

ASBURY AUTOMOTIVE GROUP INC
Form 10-Q
July 24, 2013
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT
OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2013

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT
OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____
Commission file number: 001-31262

ASBURY AUTOMOTIVE GROUP, INC.
(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

01-0609375
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

2905 Premiere Parkway NW, Suite 300
Duluth, Georgia
(Address of principal executive offices)
(770) 418-8200
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

30097
(Zip Code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act:

Large Accelerated Filer

Accelerated Filer

Edgar Filing: ASBURY AUTOMOTIVE GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Non-Accelerated Filer

Smaller Reporting Company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date: The number of shares of common stock outstanding as of July 23, 2013 was 31,124,573.

Table of ContentsASBURY AUTOMOTIVE GROUP, INC.
INDEX

| | Page |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| PART I—Financial Information | |
| <u>Item 1.</u> <u>Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)</u> | |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012</u> | <u>1</u> |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012</u> | <u>2</u> |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012</u> | <u>3</u> |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012</u> | <u>4</u> |
| <u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | <u>5</u> |
| <u>Item 2.</u> <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | <u>14</u> |
| <u>Item 3.</u> <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | <u>35</u> |
| <u>Item 4.</u> <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | <u>36</u> |
| PART II—Other Information | |
| <u>Item 1.</u> <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | <u>36</u> |
| <u>Item 2.</u> <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | <u>36</u> |
| <u>Item 4.</u> <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u> | <u>37</u> |
| <u>Item 6.</u> <u>Exhibits</u> | <u>38</u> |
| <u>Signatures</u> | <u>39</u> |
| <u>Index to Exhibits</u> | <u>40</u> |

Table of Contents

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

ASBURY AUTOMOTIVE GROUP, INC.
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
 (In millions, except par value and share data)
 (Unaudited)

| | June 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| CURRENT ASSETS: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$67.0 | \$6.2 |
| Contracts-in-transit | 118.6 | 129.4 |
| Accounts receivable (net of allowance of \$0.9 and \$1.0, respectively) | 82.4 | 94.3 |
| Inventories | 712.5 | 648.5 |
| Deferred income taxes | 11.8 | 10.9 |
| Assets held for sale | 9.2 | 27.6 |
| Other current assets | 70.2 | 69.5 |
| Total current assets | 1,071.7 | 986.4 |
| PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT, net | 578.6 | 565.8 |
| GOODWILL | 28.4 | 28.4 |
| DEFERRED INCOME TAXES, net of current portion | 22.6 | 27.5 |
| OTHER LONG-TERM ASSETS | 54.0 | 53.3 |
| Total assets | \$1,755.3 | \$1,661.4 |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| CURRENT LIABILITIES: | | |
| Floor plan notes payable—trade | \$60.7 | \$55.1 |
| Floor plan notes payable—non-trade | 436.8 | 501.6 |
| Current maturities of long-term debt | 5.0 | 4.6 |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | 199.8 | 209.1 |
| Liabilities associated with assets held for sale | — | 9.4 |
| Total current liabilities | 702.3 | 779.8 |
| LONG-TERM DEBT | 580.8 | 461.4 |
| OTHER LONG-TERM LIABILITIES | 18.6 | 17.4 |
| COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Note 9) | | |
| SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY: | | |
| Preferred stock, \$.01 par value, 10,000,000 shares authorized; none issued or outstanding | — | — |
| Common stock, \$.01 par value, 90,000,000 shares authorized; 40,098,016 and 39,824,708 shares issued, including shares held in treasury, respectively | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 505.7 | 499.0 |
| Retained earnings | 113.9 | 54.4 |
| Treasury stock, at cost; 8,973,443 and 8,507,948 shares, respectively | (166.1 |) (149.4 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (0.3 |) (1.6 |
| Total shareholders' equity | 453.6 | 402.8 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$1,755.3 | \$1,661.4 |

See accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents

ASBURY AUTOMOTIVE GROUP, INC.
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME
 (In millions, except per share data)
 (Unaudited)

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| REVENUES: | | | | |
| New vehicle | \$743.0 | \$648.0 | \$1,407.5 | \$1,222.9 |
| Used vehicle | 395.2 | 330.7 | 761.5 | 647.4 |
| Parts and service | 153.9 | 141.4 | 301.5 | 282.1 |
| Finance and insurance, net | 52.4 | 41.4 | 99.4 | 79.1 |
| Total revenues | 1,344.5 | 1,161.5 | 2,569.9 | 2,231.5 |
| COST OF SALES: | | | | |
| New vehicle | 698.4 | 606.1 | 1,322.2 | 1,142.5 |
| Used vehicle | 364.6 | 305.0 | 699.6 | 593.7 |
| Parts and service | 59.6 | 58.6 | 119.5 | 119.0 |
| Total cost of sales | 1,122.6 | 969.7 | 2,141.3 | 1,855.2 |
| GROSS PROFIT | 221.9 | 191.8 | 428.6 | 376.3 |
| OPERATING EXPENSES: | | | | |
| Selling, general and administrative | 154.2 | 138.5 | 302.3 | 275.9 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 5.9 | 5.7 | 11.8 | 11.4 |
| Other operating expense, net | 4.4 | 0.6 | 4.5 | 0.6 |
| Income from operations | 57.4 | 47.0 | 110.0 | 88.4 |
| OTHER EXPENSES: | | | | |
| Floor plan interest expense | (3.1) | (2.9) | (6.2) | (5.6) |
| Other interest expense, net | (9.5) | (8.7) | (18.7) | (17.9) |
| Swap interest expense | (0.9) | (1.2) | (2.1) | (2.5) |
| Convertible debt discount amortization | — | (0.2) | — | (0.3) |
| Total other expenses, net | (13.5) | (13.0) | (27.0) | (26.3) |
| Income before income taxes | 43.9 | 34.0 | 83.0 | 62.1 |
| INCOME TAX EXPENSE | 16.7 | 13.1 | 31.9 | 24.0 |
| INCOME FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS | 27.2 | 20.9 | 51.1 | 38.1 |
| DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS, net of tax | (0.2) | 0.2 | 8.4 | 0.6 |
| NET INCOME | \$27.0 | \$21.1 | \$59.5 | \$38.7 |
| EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE: | | | | |
| Basic— | | | | |
| Continuing operations | \$0.88 | \$0.67 | \$1.66 | \$1.23 |
| Discontinued operations | — | 0.01 | 0.27 | 0.01 |
| Net income | \$0.88 | \$0.68 | \$1.93 | \$1.24 |
| Diluted— | | | | |
| Continuing operations | \$0.87 | \$0.66 | \$1.64 | \$1.21 |
| Discontinued operations | — | 0.01 | 0.27 | 0.01 |
| Net income | \$0.87 | \$0.67 | \$1.91 | \$1.22 |
| WEIGHTED AVERAGE COMMON SHARES OUTSTANDING: | | | | |
| Basic | 30.8 | 31.1 | 30.8 | 31.1 |
| Stock options | — | 0.2 | — | 0.3 |

