REPUBLIC BANCORP INC /KY/ Form 10-O July 28, 2011

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

x Quarterly report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2011

or

o Transition report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Commission File Number: 0-24649

REPUBLIC BANCORP, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

61-0862051 Kentucky

(State of other jurisdiction of incorporation or (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

organization)

601 West Market Street, Louisville, Kentucky

40202 (Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

(502) 584-3600

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Not Applicable

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. b Yes o No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). b Yes o No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Non-accelerated filer

o Accelerated filer

Smaller reporting

Large accelerated filer þ company Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). o Yes þ No Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date: The number of shares outstanding of the registrant's Class A Common Stock and Class B Common Stock, as of July 22, 2011, was 18,653,989 and 2,300,469, respectively.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMAT		Page
Item 1.	Financial Statements.	3
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.	49
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk.	84
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures.	84
PART II – OTHER INFORMATION		
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings.	84
Item 1A.	Risk Factors.	85
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.	97
Item 4	(Removed and Reserved).	97
Item 6.	Exhibits.	98
	SIGNATURES	98
2		

PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements.

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (in thousands) (unaudited)

	June 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents Securities available for sale Securities to be held to maturity (fair value of \$30,727 in 2011 and \$33,824 in 2010) Loans held for sale Loans, net of allowance for loan losses of \$25,931 and \$23,079 (2011 and 2010) Federal Home Loan Bank stock, at cost Premises and equipment, net Goodwill Other assets and accrued interest receivable	\$130,262 603,895 30,064 21,456 2,196,766 26,153 36,183 10,168 49,623	\$786,371 509,755 32,939 15,228 2,152,161 26,212 37,770 10,168 52,099
TOTAL ASSETS	\$3,104,570	\$3,622,703
LIABILITIES		
Deposits Non interest-bearing Interest-bearing Total deposits	\$380,970 1,409,691 1,790,661	\$325,375 1,977,317 2,302,692
Deposits held for sale Securities sold under agreements to repurchase and other short-term borrowings Federal Home Loan Bank advances Subordinated note Other liabilities and accrued interest payable	35,383 218,227 519,799 41,240 53,517	319,246 564,877 41,240 23,272
Total liabilities	2,658,827	3,251,327
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Preferred stock, no par value Class A Common Stock and Class B Common Stock, no par value Additional paid in capital Retained earnings Accumulated other comprehensive income	4,944 130,245 304,772 5,782	- 4,944 129,327 230,987 6,118
Total stockholders' equity	445,743	371,376
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY	\$3,104,570	\$3,622,703

See accompanying footnotes to consolidated financial statements.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (UNAUDITED)

(in thousands, except per share data)

	Three Months Ended June 30,			nths Ended ne 30,
	2011	2010	2011	2010
INTEREST INCOME:				
Loans, including fees	\$29,843	\$32,708	\$118,004	\$115,191
Taxable investment securities	4,093	3,720	7,685	7,465
Tax exempt investment securities	-	4	-	10
Federal Home Loan Bank stock and other	523	455	1,393	1,450
Total interest income	34,459	36,887	127,082	124,116
INTEREST EXPENSE:				
Deposits	2,272	3,101	5,210	7,420
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase and other				
short-term borrowings	173	244	424	484
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	4,556	4,858	9,390	10,036
Subordinated note	629	631	1,258	1,251
Total interest expense	7,630	8,834	16,282	19,191
NET INTEREST INCOME	26,829	28,053	110,800	104,925
Provision for loan losses	(439) 2,980	17,643	19,770
NET INTEREST INCOME AFTER PROVISION FOR				
LOAN LOSSES	27,268	25,073	93,157	85,155
NON INTEREST INCOME:				
Service charges on deposit accounts	3,736	3,983	7,160	7,855
Electronic refund check fees	6,584	5,052	87,646	58,220
Net RAL securitization income	19	25	198	220
Mortgage banking income	924	1,403	1,740	2,415
Debit card interchange fee income	1,493	1,312	2,977	2,532
Gain on sale of securities available for sale	1,907	-	1,907	-
Total impairment losses on investment securities	-	(57) (279) (126)
Gain recognized in other comprehensive income	_	-	-	-
Net securities gain (loss) recognized in earnings	-	(57) (279) (126)
Other	705	586	1,331	1,065
Total non interest income	15,368	12,304	102,680	72,181

NON INTEREST EXPENSES:

Edgar Filing: REPUBLIC BANCORP INC /KY/ - Form 10-Q

Salaries and employee benefits	13,250	12,966	30,489	30,344
Occupancy and equipment, net	5,001	5,053	11,298	11,471
Communication and transportation	878	719	3,387	3,188
Marketing and development	868	802	1,772	9,394
FDIC insurance expense	1,165	782	2,800	1,899
Bank franchise tax expense	714	645	2,279	1,790
Data processing	817	598	1,565	1,318
Debit card interchange expense	601	286	1,124	935
Supplies	314	346	1,208	1,378
Other real estate owned expense	378	502	859	803
Charitable contributions	234	296	5,532	5,782
Legal expense	979	346	2,339	740
Accrued FDIC civil money penalty	2,000	-	2,000	-
FHLB advance prepayment expense	-	-	-	1,531
Other	1,327	1,304	4,692	5,211
Total non interest expenses	28,526	24,645	71,344	75,784
INCOME DEFODE INCOME TAY EYDENCE	14 110	10.722	124 402	01 550
INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAX EXPENSE	14,110	12,732	124,493	81,552
INCOME TAX EXPENSE	5,447	4,335	44,418	28,527
NET INCOME	\$8,663	\$8,397	\$80,075	\$53,025

(continued)

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (UNAUDITED) (continued) (in thousands, except per share data)

	Three Months Ended June 30,				Six Months Ended June 30,					
OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME, NET OF TAX	2011			2010		2011			2010	
Unrealized gain (loss) on securities available for sale, net of tax Change in unrealized losses on securities available for sale for which a portion of an other-than-temporary impairment has	\$ 1,084		\$	2,132	\$	1,227		\$	1,078	
been recognized in earnings, net of tax Realized amount on securities sold, net Reclassification adjustment for losses or gains	(307 (1,240)		222		(142 (1,240)		425	
realized in income, net of tax Other comprehensive income (loss)	- (463)		(37 2,317)	(181 (336)		(82 1,421)
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME	\$ 8,200		\$	10,714	\$	79,739		\$	54,446	
BASIC EARNINGS PER SHARE:										
Class A Common Stock Class B Common Stock	\$ 0.42 0.40		\$	0.40 0.39	\$	3.83 3.80		\$	2.55 2.52	
DILUTED EARNINGS PER SHARE:										
Class A Common Stock Class B Common Stock	\$ 0.41 0.40		\$	0.40 0.39	\$	3.82 3.79		\$	2.54 2.51	

See accompanying footnotes to consolidated financial statements.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (UNAUDITED) SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2011

	Class A Shares	ommon Stock Class B Shares		Additional Paid In	Retained (Accumulated Other Comprehensiv	Total tockholders'
(in thousands, except per share data)	Outstanding	Outstanding	Amount	Capital	Earnings	Income	Equity
Balance, January 1, 2011	18,628	2,307	\$ 4,944	\$ 129,327	\$ 230,987	\$ 6,118	\$ 371,376
Net income	-	-	-	-	80,075	-	80,075
Net change in accumulated comprehensive income	d other	-	-	-	-	(336)	(336)
Dividend declared Common Stock: Class A (\$0.297							
per share) Class B (\$0.270	-	-	-	-	(5,534) -	(5,534)
per share)	-	-	-	-	(622) -	(622)
Stock options exercised, net of shares redeemed	5	-	-	107	(31) -	76
Repurchase of Class A Common Stock	(7) -	-	(45)	(103) -	(148)
Conversion of Class B Co to Class A Common Stock	mmon Stock	(7)	-	-	-	-	-
Notes receivable on Common Stock, net of cash payments	-	-	-	590	-	-	590
Deferred director compensation expense - Company Stock	2	-	-	86	_	-	86
Stock based compensation expense	-	-	-	180	-	-	180

Balance, June 30,

2011 18,635 2,300 \$ 4,944 \$ 130,245 \$ 304,772 \$ 5,782 \$ 445,743

See accompanying footnotes to consolidated financial statements.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED) SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010 (in thousands)

SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010 (in thousands)				
	2011		2010	
OPERATING ACTIVITIES:				
Net income	\$80,075		\$53,025	
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided				
by operating activities:				
Depreciation, amortization and accretion, net	3,748		6,267	
Provision for loan losses	17,643		19,770	
Net gain on sale of mortgage loans held for sale	(1,465)	(2,176)
Origination of mortgage loans held for sale	(52,558)	(114,438)
Proceeds from sale of mortgage loans held for sale	62,084		118,750	
Increase in RAL securitization residual	(198)	(220)
Paydown of trading securities	198		220	
Net realized (gain) loss on sales, calls and impairment of securities	(1,628)		
Net gain on sale of other real estate owned	(244)	(100)
Writedowns of other real estate owned	227	,	604	,
Deferred director compensation expense - Company Stock	86		73	
Stock based compensation expense	180		297	
Net change in other assets and liabilities:	100		271	
Accrued interest receivable	(163)	(293	`
Accrued interest payable	(437)	(648)
Other assets	•)	7,668)
Other liabilities	1,479			
	30,127		13,586	
Net cash provided by operating activities	139,154		102,511	
INVESTING ACTIVITIES:				
Purchases of securities available for sale	(348,236	`	(427,450	`
	(346,230))
Purchases of securities to be held to maturity Purchases of Federal Home Loan Bank stock	- (1	`	(185)
	(1)	(26)
Proceeds from calls, maturities and paydowns of securities available for sale	122,668		323,146	
Proceeds from calls, maturities and paydowns of securities to be held to maturity	2,927		8,715	
Proceeds from sales of securities available for sale	133,813		-	
Proceeds from sales of Federal Home Loan Bank Stock	60		-	
Proceeds from sales of other real estate owned	6,552		4,539	
Purcase of commercial real estate loans	(32,650)		
Net change in loans	(49,871)	41,824	
Purchases of premises and equipment	(1,780)	(1,444)
Net cash used in investing activities	(166,518)	(50,881)
ENLANCING A CENTIFIED				
FINANCING ACTIVITIES:	(455.550	,	(55.6.6.20)	,
Net change in deposits	(477,579)	(776,628)
Net change in securities sold under agreements to repurchase and other short-term	4400000			
borrowings	(100,088)	2,474	
Payments on Federal Home Loan Bank advances	(45,078)	(117,124)
Proceeds from Federal Home Loan Bank advances	-		45,000	
Repurchase of Common Stock	(148)	(334)
Net proceeds from Common Stock options exercised	76		730	
Cash dividends paid	(5,928)	(5,438)

Net cash used in financing activities	(628,745) (851,320)
NET CHANGE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT BEGINNING OF PERIOD CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT END OF PERIOD	(656,109) (799,690) 786,371 1,068,179 \$130,262 \$268,489
(continued)	
7	

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED) (Continued)
SIV MONTUS ENDED HINE 20, 2011 AND 2010 (in thousands)

SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010 (in thousands)

2011 2010

SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION

Cash paid during the period for:

Interest \$16,719 \$19,839 Income taxes 22,116 12,488

SUPPLEMENTAL NONCASH DISCLOSURES

Transfers from loans to real estate acquired in settlement of loans \$6,574 \$6,630

See accompanying footnotes to consolidated financial statements.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010 (UNAUDITED) AND DECEMBER 31, 2010

1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Basis of Presentation – The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Republic Bancorp, Inc. (the "Parent Company") and its wholly-owned subsidiaries: Republic Bank & Trust Company ("RB&T") and Republic Bank (collectively referred together with RB&T as the "Bank"), Republic Funding Company and Republic Invest Co. Republic Invest Co. includes its subsidiary, Republic Capital LLC. The consolidated financial statements also include the wholly-owned subsidiaries of RB&T: Republic Financial Services, LLC, TRS RAL Funding, LLC and Republic Insurance Agency, LLC. Republic Bancorp Capital Trust ("RBCT") is a Delaware statutory business trust that is a wholly-owned unconsolidated finance subsidiary of Republic Bancorp, Inc. All companies are collectively referred to as "Republic" or the "Company." All significant intercompany balances and transactions are eliminated in consolidation.

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, the financial statements do not include all of the information and footnotes required by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for fair presentation have been included. Operating results for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2011. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and footnotes thereto included in Republic's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

As of June 30, 2011, the Company was divided into three distinct business operating segments: Traditional Banking, Mortgage Banking and Tax Refund Solutions.

Traditional Banking and Mortgage Banking (collectively "Core Banking")

Republic operates 43 banking centers, primarily in the retail banking industry, and conducts its operations predominately in metropolitan Louisville, Kentucky, central Kentucky, northern Kentucky, southern Indiana, metropolitan Tampa, Florida, metropolitan Cincinnati, Ohio and through an Internet banking delivery channel. Core Banking results of operations are primarily dependent upon net interest income, which represents the difference between the interest income and fees on interest-earning assets and the interest expense on interest-bearing liabilities. Principal interest-earning Core Banking assets represent investment securities and real estate mortgage, commercial and consumer loans. Interest-bearing liabilities primarily consist of interest-bearing deposit accounts, securities sold under agreements to repurchase, as well as short-term and long-term borrowing sources.

Other sources of Core Banking income include service charges on deposit accounts, debit card interchange fee income, title insurance commissions, fees charged to customers for trust services and revenue generated from Mortgage Banking activities. Mortgage Banking activities represent both the origination and sale of loans in the secondary market and the servicing of loans for others. Additionally, in June 2011, Republic commenced business in its newly established warehouse lending division. Through this division, the Bank provides short-term, revolving credit facilities to mortgage bankers secured by single 1-4 family real estate loans.

Republic's Core Banking operating expenses consist primarily of salaries and employee benefits, occupancy and equipment expenses, communication and transportation costs, marketing and development expenses, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") insurance expense, bank franchise tax expense, data processing, debit card interchange expense and other general and administrative costs. Republic's results of operations are significantly impacted by

general economic and competitive conditions, particularly changes in market interest rates, government laws and policies and actions of regulatory agencies.

Tax Refund Solutions

Republic, through its Tax Refund Solutions ("TRS") business operating segment, is one of a limited number of financial institutions that facilitates the payment of federal and state tax refund products through third-party tax preparers located throughout the U.S., as well as tax-preparation software providers. TRS's three primary tax-related products include: Electronic Refund Checks ("ERCs" or "ARs"), Electronic Refund Deposits ("ERDs" or "ARDs") and Refund Anticipation Loans ("RALs"). Substantially all of the business generated by TRS occurs in the first quarter of the year. TRS traditionally operates at a loss during the second half of the year, during which the segment incurs costs preparing for the following year's first quarter tax season.

ERCs/ERDs are products whereby a tax refund is issued to the taxpayer after RB&T has received the refund from the federal or state government. There is no credit risk or borrowing cost for RB&T associated with these products because they are only delivered to the taxpayer upon receipt of the refund directly from the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS"). Fees earned on ERCs/ERDs are reported as non interest income under the line item "Electronic Refund Check fees."

RALs are short-term consumer loans offered to taxpayers that are secured by the customer's anticipated tax refund, which represents the source of repayment. Prior to 2011, RB&T historically underwrote the RAL application utilizing the Debt Indicator (the "DI") from the IRS, in combination with an automated underwriting model utilizing information contained in the taxpayer's tax return. The DI, which typically indicates whether an individual taxpayer will have any portion of the refund offset for delinquent tax or other debts, such as unpaid child support or federally funded student loans, has historically been a significant underwriting component. On August 5, 2010, the IRS issued a news release stating that it would no longer provide tax preparers and associated financial institutions with the DI beginning with the first quarter 2011 tax season. RB&T modified its underwriting and application requirement criteria for the first quarter 2011 tax season to adjust for the loss of access to the DI.

If a consumer's RAL application is approved, RB&T advances \$1,500 of the taxpayer's refund. As part of the RAL application process, each taxpayer signs an agreement directing the applicable taxing authority to send the taxpayer's refund directly to RB&T. The refund received from the IRS or state taxing authority, if applicable, is used by RB&T to pay off the RAL. Any amount due the taxpayer above the amount of the RAL is remitted to the taxpayer once the refund is received by RB&T. The funds advanced by RB&T are generally repaid by the applicable taxing authority within two weeks. The fees earned on RALs are reported as interest income under the line item "Loans, including fees."

For additional discussion regarding TRS, see the following sections:

Part I Item 1 "Financial Statements:"

- o Footnote 3 "Loans and Allowance for Loan Losses"
- o Footnote 4 "Deposits"
- o Footnote 8 "Off Balance Sheet Risks, Commitments and Contingent Liabilities"
- o Footnote 10 "Segment Information"
- o Footnote 11 "Regulatory Matters"
 - Part II Item 1A "Risk Factors"

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In January 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-01, "Deferral of the Effective Date of Disclosures about Troubled Debt Restructurings in Update No. 2010-20." The provisions of this ASU required the disclosure of more granular information on the nature and extent of troubled debt restructurings and their effect on the allowance for loan and lease losses effective for the Company's reporting period ended June 30, 2011. The amendments in this ASU defer the effective date related to these disclosures, enabling creditors to provide such disclosures after the FASB completes their project clarifying the guidance for determining what constitutes a troubled debt restructuring. As the provisions of this ASU only defer the effective date of disclosure requirements related to troubled debt restructurings, the adoption of this ASU will have no impact on the Company's statements of income and condition.

In April, 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-02, "A Creditor's Determination of Whether a Restructuring Is a Troubled Debt Restructuring." This ASU intended to provide additional guidance to assist creditors in determining whether a restructuring of a receivable meets the criteria to be considered a troubled debt restructuring. The amendments in this ASU are effective for the first interim or annual period beginning on or after June 15, 2011, and are to be applied retrospectively to the beginning of the annual period of adoption. As a result of applying these

amendments, an entity may identify receivables that are newly considered impaired. Early adoption is permitted. The Company intends to adopt the methodologies prescribed by this ASU by the date required, and is continuing to evaluate the impact of adoption of this ASU.

In April, 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-03, "Reconsideration of Effective Control for Repurchase Agreements." The amendments in this ASU remove from the assessment of effective control the criteria relating to the transferor's ability to repurchase or redeem financial assets on substantially the agreed terms, even in the event of default by the transferee. The amendments in this ASU also eliminate the requirement to demonstrate that the transferor possesses adequate collateral to fund substantially all the cost of purchasing replacement financial assets. The guidance in this ASU is effective for the first interim or annual period beginning on or after December 15, 2011. The guidance should be applied prospectively to transactions or modifications of existing transactions that occur on or after the effective date. Early adoption is not permitted. The Company will adopt the methodologies prescribed by this ASU by the date required, and does not anticipate that the ASU will have a material effect on its financial position or results of operations.

In May, 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-04, "Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs." The amendments in this ASU generally represent clarifications of Topic 820, but also include some instances where a particular principle or requirement for measuring fair value or disclosing information about fair value measurements has changed. This ASU results in common principles and requirements for measuring fair value and for disclosing information about fair value measurements in accordance with U.S. GAAP and IFRSs. The amendments in this ASU are to be applied prospectively. For public entities, the amendments are effective during interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. Early application by public entities is not permitted. The Company will adopt the methodologies prescribed by this ASU by the date required, and does not anticipate that the ASU will have a material effect on its financial position or results of operations.

