees and expenses for financial and structural advice and analysis as well as assistance with due diligence investigations and debt financing negotiations. This amount has been included in the overall purchase price of the Transaction.

The Company and its Parent Companies have agreed to pay the Sponsors management fees based on 1% of quarterly Adjusted EBITDA, as defined below, in connection with planning, strategy, oversight and support to management.

15

#### **Table of Contents**

These management fees are payable quarterly in arrears. During the period ended September 30, 2005, the Company recorded \$3 million in management fees, included in sales, marketing and administration expenses in the statement of operations and other accrued expenses on the balance sheet at September 30, 2005.

In connection with the Transaction, SunGard Capital Corp. received a \$16 million promissory note from the Company s Chief Executive Officer (CEO) in payment for 1.6 million shares of Class A common stock and 0.2 million shares of Class L common stock. Also in connection with the Transaction, SunGard Capital Corp. II received a \$6 million promissory note (together with the SunGard Capital Corp. note, the Notes ) from the CEO in payment for 61 thousand shares of preferred stock. The Notes bear interest at a floating rate equal to LIBOR plus 2.5% divided by 0.84725% per annum and are payable on the last day of each calendar quarter in arrears. Principal payments are due upon written demand by the Parent Companies. The Notes are fully recourse notes and are secured by a pledge of the CEO s shares of the Parent Companies. No payments were made as of September 30, 2005. SunGard Data Systems Inc. is not a party to these arrangements, which were entered into prior to the consummation of the Transaction.

#### Item 2. Management s Discussioned Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

#### Introduction

The following discussion and analysis supplement the management s discussion and analysis in the Predecessor s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004 and presume that readers have read or have access to the discussion and analysis in our Annual Report. The following discussion and analysis includes historical and certain forward-looking information that should be read together with the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements, related footnotes, and the discussion below of certain risks and uncertainties that could cause future operating results to differ materially from historical results or from the expected results indicated by forward-looking statements.

SunGard Data Systems Inc. was acquired on August 11, 2005 through a merger transaction with Solar Capital Corp., a Delaware corporation formed by investment funds associated with Bain Capital Partners, The Blackstone Group, Goldman Sachs & Co., Kohlberg Kravis Roberts & Co., Providence Equity Partners, Silver Lake Partners and Texas Pacific Group (collectively, the Sponsors). The acquisition was accomplished through the merger of Solar Capital Corp. into SunGard Data Systems Inc. with SunGard Data Systems Inc. being the surviving company (the Transaction).

The Company is a wholly-owned subsidiary of SunGard Holdco LLC, which is owned by SunGard Holding Corp. and SunGard Capital Corp. II. SunGard Capital Corp. II is a subsidiary of SunGard Capital Corp. and these two companies are collectively referred to as the Parent Companies. All four of these companies were formed for the purpose of facilitating the Transaction.

Although SunGard Data Systems Inc. continued as the same legal entity after the Transaction, the accompanying consolidated statements of operations, cash flows and stockholders—equity are presented for two periods: Predecessor and Successor, which relate to the period preceding the Transaction and the period succeeding the Transaction, respectively. The Company refers to the operations of SunGard Data Systems Inc. and subsidiaries for both the Predecessor and Successor periods. We have prepared our discussion of the results of operations by comparing the mathematical combination of the Successor and Predecessor periods in the nine months and three months ended September 30, 2005 to the nine and three month periods ended September 30, 2004. Although this presentation does not comply with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), we believe that it provides a meaningful method of comparison. The combined operating results have not been prepared as pro forma results under applicable regulations and may not reflect the actual results we would have achieved absent the Transaction and may not be predictive of future results of operations.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS:

### Nine Months Ended September 30, 2005 Compared to the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2004

The following tables set forth, for the periods indicated, certain amounts included in our Consolidated Statements of Operations and the relative percentage that those amounts represent to consolidated revenue (unless otherwise indicated). All percentages are calculated using actual amounts rounded to the nearest one-hundred thousand and are rounded to the nearest whole percentage.

	I	Predecessor			Su	iccessor	Combi	ned <sup>(1)</sup>
				riod from				
(in millions)	August 10, Nine months ended September 30, 2004 2005				2005 Sept	iod from August 11, 5 through ember 30, 2005	Nine mon Septem	ber 30,
	po	ercent of	_		_			percent of
Revenue	·	revenue						revenue
Financial systems (FS)	\$ 1,398.1	53%	\$	1,120.0	\$	256.1	\$ 1,376.1	47%
Higher education and public sector systems (HE/PS)	379.6	14%	Ψ	471.2	Ψ	110.3	581.5	20%
8 ( )			_		_			
Software & processing solutions	1,777.7	67%		1,591.2		366.4	1,957.6	67%
Availability services (AS)	861.0	33%		780.1		181.4	961.5	33%
			_		_			
	\$ 2,638.7	100%	\$	2,371.3	\$	547.8	\$ 2,919.1	100%
			_	_,,,,,,,,,	_		+ -,,, -,,,-	
Costs and Expenses								
Cost of sales and direct operating	\$ 1,216.8	46%	\$	1,119.5	\$	246.5	\$ 1,366.0	47%
Sales, marketing and administration	481.0	18%	Ψ	456.0	Ψ	116.9	572.9	20%
Product development	177.5	7%		153.7		36.4	190.1	7%
Depreciation and amortization	162.7	6%		141.4		32.5	173.9	6%
Amortization of acquisition- related intangible assets	89.5	3%		84.1		51.2	135.3	5%
Merger and other costs	3.1	%		121.0		18.4	139.4	5%
			_		_			
	\$ 2,130.6	81 %	\$	2,075.7	\$	501.9	\$ 2,577.6	88%
			_		_			
Operating Income								
Financial systems (2)	\$ 230.2	16%	\$	183.1	\$	23.9	\$ 207.0	15%
Higher education and public sector systems (2)	62.1	16%	Ψ	75.7	Ψ		90.3	16%
riigher education and public sector systems	02.1	10%		13.1		14.6	90.3	10%
Coftware & processing colutions (2)	202.2	1607		250.0		20.5	207.2	1507
Software & processing solutions (2)	292.3	16%		258.8		38.5	297.3	15%
Availability services (2)	257.1	30%		197.4		45.4	242.8	25%

Corporate administration	(38.2)	(1)%	(39.6)	(19.6)	(59.2)	(2)%
Merger and other costs	(3.1)	%	(121.0)	(18.4)	(139.4)	(5)%
	\$ 508.1	19 %	\$ 295.6	\$ 45.9	\$ 341.5	12%

<sup>(1)</sup> As described above, our combined results for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 represent the sum of the amounts for the Predecessor period from January 1, 2005 through August 10, 2005 and for the Successor period from August 11, 2005 through September 30, 2005. This combination does not comply with GAAP or with the rules for pro forma presentation, but is presented because we believe it enables a meaningful comparison of our results.

<sup>(2)</sup> Percent of revenue is calculated as a percent of revenue from FS, HE/PS, Software & Processing Solutions, and AS, respectively.

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, certain supplemental revenue data and the relative percentage that those amounts represent to total revenue. All percentages are calculated using actual amounts rounded to the nearest one-hundred thousand and are rounded to the nearest whole percentage.

	Predecessor				Successor		Combined (1)	
(in millions)	Nine months ended September 30, 2004		Period from January 1, 2005 through August 10, 2005		Period from August 11, 2005 through September 30, 2005		Nine months ended September 30, 2005	
	•	ercent of revenue						percent of revenue
Financial Systems								10,01100
Services	\$ 1,223.8	46%	\$	967.7	\$	221.7	\$ 1,189.4	41%
License and resale fees	115.6	4%		99.1	_	23.0	122.1	4%
Total products and services	1,339.4	51%		1,066.8		244.7	1,311.5	45%
Reimbursed expenses	58.7	2%	_	53.2		11.4	64.6	2%
	\$ 1,398.1	53%	\$	1,120.0	\$	256.1	\$ 1,376.1	47%
Higher Education and Public Sector Systems								
Services	\$ 302.3	11%	\$	393.6	\$	90.4	\$ 484.0	17%
License and resale fees	69.1	3%		69.9		18.2	88.1	3%
Total products and services	371.4	14%		463.5		108.6	572.1	20%
Reimbursed expenses	8.2	%		7.7		1.7	9.4	%
	\$ 379.6	14%	\$	471.2	\$	110.3	\$ 581.5	20%
Software & Processing Solutions								
Services	\$ 1,526.1	58%	\$	1,361.3	\$	312.1	\$ 1,673.4	57%
License and resale fees	184.7	7%	_	169.0		41.2	210.2	7%
Total products and services	1,710.8	65%		1,530.3		353.3	1,883.6	65%
Reimbursed expenses	66.9	3%		60.9		13.1	74.0	3%
	\$ 1,777.7	67%	\$	1,591.2	\$	366.4	\$ 1,957.6	67%
Availability Services								
Services	\$ 838.1	32%	\$	764.3	\$	178.6	\$ 942.9	32%
License and resale fees	16.3	1%		10.5		1.1	11.6	%
Total products and services	854.4	32%		774.8		179.7	954.5	33%
Reimbursed expenses	6.6	%	_	5.3		1.7	7.0	%
	\$ 861.0	33%	\$	780.1	\$	181.4	\$ 961.5	33%
Total Revenue								

Edgar Filing: SUNGARD DATA SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-Q

Services	\$ 2,364.2	90%	\$ 2,125.6	\$	490.7	\$ 2,616.3	90%
License and resale fees	201.0	8%	179.5		42.3	221.8	8%
				_			
Total products and services	2,565.2	97%	2,305.1		533.0	2,838.1	97%
Reimbursed expenses	73.5	3%	66.2		14.8	81.0	3%
	\$ 2,638.7	100%	\$ 2,371.3	\$	547.8	\$ 2,919.1	100%

<sup>(1)</sup> As described above, our combined results for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 represent the sum of the amounts for the Predecessor period from January 1, 2005 through August 10, 2005 and for the Successor period from August 11, 2005 through September 30, 2005. This combination does not comply with GAAP or with the rules for pro forma presentation, but is presented because we believe it enables a meaningful comparison of our results.