Edgar Filing: ASBURY AUTOMOTIVE GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|-------------------------|------|------|------|------|
| Restricted stock | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Performance share units | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Diluted | 31.1 | 31.5 | 31.1 | 31.6 |

See accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

2

Table of Contents

ASBURY AUTOMOTIVE GROUP, INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(In millions)

(Unaudited)

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|----------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|--------|--------------------------------------|--------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Net income | \$27.0 | \$21.1 | \$59.5 | \$38.7 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) - net of tax: | | | | |
| Change in fair value of cash flow swaps | 0.1 | (0.1) | 0.2 | (0.1) |
| Amortization of terminated cash flow swaps | 0.8 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 2.4 |
| Income tax expense associated with cash flow swaps | (0.3) | (0.4) | (0.8) | (0.9) |
| Comprehensive income | \$27.6 | \$21.8 | \$60.8 | \$40.1 |

See accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

3

Table of Contents

ASBURY AUTOMOTIVE GROUP, INC.
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
 (In millions)
 (Unaudited)

| | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| CASH FLOW FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES: | | |
| Net income | \$59.5 | \$38.7 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities— | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 11.8 | 11.4 |
| Stock-based compensation | 4.4 | 3.8 |
| Deferred income taxes | 4.0 | 6.5 |
| Loaner vehicle amortization | 4.7 | 4.3 |
| Excess tax benefit on share-based arrangements | (2.2) | (2.1) |
| Lease termination charge | 3.4 | — |
| Loss on disposal of fixed assets | 2.4 | 0.8 |
| Gain on sale of assets | (14.6) | (0.2) |
| Other adjustments, net | 2.4 | 3.9 |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of acquisitions and divestitures— | | |
| Contracts-in-transit | 10.8 | 16.1 |
| Accounts receivable | 4.5 | (8.4) |
| Proceeds from the sale of accounts receivable | 7.5 | 9.7 |
| Inventories | (33.9) | (52.7) |
| Other current assets | (41.3) | (37.4) |
| Floor plan notes payable—trade | 5.6 | (16.0) |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | (5.7) | 0.5 |
| Proceeds from deferred compensation plan termination | 7.8 | — |
| Distribution of deferred compensation plan assets to participants | (7.8) | — |
| Deferred compensation plan excess funding refund | — | 3.2 |
| Other long-term assets and liabilities, net | 1.4 | — |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | 24.7 | (17.9) |
| CASH FLOW FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES: | | |
| Capital expenditures—excluding real estate | (15.8) | (18.5) |
| Capital expenditures—capitalized interest | (0.5) | (0.4) |
| Purchases of real estate | (0.5) | (6.0) |
| Purchases of previously leased real estate | (13.8) | (4.7) |
| Proceeds from the sale of assets | 33.9 | 3.3 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | 3.3 | (26.3) |
| CASH FLOW FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES: | | |
| Floor plan borrowings—non-trade | 1,499.7 | 1,439.7 |
| Floor plan repayments—non-trade | (1,564.5) | (1,345.9) |
| Floor plan repayments—non-trade divestitures | (5.4) | (2.1) |
| Proceeds from borrowings | 122.2 | — |
| Repayments of borrowings | (2.4) | (42.8) |
| Payment of debt issuance costs | (2.4) | — |
| Repurchases of common stock, including those associated with net share settlement of employee share-based awards | (16.7) | (11.3) |

Edgar Filing: ASBURY AUTOMOTIVE GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------|--------|-------|---|
| Excess tax benefit on share-based arrangements | 2.2 | 2.1 | |
| Proceeds from the exercise of stock options | 0.1 | 1.8 | |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 32.8 | 41.5 | |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 60.8 | (2.7 |) |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, beginning of period | 6.2 | 11.4 | |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, end of period | \$67.0 | \$8.7 | |
| See Note 8 for supplemental cash flow information | | | |

See accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

4

Table of Contents

ASBURY AUTOMOTIVE GROUP, INC.
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS

We are one of the largest automotive retailers in the United States, operating 97 franchises (76 dealership locations) in 18 metropolitan markets within 10 states as of June 30, 2013. We offer an extensive range of automotive products and services, including new and used vehicles; vehicle maintenance, replacement parts and collision repair services; and financing, insurance and service contracts. As of June 30, 2013, we offered 29 domestic and foreign brands of new vehicles. Our current brand mix is weighted 85% towards luxury and mid-line import brands, with the remaining 15% consisting of domestic brands. We also operate 23 collision repair centers that serve customers in our local markets. Our retail network is made up of dealerships operating primarily under the following locally-branded dealership groups:

• Coggin dealerships, operating primarily in Jacksonville, Fort Pierce and Orlando, Florida;

• Courtesy dealerships operating in Tampa, Florida;

• Crown dealerships operating in New Jersey, North Carolina, South Carolina and Virginia;

• Nalley dealerships operating in Atlanta, Georgia;

• McDavid dealerships operating in Austin, Dallas and Houston, Texas;

• North Point dealerships operating in Little Rock, Arkansas;

• Plaza dealerships operating in St. Louis, Missouri; and

• Gray-Daniels dealerships operating in Jackson, Mississippi.

Our operating results are generally subject to changes in the economic environment as well as seasonal variations. Historically, we have generated more revenue and operating income in the second and third quarters than in the first and fourth quarters of the calendar year. Generally, the seasonal variations in our operations are caused by factors related to weather conditions, changes in manufacturer incentive programs, model changeovers and consumer buying patterns, among other things.

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“GAAP”), and reflect the consolidated accounts of Asbury Automotive Group, Inc. and our wholly owned subsidiaries. All intercompany transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. In addition, certain reclassifications of amounts previously reported have been made to the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in order to conform to current presentation. These reclassifications had no effect on previously reported net income.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities as of the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the periods presented. Actual results could differ materially from these estimates. Estimates and assumptions are reviewed

quarterly and the effects of any revisions are reflected in the condensed consolidated financial statements in the period they are determined to be necessary. Significant estimates made in the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements include, but are not limited to, those relating to inventory valuation reserves, reserves for chargebacks against revenue recognized from the sale of finance and insurance products, certain assumptions related to intangible and long-lived assets, reserves for insurance programs, reserves for certain legal or similar proceedings relating to our business operations, realization of deferred tax assets and reserves for estimated tax liabilities. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting only of normal, recurring adjustments) considered necessary for a fair presentation of the condensed consolidated financial statements as of June 30, 2013, and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, have been included. The results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for any other interim period, or any full year period. Our

Table of Contents

condensed consolidated financial statements should be read together with our consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Contracts-In-Transit

Contracts-in-transit represent receivables from third-party finance companies for the portion of new and used vehicle purchase price financed by customers through sources arranged by us. Amounts due from contracts-in-transit are generally collected within two weeks following the date of sale of the related vehicle.

Revenue Recognition

Revenue from the sale of new and used vehicles (which excludes sales tax) is recognized upon the latest of delivery, passage of title, signing of the sales contract or approval of financing. Revenue from the sale of parts, service and collision repair work (which excludes sales tax) is recognized upon delivery of parts to the customer or at the time vehicle service or repair work is completed, as applicable. Manufacturer incentives and rebates, including manufacturer holdbacks, floor plan interest assistance and certain advertising assistance, are recognized as a reduction of new vehicle cost of sales at the time the related vehicles are sold.