In June, 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-05, "Amendments to Topic 220, Comprehensive Income." Under the amendments in this ASU, an entity has the option to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. In both choices, an entity is required to present each component of net income along with total net income, each component of other comprehensive income along with a total for other comprehensive income, and a total amount for comprehensive income. This ASU eliminates the option to present the components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in stockholders' equity. The amendments in this ASU do not change the items that must be reported in other comprehensive income or when an item of other comprehensive income must be reclassified to net income. The amendments in this ASU should be applied retrospectively. For public entities, the amendments are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011. Early adoption is permitted, because compliance with the amendments is already permitted. The amendments do not require any transition disclosures. Due to the recency of this pronouncement, the Company is evaluating its timing of adoption of ASU 2011-05, but will adopt the ASU retrospectively by the due date.

Reclassifications – Certain amounts presented in prior periods have been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation.

2. INVESTMENT SECURITIES

Securities available for sale:

The gross amortized cost and fair value of securities available for sale and the related gross unrealized gains and losses recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) were as follows:

June 30, 2011 (in thousands)	Gross Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
U.S. Treasury securities and				
U.S. Government agencies	\$214,240	\$1,020	\$(239)	\$215,021
Private label mortgage backed and other				
private label mortgage-related securities	5,819	-	(1,417)	4,402
Mortgage backed securities - residential	160,677	8,279	(14)	168,942
Collateralized mortgage obligations	214,264	1,550	(284)	215,530
Total securities available for sale	\$595,000	\$10,849	\$(1,954)	\$603,895
December 31, 2010 (in thousands)	Gross Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
U.S. Treasury securities and				
U.S. Government agencies	\$119,894	\$668	\$(265)	\$120,297
Private label mortgage backed and other	6.222	211		5 124
private label mortgage-related securities	6,323	211	(1,410	5,124
Mortgage backed securities - residential	150,460	8,217	(150	158,677
Collateralized mortgage obligations	223,665	2,144	(152)	225,657
Total securities available for sale	\$500,342	\$11,240	\$(1,827)	\$509,755

Mortgage backed Securities

At June 30, 2011, with the exception of the \$4.4 million private label mortgage backed and other private label mortgage-related securities, all other mortgage backed securities held by the Bank were issued by U.S. government-sponsored entities and agencies, primarily Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation ("Freddie Mac" or "FHLMC") and Fannie Mae ("FNMA"), institutions which the government has affirmed its commitment to support. At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, there were gross unrealized losses of \$298,000 and \$152,000 related to available for sale and held to maturity mortgage backed securities other than the private label mortgage backed and other private label mortgage-related securities. Because the decline in fair value of these mortgage backed securities is attributable to changes in interest rates and illiquidity, and not credit quality, and because the Bank does not have the intent to sell these mortgage backed securities and it is likely that it will not be required to sell the securities before their anticipated recovery, management does not consider these securities to be other-than-temporarily impaired.

As mentioned throughout this filing, the Bank's mortgage backed securities portfolio includes private label mortgage backed and other private label mortgage-related securities with a fair value of \$4.4 million which had gross unrealized losses of approximately \$1.4 million at June 30, 2011. As of June 30, 2011, the Bank believes there is no further credit

loss component of other-than-temporary impairment ("OTTI") in addition to that which has already been recorded. Additionally, the Bank does not have the intent to sell these securities and it is likely that it will not be required to sell the securities before their anticipated recovery.

Securities to be held to maturity:

The carrying value, gross unrecognized gains and losses, and fair value of securities to be held to maturity were as follows:

		Gross	Gross	
	Carrying	Unrecognized	Unrecognized	Fair
June 30, 2011 (in thousands)	Value	Gains	Losses	Value
II C. Transcumi consumities and				
U.S. Treasury securities and	¢ 4 2 4 5	6.1 <i>C</i>	¢	¢ 4 0C1
U.S. Government agencies	\$4,245	\$ 16	\$ -	\$4,261
Mortgage backed securities - residential	1,738	126	-	1,864
Collateralized mortgage obligations	24,081	521	-	24,602
Total securities to be held to maturity	\$30,064	\$ 663	\$ -	\$30,727
		Gross	Gross	
	Carrying	Unrecognized	Unrecognized	Fair
December 31, 2010 (in thousands)	Value	Gains	Losses	Value
U.S. Treasury securities and				
•	\$4,191	\$ 10	¢ (1)	\$4,197
U.S. Government agencies			\$ (4)	
Mortgage backed securities - residential	1,930	109	-	2,039
Collateralized mortgage obligations	26,818	770	-	27,588
Total securities to be held to maturity	\$32,939	\$ 889	\$ (4)	\$33,824

During the second quarter of 2011, the Bank sold available for sale mortgage backed securities with an amortized cost of \$132 million, resulting in a pre-tax gain of \$1.9 million. There were no sales of securities available for sale during the first quarter of 2011.

During the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2010, there were no sales of securities available for sale.

The amortized cost and fair value of the investment securities portfolio by contractual maturity at June 30, 2011 follows. Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities if borrowers have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties. Securities not due at a single maturity date are detailed separately.

	Securities				Securities				
	available for sale					held to maturity			
	A	mortized		Fair	(Carrying		Fair	
June 30 2011, (in thousands)		Cost		Value		Value		Value	
Due in one year or less	\$	-	\$	_	\$	-	\$	_	
Due from one year to five years		154,294		154,975		4,245		4,261	
Due from five years to ten years		36,260		36,278		-		-	
Due beyond ten years		23,686		23,768		-		-	
Private label mortgage backed and other private label mortgage-related									
securities		5,819		4,402		-		-	

Mortgage backed securities - residential	160,677	168,942	1,738	1,845
Collateralized mortgage obligations	214,264	215,530	24,081	24,698
Total	\$ 595,000	\$ 603,895	\$ 30,064	\$ 30,804

Market Loss Analysis

Securities with unrealized losses at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position, are as follows:

	Less than	12 months	1	12 month	ns or more	1	Total				
June 30, 2011 (in thousands)	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	1	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	1	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	a		
U.S. Treasury securities and U.S. Government agencies Private label mortgage backed and other	\$50,017	\$(239)	\$-	\$-		\$50,017	\$(239)		
private label mortgage-related securities Mortgage backed securities - residential,	-	-		4,402	(1,417)	4,402	(1,417)		
including Collateralized mortgage obligations	90,529	(298)	-	-		90,529	(298)		
Total	\$140,546	\$(537)	\$4,402	\$(1,417)	\$144,948	\$(1,954)		
	Less than 12 months										
	Less than	12 months		12 month	is or more		To	otal			
December 31, 2010 (in		Unrealized	1		Unrealized	1		Unrealized	d		
December 31, 2010 (in thousands)	Less than Fair Value		1	12 month Fair Value		1	To Fair Value		d		
thousands) U.S. Treasury securities and U.S. Government agencies Private label mortgage backed		Unrealized			Unrealized	d		Unrealized	d)		
thousands) U.S. Treasury securities and U.S. Government agencies Private label mortgage backed and other private label mortgage-related securities Mortgage backed securities - residential,	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses		Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	d)	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses			
thousands) U.S. Treasury securities and U.S. Government agencies Private label mortgage backed and other private label mortgage-related securities Mortgage backed securities -	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses		Fair Value	Unrealized Losses \$-)	Fair Value \$23,235	Unrealized Losses \$(269)		

As of June 30, 2011, the Bank's security portfolio consisted of 136 securities, 18 of which were in an unrealized loss position. The majority of unrealized losses are related to the Bank's mortgage-backed securities, as discussed in this section of the filing.

Other-than-temporary impairment ("OTTI")

Unrealized losses for all investment securities are reviewed to determine whether the losses are "other-than-temporary." Investment securities are evaluated for OTTI on at least a quarterly basis and more frequently when economic or market conditions warrant such an evaluation to determine whether a decline in their value below amortized cost is other-than-temporary. In conducting this assessment, the Bank evaluates a number of factors including, but not limited

to:

The length of time and the extent to which fair value has been less than the amortized cost basis;

The Bank's intent to hold until maturity or sell the debt security prior to maturity;

An analysis of whether it is more likely than not that the Bank will be required to sell the debt security before its anticipated recovery;

Adverse conditions specifically related to the security, an industry, or a geographic area;

The historical and implied volatility of the fair value of the security;

The payment structure of the security and the likelihood of the issuer being able to make payments; Failure of the issuer to make scheduled interest or principal payments;

Any rating changes by a rating agency; and

Recoveries or additional decline in fair value subsequent to the balance sheet date.

The term "other-than-temporary" is not intended to indicate that the decline is permanent, but indicates that the prospects for a near-term recovery of value are not necessarily favorable, or that there is a general lack of evidence to support a realizable value equal to or greater than the carrying value of the investment. Once a decline in value is determined to be other-than-temporary, the value of the security is reduced and a corresponding charge to earnings is recognized for the anticipated credit losses.

Nationally, residential real estate values have declined significantly since 2007. These declines in value, coupled with the reduced ability of certain homeowners to refinance or repay their residential real estate obligations, have led to elevated delinquencies and losses in residential real estate loans. Many of these loans have previously been securitized and sold to investors as private label mortgage backed and other private label mortgage-related securities. As detailed in the table below, the Bank owns three private label mortgage backed securities and one private label mortgage-related security with a total carrying value of \$5.8 million at June 30, 2011. For the three private label mortgage backed securities (Securities 1 through 3 in the table below), the Bank has recorded all projected losses through OTTI charges. The Bank has permanently written off a portion of the principal associated with these securities, as a portion of their losses were passed through by the servicer/trustee.

None of these private label securities are guaranteed by government agencies. Securities 1 through 3 in the table below are mostly backed by "Alternative A" first lien mortgage loans. Security 4 in the table below represents an asset backed security with an insurance "wrap" or guarantee. The average life of security 4 is currently estimated to be five years. Due to current market conditions, all of these assets remain extremely illiquid, and as such, the Bank determined that these securities are Level 3 securities in accordance with FASB ASC topic 820, "Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures." Based on this determination, the Bank utilized an income valuation model (present value model) approach, in determining the fair value of these securities. This approach is beneficial for positions that are not traded in active markets or are subject to transfer restrictions, and/or where valuations are adjusted to reflect illiquidity and/or non-transferability. Such adjustments are generally based on available market evidence. In the absence of such evidence, management's best estimate is used. Management's best estimate consists of both internal and external support for these investments. See Footnote 6, "Fair Value" for additional discussion.

The following table contains details regarding the Bank's private label securities as of June 30, 2011:

	(Gross								
		Cost,								
		OTTI	Net		Unrealized					
	Amortized	Credit	of OTTI	Fair	Gains /					
(in thousands)	Cost	Losses	Reserves	Value	(Losses)					
Security 1	\$ 1,631	\$ (1,631)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -					
Security 2	2,627	(2,627)	-	-	-					
Security 3	1,432	(1,432)	-	-	-					
Security 4	7,834	(2,015)	5,819	4,402	(1,417)					
Total	\$ 13,524	\$ (7,705)	\$ 5,819	\$ 4,402	\$ (1,417)					

The credit ratings for the Bank's private label mortgage backed and other private label mortgage-related securities range from "speculative" to "default" at June 30, 2011.

The following table presents a rollforward of the credit losses recognized in earnings:

	Three M	Mon		Six Months Ended June 30,				
(in thousands)	2011		2010		2011		2010	
Beginning balance, January 1	\$9,490		\$15,499		\$9,757		\$17,266	
Reversal of interest reserve	(279)	-		(279)	-	
Realized pass through of actual losses	(1,506)	(2,441)	(2,052)	(4,277)
Amounts related to credit loss for which an								

other-than-temporary impairment was not previously recognized

57 279 126 Ending balance, June 30 \$7,705 \$13,115 \$7,705 \$13,115

Further deterioration in economic conditions could cause the Bank to record additional impairment charges related to credit losses of up to \$5.8 million, which is the current carrying value of the Bank's one private label mortgage-related security.

Pledged Investment Securities

Investment securities pledged to secure public deposits, securities sold under agreements to repurchase and securities held for other purposes, as required or permitted by law are as follows:

(in thousands)	June 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
Carrying amount Fair value	\$ 371,479 371,752	\$ 430,445 431,223

3.LOANS AND ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES

The composition of the loan portfolio follows:

(in thousands)	Jui	ne 30, 2011	De	ecember 31, 2010
Residential real estate:				
Owner Occupied	\$	931,471	\$	913,856
Non Owner Occupied		110,723		126,404
Commercial real estate		662,946		646,417
Commercial real estate - Purchased Loans		32,650		-
Real estate construction		64,418		69,068
Commercial		108,988		107,647
Warehouse lines of credit		6,155		-
Home equity		284,358		290,492
Consumer:				
Credit Cards		8,050		8,206
Overdrafts		1,441		901
Other Consumer		11,497		12,249
Total loans		2,222,697		2,175,240
Less: Allowance for loan losses		25,931		23,079
Loans, net	\$	2,196,766	\$	2,152,161

In May 2011, RB&T, entered into a definitive agreement to sell its banking center located in Bowling Green, Kentucky to Citizens First Bank, Inc. ("Citizens"), a subsidiary of Citizens First Corporation (NASDAQ: CZFC). In addition to other items, the Agreement provides that Citizens will assume approximately one-half of the outstanding loans of RB&T's Bowling Green banking center. As a result, approximately \$15 million in loans related to this transaction have been reclassified in the financial statements as held for sale. The transaction is subject to customary closing conditions, including regulatory approvals, and is anticipated to be completed during the third quarter of 2011.

Activity in the allowance for loan losses follows:

		Mon	ths Ended 30,				ns Ended 30,	
(in thousands)	2011		2010		2011		2010	
Allowance for loan losses at beginning of period	\$29,144		\$25,640		\$23,079		\$22,879	
Charge offs - Traditional Banking	(1,493)	(2,401)	(3,167)	(4,394)
Charge offs - Tax Refund Solutions	(2,037)	(3,415)	(15,478)	(17,999)
Total charge offs	(3,530)	(5,816)	(18,645)	(22,393)
Recoveries - Traditional Banking	566		159		1,112		398	
Recoveries - Tax Refund Solutions	190		3,696		2,742		6,005	
Total recoveries	756		3,855		3,854		6,403	
Net loan charge offs/recoveries - Traditional Banking	(927)	(2,242)	(2,055)	(3,996)
Net loan charge offs/recoveries - Tax Refund Solutions	(1,847)	281		(12,736)	(11,994)
Net loan charge offs/recoveries	(2,774)	(1,961)	(14,791)	(15,990)
Provision for loan losses - Traditional Banking	585		4,999		4,907		7,776	
Provision for loan losses - Tax Refund Solutions	(1,024)	(2,019)	12,736		11,994	
Total provision for loan losses	(439)	2,980		17,643		19,770	
Allowance for loan losses at end of period	\$25,931		\$26,659		\$25,931		\$26,659	

The following table presents the activity in the allowance for loan losses by portfolio segment for the six months ended June 30, 2011:

			(Commerci Real	al					
	Residential 1	Real Estate		Estate -	R	Real	V	/arehous	e	
		Non						Lines		
	Owner	Owner (Commercial	Purchased	d Es	state		of	F	Home
June 30, 2011 (in			Real							
thousands)	Occupied	Occupied	estate	Loans	Const	tructionCo	nmercial	Credit	F	Equity
Beginning balance Provision for loan	\$ 3,775	\$ 1,507	\$ 7,214	\$ -	\$ 2	2,612 \$	1,347	\$ -	\$	3,581
losses	2,303	(127)	1,716	-	1	1,239	(226)	15		29
Loans charged off	(1,079)	(55)	(719)	-	((53)	(100)	-		(624)
Recoveries	114	3	242	-	1	105	119	-		76
Ending Balance	\$ 5,113	\$ 1,328	\$ 8,453	\$ -	\$ 3	3,903 \$	1,140	\$ 15	\$	3,062
	Tax Refund	Credit		Other						
June 30, 2011 (in thousands)	Solutions	Cards (Overdrafts	Consume	er Un	nallocated	Total			

Beginning balance	\$ -	\$ 492	\$	125	\$	461	\$	1,965	\$ 23,079
Provision for loan									
losses	12,736	65		72		(179)	-	17,643
Loans charged off	(15,478)	(103)	(288)	(146)	-	(18,645)
Recoveries	2,742	17		298		138		-	3,854
Ending Balance	\$ -	\$ 471	\$	207	\$	274	\$	1,965	\$ 25,931

Republic defines impaired loans as follows:

All loans internally classified as "doubtful" or "loss;"

All loan relationships on accrual status internally classified as "substandard" exceeding \$499,999 in aggregate;

All loans internally classified as "substandard" or "special mention" on nonaccrual status, regardless of the size of the credit;

All non classified retail and commercial loan troubled debt restructurings ("TDRs"); and Any other situation where the collection of total amount due for a loan is improbable or otherwise meet the definition of impaired.

See the section titled "Credit Quality Indicators" below for additional discussion regarding the Company's loan classification structure.

Information regarding Republic's impaired loans follows:

(in thousands)	•	June 30, 2011	_	December 31, 2010
Impaired loans with no allocated allowance for loan losses Impaired loans with allocated allowance for loan losses	\$	23,573 33,895	\$	14,141 30,945
Total impaired loans	\$	57,468	\$	45,086
Amount of the allowance for loan losses allocated	\$	7,490	\$	4,284

A TDR is the situation where the Bank grants a concession to the borrower that the Bank would not otherwise have considered due to a borrower's financial difficulties. All TDRs are considered "Impaired." The substantial majority of the Bank's residential real estate TDRs involve reducing the client's loan payment through a rate reduction for a set period of time based on the borrower's ability to service the modified loan payment. The majority of the Bank's commercial related and construction TDRs involve a restructuring of loan terms such as a temporary forbearance or reduction in the payment amount to require only interest and escrow (if required) and/or extending the maturity date of the loan.

Detail of TDRs differentiated by loan type and accrual or nonaccrual classification follows:

June 30, 2011 (in thousands)	TDRs on Non-Accrual Status		TDRs on Accrual Status			Total TDRs
Residential real estate Commercial real estate Real estate construction Commercial	\$	4,076 7,016 271 4,208	\$	12,384 3,598 3,832	\$	16,460 10,614 4,103 4,208
Total TDRs	\$	15,571	\$	19,814	\$	35,385
		TDRs on Non-Accrual		TDRs on Accrual		Total

Edgar Filing: REPUBLIC BANCORP INC /KY/ - Form 10-Q

December 31, 2010 (in thousands)	Status		Status		TDRs		
Residential real estate Commercial real estate Real estate construction Commercial	\$	1,272 2,703 640	\$	9,191 11,425 2,719 4,281	\$	10,463 14,128 3,359 4,281	
Total TDRs	\$	4,615	\$	27,616	\$	32,231	
18							

The following tables present loans individually evaluated for impairment by class of loans. The difference between the unpaid principal balance and recorded investment represents partial write downs/charge offs taken on individual impaired credits.