# **Table of Contents** INCOME FROM OPERATIONS: Our total operating margin was 11.7% for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 compared to 19.3% for the nine months ended September 30, 2004, due primarily to a \$136 million increase in merger costs. The negative impact on total operating margin of the increase in merger costs and purchase accounting adjustments resulting from the Transaction was 570 basis points (one hundred basis points equals one percentage point). The purchase accounting adjustments, which are preliminary, included incremental amortization of acquisition-related intangible assets of \$32 million and the adjustment of deferred revenue to fair value at the date of the Transaction (the deferred revenue adjustment) of \$12 million. In addition, \$23 million in the aggregate related to the relocation of an AS facility, stock-based compensation and other expenses caused an 80 basis point decline in the total operating margin. The balance of the decline in total operating margin is the result of recently acquired businesses. Financial Systems: The FS operating margin was 15.0% for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 compared to 16.5% for the nine months ended September 30, 2004. The negative impact on the FS margin of the purchase accounting adjustments resulting from the Transaction was 160 basis points, including incremental amortization (\$20 million) and the deferred revenue adjustment (\$3 million). Higher Education and Public Sector Systems: The HE/PS operating margin was 15.5% and 16.4% in each of the nine months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively, due primarily to the negative impact on margins of a business acquired in February 2005. The impact of the purchase accounting adjustments resulting from the Transaction was immaterial. Availability Services: The AS operating margin was 25.3% for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 compared to 29.9% for the nine months ended September 30, 2004. The negative impact on the AS margin of the purchase accounting adjustments resulting from the Transaction was 150 basis points, including incremental amortization (\$10 million) and the deferred revenue adjustment (\$6 million). The AS margin decreased by 300 basis points due to the lower margin associated with a business acquired in January 2005 as well as a one-time charge related to the relocation of an AS facility. REVENUE:

businesses owned for at least one year and excludes the deferred revenue adjustment and revenue from Brut LLC. When assessing our financial

37

Table of Contents

Total revenue was \$2.92 billion for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 compared to \$2.64 billion for the nine months ended September 30, 2004. The increase in total revenue in 2005 was due to \$273 million from acquired businesses offset in part by a decrease of \$129 million due to the sale of Brut LLC in September 2004 and the deferred revenue adjustment of \$12 million. Internal revenue is defined as revenue from

results, we focus on growth in internal revenue because overall revenue growth is affected by the timing and magnitude of acquisitions and dispositions and by purchase price accounting adjustments resulting from the Transaction. Internal revenue grew by approximately 6% for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 compared to approximately 2% in 2004. The increase in internal revenue in 2005 reflects improvements in all three segments, primarily in FS and HE/PS.

Services revenue was \$2.62 billion for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 compared to \$2.36 billion for the nine months ended September 30, 2004. The increase of \$252 million in 2005 was due primarily to the impact of acquired businesses, offset in part by the sale of Brut LLC, and to internal revenue growth in FS.

Revenue from license and resale fees was \$222 million and \$201 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively, and includes software license revenue of \$164 million and \$152 million, respectively. The increases were due primarily to acquired businesses. At December 31, 2004, we had software license backlog of \$19 million, most of which was recognized as revenue in the first quarter of 2005.

Financial Systems:

FS revenue was \$1.38 billion for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 compared to \$1.40 billion for the nine months ended September 30, 2004. Services revenue decreased \$34 million and license and resale fees increased \$7 million. The net decreases in total revenue and services revenue reflect the impact of the sale of Brut LLC which had \$129 million in total revenue and \$110 million in services revenue in the nine months ended September 30, 2004. FS internal revenue increased approximately 5% in 2005 and 1% in 2004, reflecting broad-based improvements across the segment, especially in brokerage and trading systems and benefit, insurance and investor accounting systems.

19

### **Table of Contents**

Higher Education and Public Sector Systems:

HE/PS revenue was \$581 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 compared to \$380 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2004. Services revenue increased \$182 million and license and resale fees increased \$19 million due to recently acquired businesses and to internal revenue growth of 11%.

Availability Services:

AS revenue was \$962 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 compared to \$861 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2004. The increase was due primarily to a business acquired in January 2005. AS internal revenue increased approximately 4% in 2005 and 2% in 2004 due primarily to growth in North America.

### COSTS AND EXPENSES:

Cost of sales and direct operating expenses as a percentage of total revenue was 47% for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 compared to 46% for the nine months ended September 30, 2004. The increase of \$149 million was due primarily to acquired businesses, reimbursable clearing broker costs, and a one-time charge in the first quarter of 2005 of \$12 million related to the relocation of an AS facility, offset in part by a decrease in expenses due to the sale of Brut LLC.

Sales, marketing and administration expenses were 20% of total revenue for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 compared to 18% for the nine months ended September 30, 2004. The increase of \$92 million was due primarily to acquired businesses, growth in the global account management program and a non-cash charge of approximately \$8 million for stock-based compensation (see Note 3 of Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements).

Because AS product development costs are insignificant, it is more meaningful to measure product development expense as a percentage of revenue from software and processing solutions. For the nine months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, software development expenses were 10% of revenue from software and processing solutions in each period.

Depreciation and amortization was consistent as a percentage of total revenue at 6% for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004 but decreased \$11 million in 2005 due primarily to a decrease in AS depreciation offset in part by depreciation from acquired businesses.

20

### **Table of Contents**

Amortization of acquisition-related intangible assets was 5% of total revenue for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 compared to 3% for the nine months ended September 30, 2004. Amortization of acquisition-related intangible assets increased \$46 million in 2005 due to the impact of the Transaction as well as recent acquisitions made by the Company.

Interest income was \$11 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 compared to \$5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2004. The increase was due primarily to interest earned on higher average invested balances. Interest expense was \$105 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 compared to \$22 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2004. The increase was due to the \$7.3 billion increase in debt incurred in connection with the Transaction.

Other income (expense) decreased \$82 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2005 due to the gain associated with the sale of Brut LLC in September 2004.

The effective tax rate was 49% in the period from January 1, 2005 through August 10, 2005 compared to 40% for the nine months ended September 30, 2004. The higher effective tax rate in the period from January 1, 2005 through August 10, 2005 was due to non-deductible merger costs and, to a lesser extent, repatriation of undistributed earnings of foreign subsidiaries under the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004.

### For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2005 Compared to the Three Months Ended September 30, 2004

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, certain amounts included in our Consolidated Statements of Income and the relative percentage that those amounts represent to consolidated revenue (unless otherwise indicated). All percentages are calculated using actual amounts rounded to the nearest one-hundred thousand and are rounded to the nearest whole percentage.

21

		Predecessor			Successor		Successor Combined (1)		
	Three months ended September 30, 2004			Period from July 1, 2005 through August 10, 2005		iod from gust 11, 5 through ember 30, 2005	Three months ended September 30, 2005		
(in millions)	1	percent of revenue						percent of revenue	
Revenue									
Financial systems (FS)	\$ 460.7	51%	\$	192.7	\$	256.1	\$ 448.8	46%	
Higher education and public sector systems									
(HE/PS)	150.9	17%		82.9		110.3	193.2	20%	
			-						
Software & processing solutions	611.6	68%		275.6		366.4	642.0	67%	
Availability services (AS)	287.7	32%		141.8		181.4	323.2	33%	
	\$ 899.3	100%	\$	417.4	\$	547.8	\$ 965.2	100%	
Costs and Expenses									
Cost of sales and direct operating	\$ 410.4	46%	\$	205.5	\$	246.5	\$ 452.0	47%	
Sales, marketing and administration	158.5	18%		75.6		116.9	192.5	20%	
Product development	57.7	6%		29.1		36.4	65.5	7%	
Depreciation and amortization	54.7	6%		26.0		32.5	58.5	6%	
Amortization of acquisition- related intangible									
assets	31.1	3%		15.5		51.2	66.7	7%	
Merger and other costs	3.5	%		102.7		18.4	121.1	13%	
	\$ 715.9	80%	\$	454.4	\$	501.9	\$ 956.3	99%	
Operating Income									
Financial systems (2)	\$ 81.1	18%	\$	24.2	\$	23.9	\$ 48.1	11%	
Higher education and public sector systems (2)			Ф		Ф				
righer education and public sector systems	29.3	19%		9.8		14.6	24.4	13%	
G C (2)	110.4	100		24.0		20.5	70.5	110	
Software & processing solutions (2)	110.4	18%		34.0		38.5	72.5	11%	
Availability services (2)	89.6	31%		38.6		45.4	84.0	26%	
Corporate administration	(13.1)	(1)%		(6.9)		(19.6)	(26.5)	(3)%	
Merger and other costs	(3.5)	%		(102.7)		(18.4)	(121.1)	(13)%	
	\$ 183.4	20%	\$	(37.0)	\$	45.9	\$ 8.9	1%	
			_						

<sup>(1)</sup> As described above, our combined results for the three months ended September 30, 2005 represent the sum of the amounts for the Predecessor period from July 1, 2005 through August 10, 2005 and for the Successor period from August 11, 2005 through September 30, 2005. This combination does not comply with GAAP or with the rules for pro forma presentation, but is presented because we believe it enables a meaningful comparison of our results.

<sup>(2)</sup> Percent of revenue is calculated as a percent of revenue from FS, HE/PS, Software & Processing Solutions, and AS, respectively.

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, certain supplemental revenue data and the relative percentage that those amounts represent to total revenue. All percentages are calculated using actual amounts rounded to the nearest one-hundred thousand and are rounded to the nearest whole percentage.

		Predecessor			Su	iccessor	Combined (1)	
(in millions)	Period from July 1,  Three months ended September 30, 2004  Period from July 1,  2005 through August 10, 2005				Period from August 11, 2005 through September 30, 2005		Three months ended September 30, 2005	
	-	ercent of						percent of revenue
Financial Systems								
Services	\$ 400.9	45%	\$	174.0	\$	221.7	\$ 395.7	41%
License and resale fees	41.3	5%		9.5		23.0	32.5	3%
Total products and services	442.2	49%		183.5		244.7	428.2	44%
Reimbursed expenses	18.5	2%		9.2		11.4	20.6	2%
	\$ 460.7	51%	\$	192.7	\$	256.1	\$ 448.8	46%
			_		_			
Higher Education and Public Sector Systems								
Services	\$ 117.5	13%	\$	75.1	\$	90.4	\$ 165.5	17%
License and resale fees	30.4	3%		6.3		18.2	24.5	3%
Total products and services	147.9	16%		81.4		108.6	190.0	20%
Reimbursed expenses	3.0	%		1.5		1.7	3.2	%
	\$ 150.9	17%	\$	82.9	\$	110.3	\$ 193.2	20%
Software & Processing Solutions			_					
Services	\$ 518.4	58%	\$	249.1	\$	312.1	\$ 561.2	58%
License and resale fees	71.7	8%	Ψ	15.8	Ψ	41.2	57.0	6%
Total meduate and services	590.1	66%		264.9		353.3	618.2	64%
Total products and services Reimbursed expenses	21.5	2%		10.7		13.1	23.8	2%
	\$ 611.6	68%	\$	275.6	\$	366.4	\$ 642.0	67%
Availability Services								
Services	\$ 281.5	31%	\$	139.4	\$	178.6	\$ 318.0	33%
License and resale fees	3.8	%		1.7		1.1	2.8	%
Total products and services	285.3	32%		141.1		179.7	320.8	33%
Reimbursed expenses	2.4	%		0.7		1.7	2.4	%
	\$ 287.7	32%	\$	141.8	\$	181.4	\$ 323.2	33%
Total Revenue								

Edgar Filing: SUNGARD DATA SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-Q

Services	\$ 799.9	89%	\$ 388.5	\$ 490.7	\$879.2	91%
License and resale fees	75.5	8%	17.5	42.3	59.8	6%
Total products and services	875.4	97%	406.0	533.0	939.0	97%
Reimbursed expenses	23.9	3%	11.4	14.8	26.2	3%
	\$ 899.3	100%	\$ 417.4	\$ 547.8	\$ 965.2	100%

<sup>(1)</sup> As described above, our combined results for the three months ended September 30, 2005 represent the sum of the amounts for the Predecessor period from July 1, 2005 through August 10, 2005 and for the Successor period from August 11, 2005 through September 30, 2005. This combination does not comply with GAAP or with the rules for pro forma presentation, but is presented because we believe it enables a meaningful comparison of our results.