We receive commissions from third-party lending and insurance institutions for arranging customer financing and from the sale of vehicle service contracts, credit life insurance and disability insurance, and other insurance, to customers (collectively "F&I"). We may be charged back ("chargebacks") for F&I commissions in the event a contract is prepaid, defaulted upon or terminated. F&I commissions are recorded at the time a vehicle is sold and a reserve for future chargebacks is established based on historical chargeback experience and the termination provisions of the applicable contract. F&I commissions, net of estimated chargebacks, are included in Finance and Insurance, net in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income.

Earnings per Common Share

Basic earnings per common share is computed by dividing net income by the weighted-average common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per common share is computed by dividing net income by the weighted-average common shares and common share equivalents outstanding during the period. For all periods presented, there were no adjustments to the numerator necessary to compute diluted earnings per share.

Discontinued Operations

Certain amounts reflected in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets have been classified as Assets Held for Sale or Liabilities Associated with Assets Held for Sale, with such classification beginning on the date that the assets and associated liabilities were first considered held for sale.

We report franchises and ancillary businesses as discontinued operations when it is evident that the operations and cash flows of a franchise or ancillary business being actively marketed for sale will be eliminated from our on-going operations and that we will not have any significant continuing involvement in its operations. We do not classify franchises as discontinued operations if we believe that the cash flows generated by the franchise will be replaced by expanded operations of our remaining franchises within the respective local market area.

Amounts in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 have been reclassified to reflect the results of franchises sold or closed subsequent to June 30, 2012 as if we had classified those franchises as discontinued operations for all periods presented.

Statements of Cash Flows

Borrowings and repayments of floor plan notes payable to a lender unaffiliated with the manufacturer from which we purchase a particular new vehicle ("Non-Trade"), and all floor plan notes payable relating to pre-owned vehicles (together referred to as "Floor Plan Notes Payable-Non-Trade"), are classified as financing activities on the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, with borrowings reflected separately from repayments. The net change in floor plan notes payable to a lender affiliated with the manufacturer from which we purchase a particular new vehicle (collectively referred to as "Floor Plan Notes Payable - Trade") is classified as an operating activity on the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows. Borrowings of floor plan notes payable associated with inventory acquired in connection with all acquisitions are classified as a financing activity. Cash flows related to floor plan notes payable included in operating activities differ from cash flows related to floor plan notes payable included in financing activities only to the extent that the former are payable to a lender

affiliated with the manufacturer from which we purchased the related inventory, while the latter are payable to a lender not affiliated with the manufacturer from which we purchased the related inventory.

6

Table of Contents

Repayments of Floor Plan Notes Payable - Trade associated with divestitures are classified as an operating activity. Repayments of Floor Plan Notes Payable - Non-Trade associated with divestitures are classified as a financing activity.

Loaner vehicles account for a significant portion of Other Current Assets. We acquire loaner vehicles either with available cash or through borrowings from manufacturer affiliated lenders. Loaner vehicles are initially used by our service department for only a short period of time (typically six to twelve months) before we seek to sell them. Therefore, we classify the acquisition of loaner vehicles and the related borrowings and repayments as operating activities in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows. The cash outflow to acquire loaner vehicles is presented in Other Current Assets in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows. Borrowings and repayments of loaner vehicle notes payable are presented in Accounts Payable and Accrued Liabilities in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows. When loaner vehicles are taken out of loaner status they are transferred to used vehicle inventory, which is reflected as a non-cash transfer in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows. The cash inflow from the sale of loaner vehicles is reflected in Inventories in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

During the first quarter of 2013, we adopted an accounting standard regarding the presentation of comprehensive income. This update was issued to improve the reporting of reclassifications out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income ("AOCI"). The update requires that significant items reclassified out of AOCI be presented in one place in the condensed consolidated financial statements. The adoption of this standard update did not have a significant impact on our condensed consolidated financial statements.

3. INVENTORIES

Inventories consisted of the following:

| | As of | |
|-----------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| | June 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
| | (In millions) | |
| New vehicles | \$552.2 | \$517.4 |
| Used vehicles | 120.6 | 94.6 |
| Parts and accessories | 39.7 | 36.5 |
| Total inventories | \$712.5 | \$648.5 |

The lower of cost or market reserves reduced total inventory cost by \$5.0 million and \$4.7 million as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. In addition to the inventories shown above, as of December 31, 2012 we had \$6.6 million of inventories classified as Assets Held for Sale on the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as they were associated with a franchise held for sale. As of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, certain automobile manufacturer incentives reduced new vehicle inventory cost by \$6.3 million, and reduced new vehicle cost of sales from continuing operations for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and June 30, 2012 by \$13.0 million and \$11.4 million, respectively.

4. ASSETS AND LIABILITIES HELD FOR SALE

Assets and liabilities classified as held for sale include (i) assets and liabilities associated with discontinued operations held for sale at each balance sheet date and (ii) real estate not currently used in our operations that we are actively marketing to sell and the related mortgage notes payable, if applicable.

During the six months ended June 30, 2013, we sold one franchise (one dealership location). There were no assets or liabilities associated with pending dispositions as of June 30, 2013. Assets and liabilities associated with pending dispositions totaled \$18.4 million and \$9.4 million, respectively, as of December 31, 2012.

Real estate not currently used in our operations that we are actively marketing to sell totaled \$9.2 million as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012. There were no liabilities associated with our real estate assets held for sale as of June 30, 2013 or December 31, 2012.

Table of Contents

A summary of assets held for sale and liabilities associated with assets held for sale is as follows:

| | As of June 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|
| | (In millions) | |
| Assets: | | |
| Inventories | \$— | \$6.6 |
| Property and equipment, net | 9.2 | 20.7 |
| Goodwill | — | 0.3 |
| Total assets | 9.2 | 27.6 |
| Liabilities: | | |
| Floor plan notes payable—non-trade | — | 5.4 |
| Accrued liabilities | — | 4.0 |
| Total liabilities | — | 9.4 |
| Net assets held for sale | \$9.2 | \$18.2 |

5. LONG-TERM DEBT

Long-term debt consists of the following:

| | As of June 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|
| | (In millions) | |
| 8.375% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2020 | \$300.0 | \$200.0 |
| 7.625% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2017 | 143.2 | 143.2 |
| Mortgage notes payable bearing interest at fixed and variable rates | 129.1 | 118.9 |
| Capital lease obligations | 3.8 | 3.9 |
| | 576.1 | 466.0 |
| Add: unamortized premium on 8.375% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2020 | 9.7 | — |
| Long-term debt, including current portion | 585.8 | 466.0 |
| Less: current portion | (5.0 |) (4.6 |
| Long-term debt | \$580.8 | \$461.4 |

In June 2013, we completed an add-on issuance of \$100.0 million aggregate principal amount of 8.375% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2020 (the "8.375% Notes") at a price of 109.75% of par, plus accrued interest from May 15, 2013 (the "June 2013 Offering"). After deducting the initial purchasers' discounts and estimated expenses of the June 2013 Offering of \$2.3 million, we received net proceeds of approximately \$108.3 million from this offering. The \$9.8 million premium paid by the initial purchasers is included as a component of long-term debt on our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as of June 30, 2013. The \$9.8 million premium is being amortized as a reduction of interest expense over the remaining term of the 8.375% Notes, and the \$2.3 million of capitalized costs associated with the offering are being amortized as an addition to interest expense over the remaining term of the 8.375% Notes. Including the amortization of the \$9.8 million premium, and assuming the 8.375% Notes are held until their maturity in November 2020, the effective interest rate on the June 2013 Offering will be 6.725%.