			Allowance	Three Mor June 30		Six Months Ended June 30, 2011		
	Unpaid		for Loan	Average	Interest	Average	Interest Income	
	Principal	Recorded	Losses	Recorded	Income	Recorded		
June 30, 2011 (in thousands)	Balance	Investment	Allocated	Investment		Investment	Recognized	
ino disantas)	Bulance	in vegenient	Timocurca	in vestment	recognized	. In vestment	recognized	
Impaired loans wit allowance recorded Residential Real Estate: Owner								
Occupied Non Owner	\$ 13,119	\$ 13,119	\$ -	\$ 11,795	\$ 72	\$ 10,776	\$ 146	
Occupied Commercial	256	256	-	326	-	349	-	
Real Estate Commercial Real Estate -	7,107	7,070	-	4,246	-	3,355	-	
Purchased								
Loans Real Estate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Construction	1,333	1,134	-	1,512	4	1,747	8	
Commercial Warehouse	1,213	1,213	-	1,213	16	1,213	33	
lines of credit	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Home Equity Consumer: Credit	781	781	-	391	-	260	-	
Cards	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Overdrafts Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Consumer	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Impaired loans with an allowance recorded: Residential Real Estate:	0.505							
	3,582	3,582	1,113	2,493	-	1,710	9	

Owner Occupied Non Owner							
Occupied Commercial	1,752	1,752	477	1,802	-	1,990	-
Real Estate Commercial Real Estate - Purchased	14,572	14,164	2,156	16,449	137	17,789	274
Loans Real Estate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Construction	10,747	9,824	2,833	8,543	61	7,092	122
Commercial Warehouse	3,266	3,266	328	3,529	30	3,610	60
lines of credit	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Home Equity Consumer: Credit	1,307	1,307	583	654	-	436	-
Cards	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Overdrafts Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Consumer	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total impaired							
loans	59,035	57,468	7,490	52,953	320	50,327	652
Loans collectively evaluated for impairment: Residential Real Estate: Owner							
Occupied Non Owner	914,770	914,770	4,000				
Occupied Commercial	108,715	108,715	851				
Real Estate Commercial Real Estate - Purchased	641,712	641,712	6,297				
Loans Real Estate	32,650	32,650	-				
Construction	53,460	53,460	1,070				
Commercial Warehouse	104,509	104,509	812				
lines of credit Home Equity Consumer: Credit	6,155 282,270	6,155 282,270	15 2,479				
Cards	8,050	8,050	471				
Overdrafts	1,441	1,441	207				

Edgar Filing: REPUBLIC BANCORP INC /KY/ - Form 10-Q

Other			
Consumer	11,497	11,497	274
Unallocated			
allowance for			
loan losses	-	-	1,965
Total non			
impaired loans	2,165,229	2,165,229	18,441
Grand total	\$ 2,224,264	\$ 2,222,697	\$ 25,931

December 31, 2010 (in thousands)		Unpaid Principal Balance	Recorded Investment	for	Allowance Loan Losses Allocated
Impaired loans with no related allowance					
recorded:					
Residential Real Estate:					
Owner Occupied	\$	8,739	\$ 8,739	\$	-
Non Owner Occupied		396	396		-
Commercial Real Estate		1,611	1,574		-
Real Estate Construction		2,878	2,219		-
Commercial		1,213	1,213		-
Home Equity		-	-		-
Consumer:					
Credit Cards		-	-		-
Overdrafts		-	-		-
Other Consumer		-	-		-
Impaired loans with an allowance recorded:					
Residential Real Estate:					
Owner Occupied		145	145		27
Non Owner Occupied		2,496	2,366		520
Commercial Real Estate		21,038	20,468		1,979
Real Estate Construction		5,115	4,192		1,311
Commercial		3,774	3,774		447
Home Equity		-	-		-
Consumer:					
Credit Cards		-	-		-
Overdrafts		-	-		-
Other Consumer		-	-		-
Total impaired loans		47,405	45,086		4,284
Loans collectively evaluated for impairment	:				
Residential Real Estate:					
Owner Occupied		904,972	904,972		4,724
Non Owner Occupied		123,642	123,642		11
Commercial Real Estate		624,375	624,375		5,241
Real Estate Construction		62,657	62,657		1,294
Commercial		102,660	102,660		900
Home Equity		290,492	290,492		3,581
Consumer:					
Credit Cards		8,206	8,206		492
Overdrafts		901	901		126
Other Consumer		12,249	12,249		461
Unallocated allowance for loan losses		-	-		1,965
Total non impaired loans		2,130,154	2,130,154		18,795
Grand total	\$	2,177,559	\$ 2,175,240	\$	23,079

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, the Company did not recognize any interest income under the cash-basis method of accounting.

A summary of the types of TDR loan modifications outstanding at June 30, 2011 follows:

		TDRs					
	F	Performing	7	DRs Not			
		o Modified		rforming to		Total	
				Modified		_ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	
June 30, 2011 (in thousands)		Terms	-	Terms		TDRs	
Decidential real estate leans:							
Residential real estate loans:	ф	0.217	¢	022	ф	10.220	
Rate reduction	\$	9,317	\$	922	\$	10,239	
Interest only payments for 6-12 months		5,077		584		5,661	
Forbearance for 3-6 months		-		456		456	
Extension or other modification		104		-		104	
Total residential TDRs		14,498		1,962		16,460	
Commerical related and construction loans:							
Interest only payments for 6 - 12 months		5,329		296		5,625	
Interest only payments for 36 months		4,208		-		4,208	
Rate reduction		3,161		_		3,161	
Forbearance for 3-6 months		830		2,039		2,869	
Extension or other modification		3,062		_		3,062	
Total commercial TDRs		16,590		2,335		18,925	
Total TDRs	\$	31,088	\$	4,297	\$	35,385	
	·	, , , , , ,	·	,	·	,	
		TDRs	_				
		Performing		TDRs Not			
	to	o Modified	Da	rforming to		Total	
		J WIOGITICG		_			
		o iviounicu		Modified			
December 31, 2010 (in thousands)		Terms		Modified Terms		TDRs	
December 31, 2010 (in thousands) Residential real estate loans:						TDRs	
	\$	Terms			\$		
Residential real estate loans: Rate reduction	\$	Terms 6,568]	Terms	\$	7,117	
Residential real estate loans:	\$	Terms 6,568 2,783]	Terms 549	\$	7,117 2,783	
Residential real estate loans: Rate reduction Interest only payments for 6-12 months Forbearance for 3-6 months	\$	Terms 6,568 2,783 458]	Terms 549	\$	7,117 2,783 458	
Residential real estate loans: Rate reduction Interest only payments for 6-12 months	\$	Terms 6,568 2,783]	Terms 549	\$	7,117 2,783	
Residential real estate loans: Rate reduction Interest only payments for 6-12 months Forbearance for 3-6 months Extension or other modification Total residential TDRs	\$	Terms 6,568 2,783 458 105]	Terms 549	\$	7,117 2,783 458 105	
Residential real estate loans: Rate reduction Interest only payments for 6-12 months Forbearance for 3-6 months Extension or other modification Total residential TDRs Commercial related and construction loans:	\$	Terms 6,568 2,783 458 105 9,914]	549 - - - 549	\$	7,117 2,783 458 105 10,463	
Residential real estate loans: Rate reduction Interest only payments for 6-12 months Forbearance for 3-6 months Extension or other modification Total residential TDRs Commercial related and construction loans: Interest only payments for 6 - 12 months	\$	Terms 6,568 2,783 458 105 9,914]	Terms 549	\$	7,117 2,783 458 105 10,463	
Residential real estate loans: Rate reduction Interest only payments for 6-12 months Forbearance for 3-6 months Extension or other modification Total residential TDRs Commercial related and construction loans: Interest only payments for 6 - 12 months Interest only payments for 36 months	\$	Terms 6,568 2,783 458 105 9,914 5,876 4,208]	549 - - - 549	\$	7,117 2,783 458 105 10,463 6,186 4,208	
Residential real estate loans: Rate reduction Interest only payments for 6-12 months Forbearance for 3-6 months Extension or other modification Total residential TDRs Commercial related and construction loans: Interest only payments for 6 - 12 months Interest only payments for 36 months Rate reduction	\$	Terms 6,568 2,783 458 105 9,914 5,876 4,208 3,028]	Terms 549 549 310	\$	7,117 2,783 458 105 10,463 6,186 4,208 3,028	
Residential real estate loans: Rate reduction Interest only payments for 6-12 months Forbearance for 3-6 months Extension or other modification Total residential TDRs Commerical related and construction loans: Interest only payments for 6 - 12 months Interest only payments for 36 months Rate reduction Forbearance for 3-6 months	\$	Terms 6,568 2,783 458 105 9,914 5,876 4,208 3,028 3,813]	549 - - - 549	\$	7,117 2,783 458 105 10,463 6,186 4,208 3,028 4,668	
Residential real estate loans: Rate reduction Interest only payments for 6-12 months Forbearance for 3-6 months Extension or other modification Total residential TDRs Commercial related and construction loans: Interest only payments for 6 - 12 months Interest only payments for 36 months Rate reduction Forbearance for 3-6 months Extension or other modification	\$	Terms 6,568 2,783 458 105 9,914 5,876 4,208 3,028]	Terms 549 549 310	\$	7,117 2,783 458 105 10,463 6,186 4,208 3,028	
Residential real estate loans: Rate reduction Interest only payments for 6-12 months Forbearance for 3-6 months Extension or other modification Total residential TDRs Commerical related and construction loans: Interest only payments for 6 - 12 months Interest only payments for 36 months Rate reduction Forbearance for 3-6 months	\$	Terms 6,568 2,783 458 105 9,914 5,876 4,208 3,028 3,813]	Terms 549 549 310 855	\$	7,117 2,783 458 105 10,463 6,186 4,208 3,028 4,668	

As of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, 88% and 95% of the Bank's TDRs were performing according to their modified terms. The Bank allocated \$7.5 million and \$2.8 million of specific reserves to customers whose loan terms have been modified in TDRs as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010. Specific reserve allocations are generally assessed prior to loans being modified as a TDR, as most of these loans migrate from Republic's internal watch list and have been specifically allocated for as part of the Bank's normal loan loss provisioning methodology. The Bank has not committed to lend any additional material amounts to its existing TDR relationships.

A summary of the types of TDR loan modifications that occurred during the first six months of 2011 were as follows:

		TDRs			
	Pe	erforming	T	DRs Not	
			Pe	erforming	
	to	Modified		to	Total
			N	Modified	
(in thousands)		Terms		Terms	TDRs
Residential real estate loans:					
Rate reduction	\$	3,010	\$	379	\$ 3,389
Interest only payments for 6-12 months		3,904		-	3,904
Total residential TDRs		6,914		379	7,293
Commerical related and construction loans:					
Interest only payments for 6 - 12 months		1,385		-	1,385
Rate reduction		307		-	307
Total commercial TDRs		1,692		-	1,692
Total TDRs	\$	8,606	\$	379	\$ 8,985

As of June 30, 2011, 96% of the Bank's TDRs that occurred during the first six months of 2011 were performing according to their modified terms. The Bank had allocated \$1.4 million in specific reserves to customers whose loan terms were modified in TDRs during the first six months of 2011. As stated above, specific reserves are generally assessed prior to loans being modified as a TDR, as most of these loans migrate from Republic's internal watch list and have been specifically reserved for as part of the Bank's normal reserving methodology.

During the past twelve months, approximately \$1.6 million retail and \$1.5 million commercial TDRs have gone delinquent and defaulted on their restructured terms.

Management determines whether to classify a TDR as non-performing based on its accrual status prior to modification. Non-accrual loans modified as TDRs remain on non-accrual status and continue to be reported as non-performing loans. Accruing loans modified as TDRs are evaluated for non-accrual status based on a current evaluation of the borrower's financial condition and ability and willingness to service the modified debt. At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, \$10 million and \$5 million, respectively of TDRs were classified as non-performing loans.

Detail of non-performing loans and non-performing assets follows:

(in thousands)	J	June 30, 2011		December 31, 2010		
Loans on non-accrual status Loans past due 90 days or more and still on accrual	\$	28,499 -		\$	28,317	
Total non-performing loans Other real estate owned Total non-performing assets	\$	28,499 12,012 40,511		\$	28,317 11,969 40,286	
Non-performing loans to total loans - Total Company Non-performing loans to total loans - Traditional Banking Non-performing assets to total loans (including OREO)		1.28 1.28 1.81	% % %		1.30 1.30 1.84	% % %

The following table presents non accrual loans and loans past due over 90 days still on accrual by class of loans:

	June 30, 2011			December 31, 2010					
				Loans			Loans		
				Past			Past		
			I	Oue 90			Due 90		
				Days				Days	
			O	r More			0	r More	
				and				and	
				Still				Still	
			A	ccruing			A	ccruing	
(in thousands)	No	on accrual	I	nterest	No	on accrual	I	nterest	
Residential real estate:									
Owner Occupied	\$	12,034	\$	-	\$	13,356	\$	-	
Non Owner Occupied		3,173				1,880			
Commercial real estate		5,371		-		6,265		-	
Commercial real estate - Purchased									
Loans		-		-		-		-	
Real estate construction		4,060		-		3,682		-	
Commercial		294		-		323		-	
Warehouse line of credit		-		-		-		-	
Home equity		3,497		-		2,734		-	
Consumer:									
Credit Cards		-		-		-		-	
Overdrafts		-		-		-		-	

Other Consumer	70	-	77	-
Total	\$ 28,499	\$ -	\$ 28,317	\$ -

Non-accrual loans and loans past due 90-days-or-more and still on accrual include both smaller balance homogeneous loans that are collectively evaluated for impairment and individually classified impaired loans. Non-accrual loans are returned to accrual status when all the principal and interest amounts contractually due are brought current and held current for six consecutive months and future payments are reasonably assured. Non-accrual TDRs are reviewed for return to accrual status on an individual basis, with additional consideration given to the modification terms.

The following table presents the aging of the recorded investment in past due loans by class of loans:

June 30, 2011 (in thousands)		- 59 Days Past Due		- 89 Days Past Due	9	reater than 90 Days Past Due]	Total Loans Past Due
Residential real estate:								
Owner Occupied	\$	3,184	\$	1,603	\$	7,426	\$	12,213
Non Owner Occupied		305		113		1,276		1,694
Commercial real estate		145		-		2,613		2,758
Commercial real estate - Purchased Loans		-		-		-		-
Real estate construction		288		5,560		1,901		7,749
Commercial		373		-		-		373
Warehouse lines of credit		-		-		-		-
Home equity		908		234		2,111		3,253
Consumer:								
Credit Cards		38		10		-		48
Overdrafts		-		-		-		-
Other Consumer		234		48		-		282
Total	\$	5,475	\$	7,568	\$	15,327	\$	28,370
	30	- 59	60	- 89	Gı	eater than		Total
		Days		Days	9	90 Days		Loans
December 31, 2010 (in thousands)	I	Past Due	F	Past Due	I	Past Due]	Past Due
Residential real estate:								
Owner Occupied	\$	4,540	\$	1,049	\$	9,425	\$	15,014
Non Owner Occupied		185		95		737		1,017
Commercial real estate		1,323		-		4,377		5,700
Real estate construction		71		333		1,918		2,322
Commercial		3		26		38		67
Home equity		1,097		518		829		2,444
Consumer:								
Credit Cards		57		4		-		61
Overdrafts		158		-		-		158
Other Consumer		108		32		4		144
Total	\$	7,542	\$	2,057	\$	17,328	\$	26,927

Credit Quality Indicators:

Bank procedures for assessing and maintaining adequate credit quality grading differ slightly depending on whether a new or renewed loan is being underwritten, or whether an existing loan is being re-evaluated for potential credit quality concerns. The latter usually occurs upon receipt of updated financial information, or other pertinent data, that would potentially cause a change in the loan grade.

For new and renewed commercial and commercial real estate loans, the Bank's Credit Administration Department, which acts independently of the loan officer, assigns the credit quality grade to the loan. Loan

grades for new commercial and commercial real estate loans with an aggregate credit exposure of \$1,500,000 or greater are validated by the Senior Loan Committee ("SLC"). Loan grades for renewed commercial and commercial real estate loans with an aggregate credit exposure of \$2,000,000 or greater, are also validated by the SLC.

The SLC is chaired by the Chief Operating Officer of Commercial Banking ("COO") and includes the Bank's Chief Commercial Credit Officer ("CCCO"). The Bank's SLC meetings are also attended by the Bank's Chief Risk Management Officer ("CRMO").

Commercial loan officers are responsible for reviewing their loan portfolios and reporting any adverse material changes to the CCCO. When circumstances warrant a review and possible change in the credit quality grade, loan officers are required to notify the Bank's Credit Administration Department.

The COO meets monthly with commercial loan officers to discuss the status of past-due loans and possible classified loans. These meetings are also designed to give the loan officers an opportunity to identify an existing loan that should be downgraded to a classified grade.

Monthly, members of senior management along with managers of Commercial Lending, Commercial Credit Administration and Special Asset and Retail Collections attend a Special Asset Committee ("SAC") meeting. The SAC reviews all commercial and commercial real estate past due, classified, and impaired loans in excess of \$100,000 and discusses the relative trends and current status of these assets. In addition, the SAC reviews all retail single 1-4 residential real estate loans exceeding \$750,000 and all home equity loans exceeding \$100,000 that are 80-days or more past due or that are on non-accrual status. SAC also reviews the actions taken by management regarding foreclosure mitigation, loan extensions, troubled debt restructures, and collateral repossessions. Based on the information reviewed in this meeting, the SAC makes a recommendation as to any specific loan loss allocations that should be recognized by the Bank within its Allowance for Loan Loss analysis.

For all real estate and consumer loans that do not meet the scope above, the Bank uses a grading system based on delinquency. Loans that are 80 days or more past due, on non-accrual, or are troubled debt restructurings are graded "Substandard." Occasionally a real estate loan below scope may be graded as "Special Mention" or "Substandard" if the loan is cross collateralized with a classified commercial loan.

On at least an annual basis, the Bank analyzes all aggregate lending relationships with outstanding balances greater than \$1 million that are internally classified as "Special Mention," "Substandard," "Doubtful" or "Loss." In addition, for al "Pass" rated loans, the Bank analyzes, on at least an annual basis, all aggregate lending relationships with outstanding balances exceeding \$4 million.

The Bank categorizes loans into risk categories based on relevant information about the ability of borrowers to service their debt such as: current financial information, historical payment experience, public information, and current economic trends. The Bank also considers the fair value of the underlying collateral and the strength and willingness of the guarantor(s). The Bank analyzes loans individually and based on this analysis, establishes a credit risk rating. The Bank uses the following definitions for risk ratings:

Risk Grade 1 Excellent (Pass):

Loans fully secured by liquid collateral, such as certificates of deposit, reputable bank letters of credit, or other cash equivalents; loans fully secured by publicly traded marketable securities where there is no impediment to liquidation; or loans to any publicly held company with a current long-term debt rating of A or better.

Risk Grade 2 Good (Pass):

Loan s to businesses that have strong financial statements containing an unqualified opinion from a CPA firm and at least three consecutive years of profits; loans supported by unaudited financial statements containing strong balance sheets, five consecutive years of profits, a five-year satisfactory relationship

with the Bank, and key balance sheet and income statement trends that are either stable or positive; loans that are guaranteed or otherwise backed by the full faith and credit of United States government or an agency thereof, such as the Small Business Administration; or loans to publicly held companies with current long-term debt ratings of Baa or better.

Risk Grade 3 Satisfactory (Pass):

Loans supported by financial statements (audited or unaudited) that indicate average or slightly below average risk and having some deficiency or vulnerability to changing economic conditions; loans with some weakness but offsetting features of other support are readily available; loans that are meeting the terms of repayment, but which may be susceptible to deterioration if adverse factors are encountered.

Loans may be graded Satisfactory when there is no recent information on which to base a current risk evaluation and the following conditions apply:

At inception, the loan was properly underwritten, did not possess an unwarranted level of credit risk, and the loan met the above criteria for a risk grade of Excellent, Good, or Satisfactory;

At inception, the loan was secured with collateral possessing a loan value within Loan Policy guidelines to protect the Bank from loss.

The loan has exhibited two or more years of satisfactory repayment with a reasonable reduction of the principal balance.

During the period that the loan has been outstanding, there has been no evidence of any credit weakness. Some examples of weakness include slow payment, lack of cooperation by the borrower, breach of loan covenants, or the borrower is in an industry known to be experiencing problems. If any of these credit weaknesses is observed, a lower risk grade may be warranted.