# **Table of Contents** INCOME FROM OPERATIONS: Our total operating margin was 0.9% for the three months ended September 30, 2005 compared to 20.4% for the three months ended September 30, 2004 (a 1,950 basis point change), due primarily to a \$118 million increase in merger costs. The negative impact on total operating margin of the increase in merger costs and purchase accounting adjustments resulting from the Transaction was 1,530 basis points. The purchase accounting adjustments, which are preliminary, included incremental amortization of acquisition-related intangible assets of \$32 million and the deferred revenue adjustment of \$12 million. A \$15 million decrease in license fee revenue and \$12 million in stock-based compensation and management fees payable to the Sponsors caused a 210 basis point decline in the total operating margin. In addition, growth in the global account management program and other corporate costs caused a 100 basis point decline in the total operating margin. Financial Systems: The FS operating margin was 10.7% for the three months ended September 30, 2005 compared to 17.6% for the three months ended September 30, 2004. The negative impact on the FS margin of the purchase accounting adjustments resulting from the Transaction was 500 basis points, including incremental amortization (\$20 million) and the deferred revenue adjustment (\$3 million). The balance of the margin decline was caused primarily by a \$7 million decrease in license fees. Higher Education and Public Sector Systems: The HE/PS operating margin was 12.6% for the three months ended September 30, 2005 compared to 19.4% for the three months ended September 30, 2004. The negative impact on the HE/PS margin of the purchase accounting adjustments resulting from the Transaction was 80 basis points, including incremental amortization (\$2 million) and the deferred revenue adjustment (\$3 million). The balance of the margin decline in 2005 was caused primarily by a \$6 million decrease in license fee revenue and the negative impact on margins of a business acquired in February 2005. Availability Services: The AS operating margin was 26.0% for the three months ended September 30, 2005 compared to 31.1% for the three months ended September 30, 2004. The negative impact on the AS margin of the purchase accounting adjustments resulting from the Transaction was 440 basis points, including incremental amortization (\$10 million) and the deferred revenue adjustment (\$6 million). The AS margin also decreased due to the lower margin associated with a business acquired in January 2005. REVENUE:

Table of Contents 44

Total revenue was \$965 million for the three months ended September 30, 2005 compared to \$899 million for the three months ended September 30, 2004. The increase in total revenue in 2005 is due to \$40 million from acquired businesses offset in part by a decrease of \$32 million due to the sale of Brut LLC in September 2004 and the deferred revenue adjustment of \$12 million. Internal revenue growth was approximately 4% and

3% in each of the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Services revenue was \$879 million for the three months ended September 30, 2005 compared to \$800 million for the three months ended September 30, 2004. The increase was due primarily to the impact of acquired HE/PS and AS businesses and to internal revenue growth in FS, offset in part by the sale of Brut LLC.

Revenue from license and resale fees was \$60 million and \$76 million for the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively, and includes software license revenue of \$42 million and \$57 million, respectively.

Financial Systems:

The decrease in FS revenue in 2005 reflects the impact of the sale of Brut LLC which had \$32 million in revenue in 2004, offset in part by acquired businesses. Internal revenue growth was 3% for the three months ended September 30, 2005 compared to 4% in 2004.

For the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, FS revenue from license and resale fees was \$33 million and \$41 million, respectively. The decrease was primarily due to brokerage and trading systems and benefit, insurance and investor accounting systems.

24

### **Table of Contents**

Higher Education and Public Sector Systems:

The aggregate increase in HE/PS revenue was \$42 million for the three months ended September 30, 2005 compared to the corresponding period in 2004. Services revenue increased \$48 million due to acquired businesses and internal revenue growth of 5%, which was partly offset by a \$6 million decline in license and resale fees.

Availability Services:

The aggregate increase in AS revenue was \$35 million in 2005, due primarily to a business acquired in January 2005 and internal revenue growth of 6%.

#### COSTS AND EXPENSES:

Cost of sales and direct operating expenses as a percentage of total revenue was 47% for the three months ended September 30, 2005 compared to 46% for the three months ended September 30, 2004. The increase of \$42 million was due primarily to acquired businesses and an increase in reimbursable clearing broker costs, offset in part by a decrease in expenses due to the sale of Brut LLC.

Sales, marketing and administration expenses were 20% for the three months ended September 30, 2005 compared to 18% for the three months ended September 30, 2004. The increase of \$34 million was due primarily to acquired businesses, growth in the global account management program and a non-cash charge of approximately \$8 million for stock compensation (see Note 3 of Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements).

Because AS product development costs are insignificant, it is more meaningful to measure product development expense as a percentage of revenue from software and processing solutions. For the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, software development expenses were 10% and 9% of revenue from software and processing solutions, respectively.

Depreciation and amortization was consistent as a percentage of total revenue at 6% for the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004. Total depreciation and amortization increased \$4 million in 2005 due primarily to depreciation from acquired businesses.

25

### **Table of Contents**

Amortization of acquisition-related intangible assets was 7% for the three months ended September 30, 2005 compared to 3% for the three months ended September 30, 2004. Amortization of acquisition-related intangible assets increased \$36 million in 2005 due to the impact of the Transaction as well as recent acquisitions made by the Company.

Other income (expense) decreased \$82 million in the three months ended September 30, 2005 due to the gain associated with the sale of Brut LLC in September 2004.

Interest income was \$5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2005 compared to \$2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2004. The increase was due primarily to interest earned on higher average invested balances. Interest expense was \$91 million for the three months ended September 30, 2005 compared to \$8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2004. The increase was due to the \$7.3 billion increase in debt incurred in connection with the Transaction.

### LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES:

At September 30, 2005, cash and equivalents were \$303 million, a decrease of \$371 million from December 31, 2004. Cash flow from operations was \$828 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2005 compared to cash flow from operations of \$464 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2004. The increase in cash flow from operations is due primarily to the sale of accounts receivable under our long-term receivables facility, and to an increase in accounts payable and accrued expenses related to lower income tax payments in 2005 and lower initial working capital requirements of businesses acquired in 2005 compared to those acquired in 2004.

Net investing activities were \$12.25 billion in the nine months ended September 30, 2005, including \$11.62 billion in connection with the Transaction, \$434 million (net of cash acquired) for eight acquisitions and \$209 million for capital expenditures. Net cash used in investing activities was \$737 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2004, which is net of \$194 million cash received from the sale of Brut LLC and other businesses. During that period, we spent \$764 million (net of cash acquired) on nine acquisitions and \$167 million on capital expenditures.

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$11.05 billion for the nine months ended September 30, 2005. Transaction-related financing activities totaled \$11.11 billion, including \$7.33 billion of new debt incurred, \$3.45 billion of equity contributions and \$330 million of proceeds from option exercises. Net cash provided by financing activities was \$281 million in the first nine months of 2004.

At September 30, 2005, contingent purchase price obligations that depend upon the operating performance of certain acquired businesses cannot exceed \$152 million, none of which we currently expect to pay. We also have outstanding letters of credit and bid bonds that total approximately \$56 million.

As a result of the Transaction, we are highly leveraged and our debt service requirements are significant. At September 30, 2005, we have outstanding \$7.73 billion in aggregate indebtedness, with additional borrowing capacity of \$900 million under our revolving credit facility (not giving effect to any outstanding letters of credit, which could reduce the amount available under our new revolving credit facility) and \$49 million under our receivables facilities. Our cash interest expense for the period August 11, 2005 through September 30, 2005 was \$83 million.

We expect our cash flow from operations, combined with availability under our revolving credit facility and receivables facilities, to provide sufficient liquidity to fund our current obligations, projected working capital requirements and capital spending for a period that includes the next 12 months.

### The Transaction

On August 11, 2005, in connection with the Transaction, we (i) entered into a new \$4.0 billion senior secured credit facility, consisting of a \$3.69 billion term loan facility with SunGard Data Systems Inc. as the borrower, a \$315 million-equivalent term loan facility with a U.K. subsidiary as the borrower (\$165 million of which is denominated in euros and \$150 million of which is denominated in pounds sterling), and a \$1.0 billion revolving credit facility (\$900 million available as of September 30, 2005), (ii) issued \$3.0 billion aggregate principal amount of senior notes and senior subordinated notes and (iii) entered into receivables securitization facilities totaling \$375 million.

The senior secured credit facilities and the indentures governing the senior notes and senior subordinated notes issued in connection with the Transaction limit our (and most or all of our subsidiaries ) ability to incur additional indebtedness, pay dividends on or make other distributions or repurchase capital stock, make certain investments, enter into certain types of

26

#### **Table of Contents**

transactions with affiliates, use assets as security in other transactions, and sell certain assets or merge with or into other companies. In addition, under the senior secured credit agreement, we will be required to satisfy and maintain specified financial ratios and other financial condition tests.

As of September 30, 2005, our total contractual cash obligations relating to short-term and long-term debt were \$7.73 billion, of which \$50 million is payable in the next year, \$80 million is payable in 2007 and 2008, \$308 million of which is payable in 2009 and 2010 and \$7.3 billion is payable after 2010. These amounts do not reflect any contractual obligations related to our operating leases, purchase obligations and our off-balance sheet receivables facilities described below.

Senior Secured Credit Facilities

Borrowings under the senior secured credit facilities bear interest at a rate equal to an applicable margin plus, at our option, either (a) a base rate determined by reference to the higher of (1) the prime rate of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. and (2) the federal funds rate plus 1/2 of 1% or (b) a LIBOR rate determined by reference to the costs of funds for deposits in the currency of such borrowing for the interest period relevant to such borrowing adjusted for certain additional costs. The applicable margin for borrowings under the revolving credit facility may be reduced subject to attaining certain leverage ratios. In addition to paying interest on outstanding principal under the senior secured credit facilities, we pay a commitment fee to the lenders under the revolving credit facility in respect of the unutilized commitments thereunder. The initial commitment fee rate is 0.50% per annum. The commitment fee rate may be reduced subject to attaining certain leverage ratios.

All obligations under the senior secured credit agreement are unconditionally guaranteed by SunGard Holdco LLC and, subject to certain exceptions, by all domestic wholly owned subsidiaries, referred to, collectively, as U.S. Guarantors. In addition, the borrowings of U.K. subsidiary borrowers under the revolving credit facility are unconditionally guaranteed by certain wholly owned U.K. subsidiaries.

Senior Notes due 2009 and 2014

On January 15, 2004, we issued \$500 million of senior unsecured notes, \$250 million of 3.75% notes due 2009 and \$250 million of 4.875% notes due 2014, which are subject to certain standard covenants. Upon completion of the Transaction and to the extent required by their indentures, these senior notes became collateralized on an equal and ratable basis with loans under the senior secured credit facilities and are guaranteed by all subsidiaries that guarantee the senior notes due 2013 and senior subordinated notes due 2015. The senior notes due 2009 and 2014 are recorded at \$443 million as of September 30, 2005 as a result of fair value adjustments related to purchase accounting. The discount of \$57 million will be amortized into interest expense and added to the recorded amounts over the remaining periods to maturity.

Senior Notes due 2013 and Senior Subordinated Notes due 2015

The senior notes due 2013 are senior unsecured obligations that rank senior in right of payment to future debt and other obligations that are, by their terms, expressly subordinated in right of payment to the senior notes, including the senior subordinated notes. The senior notes (i) rank equally in right of payment to all existing and future senior debt and other obligations that are not, by their terms, expressly subordinated in right of payment to the senior notes, (ii) are effectively subordinated in right of payment to all existing and future secured debt to the extent of the value of the assets securing such debt, and (iii) are structurally subordinated to all obligations of each subsidiary that is not a guarantor of the senior notes.