During the six months ended June 30, 2013, we entered into one fixed rate mortgage note payable which was collateralized by the related real estate at one of our owned dealership locations. The initial principal amount of the mortgage note payable was \$12.5 million. In connection with our entrance into this mortgage note payable, we paid approximately \$0.1 million in debt issuance costs, which were capitalized and are being amortized to Other Interest Expense over the terms of the related mortgage note payable.

Asbury Automotive Group, Inc. is a holding company with no material independent assets or operations. For all periods presented, our 8.375% Notes and our 7.625% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2017 (the "7.625% Notes") have been fully and unconditionally guaranteed, on a joint and several basis, by substantially all of our subsidiaries. Any subsidiaries which have

8

Table of Contents

not guaranteed such notes are "minor" (as defined in Rule 3-10(h) of Regulation S-X). As of June 30, 2013, there were no significant restrictions on the ability of our subsidiaries to distribute cash to us or our guarantor subsidiaries.

6. FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS AND FAIR VALUE

In determining fair value, we use various valuation approaches, including market, income and/or cost approaches. Accounting standards establish a hierarchy for inputs used in measuring fair value that maximizes the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs by requiring that the most observable inputs be used when available. Observable inputs are inputs that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability developed based on market data obtained from independent sources. Unobservable inputs are inputs that reflect our assumptions about the assumptions market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability developed based on the best information available in the circumstances. The hierarchy is broken down into three levels based on the reliability of inputs as follows:

Level 1-Valuations based on quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that we have the ability to access.

Level 2-Valuations based on quoted prices in markets that are not active or for which all significant inputs are observable, either directly or indirectly. Assets and liabilities utilizing Level 2 inputs include cash flow swap instruments and exchange-traded debt securities that are not actively traded or do not have a high trading volume.

Level 3-Valuations based on inputs that are unobservable and significant to the overall fair value measurement. Asset and liability measurements utilizing Level 3 inputs include those used in estimating fair value of non-financial assets and non-financial liabilities in purchase acquisitions and those used in assessing impairment of manufacturer franchise rights.

The availability of observable inputs can vary and is affected by a wide variety of factors. To the extent that valuation is based on models or inputs that are less observable or unobservable in the market, the determination of fair value requires more judgment. Accordingly, the degree of judgment required to determine fair value is greatest for instruments categorized in Level 3. In certain cases, the inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In such cases, for disclosure purposes, the level in the fair value hierarchy within which the fair value measurement is disclosed is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

Fair value is a market-based measure considered from the perspective of a market participant who holds the asset or owes the liability rather than an entity-specific measure. Therefore, even when market assumptions are not readily available, our assumptions are set to reflect those that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability at the measurement date. We use inputs that are current as of the measurement date, including during periods of significant market fluctuations.

Financial instruments consist primarily of cash and cash equivalents, contracts-in-transit, accounts receivable, cash surrender value of corporate-owned life insurance policies, accounts payable, floor plan notes payable, subordinated long-term debt, mortgage notes payable and interest rate swap agreements. The carrying values of our financial instruments, with the exception of subordinated long-term debt, approximate fair value due either to their short-term nature or existence of variable interest rates, which approximate market rates. The fair market value of our subordinated long-term debt is based on reported market prices which reflect Level 2 inputs. Level 2 inputs are valuations based on quoted market prices in markets that are not active or do not have a high trading volume. A summary of the carrying values and fair values of our 8.375% Notes and our 7.625% Notes is as follows:

| | As of | |
|-------------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| | June 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
| | (In millions) | |
| Carrying Value: | | |
| 8.375% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2020 | \$309.7 | \$200.0 |

Edgar Filing: ASBURY AUTOMOTIVE GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|-------------------------------------------|---------|---------|
| 7.625% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2017 | 143.2 | 143.2 |
| Total carrying value | \$452.9 | \$343.2 |

Fair Value:

| | | |
|-------------------------------------------|---------|---------|
| 8.375% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2020 | \$330.0 | \$221.5 |
| 7.625% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2017 | 147.0 | 147.5 |
| Total fair value | \$477.0 | \$369.0 |

9

Table of Contents

We have an interest rate swap agreement which had a notional principal amount of \$19.1 million as of June 30, 2013. This swap is designed to provide a hedge against changes in variable interest rate cash flows through maturity in October 2015. The notional value of this swap is reducing over the remaining term to \$16.1 million at maturity. This interest rate swap qualifies for cash flow hedge accounting treatment and does not, and will not, contain any ineffectiveness.

Information about the effect of derivative instruments on the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income, including the impact on AOCI (in millions):

| For the Three Months Ended June 30, | Derivative in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships | Results Recognized in AOCI (Effective Portion) | Location of Results Reclassified from AOCI to Earnings | Amount Reclassified from AOCI to Earnings—Active Swaps | Amount Reclassified from AOCI to Earnings—Terminated Swaps | Ineffective Results Recognized in Earnings | Location of Ineffective Results |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 2013 | Interest rate swaps | \$— | Swap interest expense | \$(0.1) | \$(0.8) | \$— | N/A |
| 2012 | Interest rate swaps | \$(0.1) | Swap interest expense | \$— | \$(1.2) | \$— | N/A |
| For the Six Months Ended June 30, | Derivative in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships | Results Recognized in AOCI (Effective Portion) | Location of Results Reclassified from AOCI to Earnings | Amount Reclassified from AOCI to Earnings—Active Swaps | Amount Reclassified from AOCI to Earnings—Terminated Swaps | Ineffective Results Recognized in Earnings | Location of Ineffective Results |
| 2013 | Interest rate swaps | \$— | Swap interest expense | \$(0.2) | \$(1.9) | \$— | N/A |
| 2012 | Interest rate swaps | \$(0.2) | Swap interest expense | \$(0.1) | \$(2.4) | \$— | N/A |

On the basis of yield curve conditions as of June 30, 2013, we anticipate that the amount expected to be reclassified out of AOCI into earnings in the next 12 calendar months will be a loss of \$0.2 million.