Risk Grade 4 Satisfactory/Monitored (Pass):

Loans in this category are considered to be of acceptable credit quality, but contain greater credit risk than Satisfactory loans due to weak balance sheets, marginal earnings or cash flow, or other uncertainties. These loans warrant a higher than average level of monitoring to ensure that weaknesses do not advance. The level of risk in a Satisfactory/Monitored loan is within acceptable underwriting guidelines so long as the loan is given the proper level of management supervision.

Risk Grade 5 Special Mention:

Loans that possess some credit deficiency or potential weakness that deserves close attention. Such loans pose an unwarranted financial risk that, if not corrected, could weaken the loan by adversely impacting the future repayment ability of the borrower. The key distinctions of a Special Mention classification are that (1) it is indicative of an unwarranted level of risk and (2) credit weaknesses are not defined impairments to the primary source of repayment and are consider potential.

Risk Grade 6 Substandard:

One or more of the following characteristics may be exhibited in loans classified Substandard:

Loans that possess a defined credit weakness. The likelihood that a loan will be paid from the primary source of repayment is uncertain. Financial deterioration is under way and very close attention is warranted to ensure that the loan is collected without loss.

Loans are inadequately protected by the current net worth and paying capacity of the obligor.

The primary source of repayment is gone, and the Bank is forced to rely on a secondary source of repayment, such as collateral liquidation or guarantees.

Loans have a distinct possibility that the Bank will sustain some loss if deficiencies are not corrected.

Unusual courses of action are needed to maintain a high probability of repayment.

The borrower is not generating enough cash flow to repay loan principal, however, it continues to make interest payments.

The Bank is forced into a subordinated or unsecured position due to flaws in documentation.

Loans have been restructured so that payment schedules, terms and collateral represent concessions to the borrower when compared to the normal loan terms.

The Bank is seriously contemplating foreclosure or legal action due to the apparent deterioration in the loan.

There is significant deterioration in market conditions to which the borrower is highly vulnerable.

Risk Grade 7 Doubtful:

One or more of the following characteristics may be present in loans classified Doubtful:

Loans have all of the weaknesses of those classified a substandard. However, based on existing conditions, these weaknesses make full collection of principal highly improbable.

The primary source of repayment is gone, and there is considerable doubt as to the quality of the secondary source of repayment.

The possibility of loss is high but because of certain important pending factors which may strengthen the loan, loss classification is deferred until the exact status of repayment is known.

Risk Grade 8 Loss:

Loans are considered uncollectible and of such little value that continuing to carry them as assets is not feasible. Loans will be classified Loss when it is neither practical nor desirable to defer writing off or reserving all or a portion of a basically worthless asset, even though partial recovery may be possible at some time in the future. These loans will be either written off or a specific valuation allowance established using the Net Realized Value or Fair Value methods of calculation as discussed below.

Based on the Bank's most recent analysis performed, the risk category of loans by class of loans follows:

		Special			Not	Total
June 30, 2011 (in thousands)	Pass	Mention	Substandard	Doubtful	Rated	Loans
Residential real estate:						
	4	4.100	* * * * * * * * * *	Φ.	***	0001 151
Owner Occupied	\$-	\$1,488	\$ 14,986	\$-	\$914,997	\$931,471
Non Owner Occupied	-	3,750	1,867	-	105,106	110,723
Commercial real estate	615,838	26,906	20,202	-	-	662,946
Commercial real estate -						
Purchased	32,650	-	-	-	-	32,650
Real estate construction	49,421	3,504	11,493	-	-	64,418
Commercial	103,860	4,728	400	-	-	108,988
Warehouse lines of credit	6,155	-	-	-	-	6,155
Home equity	-	-	3,242	-	281,116	284,358
Consumer:						-
Credit Cards	-	-	-	-	8,050	8,050
Overdrafts	-	-	-	-	1,441	1,441
Other Consumer	-	-	6	-	11,491	11,497
Total	\$807,924	\$40,376	\$ 52,196	\$-	\$1,322,201	\$2,222,697

Edgar Filing: REPUBLIC BANCORP INC /KY/ - Form 10-Q

		Special			Not	Total
December 31, 2010 (in thousands)	Pass	Mention	Substandard	Doubtful	Rated	Loans
Residential real estate:						
Owner Occupied	\$-	\$1,017	\$11,925	\$-	\$900,914	\$913,856
Non Owner Occupied	-	3,288	1,095	-	122,021	126,404
Commercial real estate	598,502	33,802	14,113	-	-	646,417
Real estate construction	51,540	11,340	6,188	-	-	69,068
Commercial	102,416	4,807	424	-	-	107,647
Home equity	-	-	4,495	-	285,997	290,492
Consumer:						-
Credit Cards	-	-	-	-	8,206	8,206
Overdrafts	-	-	-	-	901	901
Other Consumer	-	-	5	-	12,244	12,249
Total	\$752,458	\$54,254	\$ 38,245	\$-	\$1,330,283	\$2,175,240
28						

RAL Loss Reserves and Provision for Loan Losses:

Substantially all RALs issued by RB&T each year are made during the first quarter. RALs are generally repaid by the IRS or applicable taxing authority within two weeks of origination. Losses associated with RALs result from the IRS not remitting taxpayer refunds to RB&T associated with a particular tax return. This occurs for a number of reasons, including errors in the tax return and tax return fraud which are identified through IRS audits resulting from revenue protection strategies. In addition, RB&T also incurs losses as a result of tax debts not previously disclosed during its underwriting process.

At March 31st of each year, RB&T has historically reserved for its estimated RAL losses for the year based on current and prior-year funding patterns, information received from the IRS on current year payment processing, projections using RB&T's internal RAL underwriting criteria applied against prior years' customer data, and the subjective experience of RB&T management. RALs outstanding 30 days or longer are charged off at the end of each quarter with subsequent collections recorded as recoveries. Since the RAL season is over by the end of April of each year, essentially all uncollected RALs are charged off by June 30th of each year, except for those RALs management deems certain of collection.

On August 5, 2010, the IRS issued a news release stating that it would no longer provide tax preparers and associated financial institutions with the Debt Indicator ("DI") beginning with the first quarter 2011 tax season. The DI indicated whether an individual taxpayer would have any portion of the refund offset for delinquent tax or other debts, such as unpaid child support or federally-funded student loans.

While underwriting for RALs involves several individual components, the DI has historically represented a significant part of the overall underwriting for the product. Without the DI, as expected, RB&T experienced a higher provision for loan losses as a percentage of RALs originated during 2011 as compared to 2010. Due to the elimination of the DI, more of RB&T's RAL losses in 2011 resulted from refunds being retained by the IRS to satisfy eligible state or federal delinquent debts as compared to prior years when the vast majority of its RAL losses were the result of revenue protection strategies by the IRS.

As of June 30, 2011 and 2010, \$15.5 million and \$14.5 million of total RALs originated remained uncollected, representing 1.49% and 0.48% of total gross RALs originated during the respective tax years by RB&T. Substantially all of these loans were charged off as of June 30, 2011 and 2010.

For the quarter ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, the TRS provision for loan losses was a net credit of \$1.0 million and a net credit of \$2.0 million. The net credit in both periods resulted from better than previously projected paydowns within RB&T's Refund Anticipation Loan ("RAL") portfolio. Management was able to estimate its 2011 TRS provision for loan losses with greater precision than its 2010 estimate, in part, because of the 66% strategic reduction in RAL dollar volume from 2010 to 2011. Management expects the actual loss rate realized will be less than the current uncollected amount, as RB&T will continue to receive payments from the IRS throughout the year and make other collection efforts to obtain repayment on the RALs.

For additional discussion regarding TRS, see the following sections:

Part I Item 1 "Financial Statements:"

o Footnote 1 "Basis of Presentation and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies"
o Footnote 3 "Loans and Allowance for Loan Losses"
o Footnote 4 "Deposits"
o Footnote 8 "Off Balance Sheet Risks, Commitments and Contingent Liabilities"
o Footnote 10 "Segment Information"
o Footnote 11 "Regulatory Matters"

Part II Item 1A "Risk Factors"

4. DEPOSITS

Ending deposit balances were as follows at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

(in thousands)	Ju	ne 30, 2011	De	ecember 31, 2010
Demand (NOW and SuperNOW)	\$	299,691	\$	298,452
Money market accounts		697,744		637,557
Brokered money market accounts		4,005		513
Savings		41,967		38,661
Individual retirement accounts*		32,356		34,129
Time deposits, \$100,000 and over*		93,167		152,891
Other certificates of deposit*		114,181		127,156
Brokered certificates of deposit*		126,580		687,958
Total interest-bearing deposits		1,409,691		1,977,317
Total non interest-bearing deposits		380,970		325,375
Total deposits	\$	1,790,661	\$	2,302,692

^{(1) -} Represents a time deposit.

In May 2011, RB&T, entered into a definitive agreement to sell its banking center located in Bowling Green, Kentucky to Citizens. In addition to other items, the Agreement provides for Citizens to assume all deposits. In the aggregate, the transaction covers approximately \$35 million in deposits. The transaction is subject to customary closing conditions, including regulatory approvals, and is anticipated to be completed during the third quarter of 2011.

During the fourth quarter of 2010, RB&T obtained \$562 million in brokered certificates of deposit to be utilized to fund the first quarter 2011 RAL program. These brokered certificates of deposit had a weighted average life of three months with a weighted average interest rate of 0.42%. During January of 2011, RB&T obtained an additional \$7 million in brokered deposits with a life of 3 months and interest rate of 0.30%.

For additional discussion regarding TRS, see the following sections:

Part I Item 1 "Financial Statements:"

o Footnote 1 "Basis of Presentation and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies"

o Footnote 8 "Off Balance Sheet Risks, Commitments and Contingent Liabilities"

o Footnote 10 "Segment Information"

Footnote 11 "Regulatory Matters"

Part II Item 1A "Risk Factors"

5. FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK ("FHLB") ADVANCES

At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, FHLB advances outstanding were as follows:

(in thousands)	June 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
Putable fixed interest rate advances with a weighted average interest rate of 4.41%(1)	\$130,000	\$150,000
Fixed interest rate advances with a weighted average interest rate of 3.13% due through 2018	389,799	414,877
Total FHLB advances	\$519,799	\$564,877

^{(1) -} Represents putable advances with the FHLB. These advances have original fixed rate periods ranging from one to five years with original maturities ranging from three to ten years if not put back to the Bank earlier by the FHLB. At the end of their respective fixed rate periods and on a quarterly basis thereafter, the FHLB has the right to require payoff of the advances by the Bank at no penalty. During the first quarter of 2007, the Bank entered into \$100 million of putable advances with a final maturity of 10 years and a fixed rate period of 3 years. Based on market conditions at this time, the Bank does not believe that any of its putable advances are likely to be "put back" to the Bank in the short-term by the FHLB.

Each FHLB advance is payable at its maturity date, with a prepayment penalty for fixed rate advances that are paid off earlier than maturity. FHLB advances are collateralized by a blanket pledge of eligible real estate loans. At June 30, 2011, Republic had available collateral to borrow an additional \$277 million from the FHLB. In addition to its borrowing line with the FHLB, Republic also had unsecured lines of credit totaling \$216 million available through various other financial institutions.

Aggregate future principal payments on FHLB advances, based on contractual maturity dates are detailed below:

Year	th	(in ousands)
2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 Thereafter	\$	30,000 85,000 91,000 178,000 10,000 125,799
Total	\$	519,799

The following table illustrates real estate loans pledged to collateralize advances and letters of credit with the FHLB:

(in thousands)

	J	June 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
First lien, single family residential real estate Home equity lines of credit Multi-family commercial real estate	\$	659,115 67,032 13,188	\$ 697,535 36,106 14,332

6. FAIR VALUE

Fair value is the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. There are three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair values:

Level 1: Quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets that the entity has the ability to access as of the measurement date.

Level 2: Significant other observable inputs other than Level 1 prices such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data.

Level 3: Significant unobservable inputs that reflect a reporting entity's own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability.

The Bank used the following methods and significant assumptions to estimate the fair value of each type of financial instrument:

Securities available for sale: For all securities available for sale, excluding private label mortgage backed and other private label mortgage-related securities, fair value is typically determined by matrix pricing, which is a mathematical technique used widely in the industry to value debt securities without relying exclusively on quoted prices for the specific securities, but rather by relying on the securities' relationship to other benchmark quoted securities (Level 2 inputs). With the exception of private label mortgage backed and other private label mortgage-related securities, all securities available for sale are classified as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy.

The Bank's three private label mortgage backed securities and one private label mortgage-related security remain extremely illiquid, and as such, the Bank classifies these securities as Level 3 securities in accordance with FASB ASC topic 820, "Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures." Based on this determination, the Bank utilized an income valuation model (present value model) approach, in determining the fair value of these securities.

See Footnote 2 "Investment Securities" for additional discussion regarding the Bank's private label mortgage backed and other private label mortgage-related securities.

Derivative instruments: Mortgage Banking derivatives used in the ordinary course of business consist of mandatory forward sales contracts ("forward contracts") and rate lock loan commitments. The fair value of the Bank's derivative instruments is primarily measured by obtaining pricing from broker-dealers recognized to be market participants. The pricing is derived from market observable inputs that can generally be verified and do not typically involve significant judgment by the Bank. Forward contracts and rate lock loan commitments are classified as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy.

Mortgage loans held for sale: The fair value of mortgage loans held for sale is determined using quoted secondary market prices. Mortgage loans held for sale are classified as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy.

Impaired Loans: The fair value of impaired loans with specific allocations of the allowance for loan losses is generally based on recent real estate appraisals. These appraisals may utilize a single valuation approach or a combination of approaches including comparable sales and the income approach. Adjustments are routinely made in the appraisal process by the appraisers to adjust for differences between the comparable sales and income data available. Such adjustments are typically significant and result in a Level 3 classification of the inputs for determining fair value.

Other Real Estate Owned: Nonrecurring adjustments to certain commercial and residential real estate properties classified as other real estate owned are measured at the lower of carrying amount or fair value, less costs to sell. Fair values are generally based on third party appraisals of the property, resulting in a Level 3 classification. In cases where the carrying amount exceeds the fair value, less costs to sell, an impairment loss is recognized.

Mortgage Servicing Rights: The fair value of mortgage servicing rights is based on a valuation model that calculates the present value of estimated net servicing income. The valuation model incorporates assumptions that market participants would use in estimating future net servicing income. The Bank is able to compare the valuation model inputs and results to widely available published industry data for reasonableness. Mortgage servicing rights are classified as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy.

Deposits held for sale: The fair value of deposits held for sale is based on the actual purchase price as agreed upon between RB&T and the purchaser. Because this transaction occurs in an orderly transaction between market participants, the fair value qualifies as a Level 2 fair value.

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value under on a recurring basis, including financial assets and liabilities for which the Bank has elected the fair value option, are summarized below:

	Fair Value Measurements at June 30, 2011 Using:					
		Quoted Prices in Active	Significant	Ü		
		Markets for	Other	Significant		
	Commino	Identical	Observable	Unobservable	Total	
(in thousands)	Carrying Value	Assets (Level 1)	Inputs (Level 2)	Inputs (Level 3)	Fair Value	
Securities available for sale:						
U.S. Treasury securities and U.S. Government agencies	\$214,240	\$-	\$215,021	\$ -	\$215,021	
Private label mortgage backed and other private label mortgage-related securities	5,819	_	_	4,402	4,402	
Mortgage backed securities - residential	160,677	-	168,942	-	168,942	
Collateralized mortgage obligations	214,264	-	215,530	-	215,530	
Total securities available for sale	\$595,000	\$-	\$599,493	\$ 4,402	\$603,895	
Mandatory forward contracts	\$-	\$-	\$40	\$ -	\$40	
Rate lock loan commitments	-	-	207	-	207	
Loans held for sale	21,456	-	21,456	-	21,456	
Deposits held for sale	35,383	-	35,383	-	35,383	
		Esia V	Value Measure			
		Dece	ember 31, 2010			
		Quoted Prices in	Significant			
		Active Markets	Other	Significant		
		for Identical	Observable	Unobservable	Total	
	Carrying	Assets	Inputs	Inputs	Fair	
(in thousands)	Value	(Level 1)	(Level 2)	(Level 3)	Value	

Securities available for sale: U.S. Treasury securities and

Edgar Filing: REPUBLIC BANCORP INC /KY/ - Form 10-Q

U.S. Government agencies	\$119,894	\$-	\$120,297	\$ -	\$120,297
Private label mortgage backed and other private label mortgage-related securities	6,323	-	-	5,124	5,124
Mortgage backed securities - residential	150,460	-	158,677	-	158,677
Collateralized mortgage obligations	223,665	-	225,657	-	225,657
Total securities available for sale	\$500,342	\$-	\$504,631	\$ 5,124	\$509,755
Mandatory forward contracts	\$-	\$-	\$277	\$ -	\$277
Rate lock loan commitments	-	-	108	-	108
Mortgage loans held for sale	15,228	-	15,228	-	15,228
33					

The tables below presents a reconciliation of all assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) for the periods ended June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

Securities available for Sale - Private label mortgage backed and other private label mortgage-related securities

	Three Months Ended June 30,				Six Months Ended June 30,			nded		
(in thousands)	2011			2010		2011			2010	
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 4,874		\$	5,792	\$	5,124		\$	5,901	
Total gains or losses included in earnings: Net impairment loss recognized in										
earnings Net change in unrealized gain /	-			(57)	(279)		(126)
(loss) Realized pass through of actual	1,194			2,782		1,967			4,931	
losses	(1,506)		(2,441)	(2,052)		(4,277)
Principal paydowns	(160)		(510)	(358)		(863)
Balance, end of period	\$ 4,402		\$	5,566	\$	4,402		\$	5,566	

There were no transfers into or out of Level 3 assets during the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010.

Assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis are summarized below:

	Fair Value Measurements at								
	June 30, 2011 Using:								
		Quoted							
		Prices in	Significant						
		Active							
		Markets	Other	Significant					
		for		-					
		Identical	Observable	Unobservable	Total				
	Carrying	Assets	Inputs	Inputs	Fair				
(in thousands)	Value	(Level 1)	(Level 2)	(Level 3)	Value				
Impaired loans:									
Residential Real Estate:									
Owner Occupied	\$697	\$-	\$-	\$ 624	\$624				
Non Owner Occupied	1,552	-	-	1,322	1,322				
Commercial Real Estate	10,891	-	-	9,962	9,962				
Real Estate Construction	6,854	-	-	5,581	5,581				
Commercial	-	-	-	-	-				
Home equity	2,088	-	-	1,505	1,505				
Total impaired loans	\$22,082	\$-	\$-	\$ 18,994	\$18,994				

Other real estate owned:

Residential Real Estate:					
Owner Occupied	\$3,312	\$-	\$-	\$ 3,312	\$3,312
Non Owner Occupied	527	-	-	527	527
Commercial Real Estate	3,996	-	-	3,996	3,996
Real Estate Construction	4,177	-	-	4,177	4,177
Total other real estate owned	\$12,012	\$-	\$-	\$ 12,012	\$12,012

		Fair Value Measurements at								
		December 31, 2010 Using:								
		Quoted		_						
		Prices in	Significant							
		Active	_							
		Markets	Other	Significant						
		for								
		Identical	Observable	Unobservable	Total					
	Carrying	Assets	Inputs	Inputs	Fair					
(in thousands)	Value	(Level 1)	(Level 2)	(Level 3)	Value					
Impaired loans:										
Residential Real Estate:										
Owner Occupied	\$323	\$-	\$-	\$ 298	\$298					
Non Owner Occupied	859	-	-	668	668					
Commercial Real Estate	8,339	-	-	7,533	7,533					
Real Estate Construction	3,052	-	-	2,767	2,767					
Commercial	282	-	-	82	82					
Total impaired loans	\$12,855	\$-	\$-	\$ 11,348	\$11,348					
Other real estate owned:										
Residential Real Estate:										
Owner Occupied	\$2,832	\$-	\$-	\$ 2,832	\$2,832					
Non Owner Occupied	1,101	-	-	1,101	1,101					
Commercial Real Estate	3,735	-	-	3,735	3,735					
Real Estate Construction	4,301	-	-	4,301	4,301					
Total other real estate owned	\$11,969	\$-	\$-	\$ 11,969	\$11,969					

The following section details impairment charges recognized during the period:

The Bank recorded realized impairment losses related to its Level 3 private label mortgage backed and other private label mortgage-related securities as follows:

	_	Ionths Ended ine 30.	Six Months Ended June 30.		
(in thousands)	2011	2010	2011	2010	
Net impairment loss recognized in earnings	\$-	\$57	\$279	\$126	

See Footnote 2 "Investment Securities" for additional detail regarding impairment losses.