The senior subordinated notes due 2015 are unsecured senior subordinated obligations that are subordinated in right of payment to the existing and future senior debt, including the senior secured credit facilities, the senior notes due 2009 and 2014 and the senior notes due 2013. The senior subordinated notes (i) rank equally in right of payment to all future senior subordinated debt, (ii) are effectively subordinated in right of payment to all existing and future secured debt to the extent of the value of the assets securing such debt, (iii) are structurally subordinated to all obligations of each subsidiary that is not a guarantor of the senior subordinated notes, and (iv) rank senior in right of payment to all future debt and other obligations that are, by their terms, expressly subordinated in right of payment to the senior subordinated notes.

The senior notes due 2013 and senior subordinated notes due 2015 are redeemable in whole or in part, at our option, at any time at varying redemption prices that generally include premiums, which are defined in the applicable indentures. In addition, upon a change of control, we are required to make an offer to redeem all of the senior notes and senior subordinated notes at a redemption price equal to 101% of the aggregate principal amount thereof plus accrued and unpaid interest.

### **Table of Contents**

Receivables Securitization Facilities

In August 2005, certain domestic subsidiaries entered into two receivables facilities, a transitional facility and a long-term facility (the Facilities ). The Facilities allow us to sell, on a revolving basis, an undivided interest that provides, in the aggregate, up to \$375 million in funding, based on the amount of eligible receivables and satisfaction of other customary conditions, for a period of up to six years following the Transaction.

Domestic subsidiaries that are not yet eligible to participate in the long-term facility participate in the transitional facility. Subsidiaries that participate in the transitional facility transfer their eligible receivables to third party conduits through a wholly owned bankruptcy remote special purpose entity that is consolidated for financial reporting purposes. The funding under the transitional facility is provided by the lenders under our senior secured credit facilities. We must use reasonable commercial efforts to cause the participating subsidiaries to join the long-term facility within 150 days after the Transaction. If this does not occur, the lenders will have the right to refinance the transitional facility on terms no less favorable to us than the terms of the senior secured term loan facility. Sales of receivables under the transitional facility cannot be accounted for as sales under the provisions of FASB Statement No. 140 Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishment of Liabilities (SFAS 140). Accordingly, these receivables, totaling \$281 million, are included in trade receivables and secure the corresponding borrowings, which are included in long-term debt in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet as of September 30, 2005.

Under the long-term facility, eligible receivables are sold to third-party conduits through a wholly owned bankruptcy remote special purpose entity that is not consolidated for financial reporting purposes. We continue to service the receivables and charge a monthly servicing fee at market rates. The third-party conduits are sponsored by the lenders under our senior secured credit facilities. Additional subsidiaries may become parties to the long-term facility, subject to the satisfaction of specified conditions including the completion of satisfactory due diligence. Sales of receivables under the long-term facility qualify as sales under the provisions of SFAS 140. Accordingly, these receivables, totaling \$303 million net of applicable allowances, and the corresponding borrowings, totaling \$139 million, are excluded from our consolidated balance sheet as of September 30, 2005. Our retained interest in receivables sold as of September 30, 2005 is \$151 million. Expenses associated with the long-term facility totaled \$7.6 million for the period ended September 30, 2005, of which \$4 million related to the loss on sale of the receivables and discount on retained interests (net of the related servicing revenue) is recorded in other income (expense) and the remainder, representing facility and professional fees, are recorded in merger and other costs in our consolidated statements of operations. The gain or loss on sale of receivables is determined at the date of transfer based upon the fair value of the assets sold and the interests retained. We estimate fair value based on the present value of expected cash flows. The collection period and discount rate (prime rate at September 30, 2005) are the key assumptions used in this estimate. At September 30, 2005, neither a 10% nor a 20% adverse change in the assumed collection period or assumed discount rate would have a material impact on our financial position or results of operations.

Covenant Compliance

Adjusted EBITDA is used to determine our compliance with certain covenants contained in the indentures governing the senior notes due 2013 and senior subordinated notes due 2015 and in our senior secured credit facilities. Adjusted EBITDA is defined as EBITDA further adjusted to exclude unusual items and other adjustments permitted in calculating covenant compliance under the indentures and our senior secured credit facilities. We believe that the inclusion of supplementary adjustments to EBITDA applied in presenting Adjusted EBITDA are appropriate to provide additional information to investors to demonstrate compliance with our financing covenants.

The breach of covenants in our senior secured credit facilities that are tied to ratios based on Adjusted EBITDA could result in a default under that agreement and the lenders could elect to declare all amounts borrowed due and payable. Any such acceleration would also result in a default under our indentures. Additionally, under our debt agreements, our ability to engage in activities such as incurring additional indebtedness, making investments and paying dividends is also tied to ratios based on Adjusted EBITDA.

Adjusted EBITDA is calculated as follows:

	Predecessor							Successor			
	Nine months ended September 30, 2004		aree months ended ptember 30, 2004	Ja 200	riod from anuary 1, 95 through ugust 10, 2005	200	riod from July 1, 95 through ugust 10, 2005	A 200	eriod from ugust 11, 05 through otember 30, 2005		ast Twelve Months otember 30, 2005
Net income (loss)	\$ 341.762	\$	153,537	\$	145,752	\$	(42,665)	\$	(23,071)	\$	234,560
Interest expense, net	16,940	·	5,921		7,541	·	223	·	86,111	·	97,026
Taxes	228,789		103,305		142,144		5,441		(19,884)		200,966
Depreciation and amortization	252,180		85,744		225,502		41,492		83,703		394,048
•		_				_				_	
EBITDA	839,671		348,507		520,939		4,491		126,859		926,600
Purchase accounting adjustments (a)									12,114		12,114
Non-cash charges (b)	1,015		559		61,128		70		7,985		69,559
Unusual or non-recurring charges (c)	(76,286)		(75,862)		60,761		102,708		18,838		83,987
Restructuring charges or reserves (d)					11,497						11,497
Acquired EBITDA, net of disposed EBITDA (e)	45,089		11,630		11,836		503				24,068
Other (f)	2,034		829		2,271		247		134		3,368
		_				_				_	
Adjusted EBITDA - Senior Secured Credit											
Facilities	811,523		285,663		668,432		108,019		165,930		1,131,193
Loss on sale of receivables (g)	,		,		,		,		3,969		3,969
		_				_					
Adjusted EBITDA - Senior Notes due 2013 and											
Senior Subordinated Notes due 2015	\$ 811,523	\$	285,663	\$	668,432	\$	108,019	\$	169,899	\$	1,135,162
						_				_	

<sup>(</sup>a) Purchase accounting adjustments include the adjustment of deferred revenue to fair value at the date of the Transaction.

### EFFECT OF RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS:

<sup>(</sup>b) Non-cash charges include non-cash stock-based compensation resulting from the acceleration of stock options and restricted stock under APB 25 and relating to the new stock-based compensation plans under SFAS 123R (see Note 3 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements) and loss on the sale of assets.

<sup>(</sup>c) Unusual or non-recurring charges include merger costs associated with the Transaction, gain on the sale of Brut LLC, payroll taxes and certain compensation and other expenses associated with acquisitions made by the Company.

<sup>(</sup>d) Restructuring charges or reserves include the relocation of a leased availability services facility in North Bergen, New Jersey to an expanded facility in Carlstadt, New Jersey.

<sup>(</sup>e) Acquired EBITDA net of disposed EBITDA reflects the EBITDA impact of significant businesses that were acquired or disposed of during the period as if the acquisition or disposition occurred at the beginning of the period.

<sup>(</sup>f) Other includes franchise and similar taxes reported in operating expenses and management fees paid to the Sponsors, offset by interest charges relating to the receivables facilities and gains related to fluctuation of foreign currency exchange rates.

<sup>(</sup>g) The loss on sale of receivables under the long-term receivables facility is added back in calculating Adjusted EBITDA for purposes of the indentures governing the senior notes due 2013 and the senior subordinated notes due 2015 but is not added back in calculating Adjusted EBITDA for purposes of the senior secured credit facilities.

On December 16, 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued a new standard on accounting for share-based payments, SFAS Number 123R (revised 2004), Share-Based Payment (SFAS 123R). SFAS 123R supersedes Accounting Principles Board Opinion Number 25 (APB 25) and requires companies to expense the fair value of employee stock options and similar awards over the employee requisite service period. The Company adopted SFAS 123R as of the

date of the Transaction using the modified prospective method, which requires companies to record stock compensation expense for all unvested and new awards as of the adoption date. Accordingly, prior period amounts presented herein have not been restated.

Prior to the closing of the Transaction, the Company applied Accounting Principles Board Opinion Number 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, in accounting for its stock option and award plans. Accordingly, compensation expense was recorded for its restricted stock awards and no expense was recorded for its other stock-based plans. The Company provided supplemental information in the footnotes of the financial statements in accordance with SFAS Number 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation (SFAS 123).

The Company has consistently used the Black-Scholes option-pricing model to value its options. For the period ended September 30, 2005, the Company recognized non-cash stock-based compensation expense of \$8 million relating to its stock-based compensation plans. At September 30, 2005, there is approximately \$115 million of unearned non-cash compensation that the Company expects to recognize as expense over the next 5.25 years.

In September 2004 the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force reached a consensus on Issue 04-01, *Accounting for Pre-existing Relationships between the Parties to a Business Combination* (EITF 04-01). EITF 04-01 is effective for business combinations completed in reporting periods beginning after October 13, 2004. EITF 04-01 applies when two parties that have a pre-existing contractual relationship enter into a business combination. EITF 04-01 addresses whether a consummation of a business combination between two parties that have a pre-existing contractual relationship should be evaluated to determine if a settlement of a pre-existing contractual relationship exists, thus requiring accounting separate from the business combination. The adoption of EITF 04-01 on January 1, 2005 did not have a material impact on the Company s financial position or results of operations.

In December 2004 the FASB Staff issued FASB Staff Position No. 109-2 (FSP 109-2), *Accounting and Disclosure Guidance for the Foreign Earnings Repatriation Provision within the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004* (the Jobs Act), which was effective upon issuance. FSP 109-2 provides guidance with respect to reporting the potential impact of the repatriation provisions of the Jobs Act on an enterprise s income tax expense and deferred tax liability. The Jobs Act was enacted in October 2004 and provides for a temporary 85% dividends received deduction on certain foreign earnings repatriated during a one-year period. The deduction would result in an approximate 5.25% federal tax rate on the repatriated earnings. To qualify for the deduction, the earnings must be reinvested in the United States pursuant to a domestic reinvestment plan established by a company s chief executive officer and approved by a company s board of directors. Certain other criteria in the Jobs Act must be satisfied as well. FSP 109-2 states that an enterprise is allowed time beyond the financial reporting period to evaluate the effect of the Jobs Act on its plan for reinvestment or repatriation of foreign earnings. FSP 109-2 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company s 2005 tax expense or deferred tax liability.

In March 2005 the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued FASB Interpretation No. 47, Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 143 (FIN 47). FIN 47 clarifies that conditional asset retirement obligations meet the definition of liabilities and should be recognized when incurred if their fair values can be reasonably estimated. The Interpretation is effective no later than December 31, 2005. The cumulative effect of initially applying the Interpretation will be recognized as a change in accounting principle. The Company is in the process of evaluating the expected effect of FIN 47 on its Consolidated Financial Statements.