Fair value estimates reflect a credit adjustment to the discount rate applied to all expected cash flows under the swap. Other than that assumption, all other inputs reflect Level 2 inputs.

| | (In millions) |
|--------------------------------------------------------|---------------|
| Information about amounts reclassified out of AOCI | |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss—December 31, 2012 | \$(1.6) |
| Change in fair value of cash flow swaps | 0.2 |
| Amortization of terminated cash flow swaps | 1.9 |
| Total amount reclassified to swap interest expense | 2.1 |
| Income tax expense associated with cash flow swaps | (0.8) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss—June 30, 2013 | \$(0.3) |

Market Risk Disclosures as of June 30, 2013:

Instruments entered into for trading purposes—None

Instruments entered into for hedging purposes (in millions)—

| Type of Derivative | Notional Size | Underlying Rate | Expiration | Fair Value |
|---------------------|---------------|-----------------|--------------|------------|
| Interest Rate Swap* | \$19.1 | 1 month LIBOR | October 2015 | \$(0.4) |

Table of Contents

* The total fair value of our swap is a \$0.4 million net liability, of which \$0.2 million is included in Accounts Payable and Accrued Liabilities and \$0.2 million is included in Other Long-Term Liabilities, respectively, on the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet.

Market Risk Disclosures as of December 31, 2012:

Instruments entered into for trading purposes—None

Instruments entered into for hedging purposes (in millions)—

| Type of Derivative | Notional Size | Underlying Rate | Expiration | Fair Value |
|---------------------|---------------|-----------------|--------------|------------|
| Interest Rate Swap* | \$19.7 | 1 month LIBOR | October 2015 | \$(0.6) |

* The total fair value of our swap is a \$0.6 million net liability, of which \$0.3 million is included in Accounts Payable and Accrued Liabilities and \$0.3 million is included in Other Long-Term Liabilities, respectively, on the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet.

7. DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS AND DIVESTITURES

During the six months ended June 30, 2013, we sold one franchise (one dealership location) that was classified as discontinued operations. The accompanying Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 have been reclassified to reflect the status of our discontinued operations as of June 30, 2013. Operating expenses in the table below include rent and other expenses of idle facilities previously associated with businesses sold or closed prior to June 30, 2013.

The following tables provide further information regarding our discontinued operations as of June 30, 2013, and includes the results of businesses sold prior to June 30, 2013:

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (In millions, except franchise data) | |
| Franchises: | | |
| Mid-line import | — | 1 |
| Luxury | — | 3 |
| Total | — | 4 |
| Revenues | \$— | \$33.0 |
| Cost of sales | — | 27.7 |
| Gross profit | — | 5.3 |
| Operating expenses | 0.3 | 5.2 |
| (Loss) income from operations | (0.3 |) 0.1 |
| Gain on disposition | — | 0.2 |
| (Loss) income before income taxes | (0.3 |) 0.3 |
| Income tax benefit (expense) | 0.1 | (0.1 |
| Discontinued operations, net of tax | \$(0.2 |) \$0.2 |

Table of Contents

| | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------|---|
| | 2013 | 2012 | |
| | (In millions, except franchise data) | | |
| Franchises: | | | |
| Mid-line import | 1 | 1 | |
| Luxury | — | 3 | |
| Total | 1 | 4 | |
| Revenues | \$3.8 | \$68.2 | |
| Cost of sales | 3.4 | 56.6 | |
| Gross profit | 0.4 | 11.6 | |
| Operating expenses | 1.3 | 10.7 | |
| (Loss) income from operations | (0.9 |) 0.9 | |
| Other expense, net | — | (0.1 |) |
| Gain on disposition | 14.6 | 0.2 | |
| Income before income taxes | 13.7 | 1.0 | |
| Income tax expense | (5.3 |) (0.4 |) |
| Discontinued operations, net of tax | \$8.4 | \$0.6 | |

8. SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION

During the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, we made interest payments, including amounts capitalized, totaling \$23.2 million and \$23.1 million, respectively. Included in these interest payments are \$5.8 million and \$5.1 million of floor plan interest payments for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

During the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, we made income tax payments, net of refunds received, totaling \$29.3 million and \$16.0 million, respectively.

During the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, we sold \$7.6 million and \$10.0 million, respectively, of trade receivables, at a total discount of \$0.2 million and \$0.3 million, respectively.

During the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, we transferred \$30.8 million and \$25.7 million, respectively, of loaner vehicles from Other Current Assets to Inventory on our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

During the six months ended June 30, 2013, we entered into two transactions in which we purchased various previously leased real estate, for a total purchase price of \$13.8 million. These transactions included the termination of the related lease obligations, resulting in a loss of \$3.4 million, which is included in Other Operating Expense, net in our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013.

Until February 2012, we sponsored the Asbury Automotive Wealth Accumulation Plan (the "Deferred Compensation Plan" or the "Plan") wherein eligible employees, generally those at senior levels, could elect to defer a portion of their annual compensation. In February 2012, our Board of Directors elected to terminate the Plan. During the six months ended June 30, 2013, we (i) received a \$7.8 million lump sum distribution as a result of the termination of the Plan and (ii) used these proceeds to relieve our corresponding \$7.8 million total liability to the Plan's participants.

9. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Our dealerships are party to dealer and framework agreements with applicable vehicle manufacturers. In accordance with these agreements, each dealership has certain rights and is subject to restrictions typical in the industry. The ability of these manufacturers to influence the operations of the dealerships or the loss of any of these agreements could have a materially negative impact on our operating results.

In some instances, manufacturers may have the right, and may direct us, to implement costly capital improvements to dealerships as a condition to entering into, renewing or extending franchise agreements with them. Manufacturers also typically require that their franchises meet specific standards of appearance. These factors, either alone or in combination, could cause us to use our financial resources on capital projects that we might not have planned for or otherwise determined to undertake.

Table of Contents

From time to time, we and our dealerships are or may become involved in various claims relating to, and arising out of, our business and our operations. These claims may involve, but not be limited to, financial and other audits by vehicle manufacturers, lenders and certain federal, state and local government authorities, which have historically related primarily to (a) incentive and warranty payments received from vehicle manufacturers, or allegations of violations of manufacturer agreements or policies, (b) compliance with lender rules and covenants and (c) payments made to government authorities relating to federal, state and local taxes, as well as compliance with other government regulations. Claims may also arise through litigation, government proceedings and other dispute resolution processes. Such claims, including class actions, could relate to, but may not be limited to, the practice of charging administrative fees and other fees and commissions, employment-related matters, truth-in-lending and other dealer assisted financing obligations, contractual disputes, actions brought by governmental authorities and other matters. We evaluate pending and threatened claims and establish loss contingency reserves based upon outcomes we currently believe to be probable and reasonably estimable.

The Company has recently resolved a dispute with an affiliate of a vehicle manufacturer whose brands we sell relating to the alleged receipt by the Company of certain overpayments from vehicle service work. In April 2013, the Company settled this dispute for an amount approximating its previously established accrual for probable loss related to this matter. The settlement was not material to the Company's financial statements.

It is reasonably possible that losses in excess of the amounts accrued for the various types of claims currently known to us could be up to approximately \$0.5 million in the aggregate. We currently do not anticipate that any known claim will materially adversely affect our financial condition, liquidity or results of operations. However, the outcome of any matter cannot be predicted with certainty, and an unfavorable resolution of one or more matters presently known or arising in the future could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, liquidity or results of operations.