Collateral dependent impaired loans are generally measured for impairment using the fair market value for reasonable disposition of the underlying collateral. The Company's practice is to obtain new or updated appraisals on the loans subject to the initial impairment review and then to evaluated the need for an update to this value on an as necessary or possibly annual basis thereafter (depending on the market conditions impacting the value of the collateral). The Company may discount the appraisal amount as necessary for selling costs and past due real estate taxes. If a new or updated appraisal is not available at the time of a loan's impairment review, the Company may apply a discount to the existing value of an old appraisal to reflect the property's current estimated value if it is believed to have deteriorated

in either: (i) the physical or economic aspects of the subject property or (ii) material changes in market conditions. The results of the impairment review results in an increase in the allowance for loan loss or in a partial charge-off of the loan, if warranted. Impaired loans that are collateral dependent are classified within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy when impairment is determined using the fair value method.

Impaired loans, which are measured for impairment using the fair value of the collateral for collateral dependent loans, had a carrying amount and valuation allowance as follows:

(in thousands)		Tune 30, 2011	De	December 31, 2010		
Carrying amount of loans with a valuation allowance Valuation allowance	\$	12,272 3,089	\$	9,472 1,506		

Other real estate owned, which is carried at the lower of cost or fair value, is periodically assessed for impairment based on fair value at the reporting date. Fair value is determined from external appraisals using judgments and estimates of external professionals. Many of these inputs are not observable and, accordingly, these measurements are classified as Level 3. At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the carrying value of other real estate owned was \$12 million and \$12 million, respectively. The fair value of the Bank's other real estate owned properties exceeded their carrying value at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

Detail of other real estate owned write downs follows:

	_	onths Ended ne 30.		Six Months Ended June 30.			
(in thousands)	2011	2010	2011	2010			
Other real estate owned write-downs	\$ 41	\$ 384	\$ 227	\$ 604			

Mortgage servicing rights ("MSR"s) are carried at lower of cost or fair value. No MSR valuation allowance existed at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

The carrying amounts and estimated fair values of all previously undisclosed financial instruments, at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 follows:

	Fair Value Measurements at June 30, 2011 Using:				
		Quoted Prices in Active	Prices in Significant		
		Markets for	Other	Significant	
	Carrying	Identical Assets	Observable Inputs	Unobservable Inputs	Total Fair
(in thousands)	Value	(Level 1)	(Level 2)	(Level 3)	Value
Assets:	Ф120.262	d)	ф120.262	Ф	ф120.2 <i>C</i> 2
Cash and cash equivalents	\$130,262	\$-	\$130,262	\$ -	\$130,262
Securities to be held to maturity: U.S. Treasury securities and					
U.S. Government Agencies	4,245	-	4,261	-	4,261
Mortgage backed securities - residential	1,738	-	1,864	-	1,864
Collateralized mortgage obligations	24,081	-	24,602	-	24,602
Total securities available for sale	30,064	-	30,727	-	30,727
Loans, net	2,196,766	_	2,263,429	-	2,263,429
Federal Home Loan Bank stock	26,153	_	26,153	-	26,153
Accrued interest receivable	9,635	-	9,635	-	9,635
Liabilities:					
Non interest-bearing deposit accounts	380,970	_	380,970	_	380,970
Transaction deposit accounts	1,043,407	_	1,043,407	-	1,043,407
Time deposits	366,284	_	384,844	-	384,844
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase and other short-term	ŕ		•		ŕ
borrowings	218,227	_	218,227	-	218,227
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	519,799	_	539,753	-	539,753
Subordinated note	41,240	_	41,149	-	41,149
Accrued interest payable	1,940	-	1,940	-	1,940
		December :	31 2010		
	C	arrying	Fair		
(in thousands)		amount	Value		
Assets:		-0.5.4:			
Cash and cash equivalents		786,371	\$ 786,371		
Securities to be held to maturity		32,939	33,824		
Loans held for sale		15,228	15,228		
Loans, net		2,152,161	2,209,717		
Federal Home Loan Bank stock	2	26,212	26,212		

Edgar Filing: REPUBLIC BANCORP INC /KY/ - Form 10-Q

Accrued interest receivable	9,472	9,472
Liabilities:		
Non interest-bearing deposit accounts	325,375	325,375
Transaction deposit accounts	975,183	975,183
Time deposits	1,002,134	1,004,511
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase		
and other short-term borrowings	319,246	319,246
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	564,877	586,737
Subordinated note	41,240	41,150
Accrued interest payable	2,377	2,377

The methods and assumptions used to estimate fair value are described as follows:

Carrying amount is the estimated fair value for cash and cash equivalents, accrued interest receivable and payable, demand deposits, short-term debt, and variable rate loans or deposits that reprice frequently and fully. The methods for determining the fair values for securities and mortgage loans held for sale were described previously. For fixed rate loans or deposits and for variable rate loans or deposits with infrequent repricing or repricing limits, fair value is based on discounted cash flows using current market rates applied to the estimated life and credit risk. Fair value of debt is based on current rates for similar financing. It was not practicable to determine the fair value of FHLB stock due to restrictions placed on its transferability. The fair value of off balance sheet items is not considered material.

The fair value estimates presented herein are based on pertinent information available to management as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010. Although management is not aware of any factors that would dramatically affect the estimated fair value amounts, such amounts have not been comprehensively revalued for purposes of these financial statements since that date and, therefore, estimates of fair value may differ significantly from the amounts presented.

7. MORTGAGE BANKING ACTIVITIES

Activity for mortgage loans held for sale was as follows:

June 30, (in thousands)	2011	2010
Balance, January 1 Origination of mortgage loans held for sale Proceeds from the sale of mortgage loans held for sale Net gain in sale of mortgage loans held for sale	\$ 15,228 52,558 (62,084) 1,465	\$ 5,445 114,438 (118,750) 2,176
Balance, June 30	\$ 7,167	\$ 3,309

Mortgage banking activities primarily include the origination of residential mortgage loans sold into the secondary market and the servicing component related to these loans. The following table presents the components of Mortgage Banking income:

(in the areas do)	J	Months Ended une 30,	Six Months Ended June 30,		
(in thousands)	2011	2010	2011	2010	
Net gain on sale of mortgage loans held for sale Change in mortgage servicing rights valuation allowance	\$757 -	\$1,308	\$1,465	\$2,176	
Loan servicing income, net of amortization	167	95	275	239	
Total mortgage banking income	\$924	\$1,403	\$1,740	\$2,415	
Other information relating to mortgage servicing rights follo	ows:				
June 30, (in thousands)		2011	2010		
Balance, January 1 Additions Amortized to expense Change in valuation allowance	\$	7,800 \$ 538 (1,169)	8,430 1,057 (1,305)		
Balance, June 30	\$	7,169 \$	8,182		

Other information relating to mortgage servicing rights follows:

(in thousands)	J	June 30, December 2011 31, 2010			
Fair value of mortgage servicing rights portfolio Discount rate	\$	9,126 9 140% -	\$ %	9,967 9 137%	% -
Prepayment speed range Weighted average default rate		550 1.50	% %	550 1.50	% %

Mortgage Banking derivatives used in the ordinary course of business consist of mandatory forward sales contracts and rate lock loan commitments. Mandatory forward contracts represent future commitments to deliver loans at a specified price and date and are used to manage interest rate risk on loan commitments and mortgage loans held for sale. Rate lock loan commitments represent commitments to fund loans at a specific rate. These derivatives involve underlying items, such as interest rates, and are designed to transfer risk. Substantially all of these instruments expire within 90 days from the date of issuance. Notional amounts are amounts on which calculations and payments are based, but which do not represent credit exposure, as credit exposure is limited to the amounts required to be received or paid.

The Company adopted FASB ASC topic 815, "Derivatives and Hedging" at the beginning of the first quarter of 2009, and has included the expanded disclosures required by that statement.

The following tables include the notional amounts and realized gain (loss) for Mortgage Banking derivatives recognized in Mortgage Banking income as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

in thousands)		June 30, 2011			December 31, 2010		
Mandatory forward contracts: Notional amount Change in fair value of mandatory forward contracts	\$	13,204 40	S	\$	25,591 277		
Rate lock loan commitments: Notional amount Change in fair value of rate lock loan commitments	\$	13,350 (42)	\$	8,699 (197)	

Mandatory forward contracts also contain an element of risk in that the counterparties may be unable to meet the terms of such agreements. In the event the counterparties fail to deliver commitments or are unable to fulfill their obligations, the Bank could potentially incur significant additional costs by replacing the positions at then current market rates. The Company manages its risk of exposure by limiting counterparties to those banks and institutions deemed appropriate by management and the Board of Directors. The Company does not expect any counterparty to default on their obligations and therefore, the Company does not expect to incur any cost related to counterparty default.

The Bank is exposed to interest rate risk on loans held for sale and rate lock loan commitments. As market interest rates fluctuate, the fair value of mortgage loans held for sale and rate lock commitments will decline or increase. To offset this interest rate risk, the Bank enters into derivatives such as mandatory forward contracts to sell loans. The fair value of these mandatory forward contracts will fluctuate as market interest rates fluctuate, and the change in the value of these instruments is expected to largely, though not entirely, offset the change in fair value of loans held for sale and rate lock commitments. The objective of this activity is to minimize the exposure to losses on rate loan lock commitments and loans held for sale due to market interest rate fluctuations. The net effect of derivatives on earnings will depend on risk management activities and a variety of other factors, including market interest rate volatility, the amount of rate lock commitments that close, the ability to fill the forward contracts before expiration, and the time period required to close and sell loans.

8. OFF BALANCE SHEET RISKS, COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENT LIABILITIES

In the ordinary course of business, the Company's bank subsidiaries are required to make certain disclosures to their loan and deposit clients in accordance with applicable law. Under certain circumstances, errors in those required disclosures could cause previously-recognized Company revenue to be subject to reimbursement to clients. In addition, errors in required disclosures could also subject the Company's bank subsidiaries to fines and penalties.

RB&T's tax-related products include several fees, which we believe have been disclosed in accordance with applicable law. RB&T's regulators may disagree with our view regarding the proper disclosure of the fees charged in connection with RB&T's tax-related products. Management is not aware of any errors in its disclosures of fees related to its tax-related products, which would cause it to reimburse material amounts of any previously recorded revenue.

Commencing on July 19, 2011, a lawsuit was filed in the United States District Court for the Middle District for Florida styled Brenda Webb vs. Republic Bank & Trust Company d/b/a Republic Bank, Civil Action No. 2:11-cv-00405-JES-SPC. The complaint was brought as a putative class action and seeks monetary damages, restitution and declaratory relief allegedly arising from RB&T's assessment and collection of overdraft fees. Management is evaluating the claims of this lawsuit and is unable to estimate the possible loss or range of possible loss, if any, that may result from this lawsuit. RB&T intends to vigorously defend this case.

RB&T is subject to a \$2 million Civil Money Penalty ("CMP"), which was proposed by the FDIC during the second quarter of 2011 as part of the Amended Notice of Charges for an Order to Cease and Desist; Notice of Assessment of Civil Money Penalties, Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law; Order to Pay; and Notice of Hearing (the "Amended Notice"). RB&T believes the charges, which resulted in the CMP, are without merit and intends to vigorously defend its right to offer a legal product to those who wish to purchase the product. RB&T is appealing the CMP as part of the Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") hearing process with the FDIC. Historically, ALJs and the FDIC Board of Directors have found in favor of the FDIC in such proceedings. As a result, management believes the ultimate payment of all or a material portion of the CMP is probable, and as such, RB&T recorded a \$2 million liability as of June 30, 2011. For additional discussion regarding the Amended Notice, see Exhibits 99.1 and 99.2 of the Company's Form 8-K filed with the SEC on May 5, 2011.

The Bank, in the normal course of business, is party to financial instruments with off balance sheet risk. These financial instruments primarily include commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit. The contract or notional amounts of these instruments reflect the potential future obligations of the Bank pursuant to those financial instruments. Creditworthiness for all instruments is evaluated on a case by case basis in accordance with the Bank's credit policies. Collateral from the customer may be required based on the Bank's credit evaluation of the customer and may include business assets of commercial customers, as well as personal property and real estate of individual customers or guarantors.

The Bank also extends binding commitments to customers and prospective customers. Such commitments assure the borrower of financing for a specified period of time at a specified rate. The risk to the Bank under such loan commitments is limited by the terms of the contracts. For example, the Bank may not be obligated to advance funds if the customer's financial condition deteriorates or if the customer fails to meet specific covenants. An approved but unfunded loan commitment represents a potential credit risk once the funds are advanced to the customer. Unfunded loan commitments also represent liquidity risk since the customer may demand immediate cash that would require funding and interest rate risk as market interest rates may rise above the rate committed. In addition, since a portion of these loan commitments normally expire unused, the total amount of outstanding commitments at any point in time may not require future funding.

As of June 30, 2011, exclusive of Mortgage Banking loan commitments, the Bank had outstanding loan commitments of \$458 million, which included unfunded home equity lines of credit totaling \$245 million. As of December 31, 2010, exclusive of Mortgage Banking loan commitments, the Bank had outstanding loan commitments of \$453 million, which included unfunded home equity lines of credit totaling \$254 million. These commitments generally have open-ended maturities and variable rates.

Standby letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by the Bank to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. The terms and risk of loss involved in issuing standby letters of credit are similar to those involved in issuing loan commitments and extending credit. Commitments outstanding under standby letters of credit totaled \$18 million and \$11 million at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010. In addition to credit risk, the Bank also has liquidity risk associated with standby letters of credit because funding for these obligations could be required immediately. The Bank does not deem this risk to be material.

At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Bank had a \$10 million letter of credit from the FHLB issued on behalf of one RB&T client. This letter of credit was used as a credit enhancement for a client bond offering and reduced RB&T's available borrowing line at the FHLB. The Bank uses a blanket pledge of eligible real estate loans to secure the letter of credit.

For additional discussion regarding TRS, see the following sections:

Part I Item 1 "Financial Statements:"

o Footnote 1 "Basis of Presentation and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies"
o Footnote 3 "Loans and Allowance for Loan Losses"
o Footnote 4 "Deposits"
o Footnote 10 "Segment Information"
o Footnote 11 "Regulatory Matters"

Part II Item 1A "Risk Factors"

9. EARNINGS PER SHARE

Class A and Class B shares participate equally in undistributed earnings. The difference in earnings per share between the two classes of common stock results solely from the 10% per share cash dividend premium paid on Class A Common Stock over that paid on Class B Common Stock. The Class A Common shares are entitled to cash dividends equal to 110% of the cash dividend paid per share on Class B Common Stock. Class A Common shares have one vote per share and Class B Common shares have ten votes per share. Class B Common shares may be converted, at the option of the holder, to Class A Common shares on a share for share basis. The Class A Common shares are not convertible into any other class of Republic's capital stock.

A reconciliation of the combined Class A and Class B Common Stock numerators and denominators of the earnings per share and diluted earnings per share computations is presented below:

	Three Months Ended June 30,				Six Months Ended June 30,				
(in thousands, except per share data)	2011	,	2010		2011	,	2010		
Net income	\$ 8,663	\$	8,397	\$	80,075	\$	55,025		
Weighted average shares outstanding Effect of dilutive securities Average shares outstanding including	20,936 58		20,840 118		20,937 55		20,827 93		
dilutive securities	20,994		20,958		20,992		20,920		
Basic earnings per share:									
Class A Common Share	\$ 0.42	\$	0.40	\$	3.83	\$	2.55		
Class B Common Share	0.40		0.39		3.80		2.52		
Diluted earnings per share:									
Class A Common Share	\$ 0.41	\$	0.40	\$	3.82	\$	2.54		
Class B Common Share	0.40		0.39		3.79		2.51		

Stock options excluded from the detailed earnings per share calculation because their impact was antidilutive are as follows:

	Three Mont June		Six Months Ended June 30,			
	2011	2010	2011	2010		
Antidilutive stock options	598,120	604,707	607,120	652,668		
43						

10. SEGMENT INFORMATION

The reportable segments are determined by the type of products and services offered, distinguished among Traditional Banking, Mortgage Banking and Tax Refund Solutions ("TRS"). They are also distinguished by the level of information provided to the chief operating decision maker, who uses such information to review performance of various components of the business (such as branches and subsidiary banks), which are then aggregated if operating performance, products/services, and customers are similar. Loans, investments and deposits provide the majority of the net revenue from Traditional Banking operations; servicing fees and loan sales provide the majority of revenue from Mortgage Banking operations; RAL fees and ERC/ERD fees provide the majority of the revenue from TRS. All Company operations are domestic.

The accounting policies used for Republic's reportable segments are the same as those described in the summary of significant accounting policies. Segment performance is evaluated using operating income. Goodwill is not allocated. Income taxes which are not segment specific are allocated based on income before income tax expense. Transactions among reportable segments are made at fair value.