### **RISK FACTORS:**

Certain of the matters we discuss in this Form 10-Q, including certain statements made in Liquidity and Capital Resources, and all other statements in this Form 10-Q other than historical facts, constitute forward-looking statements. You can identify forward-looking statements

estima

because they contain words such as believes, expects, may, will, would, should, seeks, approximately, intends, plans, similar expressions which concern our strategy, plans or intentions. All statements we make relating to estimated and projected earnings, margins, costs, expenditures, cash flows, growth rates and financial results are forward-looking statements. In addition, we, through our senior management, from time to time make forward-looking public statements concerning our expected future operations and performance and other developments. All of these forward-looking statements are subject to risks and uncertainties that may change at any time, and, therefore, our actual results may differ materially from those we expected. We derive most of our forward-looking statements from our operating budgets and forecasts, which are based upon many detailed assumptions. While we believe that our assumptions are reasonable, we caution that it is very difficult to predict the impact of known factors, and, of course, it is impossible for us to anticipate all factors that could affect our actual results. Some of the factors that we believe could affect our results include: general economic and market conditions; the overall

30

### **Table of Contents**

condition of the financial services industry, including the effect of any further consolidation among financial services firms; the integration of acquired businesses, the performance of acquired businesses, and the prospects for future acquisitions; the effect of war, terrorism, natural disasters or other catastrophic events; the effect of disruptions to our systems and infrastructure; the timing and magnitude of software sales; the timing and scope of technological advances; customers taking their information availability solutions in-house; the trend in information availability toward solutions utilizing more dedicated resources; the market and credit risks associated with clearing broker operations; the ability to retain and attract customers and key personnel; risks relating to the foreign countries where we transact business; and the ability to obtain patent protection and avoid patent-related liabilities in the context of a rapidly developing legal framework for software and business-method patents. The factors described in this paragraph and other factors that may affect our business or future financial results are discussed in our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, including this Form 10-Q. We assume no obligation to update any written or oral forward-looking statement made by us or on our behalf as a result of new information, future events or other factors.

#### Risks Related to Our Indebtedness

Our substantial leverage could adversely affect our ability to raise additional capital to fund our operations, limit our ability to react to changes in the economy or our industry, expose us to interest rate risk to the extent of our variable rate debt and prevent us from meeting our debt obligations.

As a result of the Transaction, we are highly leveraged and our debt service requirements are significant. At September 30, 2005, our total indebtedness is \$7.73 billion. We also have additional \$900 million available for borrowing under the revolving portion of our revolving credit facility at that date. In addition, \$49 million of funding is outstanding under our long-term receivables facility.

Our high degree of leverage could have important consequences, including:

making it more difficult for us to make payments on our debt obligations;

increasing our vulnerability to general economic and industry conditions;

requiring a substantial portion of cash flow from operations to be dedicated to the payment of principal and interest on our indebtedness, therefore reducing our ability to use our cash flow to fund our operations, capital expenditures and future business opportunities;

exposing us to the risk of increased interest rates as certain of our borrowings, including borrowings under our senior secured credit facilities and senior subordinated credit facility, will be at variable rates of interest;

restricting us from making strategic acquisitions or causing us to make non-strategic divestitures;

limiting our ability to obtain additional financing for working capital, capital expenditures, product development, debt service requirements, acquisitions and general corporate or other purposes; and

limiting our ability to adjust to changing market conditions and placing us at a competitive disadvantage compared to our competitors who are less highly leveraged.

We and our subsidiaries may be able to incur substantial additional indebtedness in the future, subject to the restrictions contained in our senior secured credit facilities and the indentures relating to our new senior notes. If new indebtedness is added to our current debt levels, the related risks that we now face could intensify.

Our debt agreements contain restrictions that limit our flexibility in operating our business.

Our senior secured credit agreement and the indentures governing our new senior notes contain various covenants that limit our ability to engage in specified types of transactions. These covenants limit our and our restricted subsidiaries ability to, among other things:

incur additional indebtedness or issue certain preferred shares;
pay dividends on, repurchase or make distributions in respect of our capital stock or make other restricted payments;
make certain investments;
sell certain assets;
create liens;
consolidate, merge, sell or otherwise dispose of all or substantially all of our assets; and
enter into certain transactions with our affiliates

In addition, under the senior secured credit agreement, we are required to satisfy and maintain specified financial ratios and other financial condition tests. Our ability to meet those financial ratios and tests can be affected by events beyond our control, and we cannot assure you that we will meet those ratios and tests. A breach of any of these covenants could result in a default under the senior secured credit agreement. Upon the occurrence of an event of default under the senior secured credit agreement, the lenders could elect to declare all amounts outstanding under the senior secured credit agreement to be immediately due and payable and terminate all commitments to extend further credit.

32

If we were unable to repay those amounts, the lenders under the senior secured credit agreement could proceed against the collateral granted to them to secure that indebtedness. We have pledged a significant portion of our assets as collateral under the senior secured credit agreement and, to the extent required by the indenture governing the existing senior notes, the existing senior notes. If the lenders under the senior secured credit agreement accelerate the repayment of borrowings, we cannot assure you that we will have sufficient assets to repay the senior secured credit agreement and the existing senior notes, as well as our unsecured indebtedness.

#### Risks Related to Our Business

Our business depends largely on the economy and financial markets, and a slowdown or downturn in the economy or financial markets could adversely affect our business and results of operations.

When there is a slowdown or downturn in the economy, a drop in stock market levels or trading volumes, or an event that disrupts the financial markets, our business and financial results may suffer for a number of reasons. Customers may react to worsening conditions by reducing their capital expenditures in general or by specifically reducing their spending on information technology. In addition, customers may curtail or discontinue trading operations, delay or cancel information technology projects, or seek to lower their costs by renegotiating vendor contracts. Also, customers with excess information technology resources may choose to take their availability solutions in-house rather than obtain those solutions from us. Moreover, competitors may respond to market conditions by lowering prices and attempting to lure away our customers to lower cost solutions. If any of these circumstances remain in effect for an extended period of time, there could be a material adverse effect on our financial results. During the last economic slowdown, our internal growth decreased, and nearly all of our revenue growth during 2001 through 2003 was from acquisitions. Because our financial performance tends to lag behind fluctuations in the economy, our recovery from any particular downturn in the economy may not occur until after economic conditions have generally improved.

Our business depends largely on the financial services industry, and a weakening of the financial services industry could adversely affect our business and results of operations.

Because our customer base is concentrated in the financial services industry, our business is largely dependent on the health of that industry. When there is a general downturn in the financial services industry, or if our customers in that industry experience financial or business problems, our business and financial results may suffer. If financial services firms continue to consolidate (as they have over the past decade or so), there could be a material adverse effect on our business and financial results. When a customer merges with a firm using its own solution or another vendor s solution, they could decide to consolidate their processing on a non-SunGard system, which could have an adverse effect on our financial results.

Our acquisition program is an important element of our strategy but, because of the uncertainties involved, this program may not be successful and we may not be able to successfully integrate and manage acquired businesses.

Part of our growth strategy is to pursue additional acquisitions in the future. There can be no assurance that our acquisition program will continue to be successful. In addition, we may finance any future acquisition with debt, which would increase our interest costs. If we are unable to successfully integrate and manage acquired businesses, or if acquired businesses perform poorly, then our business and financial results may suffer. It is possible that the businesses we have acquired and businesses that we acquire in the future may perform worse than expected or prove to be more difficult to integrate and manage than expected. If that happens, there may be a material adverse effect on our business and financial results for a number of reasons, including:

we may have to devote unanticipated financial and management resources to acquired businesses;

we may not be able to realize expected operating efficiencies or product integration benefits from our acquisitions;

we may have to write-off goodwill or other intangible assets; and

we may incur unforeseen obligations or liabilities in connection with acquisitions.

If we are unable to identify suitable acquisition candidates and successfully complete acquisitions, our growth and our financial results may be adversely affected.

Our growth has depended in part on our ability to acquire similar or complementary businesses on favorable terms. In the last three years, most of our revenue growth was from acquired businesses. This growth strategy is subject to a number of risks that could adversely affect our business and financial results, including:

we may not be able to find suitable businesses to acquire at affordable valuations or on other acceptable terms;

33

#### **Table of Contents**

we may face competition for acquisitions from other potential acquirers, some of whom may have greater resources than us or may be less highly leveraged, or from the possibility of an acquisition target pursuing an initial public offering of its stock;

we may have to incur additional debt to finance future acquisitions as we have done in the past and no assurance can be given as to whether, and on what terms, such additional debt will be available; and

we may find it more difficult or costly to complete acquisitions due to changes in accounting, tax, securities or other regulations.

Catastrophic events may disrupt or otherwise adversely affect the markets in which we operate, our business and our profitability.

Our business may be adversely affected by a war, terrorist attack, natural disaster or other catastrophe. A catastrophic event could have a direct negative impact on us or an indirect impact on us by, for example, affecting our customers, the financial markets or the overall economy. The potential for a direct impact is due primarily to our significant investment in our infrastructure. Although we maintain redundant facilities and have contingency plans in place to protect against both man-made and natural threats, it is impossible to fully anticipate and protect against all potential catastrophes. Despite our preparations, a security breach, criminal act, military action, power or communication failure, flood, severe storm or the like could lead to service interruptions and data losses for customers, disruptions to our operations, or damage to our important facilities. The same disasters or circumstances that may lead to our customers requiring access to our availability services may negatively impact our own ability to provide such services. Our three largest availability services facilities are particularly important, and a major disruption at one or more of those facilities could disrupt or otherwise impair our ability to provide services to our availability services customers. If any of these events happen, we may be exposed to unexpected liability, our customers may leave, our reputation may be tarnished, and there could be a material adverse effect on our business and financial results.

Our application service provider systems may be subject to disruptions that could adversely affect our reputation and our business.

Our application service provider systems maintain and process confidential data on behalf of our customers, some of which is critical to their business operations. For example, our brokerage and trading systems maintain account and trading information for our customers and their clients, and our benefit, insurance and investor accounting systems maintain investor account information for retirement plans, insurance policies and mutual funds. There is no guarantee that the systems and procedures that we maintain to protect against unauthorized access to such information are adequate to protect against all security breaches. If our application service provider systems are disrupted or fail for any reason, or if our systems or facilities are infiltrated or damaged by unauthorized persons, our customers could experience data loss, financial loss, harm to reputation and significant business interruption. If that happens, we may be exposed to unexpected liability, our customers may leave, our reputation may be tarnished, and there could be a material adverse effect on our business and financial results.

Because the sales cycle for our software is typically lengthy and unpredictable, our results may fluctuate from period to period.

Our operating results may fluctuate from period to period and be difficult to predict in a particular period due to the timing and magnitude of software sales. We offer some of our financial systems on a license basis, which means that the customer has the right to run the software on its own computers. The customer usually makes a significant up-front payment to license software, which we generally recognize as revenue when the license contract is signed and the software is delivered. The size of the up-front payment often depends on a number of factors that are different for each customer, such as the number of customer locations, users or accounts. As a result, the sales cycle for a software license may be lengthy and take unexpected turns. Thus, it is difficult to predict when software sales will occur or how much revenue they will generate. Since there are few incremental costs associated with software sales, our operating results may fluctuate from quarter to quarter and year to year due to the timing and magnitude of software sales.

Rapid changes in technology and our customers businesses could adversely affect our business and financial results.