A significant portion of our business involves the sale of vehicles, parts or vehicles composed of parts that are manufactured outside the United States. As a result, our operations are subject to customary risks of importing merchandise, including fluctuations in the relative values of currencies, import duties, exchange controls, trade restrictions, work stoppages and general political and socio-economic conditions in foreign countries. The United States or the countries from which our products are imported may, from time to time, impose new quotas, duties, tariffs or other restrictions, or adjust presently prevailing quotas, duties or tariffs, which may affect our operations and our ability to purchase imported vehicles and/or parts at reasonable prices.

Substantially all of our facilities are subject to federal, state and local provisions regarding the discharge of materials into the environment. Compliance with these provisions has not had, nor do we expect such compliance to have, any material effect upon our capital expenditures, net earnings, financial condition, liquidity or competitive position. We believe that our current practices and procedures for the control and disposition of such materials comply with applicable federal, state and local requirements. No assurances can be provided, however, that future laws or regulations, or changes in existing laws or regulations, would not require us to expend significant resources in order to comply therewith.

We had \$14.6 million of letters of credit outstanding as of June 30, 2013, which are required by certain of our insurance providers. In addition, as of June 30, 2013, we maintained a \$5.0 million surety bond line in the ordinary course of our business. Our letters of credit and surety bond line are considered to be off balance sheet arrangements. Our other material commitments include (i) floor plan notes payable, (ii) operating leases, (iii) long-term debt and (iv) interest on long-term debt, as described elsewhere herein.

Table of Contents

10. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

In July 2013, we acquired three franchises (three dealership locations) in our existing market of Atlanta, Georgia. We expect that the three franchises (three dealership locations) will represent approximately \$115.0 million of annualized revenues.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation

Forward-Looking Information

Certain of the discussions and information included in this report may constitute "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the federal securities laws. Forward-looking statements are statements that are not historical in nature and may include statements relating to our goals, plans and projections regarding industry and general economic trends, our expected financial position, results of operations or market position and our business strategy. Such statements can generally be identified by words such as "may," "target," "could," "would," "will," "should," "believe," "expect," "anticipate," "plan," "intend," "foresee" and other similar words or phrases. Forward-looking statements may also relate to our expectations and assumptions with respect to, among other things:

- our ability to execute our business strategy;
- our ability to further improve our operating cash flows, and the availability of capital and liquidity;
- our estimated future capital expenditures;
- the duration of the economic recovery process and its impact on our revenues and expenses;
- our parts and service revenue due to, among other things, improvements in manufacturing quality, manufacturer recalls, the recently lower than historical seasonally adjusted annual rate ("SAAR") of new vehicle sales in the U.S. and any changes in business strategy and government regulations;
- the variable nature of significant components of our cost structure;
- our ability to decrease our exposure to regional economic downturns due to our geographic diversity and brand mix;
- manufacturers' willingness to continue to use incentive programs to drive demand for their product offerings;
- our ability to leverage our common systems, infrastructure and processes in a cost-efficient manner;
- our acquisition and divestiture strategies;
- the continued availability of financing, including floor plan financing for inventory;
- the ability of consumers to secure vehicle financing, including at favorable rates;
- the growth of mid-line import and luxury brands over the long-term;
- our ability to mitigate any future negative trends in new vehicle sales; and
- our ability to increase our net income as a result of the foregoing and other factors.

Forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause our actual future results, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. Such factors include, but are not limited to:

• our ability to execute our balanced automotive retailing and service business strategy;

• changes in the mix, and total number, of vehicles we are able to sell;

• changes in general economic and business conditions, including changes in consumer confidence levels, interest rates, consumer credit availability and employment levels;

• changes in laws and regulations governing the operation of automobile franchises, including trade restrictions, consumer protections, accounting standards, taxation requirements and environmental laws;

• changes in the price of oil and gasoline;

• our ability to generate sufficient cash flows, maintain our liquidity and obtain additional funds for working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions, debt maturities and other corporate purposes, if necessary;

Table of Contents

our continued ability to comply with applicable covenants in various of our financing and lease agreements, or to obtain waivers of these covenants as necessary;

our relationships with, and the reputation and financial health and viability of, the vehicle manufacturers whose brands we sell, and their ability to design, manufacture, deliver and market their vehicles successfully;

significant disruptions in the production and delivery of vehicles and parts for any reason, including natural disasters, product recalls, work stoppages or other occurrences that are outside of our control;

adverse results from litigation or other similar proceedings involving us;

our relationships with, and the financial stability of, our lenders and lessors;

our ability to execute our initiatives and other strategies;

high levels of competition in our industry, which may create pricing and margin pressures on our products and services;

our ability to renew, and enter into new, framework and dealer agreements with vehicle manufacturers whose brands we sell, on terms acceptable to us;

our ability to attract and to retain key personnel;

our ability to leverage gains from our dealership portfolio; and

significant disruptions in the financial markets, which may impact our ability to access capital.

Many of these factors are beyond our ability to control or predict, and their ultimate impact could be material. Moreover, the factors set forth in the discussion and analysis below and under Item 1A entitled "Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 and other cautionary statements made in this report should be read and considered as forward-looking statements subject to such uncertainties. Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they are made, and we assume no obligation to update any forward-looking statements.

OVERVIEW

We are one of the largest automotive retailers in the United States, operating 97 franchises (76 dealership locations) in 18 metropolitan markets within 10 states as of June 30, 2013. We offer an extensive range of automotive products and services, including new and used vehicles; vehicle maintenance, replacement parts and collision repair services; and financing, insurance and service contracts. As of June 30, 2013, we offered 29 domestic and foreign brands of new vehicles. Our current brand mix is weighted 85% towards luxury and mid-line import brands, with the remaining 15% consisting of domestic brands. We also operate 23 collision repair centers that serve customers in our local markets. Our retail network is made up of dealerships operating primarily under the following locally-branded dealership groups:

Coggin dealerships, operating primarily in Jacksonville, Fort Pierce and Orlando, Florida;

Courtesy dealerships operating in Tampa, Florida;

Crown dealerships operating in New Jersey, North Carolina, South Carolina and Virginia;

•Nalley dealerships operating in Atlanta, Georgia;

•McDavid dealerships operating in Austin, Dallas and Houston, Texas;

•North Point dealerships operating in Little Rock, Arkansas;

•Plaza dealerships operating in St. Louis, Missouri; and

•Gray-Daniels dealerships operating in Jackson, Mississippi.

Our revenues are derived primarily from: (i) the sale of new vehicles to individual retail customers (“new vehicle retail”) and commercial customers (“fleet”) (the terms “new vehicle retail” and “fleet” being together referred to as “new”); (ii) the sale of used vehicles to individual retail customers (“used retail”) and to other dealers at auction (“wholesale”) (the terms “used retail” and “wholesale” being together referred to as “used”); (iii) maintenance and collision repair services and the sale of automotive parts (together referred to as “parts and service”); and (iv) the arrangement of vehicle financing and the sale of a number of aftermarket products, such as insurance and service contracts (collectively referred to as “F&I”). We evaluate the results of our new and used vehicle sales based on unit volumes and gross profit per vehicle sold, our parts and service

Table of Contents

operations based on aggregate gross profit, and F&I based on dealership generated F&I gross profit per vehicle sold. We assess the organic growth of our revenue and gross profit by comparing the year-to-year results of stores that we have operated for at least twelve full months (“same store”).