For additional discussion regarding TRS, see the following sections:

Part I Item 1 "Financial Statements:"

o Footnote 1 "Basis of Presentation and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies"
o Footnote 3 "Loans and Allowance for Loan Losses"
o Footnote 4 "Deposits"
o Footnote 8 "Off Balance Sheet Risks, Commitments and Contingent Liabilities"
o Footnote 11 "Regulatory Matters"
Part II Item 1A "Risk Factors"

Segment information for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 follows:

	Th	ree Months End	led June 30, 2	011	
	Traditional	Tax Refund	Mortgage	Total	
(dollars in thousands)	Banking	Solutions	Banking	Company	y
Net interest income	\$26,393	\$367	\$69	\$26,829	
Provision for loan losses	585	(1,024)	-	(439)
Electronic refund check fees	-	6,584	-	6,584	
Net RAL securitization income	-	19	-	19	
Mortgage banking income	-	-	924	924	
Net gain on sales, calls and impairment					
of securities	1,907	-	-	1,907	
Other non interest income	5,893	18	23	5,934	
Total non interest income	7,800	6,621	947	15,368	
Total non interest expenses	22,679	4,900	947	28,526	
Gross operating profit	10,929	3,112	69	14,110	
Income tax expense	3,612	1,811	24	5,447	
Net income	\$7,317	\$1,301	\$45	\$8,663	
Segment end of period assets	\$3,067,290	\$22,585	\$14,695	\$3,104,57	0
Net interest margin	3.50	% NM	NM	3.50	%
	Th	ree Months End	led June 30-2	010	
	Traditional	Tax Refund	Mortgage	Total	
(dollars in thousands)	Banking	Solutions	Banking	Company	v
(actions in the doubted)	2	2010.0115		Compun.	,
Net interest income	\$26,762	\$1,182	\$109	\$28,053	
Provision for loan losses	4,999	(2,019)	-	2,980	
Electronic refund check fees	-	5,052	-	5,052	
Net RAL securitization income	-	25	-	25	
Mortgage banking income	_	-	1,403	1,403	
Net loss on sales, calls and impairment			•	•	
of securities	(57)	_	_	(57)
Other non interest income	5,856	2	23	5,881	
Total non interest income	5,799	5,079	1,426	12,304	
Total non interest expenses	22,481	1,492	672	24,645	
Gross operating profit	5,081	6,788	863	12,732	
Income tax expense	1,627	2,406	302	4,335	
Net income	\$3,454	\$4,382	\$561	\$8,397	
Segment end of period assets	\$3,103,946	\$24,771	\$11,735	\$3,140,45	52

Net interest margin 3.65 % NM NM 3.73 %

	Six Mont	ths Ended June	30, 2011	
	Traditional	Tax Refund	Mortgage	Total
(dollars in thousands)	Banking	Solutions	Banking	Company
Net interest income	\$51,521	\$59,088	\$191	\$110,800
Provision for loan losses	4,907	12,736	-	17,643
Electronic refund check fees	-	87,646	-	87,646
Net RAL securitization income	-	198	-	198
Mortgage banking income	-	-	1,740	1,740
Net gain on sales, calls and impairment				
of securities	1,628	-	-	1,628
Other non interest income	11,296	147	25	11,468
Total non interest income	12,924	87,991	1,765	102,680
Total non interest expenses	45,775	23,519	2,050	71,344
Gross operating profit / (loss)	13,763	110,824	(94) 124,493
Income tax expense / (benefit)	3,970	40,481	(33) 44,418
Net income	\$9,793	\$70,343	\$(61	\$80,075
Segment end of period assets	\$3,067,290	\$22,585	\$14,695	\$3,104,570
Net interest margin	3.42	% NM	NM	6.48 %
	Six Mont	ths Ended June	30, 2010	
	Traditional	Tax Refund	Mortgage	Total
(dollars in thousands)	Banking	Solutions	Banking	Company
Net interest income	\$54,023	\$50,716	\$186	\$104,925
Provision for loan losses	7,776	11,994	-	19,770
Electronic refund check fees	-	58,220	-	58,220
Net RAL securitization income	-	220	-	220
Mortgage banking income	-	-	2,415	2,415
Net loss on sales, calls and impairment			•	•
of securities	(126)	_	_	(126)
Other non interest income	11,419	10	23	11,452
Total non interest income	11,293	58,450	2,438	72,181
Total non interest expenses	48,290	25,994	1,500	75,784
Gross operating profit	9,250	71,178	1,124	81,552
Income tax expense	2,567	25,567	393	28,527
Net income	\$6,683	\$45,611	\$731	\$53,025
Segment end of period assets	\$3,103,946	\$24,771	\$11,735	\$3,140,452

Net interest margin	3.70	%	NM	NM	5.73	%
·						
NM – Not Meaningful						
1.00 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10						
46						

11.REGULATORY MATTERS

On a recurring basis, the FDIC performs a Community Reinvestment Act Performance Evaluation of RB&T. Among other things, the purpose of this evaluation is to assess RB&T's performance and initiatives that are designed to help meet the credit needs of the areas it serves, including low- and moderate-income individuals, neighborhoods and businesses. The evaluation also includes a review of RB&T's community development services and investments in RB&T's assessment areas.

In February 2009, RB&T entered into a Stipulation and Consent Agreement with the FDIC agreeing to the issuance of a Cease and Desist Order (the "2009 Order"), predominately related to required improvements and increased oversight of RB&T's compliance management system. For additional discussion regarding the 2009 Order, see Exhibit 10.62 of the Company's Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") on March 6, 2009.

The 2009 Order cited insufficient oversight of RB&T's consumer compliance programs, most notably in RB&T's RAL program. The 2009 Order required increased compliance oversight of the RAL program by RB&T's management and board of directors, subject to review and approval by the FDIC. Under the 2009 Order, RB&T increased its training and audits of its Electronic Return Originator ("ERO") partners, who make RB&T's tax products available to taxpayers across the nation. In addition, various components of the 2009 Order required RB&T to meet certain implementation, completion and reporting timelines, including the establishment of a compliance management system to appropriately assess, measure, monitor and control third-party risk and ensure compliance with consumer laws.

In addition to the compliance issues cited in regard to the RAL program, the 2009 Order also required RB&T to correct Home Mortgage Disclosure Act ("HMDA") reporting errors. As a consequence, RB&T made certain corrections to its 2007 and 2006 HMDA reporting. As a result of the errors in its 2007 and 2006 HMDA data and paid a \$22,000 Civil Money Penalty ("CMP") during the first quarter of 2009.

During the fourth quarter of 2009, the FDIC began the process for the 2009 Community Reinvestment Act Performance Evaluation (the "2009 CRA Evaluation"). During the third quarter of 2010, the FDIC notified RB&T of its 2009 CRA Evaluation performance rating. RB&T received "High Satisfactory" ratings on the Investment Test component and the Service Test component evaluated as part of the 2009 CRA Evaluation. Based on alleged Regulation B ("Reg B") violations regarding documentation of spousal obligations on a limited number of loans identified within RB&T's commercial lending area, RB&T received a "Needs to Improve" rating on the Lending Test component, and as a result, a "Needs to Improve" rating on its overall rating. As required by statute, the FDIC referred these alleged Reg B violations to the Department of Justice ("DOJ"). The FDIC subsequently notified RB&T that the DOJ had referred this matter back to the FDIC for administrative handling.

Prior to the FDIC's notification to RB&T of its 2009 CRA Evaluation results, RB&T changed certain procedures and processes to better document its commercial loan origination process as it relates to the intent of both spouses to become obligated to repay certain commercial loans. The FDIC did notify RB&T of certain additional corrective actions to be undertaken in response to the alleged Reg B violations. At this time, management is uncertain if and when the FDIC may issue further corrective actions with respect to the alleged Reg B violations from the 2009 CRA Evaluation.

In February 2011, RB&T received a Notice of Charges for an Order to Cease and Desist and Notice of Hearing from the FDIC (the "Notice") regarding its RAL program. The Notice contends that RB&T's practice of originating RALs without the benefit of the Debt Indicator ("DI") from the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") is unsafe and unsound. The Notice did not address RB&T's ERC and ERD products. The Notice initiated an agency adjudication proceeding, In Republic Bank & Trust Company, to determine whether the FDIC should issue a cease and desist order to restrain RB&T's RAL program. The FDIC had until May 3, 2011 to amend the Notice if it elected to do so. For additional

discussion regarding the Notice, see Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Form 8-K filed with the SEC on February 10, 2011.

On May 3, 2011, RB&T received an Amended Notice from the FDIC revising its original Notice referenced in the preceding paragraph. The Amended Notice resulted from conclusions made by the FDIC during a targeted visitation of 250 ERO offices in 36 states, which it conducted on February 15 and 16, 2011. In addition to the allegations contained in the Notice, the Amended Notice alleged violations of the Truth-In-Lending Act, the Equal Credit Opportunity Act, and the Federal Trade Commission Act. The Amended Notice also accused RB&T of, among other things, unsafe or unsound banking practices resulting from its third-party management; unsafe or unsound hindrance, impediment, or interference with a financial institution examination; unsafe or unsound physical security or electronic protection of ERO premises; violations of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act and FDIC regulation; and violations of the 2009 Order. Moreover, the Amended Notice included a proposed assessment of a \$2 million CMP. For additional discussion regarding the Amended Notice, see Exhibits 99.1 and 99.2 of the Company's Form 8-K filed with the SEC on May 5, 2011.

As part of the process initiated by the Notice, RB&T is entitled to a hearing before an Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") appointed by the FDIC. RB&T's hearing date was originally set for September 19, 2011, in Louisville, Kentucky. As a result of the issuance of the Amended Notice, the hearing date is now scheduled for February 6, 2012, unless it is subsequently changed by the ALJ. As part of the hearing process, the ALJ will take evidence on the items specified in the Amended Notice and make a recommendation of findings of fact to the FDIC Board of Directors, which may accept or reject the ALJ's recommendation. There is no statutory timeline in which the ALJ must make a recommendation to the FDIC. The FDIC Board of Directors would have 90 days after the date of the ALJ's recommendation, or argument before the FDIC Board of Directors, to render a decision. In the case of an adverse decision, RB&T would have the right to appeal the resulting order to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit. Filing an appeal would not operate as a stay of the order.

As stated above, RB&T is subject to a \$2 million CMP, which was proposed by the FDIC during the second quarter of 2011 as part of the Amended Notice. In addition to contesting the charges in the Amended Notice, RB&T is appealing the CMP as part of the ALJ hearing process. Historically, ALJs and the FDIC Board of Directors have found in favor of the FDIC in such proceedings. As a result, management believes the ultimate payment of all or a material portion of the CMP is probable, and as such, RB&T recorded a \$2 million liability as of June 30, 2011.

On February 28, 2011, RB&T filed a complaint in the United States District Court for the Western District of Kentucky (the "Court") against the FDIC and various officers of the FDIC in their official capacities, entitled Republic Bank and Trust Company v. Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, et al. The complaint states that the FDIC's actions to prohibit RB&T from offering RALs constitute a generally applicable change in law that must be administered through the traditional notice and comment rulemaking required by the Administrative Procedure Act (the "APA") or otherwise in a fashion permitted by law that is separate and apart from the adjudicatory process initiated by the Notice. The complaint also states that the FDIC has unlawfully ignored its procedural rules regarding discovery in the proceedings initiated by the Notice by conducting a series of unscheduled "visitations." The complaint seeks declaratory and injunctive relief. On March 31, 2011, the FDIC filed a Motion to Dismiss (the "Motion") RB&T's complaint with the Court. RB&T timely filed its brief in opposition to the Motion, and the matter remains pending with the Court. No responsive pleadings to RB&T's complaint have been or will be required to be filed in the action while the Motion is pending. If RB&T is successful in its objection to the Motion, the defendants will have an additional fourteen days to file their respective answers to RB&T's complaint. On May 20, 2011, the Court granted the Kentucky Bankers Association's (the "KBA") request to participate in the suit as Amicus Curiae. The FDIC and the other defendants have filed a motion asking the Court to reverse its prior approval of the KBA's participation in the suit as Amicus Curiae, which motion has not been ruled on by the Court.

For additional discussion regarding TRS, see the following sections:

Part I Item 1 "Financial Statements:"

o Footnote 1 "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies"

o Footnote 3 "Loans and Allowance for Loan Losses"

o Footnote 4 "Deposits"

o Footnote 8 "Off Balance Sheet Risks, Commitments and Contingent Liabilities"

o Footnote 10 "Segment Information"

Part II Item 1A "Risk Factors"

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of Republic Bancorp, Inc. ("Republic" or the "Company") analyzes the major elements of Republic's consolidated balance sheets and statements of income. Republic, a bank holding company headquartered in Louisville, Kentucky, is the parent company of Republic Bank & Trust Company, ("RB&T"), Republic Bank (collectively referred together with RB&T as the "Bank"), Republic Funding Company and Republic Invest Co. Republic Invest Co. includes its subsidiary, Republic Capital LLC. The consolidated financial statements also include the wholly-owned subsidiaries of RB&T: Republic Financial Services, LLC, TRS RAL Funding, LLC and Republic Insurance Agency, LLC. Republic Bancorp Capital Trust is a Delaware statutory business trust that is a 100%-owned unconsolidated finance subsidiary of Republic Bancorp, Inc. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of Republic should be read in conjunction with Part I Item 1 "Financial Statements."

As used in this filing, the terms "Republic," the "Company," "we," "our" and "us" refer to Republic Bancorp, Inc., and, wh the context requires, Republic Bancorp, Inc. and its subsidiaries; and the term the "Bank" refers to the Company's subsidiary banks: Republic Bank & Trust Company and Republic Bank.

Republic Bancorp and its subsidiaries operate in a heavily regulated industry. These regulatory requirements can and do affect the Company's results of operations and financial condition. For an update on regulatory matters affecting the Company and its subsidiaries, see Footnote 11 "Regulatory Matters" in Part I Item 1 "Financial Statements."

Forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause actual results, performance or achievements to be materially different from future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. Actual results may differ materially from those expressed or implied as a result of certain risks and uncertainties, including, but not limited to, changes in political and economic conditions, interest rate fluctuations, competitive product and pricing pressures, equity and fixed income market fluctuations, personal and corporate customers' bankruptcies, inflation, recession, acquisitions and integrations of acquired businesses, technological changes, changes in law and regulations or the interpretation and enforcement thereof, changes in fiscal, monetary, regulatory and tax policies, monetary fluctuations, success in gaining regulatory approvals when required, as well as other risks and uncertainties reported from time to time in the Company's filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC").

Broadly speaking, forward-looking statements include:

projections of revenue, expenses, income, losses, earnings per share, capital expenditures, dividends, capital structure or other financial items;

descriptions of plans or objectives for future operations, products or services;

forecasts of future economic performance; and

descriptions of assumptions underlying or relating to any of the foregoing.

The Company may make forward-looking statements discussing management's expectations about various matters, including:

delinquencies, future credit losses, non-performing loans and non-performing assets;

further developments in the Bank's ongoing review of and efforts to resolve possible problem credit relationships, which could result in, among other things, additional provision for loans losses;

deteriorating credit quality, including changes in the interest rate environment and reducing interest margins;

the overall adequacy of the allowance for loans losses;

future short-term and long-term interest rates and the respective impact on net interest margin, net interest spread, net income, liquidity and capital;

the future regulatory viability of the Tax Refund Solutions ("TRS") business operating segment;

anticipated future funding sources for TRS;

potential impairment of investment securities;

the future value of mortgage servicing rights;

the impact of new accounting pronouncements;

legal and regulatory matters including results and consequences of regulatory guidance, litigation, administrative proceedings, rule-making, interpretations, actions and examinations;

the extent to which regulations written and implemented by the newly created federal Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection, and other federal, state, local, governmental regulation of consumer lending, and related financial products and services may limit or prohibit the operation of the Company's business;

financial services reform and other current, pending or future legislation or regulation that could have a negative effect on our revenue and businesses, including the Dodd-Frank Act and legislation and regulation relating to overdraft fees (and changes to the Company's overdraft practices as a result thereof), debit card interchange fees, credit cards, and other bank services;

future capital expenditures;

the strength of the U.S. economy in general and the strength of the local economies in which the Company conducts operations; and

the Bank's ability to maintain current deposit and loan levels at current interest rates.

Forward-looking statements discuss matters that are not historical facts. As forward-looking statements discuss future events or conditions, the statements often include words such as "anticipate," "believe," "estimate," "expect," "intend," "project," "target," "can," "could," "may," "should," "will," "would," or similar expressions. Do not rely on forward statements. Forward-looking statements detail management's expectations regarding the future and are not guarantees. Forward-looking statements are assumptions based on information known to management only as of the date the statements are made and management may not update them to reflect changes that occur subsequent to the date the statements are made. See additional discussion under Part II Item 1A "Risk Factors."

RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

Regulatory Developments

As disclosed in Footnote 11 "Regulatory Matters," the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") concluded as part of its 2009 CRA Evaluation that RB&T violated Regulation B ("Reg B") regarding documentation of spousal obligations on a limited number of loans identified within RB&T's commercial lending area.

Prior to the FDIC's notification to RB&T of its 2009 CRA Evaluation results, RB&T changed certain procedures and processes to better document its commercial loan origination process as it relates to the intent of both spouses to become obligated to repay certain commercial loans. The FDIC did notify RB&T of certain additional corrective actions to be undertaken in response to the alleged Reg B violations. At this time, management is uncertain if and when the FDIC may issue further corrective actions with respect to the alleged Reg B violations from the 2009 CRA Evaluation.

In February 2011, RB&T received a Notice of Charges for an Order to Cease and Desist and Notice of Hearing from the FDIC (the "Notice") regarding its RAL program. The Notice contends that RB&T's practice of originating RALs without the benefit of the Debt Indicator ("DI") from the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") is unsafe and unsound. The Notice did not address RB&T's ERC and ERD products. The Notice initiated an agency adjudication proceeding, In Republic Bank & Trust Company, to determine whether the FDIC should issue a cease and desist order to restrain RB&T's RAL program. The FDIC had until May 3, 2011 to amend the Notice if it elected to do so. For additional discussion regarding the Notice, see Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Form 8-K filed with the SEC on February 10, 2011.

On May 3, 2011, RB&T received an Amended Notice from the FDIC revising its original Notice referenced in the preceding paragraph. The Amended Notice resulted from conclusions made by the FDIC during a targeted visitation of 250 ERO offices in 36 states, which it conducted on February 15 and 16, 2011. In addition to the allegations contained in the Notice, the Amended Notice alleged violations of the Truth-In-Lending Act, the Equal Credit Opportunity Act, and the Federal Trade Commission Act. The Amended Notice also accused RB&T of, among other things, unsafe or unsound banking practices resulting from its third-party management; unsafe or unsound hindrance, impediment, or interference with a financial institution examination; unsafe or unsound physical security or electronic protection of ERO premises; violations of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act and FDIC regulation; and violations of the

2009 Order. Moreover, the Amended Notice included a proposed assessment of a \$2 million CMP. For additional discussion regarding the Amended Notice, see Exhibits 99.1 and 99.2 of the Company's Form 8-K filed with the SEC on May 5, 2011.

As part of the process initiated by the Notice, RB&T is entitled to a hearing before an Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") appointed by the FDIC. RB&T's hearing date was originally set for September 19, 2011, in Louisville, Kentucky. As a result of the issuance of the Amended Notice, the hearing date is now scheduled for February 6, 2012, unless it is subsequently changed by the ALJ. As part of the hearing process, the ALJ will take evidence on the items specified in the Amended Notice and make a recommendation of findings of fact to the FDIC Board of Directors, which may accept or reject the ALJ's recommendation. There is no statutory timeline in which the ALJ must make a recommendation to the FDIC. The FDIC Board of Directors would have 90 days after the date of the ALJ's recommendation, or argument before the FDIC Board of Directors, to render a decision. In the case of an adverse decision, RB&T would have the right to appeal the resulting order to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit. Filing an appeal would not operate as a stay of the order.

As stated above, RB&T is subject to a \$2 million CMP, which was proposed by the FDIC during the second quarter of 2011 as part of the Amended Notice. In addition to contesting the charges in the Amended Notice, RB&T is appealing the CMP as part of the ALJ hearing process. Historically, ALJs and the FDIC Board of Directors have found in favor of the FDIC in such proceedings. As a result, management believes the ultimate payment of all or a material portion of the CMP is probable, and as such, RB&T recorded a \$2 million liability as of June 30, 2011.

On February 28, 2011, RB&T filed a complaint in the United States District Court for the Western District of Kentucky (the "Court") against the FDIC and various officers of the FDIC in their official capacities, entitled Republic Bank and Trust Company v. Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, et al. The complaint states that the FDIC's actions to prohibit RB&T from offering RALs constitute a generally applicable change in law that must be administered through the traditional notice and comment rulemaking required by the Administrative Procedure Act (the "APA") or otherwise in a fashion permitted by law that is separate and apart from the adjudicatory process initiated by the Notice. The complaint also states that the FDIC has unlawfully ignored its procedural rules regarding discovery in the proceedings initiated by the Notice by conducting a series of unscheduled "visitations." The complaint seeks declaratory and injunctive relief. On March 31, 2011, the FDIC filed a Motion to Dismiss (the "Motion") RB&T's complaint with the Court. RB&T timely filed its brief in opposition to the Motion, and the matter remains pending with the Court. No responsive pleadings to RB&T's complaint have been or will be required to be filed in the action while the Motion is pending. If RB&T is successful in its objection to the Motion, the defendants will have an additional fourteen days to file their respective answers to RB&T's complaint. On May 20, 2011, the Court granted the Kentucky Bankers Association's (the "KBA") request to participate in the suit as Amicus Curiae. The FDIC and the other defendants have filed a motion asking the Court to reverse its prior approval of the KBA's participation in the suit as Amicus Curiae, which motion has not been ruled on by the Court.