Our business may suffer if we do not successfully adapt our products and services to changes in technology and changes in our customers businesses. These changes can occur rapidly and at unpredictable intervals and we cannot assure you that we will be able to respond adequately. If we do not successfully update and integrate our products and services to adapt to these changes, or if we do not successfully develop new products and services needed by our customers to keep pace with these changes, then our business and financial results may suffer. Our ability to keep up with technology and business changes is subject to a number of risks, including:

we may find it difficult or costly to update our products and services and to develop new products fast enough to meet our customers needs;

34

#### **Table of Contents**

we may find it difficult or costly to make some features of our products and services work effectively and securely over the Internet;

we may find it difficult or costly to integrate more of our FS solutions into efficient straight-through processing solutions;

we may find it difficult or costly to update our products and services to keep pace with business, regulatory and other developments in the financial services industry, where many of our customers operate; and

we may find it difficult or costly to update our services to keep pace with advancements in hardware, software and telecommunications technology.

Some technological changes, such as advancements that have facilitated the ability of our AS customers to develop their own internal solutions, may render some of our products and services less valuable or eventually obsolete. In addition, because of ongoing, rapid technological changes, the useful lives of some technology assets have become shorter and customers are therefore replacing these assets more often. As a result, our customers are increasingly expressing a preference for contracts with shorter terms, which could make our revenue less predictable in the future.

Customers taking their availability solutions in-house may continue to create pressure on our internal revenue growth rate.

Our AS solutions allow customers to leverage our significant infrastructure and take advantage of our experience, technology expertise, resource management capabilities and vendor neutrality. Nevertheless, some customers, especially among the very largest having significant information technology resources, prefer to develop and maintain their own in-house availability solutions, which can result in a loss of revenue from those customers. Technological advances in recent years have significantly reduced the cost yet not the complexity of developing in-house solutions. Over the past several years, business lost to customers taking their availability solutions in-house generally has offset our new sales. If this trend continues or worsens, there will be continued pressure on our internal revenue growth rate.

The trend toward information availability solutions utilizing more single customer dedicated resources likely will lower our overall operating margin percentage over time.

In the information availability services industry, especially among our more sophisticated customers, there is an increasing preference for solutions that utilize some level of dedicated resources, such as blended advanced recovery services and always on production services. The primary reason for this trend is that adding dedicated resources, although more costly, provides greater control, reduces data loss and facilitates quicker responses to business interruptions. Advanced recovery services often result in greater use of both shared and dedicated resources and, therefore, typically generate appreciably higher revenue with only a modest increase in capital expenditures and a modest decrease in operating margin percentage. Production services require significant dedicated resources and, therefore, generally produce even higher revenue at an appropriately lower operating margin percentage.

Our brokerage operations are highly regulated and are riskier than our other businesses.

Organizations like the Securities and Exchange Commission, New York Stock Exchange and National Association of Securities Dealers can, among other things, fine, censure, issue cease-and-desist orders and suspend or expel a broker/dealer or any of its officers or employees for failures to comply with the many laws and regulations that govern brokerage operations. Our ability to comply with these laws and regulations is

largely dependent on our establishment, maintenance and enforcement of an effective brokerage compliance program. Our failure to establish, maintain and enforce proper brokerage compliance procedures, even if unintentional, could subject us to significant losses, lead to disciplinary or other actions, and tarnish our reputation. Regulations affecting the brokerage industry, in particular with respect to active traders, may change, which could adversely affect our financial results.

We are exposed to certain risks due to the trading activities of our customers and professional traders of our brokerage operations. If customers or professional traders fail to pay for securities they buy, or fail to cover their short sales, or fail to repay margin loans we make to them, then we may suffer losses, and these losses may be disproportionate to the relatively modest revenue and profit contributions of this business. In our other businesses, we generally can disclaim liability for trading losses that may be caused by our software, but in our brokerage operations, we cannot limit our liability for trading losses even when we are not at fault.

35

We could lose revenue due to fiscal funding or termination for convenience clauses in certain customer contracts, especially in our HE/PS business.

Certain of our customer contracts, particularly those with governments, institutions of higher education and school districts, may be partly or completely terminated by the customer due to budget cuts or sometimes for any reason at all. These types of clauses are often called fiscal funding or termination for convenience clauses. If a customer exercises one of these clauses, the customer would be obligated to pay for the services we performed up to the date of exercise, but would not have to pay for any further services. While we have not been materially affected by exercises of these clauses in the past, we may be in the future. If customers that collectively represent a substantial portion of our revenue were to invoke the fiscal funding or termination for convenience clauses of their contracts, our future business and results of operations could be adversely affected.

If we fail to comply with government regulations in connection with our providing technology services to certain financial institutions, our business and results of operations may be adversely affected.

Because we act as a third-party service provider to financial institutions and provide mission-critical applications for many financial institutions that are regulated by one or more member agencies of the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council (FFIEC), we are subject to examination by the member agencies of the FFIEC. More specifically, we are a Multi-Regional Data Processing Servicer of the FFIEC because we provide mission critical applications for financial institutions from several data centers located in different geographic regions. As a result, the FFIEC conducts periodic reviews of certain of our operations in order to identify existing or potential risks associated with our operations that could adversely affect the financial institutions to whom we provide services, evaluate our risk management systems and controls, and determine our compliance with applicable laws that affect the services we provide to financial institutions. In addition to examining areas such as our management of technology, data integrity, information confidentiality and service availability, the reviews also assess our financial stability. Our incurrence of significant debt in connection with the Transaction increases the risk of an FFIEC agency review determining that our financial stability has been weakened. A sufficiently unfavorable review from the FFIEC could result in our financial institution customers not being allowed to use our technology services, which could have a material adverse effect on our business and financial condition.

If we are unable to retain or attract customers, our business and financial results will be adversely affected.

If we are unable to keep existing customers satisfied, sell additional products and services to existing customers or attract new customers, then our business and financial results may suffer. A variety of factors could affect our ability to successfully retain and attract customers, including the level of demand for our products and services, the level of customer spending for information technology, the level of competition from customers that develop their own solutions internally and from other vendors, the quality of our customer service, our ability to update our products and develop new products and services needed by customers, and our ability to integrate and manage acquired businesses. Our services revenue, which has been largely recurring in nature, comes from the sale of our products and services under fixed-term contracts. We do not have a unilateral right to extend these contracts when they expire. If customers cancel or refuse to renew their contracts, or if customers reduce the usage levels or asset values under their contracts, there could be a material adverse effect on our business and financial results.

If we fail to retain key employees, our business may be harmed.

Our success depends on the skill, experience and dedication of our employees. If we are unable to retain and attract sufficiently experienced and capable personnel, especially in product development, sales and management, our business and financial results may suffer. For example, if we are unable to retain and attract a sufficient number of skilled technical personnel, our ability to develop high quality products and provide high

quality customer service may be impaired. Experienced and capable personnel in the technology industry remain in high demand, and there is continual competition for their talents. When talented employees leave, we may have difficulty replacing them, and our business may suffer. There can be no assurance that we will be able to successfully retain and attract the personnel that we need.

36

We are subject to the risks of doing business internationally.

During 2004, approximately 26% of our revenue was generated outside the United States. Approximately 77% of this revenue was from customers located in the United Kingdom and Continental Europe. Because we sell our services outside the United States, our business is subject to risks associated with doing business internationally. Accordingly, our business and financial results could be adversely affected due to a variety of factors, including:

changes in a specific country's or region's political and cultural climate or economic condition;

unexpected changes in foreign laws and regulatory requirements;

difficulty of effective enforcement of contractual provisions in local jurisdictions;

inadequate intellectual property protection in foreign countries;

trade-protection measures, import or export licensing requirements such as Export Administration Regulations promulgated by the U.S. Department of Commerce and fines, penalties or suspension or revocation of export privileges;

the effects of applicable foreign tax structures and potentially adverse tax consequences; and

The private equity firms that acquired the Company ( Sponsors ) control us and may have conflicts of interest with us.

significant adverse changes in foreign currency exchange rates.

Investment funds associated with or designated by the Sponsors indirectly own, through their ownership in our parent companies, a substantial portion of our capital stock. As a result, the Sponsors have control over our decisions to enter into any corporate transaction regardless of whether noteholders believe that any such transaction is in their own best interests. For example, the Sponsors could cause us to make acquisitions that increase the amount of indebtedness that is secured or that is senior to our new senior subordinated notes or to sell assets.

Additionally, the Sponsors are in the business of making investments in companies and may from time to time acquire and hold interests in businesses that compete directly or indirectly with us. One or more of the Sponsors may also pursue acquisition opportunities that may be complementary to our business and, as a result, those acquisition opportunities may not be available to us. So long as investment funds associated with or designated by the Sponsors continue to indirectly own a significant amount of the outstanding shares of our common stock, even if such amount is less than 50%, the Sponsors will continue to be able to strongly influence or effectively control our decisions.

If we are unable to protect our proprietary technologies and defend infringement claims, we could lose one of our competitive advantages and our business could be adversely affected.

Our success depends in part on our ability to protect our proprietary products and services and to defend against infringement claims. If we are unable to do so, our business and financial results may suffer. To protect our proprietary technology, we rely upon a combination of copyright, patent, trademark and trade secret law, confidentiality restrictions in contracts with employees, customers and others, software security measures, and registered copyrights and patents. Despite our efforts to protect the proprietary technology, unauthorized persons may be able to copy, reverse engineer or otherwise use some of our technology. It also is possible that others will develop and market similar or better technology to compete with us. Furthermore, existing patent, copyright and trade secret laws may afford only limited protection, and the laws of certain countries do not protect proprietary technology as well as United States law. For these reasons, we may have difficulty protecting our proprietary technology against unauthorized copying or use. If any of these events happens, there could be a material adverse effect on the value of our proprietary technology and on our business and financial results. In addition, litigation may be necessary to protect our proprietary technology. This type of litigation is often costly and time-consuming, with no assurance of success.

The legal framework for software and business method patents is rapidly evolving. Some of our competitors may have been more aggressive than us in applying for or obtaining patent protection for innovative proprietary technologies both in the United States and internationally. There can be no assurance that in the future third parties will not assert infringement claims against us (as they have already done in the past) and preclude us from using a technology in our products or require us to enter into royalty and licensing arrangements on terms that are not favorable to us, or force us to engage in costly infringement litigation, which could result in us paying monetary damages or being forced to redesign our products to avoid infringement. Additionally, our licenses and service agreements with our customers generally provide that we will defend and indemnify them for claims against them relating to our alleged infringement of the intellectual property rights of third parties with respect to our products or services. We might have to defend or indemnify our customers to the extent they are subject to these types of claims. Any of these claims may be difficult and costly to defend and may lead to unfavorable judgments or settlements, which could have a material adverse effect on our reputation, business and financial results. For these reasons, we may find it difficult or costly to add or retain important features in our products and services.

Defects, design errors or security flaws in our products could harm our reputation and expose us to potential liability.

Most of our FS and HE/PS products are very complex software systems that are regularly updated. No matter how careful the design and development, complex software often contains errors and defects when first introduced and when major new updates or enhancements are released. If errors or defects are discovered in our current or future products, we may not be able to correct them in a timely manner, if at all. In our development of updates and enhancements to our products, we may make a major design error that makes the product operate incorrectly or less efficiently.

In addition, certain of our products include security features that are intended to protect the privacy and integrity of customer data. Despite these security features, our products and systems, and our customers—systems may be vulnerable to break-ins and similar problems caused by third parties, such as hackers bypassing firewalls and misappropriating confidential information. Such break-ins or other disruptions could jeopardize the security of information stored in and transmitted through our computer systems and those of our customers, subject us to liability and tarnish our reputation. We may need to expend significant capital resources in order to eliminate or work around errors, defects, design errors or security problems. Any one of these problems in our products may result in the loss of or a delay in market acceptance of our products, the diversion of development resources, a lower rate of license renewals or upgrades and damage to our reputation, and in turn may increase service and warranty costs.

### Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk:

Historically, we have rarely used derivative financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes. We have invested our available cash in short-term, highly liquid financial instruments, with a substantial portion having initial maturities of three months or less. When necessary, we have borrowed to fund acquisitions.

At September 30, 2005, we have \$4.67 billion of debt under the floating rate senior notes issued in connection with the Transaction and our senior secured credit agreement in addition to \$139 million of sales of receivables under our off-balance sheet long-term receivables facilities, which are based on a floating rate index. A 1% increase in these floating rates would increase annual interest expense by approximately \$48 million. Historically, we have had limited exposure to interest rate increases and have not used interest rate hedging strategies. However, given our greater exposure to interest rate increases, we expect to evaluate hedging opportunities and enter into hedging transactions in the future.

Included in the \$4.67 billion of floating-rate senior notes is a \$309 million-equivalent term loan facility, \$161 million of which is denominated in euros and \$148 million of which is denominated in pounds sterling. A 10% increase in the exchange rate for euros and pounds sterling could result in an increase in the total principal payments of \$31 million and an increase in annual interest of \$2 million. During 2004, approximately 26% of our revenue was from customers outside the United States. Approximately 77% of this revenue was from customers located in the United Kingdom and Continental Europe. Only a portion of the revenue from customers outside the United States is denominated in foreign currencies, the majority being pounds sterling and euros. Revenue and expenses of our foreign operations are generally denominated in their respective local currencies. We continue to monitor our exposure to foreign exchange rates as a result of our foreign currency denominated debt, our acquisitions and ongoing changes in our operations.

### Item 4. Controls and Procedures:

(a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as of the end of the period covered by this Report. Based on that evaluation, the Chief

38

Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this Report were designed and functioning effectively to provide reasonable assurance that the information required to be disclosed by us in reports filed under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC s rules and forms. However, we caution that a system of controls, no matter how well designed and operated, cannot provide absolute assurance that its objectives are met, and no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, have been detected.

(b) Change in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

No change in our internal control over financial reporting occurred during our most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

### Part II. OTHER INFORMATION:

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS: None.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS: NONE.

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES: None.

### Item 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS:

- (a) The Company s 2005 Annual Meeting of Stockholders was held on July 28, 2005.
- (b) At the 2005 Annual Meeting, the following were elected as directors either to serve, other than Cristóbal Conde, until the merger contemplated by the Agreement and Plan of Merger is completed or, if the Agreement and Plan of Merger is not adopted by the stockholders or the merger contemplated by the Agreement and Plan of Merger is not completed, all to serve for one-year terms until the 2006 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and until their respective successors are elected and qualified:

Director	For	Withheld
Gregory S. Bentley	248,966,362	12,240,868
Michael C. Brooks	251,016,818	10,190,412
Cristóbal Conde	257,553,682	3,653,547
Ramon de Oliveira	258,212,886	2,994,344
Henry C. Duques	250,543,781	10,663,448
Albert A. Eisenstat	250,938,396	10,268,833

Bernard Goldstein	244,069,296	17,137,933
Janet Brutschea Haugen	256,887,949	2,319,281
Robert E. King	256,108,266	5,098,963
James L. Mann	257,500,743	3,706,486
Malcolm I. Ruddock	258,305,265	2,901,964

(c) At the 2005 Annual Meeting, adoption of the Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of March 27, 2005, between the Company and Solar Capital Corp. was approved by the following vote:

Votes in favor	207,517,182
Votes against	551,785
Votes abstaining	1,691,392
Broker non-votes	51,446,870

At the 2005 Annual Meeting, adjournment or postponement of the meeting, if necessary or appropriate, to solicit additional proxies if there are insufficient votes to adopt the Agreement and Plan of Merger was approved by the following vote:

Votes in favor	221,829,423
Votes against	25,685,126
Votes abstaining	13,692,680
Broker non-votes	0

39

### **Table of Contents**

At the 2005 Annual Meeting, the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the corporation s independent registered public accounting firm for 2005 was ratified by the following vote:

Votes in favor	257,546,910
Votes against	1,729,743
Votes abstaining	1,930,575
Broker non-votes	0

#### **ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION**

- (a) None
- (b) As a result of the acquisition of the Company on August 11, 2005, and the delisting of the Company s common stock from the New York Stock Exchange, the procedures by which security holders may recommend nominees to the Company s board of directors were changed. The procedures are in accordance with the Delaware General Corporation Law, the Company s Second Amended and Restated By-Laws, the Company s Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation and the stockholders agreement with certain stockholders of our parent companies.

40

#### ITEM 6. EXHIBITS:

- 3.1 Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation.
- 3.2 Second Amended and Restated Bylaws of SunGard Data Systems Inc.
- 4.1 Indenture, dated as of August 11, 2005, among Solar Capital Corp., SunGard Data Systems Inc., Guarantors named therein and The Bank of New York, as Trustee, governing the 9 1/8% Senior Notes and Senior Floating Rate Notes.
- 4.2 Indenture, dated as of August 11, 2005, among Solar Capital Corp., SunGard Data Systems Inc., Guarantors named therein and The Bank of New York, as Trustee, governing the 10 1/4% Senior Subordinated Notes.
- 4.3 Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of August 11, 2005, among Solar Capital Corp., SunGard Data Systems Inc., Guarantors named therein and Deutsche Bank Securities Inc., Citigroup Global Markets Inc. and J.P. Morgan Securities Inc., relating to the 9 1/8% Senior Notes and Senior Floating Rate Notes.
- 4.4 Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of August 11, 2005, among Solar Capital Corp., SunGard Data Systems Inc., Guarantors named therein and Deutsche Bank Securities Inc., Citigroup Global Markets Inc. and J.P. Morgan Securities Inc., relating to the 10 1/4% Senior Subordinated Notes.
- 10.1 Credit Agreement, dated as of August 11, 2005, among Solar Capital Corp. and the Overseas Borrowers party thereto as Borrowers, SunGard Holdco LLC, SunGard Data Systems Inc., JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Administrative Agent, Swing Line Lender and L/C Issuer, the Other Lenders party thereto, Citigroup Global Markets Inc. and Deutsche Bank Securities Inc., as Co-Syndication Agents, and Barclays Bank PLC and The Royal Bank of Canada as Co-Documentation Agents, J.P. Morgan Securities Inc. and Citigroup Global Markets Inc., as Co-Lead Arrangers, and J.P. Morgan Securities Inc., Citigroup Global Markets Inc. and Deutsche Bank Securities Inc. as Joint Bookrunners.
- 10.2 Guarantee Agreement, dated as of August 11, 2005, among SunGard Holdco LLC, SunGard Data Systems Inc., Solar Capital Corp., the Subsidiaries of SunGard Data Systems Inc. identified therein and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Administrative Agent.
- 10.3 Security Agreement, dated as of August 11, 2005, among SunGard Holdco LLC, SunGard Data Systems Inc., Solar Capital Corp., the Subsidiaries of SunGard Data Systems Inc. identified therein and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Collateral Agent.
- 10.4 Intellectual Property Security Agreement, dated as of August 11, 2005, among SunGard Holdco LLC, SunGard Data Systems Inc., Solar Capital Corp., the Subsidiaries of SunGard Data Systems Inc. identified therein and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Collateral Agent.
- 10.5 Bridge First Step Receivables Purchase Agreement, dated as of August 11, 2005, by and among Certain Subsidiaries of SunGard Data System Inc., as Sellers and SunGard Financing LLC.
- 10.6 Bridge Second Step Receivables Purchase Agreement, dated as of August 11, 2005, by and among SunGard Financing LLC, as Transferor, and SunGard Funding II LLC, as the Transferee.
- 10.7 Bridge Receivables Credit Agreement, dated as of August 11, 2005, among SunGard Funding II LLC, as the Borrower, the Persons Party thereto as Conduit Lenders, Committed Lenders and Funding Agents, and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Administrative Agent.
- 10.8 First Step Receivables Purchase Agreement, dated as of August 11, 2005, by and among Certain Subsidiaries of SunGard Data System Inc., as Sellers and SunGard Financing LLC.

41

- 10.9 Second Step Receivables Purchase Agreement, dated as of August 11, 2005, by and among SunGard Financing LLC as Transferor, and SunGard Funding II LLC, as the Transferee.
- 10.10 Insured Receivables Credit Agreement, dated as of August 11, 2005, among SunGard Funding LLC as the Borrower, the Persons Party thereto as Conduit Lenders, Committed Lenders and Funding Agents, Financial Guaranty Insurance Company as Insurer and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Administrative Agent.
- 10.11 Bridge Receivables Facility Performance Undertaking, dated as of August 11, 2005, executed by SunGard Data Systems Inc. in favor of SunGard Financing LLC, together with its successors and assigns, including JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Administrative Agent on behalf of the Lenders.
- 10.12 Bridge Receivables Facility Security Agreement, dated as of August 11, 2005, among SunGard Funding II LLC, as Grantor and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Collateral Agent.
- 10.13 Bridge Receivables Facility Collection Agent Agreement, dated as of August 11, 2005, by and between SunGard Data Systems Inc., as Collection Agent, and SunGard Funding II LLC.
- 10.14 Insured Receivables Facility Performance Undertaking, dated as of August 11, 2005, executed by SunGard Data Systems Inc. in favor of SunGard Financing LLC, together with its successors and assigns, including JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Administrative Agent on behalf of the Lenders and the Insurer.
- 10.15 Insured Receivables Facility Security Agreement, dated as of August 11, 2005, among SunGard Funding LLC, as Grantor and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Collateral Agent.
- 10.16 Insured Receivables Facility Collection Agent Agreement, dated as of August 11, 2005, by and between SunGard Data Systems Inc., as Collection Agent, and SunGard Funding LLC.
- 10.17 Form of Executive Employment Agreement, effective as of August 11, 2005, between SunGard Data Systems Inc. and certain executive officers of SunGard Data Systems Inc.
- 10.18 Form of Executive Employment Agreement, effective as of August 11, 2005, between SunGard Data Systems Inc. and certain executive officers of SunGard Data Systems Inc. located in California, the United Kingdom and Switzerland.
- 10.19 Form of Executive Employment Agreement, effective as of August 11, 2005, between SunGard Data Systems Inc. and certain executive officers of SunGard Data Systems Inc. located in South Carolina and Alabama.
- Form of Executive Employment Agreement, effective as of August 11, 2005, between SunGard Data Systems Inc. and certain executive officers of SunGard Data Systems Inc. employed by a subsidiary of SunGard Data Systems Inc.
- 10.21 Form of Executive Employment Agreement, effective as of August 11, 2005, between SunGard Data Systems Inc. and certain executive officers of SunGard Data Systems Inc. located in California, the United Kingdom and Switzerland employed by a subsidiary of SunGard Data Systems Inc.
- 10.22 Form of Executive Employment Agreement, effective as of August 11, 2005, between SunGard Data Systems Inc. and certain executive officers of SunGard Data Systems Inc. located in South Carolina and Alabama employed by a subsidiary of SunGard Data Systems Inc.
- 10.23 Employment Agreement between Cristóbal Conde and SunGard Data Systems Inc., dated and effective as of August 11, 2005.