Our organic growth is dependent upon the execution of our balanced automotive retailing and service business strategy, the continued strength of our brand mix and the production of desirable vehicles by automobile manufacturers whose brands we sell. Our vehicle sales have historically fluctuated with product availability as well as local and national economic conditions, including consumer confidence, availability of consumer credit, fuel prices and employment levels. We believe that the impact on our business of any future negative trends in new vehicle sales would be partially mitigated by (i) the expected relative stability of our parts and service operations over the long-term, (ii) the variable nature of significant components of our cost structure and (iii) our brand mix. Historically, our brand mix has been less affected by market volatility than the U.S. automobile industry as a whole. We believe that our new vehicle revenue brand mix, which included approximately 50% of revenue from mid-line import brands and 35% of revenue from luxury brands in the second quarter of 2013, is well positioned for growth over the long term.

Our operating results are generally subject to changes in the economic environment as well as seasonal variations. Historically, we have generated more revenue and operating income in the second and third quarters than in the first and fourth quarters of the calendar year. Generally, the seasonal variations in our operations are caused by factors related to weather conditions, changes in manufacturer incentive programs, model changeovers and consumer buying patterns, among other things.

Our gross profit margin varies with our revenue mix. The sale of new vehicles generally results in lower gross profit margin than used vehicle sales and sales of parts and service. As a result, when used vehicle and parts and service revenue increase as a percentage of total revenue, we expect our overall gross profit margin to increase.

Selling, general and administrative (“SG&A”) expenses consist primarily of fixed and incentive-based compensation, advertising, rent, insurance, utilities and other customary operating expenses. A significant portion of our cost structure is variable (such as sales commissions), or controllable (such as advertising), generally allowing us to adapt to changes in the retail environment over the long-term. We evaluate commissions paid to salespeople as a percentage of retail vehicle gross profit and all other SG&A expenses in the aggregate as a percentage of total gross profit, with the exception of advertising expense, which we evaluate on a per vehicle retailed (“PVR”) basis.

The United States automotive retail market showed continued improvement through the second quarter of 2013, with new vehicle SAAR increasing to 15.4 million during the second quarter of 2013 as compared to 14.2 million during the second quarter of 2012. We continued to benefit from improving economic conditions in the first half of 2013, which we attribute to improved consumer confidence, the continued availability of credit at terms favorable to consumers resulting primarily from the current low interest rate environment, gradually improving unemployment and the increasing age of the U.S. automotive fleet. We believe that the overall economic recovery will continue to be fragile, and may be subject to further changes based on consumer confidence, interest rates, unemployment levels and other macro-economic factors as the long-term prospects for, and the timing of, a return to a stronger economy continue to be difficult to predict.

We had total available liquidity of \$408.0 million as of June 30, 2013, which consisted of cash and cash equivalents of \$67.0 million, borrowing availability of \$233.1 million under our revolving credit facilities and \$107.9 million of availability under our floor plan offset account. For further discussion of our liquidity, please refer to “Liquidity and Capital Resources” below.

Table of Contents

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 Compared to the Three Months Ended June 30, 2012

| | For the Three Months Ended | | Increase | % Change | |
|------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|---------|------------|----------|---|
| | June 30, | 2012 | (Decrease) | | |
| | 2013 | | | | |
| | (Dollars in millions, except per share data) | | | | |
| REVENUES: | | | | | |
| New vehicle | \$743.0 | \$648.0 | \$95.0 | 15 | % |
| Used vehicle | 395.2 | 330.7 | 64.5 | 20 | % |
| Parts and service | 153.9 | 141.4 | 12.5 | 9 | % |
| Finance and insurance, net | 52.4 | 41.4 | 11.0 | 27 | % |
| Total revenues | 1,344.5 | 1,161.5 | 183.0 | 16 | % |
| GROSS PROFIT: | | | | | |
| New vehicle | 44.6 | 41.9 | 2.7 | 6 | % |
| Used vehicle | 30.6 | 25.7 | 4.9 | 19 | % |
| Parts and service | 94.3 | 82.8 | 11.5 | 14 | % |
| Finance and insurance, net | 52.4 | 41.4 | 11.0 | 27 | % |
| Total gross profit | 221.9 | 191.8 | 30.1 | 16 | % |
| OPERATING EXPENSES: | | | | | |
| Selling, general and administrative | 154.2 | 138.5 | 15.7 | 11 | % |
| Depreciation and amortization | 5.9 | 5.7 | 0.2 | 4 | % |
| Other operating expense, net | 4.4 | 0.6 | 3.8 | NM | |
| Income from operations | 57.4 | 47.0 | 10.4 | 22 | % |
| OTHER EXPENSES: | | | | | |
| Floor plan interest expense | (3.1) | (2.9) | 0.2 | 7 | % |
| Other interest expense, net | (9.5) | (8.7) | 0.8 | 9 | % |
| Swap interest expense | (0.9) | (1.2) | (0.3) | (25) | % |
| Convertible debt discount amortization | — | (0.2) | (0.2) | NM | |
| Total other expense, net | (13.5) | (13.0) | 0.5 | 4 | % |
| Income before income taxes | 43.9 | 34.0 | 9.9 | 29 | % |
| INCOME TAX EXPENSE | 16.7 | 13.1 | 3.6 | 27 | % |
| INCOME FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS | 27.2 | 20.9 | \$ 110.7 | | |
| 2014 | | 97.0 | | | |
| 2015 | | 93.1 | | | |
| 2016 | | 87.3 | | | |
| 2017 | | 82.9 | | | |
| Thereafter | | 183.1 | | | |
| | \$ | 654.1 | | | |

Table of Contents**The Carlyle Group L.P.****Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements****(Unaudited)****8. Borrowings**

The Partnership borrows and enters into credit agreements for its general operating and investment purposes. The Partnership's borrowings consist of the following:

| | As of March 31, 2013 | | As of December 31, 2012 | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|
| | Borrowing Outstanding | Carrying Value | Borrowing Outstanding | Carrying Value |
| Revolving Credit Facility | \$ | \$ | \$ 386.3 | \$ 386.3 |
| Term Loan Due 9/30/2016 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 500.0 | 500.0 |
| 3.875% Senior Notes Due 2/01/2023 | 500.0 | 499.8 | | |
| 5.625% Senior Notes Due 3/30/2043 | 400.0 | 398.4 | | |
| | \$ 925.0 | \$ 923.2 | \$ 886.3 | \$ 886.3 |

Senior Credit Facility

The senior credit facility includes \$500.0 million in a term loan and \$750.0 million in a revolving credit facility. The term loan and revolving credit facility mature on September 30, 2016. Principal amounts outstanding under the term loan and revolving credit facility accrue interest, at the option of the borrowers, either (a) at an alternate base rate plus an applicable margin not to exceed 0.75%, or (b) at LIBOR plus an applicable margin not to exceed 1.75% (1.25% at March 31, 2013). During the first quarter of 2013, the Partnership prepaid \$475.0 million of term loan principal that would have been due beginning in September 2014. The remaining outstanding principal amount under the term loan is payable on September 30, 2016. Total interest expense under the senior credit facility was \$4.2 million and \$6.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. As a result of this prepayment, the Partnership also expensed \$1.9 million of deferred financing costs in interest expense upon the early extinguishment of the debt. The fair value of the outstanding balances of the term loan and revolving credit facility at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 approximated par value based on current market rates for similar debt instruments and are classified as Level III within the fair value hierarchy.