For additional discussion regarding TRS, see the following sections:

```
Part I Item 1 "Financial Statements:"
                              Footnote 1 "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies"
          o
                                   Footnote 3 "Loans and Allowance for Loan Losses"
            0
                                                      Footnote 4 "Deposits"
                Footnote 8 "Off Balance Sheet Risks, Commitments and Contingent Liabilities"
   0
                                             Footnote 10 "Segment Information"
                                              Footnote 11 "Regulatory Matters"
Part I Item 2 "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations:"
                                               "Business Segment Composition"
                                                             "Overview"
                          o
                                                     "Results of Operations"
                      o
                                            "Comparison of Financial Condition"
                 0
                                                 Part II Item 1A "Risk Factors"
```

Banking Center Sale

In May 2011, RB&T, entered into a definitive agreement to sell its banking center located in Bowling Green, Kentucky to Citizens First Bank, Inc. ("Citizens"), a subsidiary of Citizens First Corporation (NASDAQ: CZFC).

The Agreement provides that Citizens will assume all deposits, fixed assets, and approximately one-half of the outstanding loans of RB&T's Bowling Green banking center. Citizens also agreed to make employment offers to all branch-based employees of the banking center as part of the transaction. In the aggregate, the transaction covers approximately \$35 million in deposits and approximately \$15 million in loans, which have been reclassified in the financial statements as held for sale. The transaction is subject to customary closing conditions, including regulatory approvals, and is anticipated to be completed during August 2011. As a result of the transaction, RB&T expects to record a pre-tax gain on sale in the range of \$2.5 million to \$3.5 million, depending upon appraisals obtained for certain fixed assets included in the sale. Management believes the transaction is immaterial to its on-going operations.

See Form 8-K filed with the SEC on June 2, 2011 for additional information related to the sale of the Bowling Green banking center.

BUSINESS SEGMENT COMPOSITION

As of June 30, 2011, the Company was divided into three distinct business operating segments: Traditional Banking, Tax Refund Solutions and Mortgage Banking. Net income, total assets and net interest margin by segment for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 are presented below:

(in thousands)	Traditional Banking				Total Company
Net income	\$ 7,317		301 \$	45	\$ 8,663
Segment assets	3,067,290		2,585	14,695	3,104,570
Net interest margin	3.50		NM	NM	3.50 %
(in thousands)	Traditional Banking	Tax I	e Months Ended Refund utions	June 30, 2010 Mortgage Banking	Total Company
Net income	\$ 3,454		382 \$	561	\$ 8,397
Segment assets	3,103,946		4,771	11,735	3,140,452
Net interest margin	3.65		NM	NM	3.73 %
(in thousands)		Traditional Banking	Six Months Tax Refun Solutions	c	
Net income		\$9,793	\$70,343	\$(61) \$80,075
Segment assets		3,067,290	22,585	14,695	3,104,570
Net interest margin		3.42	% N	IM N	M 6.48 %
(in thousands)		Traditional Banking	Six Months Tax Refun Solutions	c	
Net income		\$6,683	\$45,611	\$731	\$53,025
Segment assets		3,103,946	24,771	11,735	3,140,452
Net interest margin		3.70	% N	IM N	M 5.73 %

NM – Not Meaningful

For expanded segment financial data see Footnote 10 "Segment Information" of Part I Item 1 "Financial Statements.

(I) Traditional Banking segment

As of June 30, 2011, Republic had 43 full-service banking centers with 34 located in Kentucky, four located in metropolitan Tampa, Florida, three located in southern Indiana and one located in metropolitan Cincinnati, Ohio. RB&T's primary market areas are located in metropolitan Louisville, Kentucky, central Kentucky, northern Kentucky and southern Indiana. Louisville, the largest city in Kentucky, is the location of Republic's headquarters, as well as 20 banking centers. RB&T's central Kentucky market includes 12 banking centers in the following Kentucky cities: Bowling Green (1); Elizabethtown (1); Frankfort (1); Georgetown (1); Lexington, the second largest city in Kentucky (5); Owensboro (2); and Shelbyville (1).

RB&T's northern Kentucky market includes banking centers in Covington, Florence and Independence. RB&T also has banking centers located in Floyds Knobs, Jeffersonville and New Albany, Indiana. Republic Bank has locations in Hudson, Palm Harbor, Port Richey and Temple Terrace, Florida, as well as Cincinnati, Ohio.

In June 2011, the Bank commenced business in its newly established warehouse lending division. Through this division, the Bank provides short-term, revolving credit facilities to mortgage bankers secured by single 1-4 family real estate loans. These advances enable the mortgage banking customer to close single 1-4 family real estate loans in their own name and temporarily fund their inventory of these closed loans until the loans are sold to investors approved by the Bank. These individual loans are expected to remain on the warehouse line for an average of 15 to 30 days. Interest income and loan fees are accrued for each individual loan during the time the loan remains on the warehouse line and collected when the loan is sold to the secondary market investor. The Bank receives the sale proceeds of each loan directly from the investor and applies the funds to payoff the warehouse advance and related accrued interest and fees. The remaining proceeds are credited to the mortgage banking customer. As of June 30, 2011, the Bank had outstanding loans of \$6 million and one committed line of \$10 million within its warehouse lending division.

(II) Mortgage Banking segment

Mortgage Banking activities primarily include 15, 20 and 30-year fixed-term first lien single family residential rate real estate loans that are sold into the secondary market, primarily to Freddie Mac ("FHLMC"). The Bank typically retains servicing on loans sold into the secondary market. Administration of loans with servicing retained by the Bank includes collecting principal and interest payments, escrowing funds for property taxes and insurance and remitting payments to secondary market investors. A fee is received by the Bank for performing these standard servicing functions.

As part of the sale of loans with servicing retained, the Bank records a Mortgage Servicing Right ("MSR"). MSRs represent an estimate of the present value of future cash servicing income, net of estimated costs, which RB&T expects to receive on loans sold with servicing retained by the Bank. MSRs are capitalized as separate assets. This transaction is posted to net gain on sale of loans, a component of "Mortgage Banking income" in the income statement. Management considers all relevant factors, in addition to pricing considerations from other servicers, to estimate the fair value of the MSRs to be recorded when the loans are initially sold with servicing retained by RB&T. The carrying value of MSRs is initially amortized in proportion to and over the estimated period of net servicing income and subsequently adjusted quarterly based on the weighted average remaining life of the underlying loans. The amortization is recorded as a reduction to Mortgage Banking income.

The carrying value of the MSRs asset is reviewed monthly for impairment based on the fair value of the MSRs, using groupings of the underlying loans by interest rates. Any impairment of a grouping is reported as a valuation allowance. A primary factor influencing the fair value is the estimated life of the underlying loans serviced. The estimated life of the loans serviced is significantly influenced by market interest rates. During a period of declining interest rates, the fair value of the MSRs is expected to decline due to increased anticipated prepayment speed assumptions within the portfolio. Alternatively, during a period of rising interest rates, the fair value of MSRs is expected to increase, as prepayment speed assumptions on the underlying loans would be anticipated to decline. Management utilizes an independent third party on a monthly basis to assist with the fair value estimate of the MSRs.

See additional detail regarding Mortgage Banking under Footnote 7 "Mortgage Banking Activities" and Footnote 10 "Segment Information" of Part I Item 1 "Financial Statements."

(III) Tax Refund Solutions ("TRS") segment

Republic, through its TRS segment business operating segment, is one of a limited number of financial institutions which facilitates the payment of federal and state tax refund products through third-party tax preparers located throughout the U.S., as well as tax-preparation software providers. TRS's three primary tax-related products include: Electronic Refund Checks ("ERCs" or "ARs"), Electronic Refund Deposits ("ERDs" or "ARDs") and Refund Anticipation Loans ("RALs"). Substantially all of the business generated by TRS occurs in the first quarter of the year. TRS traditionally operates at a loss during the second half of the year, during which the segment incurs costs preparing for the upcoming year's first quarter tax season.

During the six months ended June 30, 2011, net income from the TRS business operating segment accounted for approximately 88% of the Company's total net income. Net income associated with RALs represented approximately 34% of the TRS segment's net income for the six months ended June 30, 2011.

ERCs/ERDs are products whereby a tax refund is issued to the taxpayer after RB&T has received the refund from the federal or state government. There is no credit risk or borrowing cost for RB&T associated with these products, because they are only delivered to the taxpayer upon receipt of the refund directly from the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS"). Fees earned on ERCs/ERDs are reported as non interest income under the line item "Electronic Refund Check fees."

RALs are short-term consumer loans offered to taxpayers that are secured by the customer's anticipated tax refund, which represents the source of repayment. Prior to 2011, RB&T historically underwrote the RAL application utilizing the Debt Indicator (the "DI") from the IRS, in combination with an automated underwriting model utilizing information contained in the taxpayer's tax return. The DI, which typically indicates whether an individual taxpayer will have any portion of the refund offset for delinquent tax or other debts, such as unpaid child support or federally funded student loans, has historically been a significant underwriting component. On August 5, 2010, the IRS issued a news release stating that it would no longer provide tax preparers and associated financial institutions with the DI beginning with the first quarter 2011 tax season. RB&T modified its underwriting and application requirement criteria for the first quarter 2011 tax season to adjust for the loss of access to the DI. See the section titled "IRS Decision to Withhold the DI" in this section of the filing.

If a consumer's RAL application is approved, RB&T advances \$1,500 of the taxpayer's refund. As part of the RAL application process, each taxpayer signs an agreement directing the applicable taxing authority to send the taxpayer's refund directly to RB&T. The refund received from the IRS or state taxing authority, if applicable, is used by RB&T to pay off the RAL. Any amount due the taxpayer above the amount of the RAL is remitted to the taxpayer once the refund is received by RB&T. The funds advanced by RB&T are generally repaid by the applicable taxing authority within two weeks. The fees earned on RALs are reported as interest income under the line item "Loans, including fees."

RB&T has agreements with Jackson Hewitt Inc. ("JHI"), a subsidiary of Jackson Hewitt Tax Service Inc. ("JH"), and Liberty Tax Service ("Liberty") to offer RAL and ERC/ERD products. JH and Liberty provide preparation services of federal, state and local individual income tax returns in the U.S. through a nationwide network of franchised and company-owned tax-preparers offices. Approximately 40% and 34% of RB&T's year to date June 30, 2011 and 2010 TRS gross revenue was derived from JH tax offices with another 20% and 29% from Liberty tax offices for the same respective periods. In June 2011, RB&T amended its contract with JH as follows: On or before July 29, 2011, or June 30th of each following year of the term, RB&T may, at its option, terminate its Program Agreement with JH which would terminate the Agreement with respect to the remaining Tax Seasons.

Substantially all RALs issued by RB&T each year are made during the first quarter. RALs are generally repaid by the IRS or applicable taxing authority within two weeks of origination. Losses associated with RALs result from the IRS not remitting taxpayer refunds to RB&T associated with a particular tax return. This occurs for a number of reasons, including errors in the tax return and tax return fraud which are identified through IRS audits resulting from revenue protection strategies. In addition, RB&T also incurs losses as a result of tax debts not previously disclosed during its underwriting process.

At March 31st of each year, RB&T has historically reserved for its estimated RAL losses for the year based on current and prior-year funding patterns, information received from the IRS on current year payment processing, projections using RB&T's internal RAL underwriting criteria applied against prior years' customer data, and the subjective experience of RB&T management. RALs outstanding 30 days or longer are charged off at the end of each quarter, with subsequent collections recorded as recoveries. Since the RAL season is over by the end of April of each year, essentially all uncollected RALs are charged off by June 30th of each year, except for those RALs management deems certain of collection.

Subsequent to the first quarter, the results of operations for the TRS business operating segment consist primarily of fixed overhead expenses and adjustments to the segment's estimated provision for loan losses, as estimated results became final. However, as was the case in 2010, the fourth quarter can be impacted by the funding strategy for the following first quarter's tax season.

IRS Decision to Withhold the DI

On August 5, 2010, the IRS issued a news release stating that it would no longer provide tax preparers and associated financial institutions with the DI beginning with the first quarter 2011 tax season. The DI indicates whether an individual taxpayer will have any portion of the refund offset for delinquent tax or other debts, such as unpaid child support or federally funded student loans.

While underwriting for RALs involves several individual components, the DI has historically represented a significant part of the overall underwriting for the product. To mitigate the loss of the DI in 2011, RB&T modified its underwriting and application requirements to approve fewer RALs, significantly reduced the maximum RAL amount to \$1,500 for individual customers and raised its offering price to the consumer. RB&T estimated a higher provision for loan losses related to its RAL portfolio during the first quarter of 2011, primarily as a result of the loss of the DI.

RB&T's revised underwriting standards and application requirements combined with its reduced maximum RAL offering amount resulted in an approximate 66% reduction in the aggregate dollar volume of RALs originated by RB&T during the first quarter of 2011 compared to the first quarter of 2010. Based on these factors, RB&T's funding needs for the first quarter 2011 tax season were reduced significantly compared to its first quarter 2010 funding needs.

TRS Funding – First Quarter 2011 Tax Season

Due to the on-going excessive costs of securitization structures, RB&T elected not to obtain funding from a securitization structure for the first quarter 2011 and 2010 tax seasons. Instead, RB&T utilized brokered certificates of deposits and, to a lesser extent, its traditional borrowing lines of credit as its primary RAL funding source.

Due to RB&T's anticipated reduction to its maximum RAL offering amount and tighter underwriting guidelines in response to the elimination of the DI by the IRS, RB&T's funding needs for the first quarter 2011 tax season were significantly reduced. During the fourth quarter of 2010, RB&T obtained \$562 million in brokered certificates of deposits to be utilized to fund the first quarter 2011 RAL program. These brokered certificates of deposits had a weighted average life of three months with a weighted average interest rate of 0.42%.

TRS Funding – First Quarter 2010 Tax Season

During the fourth quarter of 2009, RB&T obtained \$921 million in brokered certificates of deposits to be utilized to fund the first quarter 2010 RAL program. These brokered certificates of deposits had a weighted average life of three months with a weighted average interest rate of 0.51%. Also, during January of 2010, RB&T obtained an additional \$542 million in brokered certificates of deposits to fund additional RAL demand. These brokered certificates of deposits acquired in January 2010 had a weighted average life of 55 days and a weighted average interest rate of 0.56%.

TRS Rebate Accruals

During September 2009, RB&T announced a new pricing model reducing the fees RB&T charges consumers for RALs beginning with the first quarter 2010 tax season. With respect to new contracts entered into for the first quarter 2010 tax season, TRS also substantially reduced rebates paid to individual technology and tax preparation service providers in connection with the delivery of tax refund products.

As a result of contracts entered into during 2010 for the first quarter 2011 tax season, RB&T experienced additional substantial declines in rebates. The additional decrease in rebates did not impact the overall financial results of operations for TRS, as this decrease was offset by the elimination of certain fees charged by RB&T, which substantially offset the rebates.

RB&T accrued \$4.0 million and \$12.7 million in total rebates for the first six months of 2011 and 2010, respectively. For the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, RB&T accrued \$760,000 and \$1.4 million in total rebates.

For additional discussion regarding TRS, see the following sections of this filing:

Part I Item 1 "Financial Statements:"

o Footnote 1 "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies"

o Footnote 3 "Loans and Allowance for Loan Losses"

o Footnote 4 "Deposits"

o Footnote 8 "Off Balance Sheet Risks, Commitments and Contingent Liabilities"

o Footnote 10 "Segment Information"

o Footnote 11 "Regulatory Matters"

Part I Item 2 "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations:"

o "Recent Developments"

o "Overview"

o "Results of Operations"

o "Comparison of Financial Condition"

Part II Item 1A "Risk Factors"

For additional discussion regarding the 2009 Order, see Exhibit 10.62 of the Company's Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") on March 6, 2009.

For additional discussion regarding the Notice, see Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Form 8-K filed with the SEC on February 10, 2011.

For additional discussion regarding the Amended Notice, see Exhibits 99.1 and 99.2 of the Company's Form 8-K filed with the SEC on May 5, 2011.

OVERVIEW (Three Months Ended June 30, 2011 Compared to Three Months Ended June 30, 2010)

Net income for the three months ended June 30, 2011 was \$8.7 million, representing an increase of \$266,000, or 3%, compared to the same period in 2010. Diluted earnings per Class A Common Share increased to \$0.41 for the quarter ended June 30, 2011 compared to \$0.40 for the same period in 2010. General highlights for the three months ended June 30, 2011 by business operating segment are detailed below. Additional discussion follows under the section titled "Results of Operations."

Traditional Banking segment (Second Quarter Highlights)

Net income increased \$3.9 million for the second quarter of 2011 compared to the same period in 2010.

Net interest income decreased \$369,000, or 1%, for the second quarter of 2011 to \$26.4 million. The Traditional Banking segment net interest margin declined 15 basis points from the second quarter of 2010 to 3.50%.

Provision for loan losses was \$585,000 for the quarter ended June 30, 2011 compared to \$5.0 million for the same period in 2010.

Total non-interest income increased \$2.0 million, or 35%, for the second quarter of 2011 compared to the same period in 2010.

During the second quarter of 2011, the Bank sold available for sale mortgage backed securities with an amortized cost of \$132 million, resulting in a pre-tax gain of \$1.9 million.

Total non-interest expense increased \$198,000, or 1%, during the second quarter of 2011 compared to the second quarter of 2010.

Total non-performing loans to total loans for the Traditional Banking segment decreased to 1.28% at June 30, 2011, from 1.30% at December 31, 2010 and 1.71% at June 30, 2010.

The Bank purchased commercial real estate loans with a face amount of approximately \$37 million at a 13% discount to par.

During the second quarter of 2011, the Bank entered into a definitive agreement to sell its only banking center located in Bowling Green, Kentucky. Management believes the transaction is immaterial to the Bank's on-going operations. For additional information see section titled "Recent Developments" above.

The Bank launched its Warehouse Lending division during the quarter and had \$6 million in loans outstanding at June 30, 2011.

Tax Refund Solutions ("TRS") segment (Second Quarter Highlights)

Net income decreased \$3.1 million, or 70%, for the second quarter of 2011 compared to the same period in 2010.

Net interest income decreased \$815,000, or 69%, for the second quarter of 2011 compared to the same period in 2010.

TRS recorded a net credit to provision for loan losses of \$1.0 million for the second quarter of 2011, compared to a net credit of \$2.0 million for the same period in 2010.

TRS posted non-interest income of \$6.6 million for the second quarter of 2011 compared to \$5.1 million for the same period in 2010.

During the second quarter of 2011, RB&T recorded a \$2 million non tax-deductible expense within the TRS segment related to the proposed assessment of a Civil Money Penalty by the FDIC against RB&T.