42

- 10.24 Stockholders Agreement, dated as of August 10, 2005, by and among SunGard Capital Corp., SunGard Capital Corp. II, SunGard Holding Corp., SunGard Holdco LLC, Solar Capital Corp. and Certain Stockholders of SunGard Capital Corp. and SunGard Capital Corp. II.
- 10.25 Principal Investor Agreement, dated as of August 10, 2005, by and among SunGard Capital Corp., SunGard Capital Corp. II, SunGard Holding Corp., SunGard Holdco LLC, Solar Capital Corp. and the Principal Investors.
- 10.26 Participation, Registration Rights and Coordination Agreement, dated as of August 10, 2005, by and among SunGard Capital Corp., SunGard Capital Corp., SunGard Holding Corp., SunGard Holdco LLC, Solar Capital Corp. and Certain Persons who will be Stockholders of SunGard Capital Corp. and SunGard Capital Corp. II.
- Management Agreement, dated as of August 11, 2005, by and among SunGard Data Systems Inc., SunGard Capital Corp., SunGard Capital Corp., SunGard Holding Corp., SunGard Holdco LLC, Bain Capital Partners, LLC, Blackstone Communications Advisors I L.L.C., Blackstone Management Partners IV L.L.C., Goldman, Sachs & Co., Kohlberg Kravis Roberts & Co. L.P., Providence Equity Partners V Inc., Silver Lake Management Company, L.L.C. and TPG GenPar IV, L.P.
- 10.28 Form of Indemnification Agreement between SunGard Capital Corporation, SunGard Capital Corporation II, SunGard Holding Corporation, SunGard HoldCo LLC, SunGard Data Systems Inc. and directors and certain executive officers of SunGard Data Systems Inc.
- 10.29 SunGard 2005 Management Incentive Plan.
- 10.30 Forms of Rollover Stock Option Award Agreements.
- 10.31 Forms of Time-Based Stock Option Award Agreements.
- 10.32 Forms of Performance Based Stock Option Award Agreements.
- 31.1 Certification of Cristóbal Conde required by Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a) and Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certification of Michael J. Ruane required by Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a) and Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1 Certification of Cristóbal Conde required by Rule 13a-14(b) or Rule 15d-14(b) and Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley
- 32.2 Certification of Michael J. Ruane required by Rule 13a-14(b) or Rule 15d-14(b) and Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

43

### **Table of Contents**

### SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

SUNGARD DATA SYSTEMS INC.

Date: November 9, 2005 By: /s/ Michael J. Ruane

Michael J. Ruane

Senior Vice President-Finance and Chief Financial Officer

(Principal Financial Officer)

44

### EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit No.	Document
3.1	Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation.
3.2	Second Amended and Restated Bylaws of SunGard Data Systems Inc.
4.1	Indenture, dated as of August 11, 2005, among Solar Capital Corp., SunGard Data Systems Inc., Guarantors named therein and The Bank of New York, as Trustee, governing the 9 1/8% Senior Notes and Senior Floating Rate Notes.
4.2	Indenture, dated as of August 11, 2005, among Solar Capital Corp., SunGard Data Systems Inc., Guarantors named therein and The Bank of New York, as Trustee, governing the 10 1/4% Senior Subordinated Notes.
4.3	Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of August 11, 2005, among Solar Capital Corp., SunGard Data Systems Inc., Guarantors named therein and Deutsche Bank Securities Inc., Citigroup Global Markets Inc. and J.P. Morgan Securities Inc., relating to the 9 1/8% Senior Notes and Senior Floating Rate Notes.
4.4	Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of August 11, 2005, among Solar Capital Corp., SunGard Data Systems Inc., Guarantors named therein and Deutsche Bank Securities Inc., Citigroup Global Markets Inc. and J.P. Morgan Securities Inc., relating to the 10 1/4% Senior Subordinated Notes.
10.1	Credit Agreement, dated as of August 11, 2005, among Solar Capital Corp. and the Overseas Borrowers party thereto as Borrowers, SunGard Holdco LLC, SunGard Data Systems Inc., JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Administrative Agent, Swing Line Lender and L/C Issuer, the Other Lenders party thereto, Citigroup Global Markets Inc. and Deutsche Bank Securities Inc., as Co-Syndication Agents, and Barclays Bank PLC and The Royal Bank of Canada as Co-Documentation Agents, J.P. Morgan Securities Inc. and Citigroup Global Markets Inc., as Co-Lead Arrangers, and J.P. Morgan Securities Inc., Citigroup Global Markets Inc. as Joint Bookrunners.
10.2	Guarantee Agreement, dated as of August 11, 2005, among SunGard Holdco LLC, SunGard Data Systems Inc., Solar Capital Corp., the Subsidiaries of SunGard Data Systems Inc. identified therein and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Administrative Agent.
10.3	Security Agreement, dated as of August 11, 2005, among SunGard Holdco LLC, SunGard Data Systems Inc., Solar Capital Corp., the Subsidiaries of SunGard Data Systems Inc. identified therein and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Collateral Agent.
10.4	Intellectual Property Security Agreement, dated as of August 11, 2005, among SunGard Holdco LLC, SunGard Data Systems Inc., Solar Capital Corp., the Subsidiaries of SunGard Data Systems Inc. identified therein and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Collateral Agent.
10.5	Bridge First Step Receivables Purchase Agreement, dated as of August 11, 2005, by and among Certain Subsidiaries of SunGard Data System Inc., as Sellers and SunGard Financing LLC.
10.6	Bridge Second Step Receivables Purchase Agreement, dated as of August 11, 2005, by and among SunGard Financing LLC, as Transferor, and SunGard Funding II LLC, as the Transferee.
10.7	Bridge Receivables Credit Agreement, dated as of August 11, 2005, among SunGard Funding II LLC, as the Borrower, the Persons Party thereto as Conduit Lenders, Committed Lenders and Funding Agents, and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Administrative Agent.
10.8	First Step Receivables Purchase Agreement, dated as of August 11, 2005, by and among Certain Subsidiaries of SunGard Data System Inc., as Sellers and SunGard Financing LLC.
10.9	Second Step Receivables Purchase Agreement, dated as of August 11, 2005, by and among SunGard Financing LLC as Transferor, and SunGard Funding II LLC, as the Transferee.

45

- 10.10 Insured Receivables Credit Agreement, dated as of August 11, 2005, among SunGard Funding LLC as the Borrower, the Persons Party thereto as Conduit Lenders, Committed Lenders and Funding Agents, Financial Guaranty Insurance Company as Insurer and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Administrative Agent.
- Bridge Receivables Facility Performance Undertaking, dated as of August 11, 2005, executed by SunGard Data Systems Inc. in favor of SunGard Financing LLC, together with its successors and assigns, including JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Administrative Agent on behalf of the Lenders.
- 10.12 Bridge Receivables Facility Security Agreement, dated as of August 11, 2005, among SunGard Funding II LLC, as Grantor and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Collateral Agent.
- 10.13 Bridge Receivables Facility Collection Agent Agreement, dated as of August 11, 2005, by and between SunGard Data Systems Inc., as Collection Agent, and SunGard Funding II LLC.
- 10.14 Insured Receivables Facility Performance Undertaking, dated as of August 11, 2005, executed by SunGard Data Systems Inc. in favor of SunGard Financing LLC, together with its successors and assigns, including JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Administrative Agent on behalf of the Lenders and the Insurer.
- 10.15 Insured Receivables Facility Security Agreement, dated as of August 11, 2005, among SunGard Funding LLC, as Grantor and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Collateral Agent.
- 10.16 Insured Receivables Facility Collection Agent Agreement, dated as of August 11, 2005, by and between SunGard Data Systems Inc., as Collection Agent, and SunGard Funding LLC.
- 10.17 Form of Executive Employment Agreement, effective as of August 11, 2005, between SunGard Data Systems Inc. and certain executive officers of SunGard Data Systems Inc.
- 10.18 Form of Executive Employment Agreement, effective as of August 11, 2005, between SunGard Data Systems Inc. and certain executive officers of SunGard Data Systems Inc. located in California, the United Kingdom and Switzerland.
- 10.19 Form of Executive Employment Agreement, effective as of August 11, 2005, between SunGard Data Systems Inc. and certain executive officers of SunGard Data Systems Inc. located in South Carolina and Alabama.
- 10.20 Form of Executive Employment Agreement, effective as of August 11, 2005, between SunGard Data Systems Inc. and certain executive officers of SunGard Data Systems Inc. employed by a subsidiary of SunGard Data Systems Inc.
- 10.21 Form of Executive Employment Agreement, effective as of August 11, 2005, between SunGard Data Systems Inc. and certain executive officers of SunGard Data Systems Inc. located in California, the United Kingdom and Switzerland employed by a subsidiary of SunGard Data Systems Inc.
- 10.22 Form of Executive Employment Agreement, effective as of August 11, 2005, between SunGard Data Systems Inc. and certain executive officers of SunGard Data Systems Inc. located in South Carolina and Alabama employed by a subsidiary of SunGard Data Systems Inc.
- 10.23 Employment Agreement between Cristóbal Conde and SunGard Data Systems Inc., dated and effective as of August 11, 2005.
- 10.24 Stockholders Agreement, dated as of August 10, 2005, by and among SunGard Capital Corp., SunGard Capital Corp. II, SunGard Holding Corp., SunGard Holdco LLC, Solar Capital Corp. and Certain Stockholders of SunGard Capital Corp. and SunGard Capital Corp. II.

46

### **Table of Contents**

31.1

31.2 32.1

32.2

10.25 Principal Investor Agreement, dated as of August 10, 2005, by and among SunGard Capital Corp., SunGard Capital Corp. II, SunGard Holding Corp., SunGard Holdco LLC, Solar Capital Corp. and the Principal Investors. 10.26 Participation, Registration Rights and Coordination Agreement, dated as of August 10, 2005, by and among SunGard Capital Corp., SunGard Capital Corp. II, SunGard Holding Corp., SunGard Holdco LLC, Solar Capital Corp. and Certain Persons who will be Stockholders of SunGard Capital Corp. and SunGard Capital Corp. II. 10.27 Management Agreement, dated as of August 11, 2005, by and among SunGard Data Systems Inc., SunGard Capital Corp., SunGard Capital Corp. II, SunGard Holding Corp., SunGard Holdco LLC, Bain Capital Partners, LLC, Blackstone Communications Advisors I L.L.C., Blackstone Management Partners IV L.L.C., Goldman, Sachs & Co., Kohlberg Kravis Roberts & Co. L.P., Providence Equity Partners V Inc., Silver Lake Management Company, L.L.C. and TPG GenPar IV, L.P. 10.28 Form of Indemnification Agreement between SunGard Capital Corporation, SunGard Capital Corporation II, SunGard Holding Corporation, SunGard HoldCo LLC, SunGard Data Systems Inc. and directors and certain executive officers of SunGard Data Systems Inc. SunGard 2005 Management Incentive Plan. 10.29 10.30 Forms of Rollover Stock Option Award Agreements. 10.31 Forms of Time-Based Stock Option Award Agreements. 10.32 Forms of Performance Based Stock Option Award Agreements.

Certification of Cristóbal Conde required by Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a) and Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. Certification of Michael J. Ruane required by Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a) and Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Certification of Cristóbal Conde required by Rule 13a-14(b) or Rule 15d-14(b) and Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Certification of Michael J. Ruane required by Rule 13a-14(b) or Rule 15d-14(b) and Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

47