For additional discussion regarding TRS, see the following sections:

Part I Item 1 "Financial Statements:"

- o Footnote 1 "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies"
 - o Footnote 3 "Loans and Allowance for Loan Losses"

o Footnote 4 "Deposits"

o Footnote 8 "Off Balance Sheet Risks, Commitments and Contingent Liabilities"

o Footnote 10 "Segment Information"

o Footnote 11 "Regulatory Matters"

Part I Item 2 "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations:"

o "Recent Developments"

o "Business Segment Composition"

o "Results of Operations"

o "Comparison of Financial Condition"

Part II Item 1A "Risk Factors"

Mortgage Banking segment (Second Quarter Highlights)

Within the Mortgage Banking segment, mortgage banking income decreased \$479,000, or 34%, during the second quarter of 2011 compared to the same period in 2010.

Mortgage banking income was negatively impacted by a decline in secondary market loan volume during the second quarter of 2011. During the second quarter of 2011, Republic originated for sale \$26 million of fixed rate residential real estate secondary market loans compared to \$65 million during the same period in 2010.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Three Months Ended June 30, 2011 Compared to Three Months Ended June 30, 2010)

Net Interest Income

Results of operations are primarily dependent upon net interest income. Net interest income is the difference between interest income on interest-earning assets, such as loans and investment securities and the interest expense on liabilities used to fund those assets, such as interest-bearing deposits, securities sold under agreements to repurchase and Federal Home Loan Bank ("FHLB") advances. Net interest income is impacted by both changes in the amount and composition of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, as well as market interest rates.

Total Company net interest income decreased \$1.2 million, or 4%, for the second quarter of 2011 compared to the same period in 2010. The total Company net interest margin decreased 23 basis points to 3.50% for the same period. The most significant components affecting the total Company's net interest income were as follows:

Traditional Banking segment

Net interest income within the Traditional Banking segment decreased \$369,000, or 1%, for the second quarter of 2011 compared to 2010. The Traditional Banking net interest margin declined 15 basis points for the same period to 3.50%. The decrease in net interest income was due primarily to a greater degree of downward repricing earning assets, as compared to interest bearing liabilities.

Prior to the first quarter of 2011, the Bank's general investment strategy was largely to not reinvest the cash it had been receiving from its loan and investment paydowns and pay-offs into assets with longer-term repricing horizons due to market projections of interest rate increases in the future. As a result, much of the cash the Bank received from paydowns during the previous two years had been reinvested into short-term, lower yielding investments, which had greatly improved the Bank's risk position from future interest rate increases, while negatively impacting then-current earnings. This conservative investment strategy, which involved minimal credit risk and minimal interest rate risk, lead the Bank to hold a significant sum of cash at the Federal Reserve Bank ("FRB") for much of the previous two

years.

In February 2011, the Bank modified its conservative investment strategy, taking on more interest rate risk by reinvesting a portion of its excess cash into longer-term investment securities, thus increasing its projected net interest income and net interest margin for the near-term. The Bank made this revision to its conservative strategy, in large part, due to the on-going contraction of its net interest margin resulting from continued paydowns in its loan portfolio and the large amount of cash on hand earning 0.25%. Altogether, the Bank purchased approximately \$150 million of agency notes and mortgage-backed securities during the first quarter of 2011 with an initial weighted average yield of 3.61% and a modified duration of 6.5 years.

During the second quarter of 2011, primarily as a result of positive growth developments within the loan portfolio, the Bank reversed its previous decision to hold the majority of the securities purchased in the first quarter of 2011 and sold securities with an amortized cost of \$132 million from this group. As a result, much of the anticipated increase in net interest income that the Bank was expecting to receive from the February securities' purchases was instead realized in the form of a gain on sale of investments. Management does anticipate making additional securities purchases in the third quarter of 2011 to combat contraction in its net interest margin. Management expects these securities to have a longer modified duration than its current portfolio but a shorter modified duration than the previously discussed investments that were sold during the second quarter.

In addition to the activity noted within its securities portfolio, the Bank also finalized the purchase of approximately \$37 million of commercial real estate loans at a 13% discount during June 2011 in order to offset on-going contraction in its net interest margin. These loans have a weighted average life of approximately seven years with an expected yield of 8.28%. For further discussion, see the section titled "Loan Portfolio" under "Comparison of Financial Condition at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010."

Management expects to continue to experience downward repricing in its loan and investment portfolios. This downward repricing will continue to cause compression in Republic's net interest income and net interest margin. Additionally, because the Federal Funds Target rate ("FFTR") (the index which many of the Bank's short-term deposit rates track) has remained at a target range between 0.00% and 0.25%, no future FFTR decreases from the Federal Open Markets Committee ("FOMC") of the FRB are possible, exacerbating the compression to the Bank's net interest income and net interest margin caused by its repricing loans and investments. The Bank is unable to precisely determine the ultimate negative impact to the Bank's net interest spread and margin in the future because several factors remain unknown at this time, such as future demand for financial products and the overall future need for liquidity, among many other factors.

Table 1 provides detailed total Company information as to average balances, interest income/expense and rates by major balance sheet category for the three month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010. Table 2 provides an analysis of total Company changes in net interest income attributable to changes in rates and changes in volume of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities for the same periods.

TRS segment

Net interest income within the TRS segment decreased \$815,000, or 68%, for the second quarter of 2011 compared to the same period in 2010. The decrease in TRS net interest income was primarily due to a \$721,000, or 61%, decrease in RAL fee income. As stated previously in this filing, RB&T modified its underwriting and application requirements to approve fewer RALs and significantly reduced the maximum RAL amount to \$1,500 for individual customers. As a result of these changes, the number of RALs made during the second quarter decreased by 84% while the dollar volume of RALs originated decreased 88%.

For additional information on the potential future effect of changes in short-term interest rates on Republic's net interest income, see the table titled "Interest Rate Sensitivity for 2011" in this section of the filing.

 $Table\ 1-Total\ Company\ Average\ Balance\ Sheets\ and\ Interest\ Rates\ for\ the\ Three\ Months\ Ended\ June\ 30,\ 2011\ and\ 2010$

(dollars in thousands)	Three Months Average Balance		2011 Average Rate	e	Three Month Average Balance), 201 Ave: Ra	rage	:
ASSETS									
Interest-earning assets: Taxable investment securities, including FHLB stock(1)	\$ 652,693	\$ 4,400	2.70	% \$	516,482	\$ 4,045	3.1	13	%
Tax exempt investment securities(1)(4) Federal funds sold and	-	-	0.00	%	264	4	9.3	32	%
other interest-earning deposits Refund Anticipation	221,695	216	0.39	%	245,863	130	0.2	21	%
Loan fees(2) Traditional Bank loans	3,548	454	51.18	%	9,273	1,175	50	.68	%
and fees(2)(3)	2,189,271	29,389	5.37	%	2,238,137	31,533	5.6	54	%
Total interest-earning assets	3,067,207	34,459	4.49	%	3,010,019	36,887	4.9	90	%
Less: Allowance for loan losses	29,255				25,320				
Non interest-earning assets: Non interest-earning cash and cash									
equivalents Premises and	75,614				53,821				
equipment, net Other assets(1) Total assets	\$ 36,690 58,680 3,208,936			\$	38,058 70,668 3,147,246				
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY									
Interest-bearing liabilities: Transaction accounts Money market accounts Time deposits	\$ 357,268 716,227 249,804 130,707	\$ 132 614 1,043 483	0.15 0.34 1.67 1.48	% \$ % %	306,309 623,956 335,179 178,592	\$ 160 768 1,498 675	0.2 0.4 1.7 1.5	19 79	% % %

Brokered money market and brokered CD's

Total deposits	1,454,006	2,272	0.63	%	1,444,036	3,101	0.86	%
Securities sold under agreements to								
repurchase and other short-term								
borrowings Federal Home Loan	274,074	173	0.25	%	309,539	244	0.32	%
Bank advances	527,669	4,556	3.45	%	554,201	4,858	3.51	%
Subordinated note	41,240	629	6.10	%	41,240	631	6.12	%
Total interest-bearing								
liabilities	2,296,989	7,630	1.33	%	2,349,016	8,834	1.50	%
Non interest-bearing liabilities	and							
Stockholders' equity Non interest-bearing								
deposits	409,391				382,006			
Other liabilities	56,424				51,936			
Stockholders' equity Total liabilities and	446,132				364,288			
stock-holders' equity \$	3,208,936			\$	3,147,246			
Net interest income		\$ 26,829				\$ 28,053		
Net interest spread			3.16	%			3.40	%
Net interest margin			3.50	%			3.73	%

⁽¹⁾ For the purpose of this calculation, the fair market value adjustment on investment securities resulting from FASB ASC topic 320 "Investments – Debt and Equity Securities" is included as a component of other assets.

⁽²⁾ The amount of loan fee income included in total interest income was \$1.1 million and \$2.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010.

⁽³⁾ Average balances for loans include the principal balance of non accrual loans and loans held for sale.

⁽⁴⁾ Yields on tax exempt securities have been computed based on a fully tax-equivalent basis using the federal income tax rate of 35%.

Table 2 illustrates the extent to which changes in interest rates and changes in the volume of total Company interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities impacted Republic's interest income and interest expense during the periods indicated. Information is provided in each category with respect to (i) changes attributable to changes in volume (changes in volume multiplied by prior rate), (ii) changes attributable to changes in rate (changes in rate multiplied by prior volume) and (iii) net change. The changes attributable to the combined impact of volume and rate have been allocated proportionately to the changes due to volume and the changes due to rate.

Table 2 – Total Company Volume/Rate Variance Analysis for the Three Months Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010

Three Months Ended June 30, 2011 Compared to Three Months Ended June 30, 2010

			Increase	/ (Deci	rease) Due	to
(in thousands)	Total Net Chang	ge	Volum	ie	Rate	
Interest income:						
Taxable investment securities	\$355		\$970		\$(615)
Tax exempt investment securities	(4)	(4)	-	
Federal funds sold and other interest-earning deposits	86		(14)	100	
Refund Anticipation Loan fees	(721)	(733)	12	
Traditional Bank loans and fees	(2,144)	(678)	(1,466)
Net change in interest income	(2,428)	(459)	(1,969)
Interest expense:						
Transaction accounts	(28)	24		(52)
Money market accounts	(154)	102		(256)
Time deposits	(455)	(361)	(94)
Brokered money market and brokered CDs	(192)	(177)	(15)
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase and						
other short-term borrowings	(71)	(26)	(45)
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	(302)	(230)	(72)
Subordinated note	(2)	-		(2)
Net change in interest expense	(1,204)	(668)	(536)
Net change in net interest income	\$(1,224)	\$209		\$(1,433)

Provision for Loan Losses (Three Months Ended June 30, 2011 Compared to Three Months Ended June 30, 2010)

The Company recorded a net credit to provision for loan losses of \$439,000 for the second quarter 2011, compared to a provision of \$3.0 million for the same period in 2010. The significant components comprising the Company's provision for loan losses were as follows:

Traditional Banking segment

The Traditional Banking provision for loan losses during the second quarter of 2011 was \$585,000, a \$4.4 million decline from the second quarter of 2010. The decrease in the provision for the quarter was generally attributable to an overall improvement in the Company's classified loans and better charge-off experience. More specifically, during the second quarter of 2010, the Bank continued to see signs of financial stress to its borrowers including on-going modest declines in the market value of the underlying collateral for its "substandard" commercial relationships, particularly in its Florida markets. As a result, the Bank recorded provisions of \$3.1 million for 19 substandard commercial relationships during the second quarter of 2010, including \$1.2 million related to one land development relationship located in Florida. No significant provisions for any substandard commercial relationships were necessary during the second quarter of 2011.

Another contributing factor to the Bank's reduced provision expense was a decline of \$900,000 for required provisions in the Bank's classified retail and small dollar commercial loans from the second quarter of 2010 to the second quarter of 2011. The overall reduction in expense within this category was related to a decline in non-accrual loans and loans past due 90-days-or-more.

In addition to the factors noted above, the Bank recorded \$400,000 more in credits to its provision for loan losses for recoveries of previously charged off loans during the second quarter of 2011 than it did during second quarter of 2010. Annualized net charge-offs as a percentage of average loans within the Traditional Banking segment were 0.17% for the second quarter of 2011 compared to 0.40% for the same period in 2010. This equated to a \$1.3 million reduction in net charge-offs for the second quarter of 2011 as compared to 2010. As a percentage of total loans, the Traditional Banking allowance for loan losses was 1.17% at June 30, 2011 compared to 1.06% at December 31, 2010 and 1.21% at June 30, 2010. Management believes, based on information presently available, that it has adequately provided for loan losses at June 30, 2011. See section titled "Asset Quality" for additional discussion of the Bank's delinquent and non-performing loans.

TRS segment

Substantially all RALs issued by RB&T each year are made during the first quarter. RALs are generally repaid by the IRS or applicable taxing authority within two weeks of origination. Losses associated with RALs result from the IRS not remitting taxpayer refunds to RB&T associated with a particular tax return. This occurs for a number of reasons, including errors in the tax return and tax return fraud which are identified through IRS audits resulting from revenue protection strategies. In addition, RB&T also incurs losses as a result of tax debts not previously disclosed during its underwriting process.

For the three months ended June 30, 2011 the TRS provision for loan losses was a net credit of \$1.0 million compared to a net credit of \$2.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010. The net credit in both periods resulted from better than previously projected paydowns within the RB&T's Refund Anticipation Loan ("RAL") portfolio. Management was able to estimate its 2011 TRS provision for loan losses with greater precision than its 2010 estimate, in part, because of the 66% strategic reduction in RAL dollar volume from the 2010 to 2011.

An analysis of the changes in the allowance for loan losses and selected ratios follows:

Table 3 – Summary of Loan Loss Experience

	Three Months Ended June 30,						
(dollars in thousands)	2011	2010					
Allowance for loan losses at beginning of period	\$29,144	\$25,640					
Charge offs:							
Real Estate:							
Residential	(585) (714)				
Commercial	(161) (44)				
Construction	(53) (435)				
Commercial	(100) (117)				
Warehouse lines of credit	-	-					
Consumer	(247) (329)				
Home Equity	(347) (762)				
Tax Refund Solutions	(2,037) (3,415)				
Total	(3,530) (5,816)				
Recoveries:							
Real Estate:							
Residential	53	11					
Commercial	225	7					
Construction	4	-					
Commercial	5	3					
Warehouse lines of credit	-	-					
Consumer	216	134					
Home Equity	63	4					
Tax Refund Solutions	190	3,696					
Total	756	3,855					
Net loan charge offs/recoveries	(2,774) (1,961)				
Provision for loan losses	(439) 2,980					
Allowance for loan losses at end of period	\$25,931	\$26,659					
Ratios:							
Allowance for loan losses to total loans	1.17	% 1.21	%				
Allowance for loan losses to total loans - Traditional Banking segment	1.17	% 1.21 % 1.21	%				
Allowance for loan losses to room performing loans	91	% 71	%				
Allowance for loan losses to non performing assets	64	% 61	%				
Annualized net loan charge offs to average loans	υ τ	/U 01	70				
outstanding	0.51	% 0.35	%				
Annualized net loan charge offs to average loans	0.51	70 0.55	70				
outstanding - Traditional Banking segment	0.17	% 0.40	%				
outstanding Traditional Building Segment	0.17	70 0.40	70				

Non-interest Income (Three Months Ended June 30, 2011 Compared to Three Months Ended June 30, 2010)

Non interest income increased \$3.1 million, or 25%, for the second quarter of 2011 compared to the same period in 2010. The most significant components comprising the total Company's non interest income were as follows:

Traditional Banking segment

Traditional Banking segment non-interest income increased \$2.0 million, or 35%, for the second quarter of 2011 compared to the same period in 2010.

During the second quarter of 2011, primarily as a result of positive growth developments within the loan portfolio, the Bank reversed its previous decision to hold the majority of the securities purchased in the first quarter of 2011 and sold securities with an amortized cost of \$132 million from this group. As a result, much of the anticipated increase in net interest income that the Bank was expecting to receive from the February securities' purchases was instead realized in the form of a gain on sale of investments. Management does anticipate making additional securities purchases in the third quarter of 2011 to combat contraction in its net interest margin. Management expects these securities to have a longer modified duration than its current portfolio but a shorter modified duration than the previously discussed investments that were sold during the quarter. As a result of market conditions at the time of sale, the Bank recorded a pre-tax gain on sale of \$1.9 million.

Service charges on deposit accounts decreased \$247,000, or 6%, during the second quarter of 2011 compared to the same period in 2010. The decrease was primarily the result of the continued general decline in consumer overdraft activity that the Bank, and the banking industry as a whole, has experienced the past several years. In addition, further contributing to this general decline in consumer overdraft activity, were the amended Regulation E ("Reg E") guidelines which took effect on August 15, 2010. The amended Reg E guidelines prohibit financial institutions from charging consumers fees for paying overdrafts on automated teller machine ("ATM") and one-time debit card transactions, unless a consumer affirmatively consents, or opts in, to the overdraft service for those types of transactions.

The Bank earns a substantial majority of its fee income related to its overdraft service program from the per item fee it assesses its customers for each insufficient funds check or electronic debit presented for payment. In addition, the Bank estimates that it has historically earned more than 60% of its overdraft related fees on the electronic debits presented for payment. Both the per item fee and the daily fee assessed to the account resulting from its overdraft status, if computed as a percentage of the amount overdrawn, results in a high rate of interest when annualized and are thus considered excessive by some consumer groups. The total net per item fees included in service charges on deposits for the second quarters of 2011 and 2010 were \$2.6 million and \$2.9 million. The total net daily overdraft charges included in interest income for the second quarter of 2011 and 2010 was \$467,000 and \$558,000, respectively.

In November 2010, the FDIC issued its final guidance on Automated Overdraft payment programs which will require FDIC regulated banks to implement and maintain robust oversight of these programs. The new guidance, as interpreted, will have a material adverse effect on the Bank's net income. This guidance states, "the FDIC expects institutions to implement effective compliance and risk management systems, policies, and procedures to ensure that institutions manage any overdraft payment programs in accordance with the 2005 Joint Guidance on Overdraft Protection Programs (FIL-11-2005) and the FRB November 12, 2009 amendments to Regulation E, to avoid harming consumers or creating other compliance, operational, financial, reputational or other risks. As changes are made to overdraft payment programs in response to regulatory developments or to implement additional recommendations, institutions are reminded to ensure that customer communications (e.g. agreements, correspondence, marketing materials, etc.) are updated accordingly, present information accurately and are not misleading."

Highlights of the guidance are as follows:

"The FDIC expects financial institutions boards of directors and management to ensure that the institution mitigates the risks associated with offering automated overdraft payment programs and complies with all consumer protection laws and regulations, including providing clear and meaningful disclosures and other communications about overdraft payment programs, fees, and other features and options, and demonstrating compliance with new opt-in requirements for automated teller machine (ATM) withdrawals and one-time point-of-sale debit card transactions. In addition, the FDIC expects financial institutions to:

Promptly honor customers' requests to decline coverage of overdrafts (i.e., opt-out) resulting from non-electronic transactions:

Give consumers the opportunity to affirmatively choose the overdraft payment product that overall best meets their needs:

Monitor accounts and take meaningful and effective action to limit use by customers as a form of short-term, high-cost credit, including, for example, giving customers who overdraw their accounts on more than six occasions where a fee is charged in a rolling twelve-month period a reasonable opportunity to choose a less costly alternative and decide whether to continue with fee-based overdraft coverage;

Institute appropriate daily limits on overdraft fees; and consider eliminating overdraft fees for transactions that overdraw an account by a de minimis amount; and

Not process transactions in a manner designed to maximize the cost to consumers.

Institutions using a third-party vendor for their overdraft payment programs must exercise careful oversight, as discussed in the FDIC's 2008 Guidance for Managing Third-Party Risk. The FDIC