3.875% Senior Notes

In January 2013, an indirect finance subsidiary of the Partnership issued \$500.0 million of 3.875% senior notes due February 1, 2023 at 99.966% of par. Interest is payable semi-annually on February 1 and August 1, beginning August 1, 2013. This subsidiary may redeem the senior notes in whole at any time or in part from time to time at a price equal to the greater of 100% of the principal amount of the notes being redeemed and the sum of the present values of the remaining scheduled payments of principal and interest on any notes being redeemed discounted to the redemption date on a semi-annual basis at the Treasury rate plus 30 basis points plus accrued and unpaid interest on the principal amounts being redeemed to the redemption date. Interest expense on the notes was \$4.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013. At March 31, 2013, the fair value of the notes approximated par value and is classified as Level III within the fair value hierarchy.

5.625% Senior Notes

In March 2013, an indirect finance subsidiary of the Partnership issued \$400.0 million of 5.625% senior notes due March 30, 2043 at 99.583% of par. Interest is payable semi-annually on March 30 and September 30, beginning September 30, 2013. This subsidiary may redeem the senior notes in whole at any time or in part from time to time at a price equal to the greater of 100% of the principal amount of the notes being redeemed and the sum of the present values of the remaining scheduled payments of principal and interest on any notes being redeemed discounted to the redemption date on a semi-annual basis at the Treasury rate plus 40 basis points plus accrued and unpaid interest on the principal amounts being redeemed to the redemption date. Interest expense on the notes for the three months ended March 31, 2013 was not material. At March 31, 2013, the fair value of the notes approximated par value and is classified as Level III within the fair value hierarchy.

Table of Contents**The Carlyle Group L.P.****Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements****(Unaudited)**

The Partnership is subject to interest rate risk associated with its variable rate debt financing. To manage this risk, the Partnership entered into the following interest rate swaps to fix the base LIBOR interest rate on its term loan borrowings:

In March 2008, with a notional amount of \$47.8 million at March 31, 2013 that amortizes through August 20, 2013, and

In December 2011, with a notional amount of \$452.2 million at March 31, 2013 that amortizes through September 30, 2016. In the first quarter of 2013, \$475.0 million of term loan principal was prepaid. As a result of these term loan prepayments, the two interest rate swaps no longer qualify for cash flow hedge accounting due to ineffectiveness; the interest rate swaps will be accounted for prospectively as freestanding derivative instruments recorded at fair value each period with changes in fair value recorded through earnings. The pre-existing hedge losses included in accumulated other comprehensive loss for these interest rate swaps of \$8.8 million will be reclassified into earnings as the original forecasted transactions affect earnings.

In March 2013, the Partnership entered into a third interest rate swap with an initial notional amount of \$427.2 million that amortizes through September 30, 2016. This interest rate swap will be accounted for as a freestanding derivative instrument recorded at fair value each period with changes in fair value recorded through earnings.

Debt Covenants

The Partnership is subject to various financial covenants under its loan agreements including among other items, maintenance of a minimum amount of management fee earning assets. The Partnership is also subject to various non-financial covenants under its loan agreements. The Partnership was in compliance with all financial and non-financial covenants under its various loan agreements as of March 31, 2013.

Loans Payable of Consolidated Funds

Loans payable of Consolidated Funds represent amounts due to holders of debt securities issued by the CLOs. Several of the CLOs issued preferred shares representing the most subordinated interest, however these tranches are mandatorily redeemable upon the maturity dates of the senior secured loans payable, and as a result have been classified as liabilities and are included in loans payable of Consolidated Funds in the condensed consolidated balance sheets.

As of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the following borrowings were outstanding, which includes preferred shares classified as liabilities (Dollars in millions):

| | As of March 31, 2013 | | | Weighted Average Remaining Maturity in Years |
|-------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| | Borrowing Outstanding | Fair Value | Weighted Average Interest Rate | |
| Senior secured notes | \$ 13,838.4 | \$ 13,204.1 | 1.31% | 8.96 |
| Subordinated notes, Income notes and Preferred shares | 1,084.8 | 1,102.3 | N/A (a) | 8.30 |
| Combination notes | 5.2 | 6.3 | N/A (b) | 11.90 |

Total

\$ 14,928.4

\$ 14,312.7

Table of Contents**The Carlyle Group L.P.****Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements****(Unaudited)**

| | As of December 31, 2012 | | | Weighted Average Remaining Maturity in Years |
|-------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| | Borrowing Outstanding | Fair Value | Weighted Average Interest Rate | |
| Senior secured notes | \$ 13,662.3 | \$ 12,658.4 | 1.30% | 8.80 |
| Subordinated notes, Income notes and Preferred shares | 914.8 | 996.9 | N/A (a) | 8.22 |
| Combination notes | 0.7 | 1.4 | N/A (b) | 8.81 |
| Total | \$ 14,577.8 | \$ 13,656.7 | | |

(a) The subordinated notes, income notes and preferred shares do not have contractual interest rates, but instead receive distributions from the excess cash flows of the CLOs.

(b) The combination notes do not have contractual interest rates and have recourse only to OATS specifically held to collateralize such combination notes.

Loans payable of the CLOs are collateralized by the assets held by the CLOs and the assets of one CLO may not be used to satisfy the liabilities of another. This collateral consisted of cash and cash equivalents, corporate loans, corporate bonds and other securities. As of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the fair value of the CLO assets was \$16.4 billion and \$15.7 billion, respectively.

Certain CLOs entered into liquidity facility agreements with various liquidity facility providers on or about the various closing dates of the applicable CLO in order to fund payments of interest when there are insufficient funds available. The proceeds from such draw-downs are used for payments of interest at each interest payment date and the acquisition or exercise of an option or warrant as part of any collateral enhancement obligation. The liquidity facilities in aggregate allow for a maximum borrowing of \$12.8 million and bear weighted average interest at EURIBOR plus 0.25% per annum. Amounts borrowed under the liquidity facilities are repaid based on cash flows available subject to priority of payments under each CLO's governing documents. There were no borrowings outstanding under the liquidity facility as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

9. Contingent Consideration

The Partnership has contingent consideration obligations related to its business acquisitions and strategic investments. The changes in the contingent consideration liabilities are as follows:

| | Rollforward For The Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 | | | | | Total |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|---------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|
| | Amounts payable to the sellers who are senior Carlyle professionals | | | | Contingent cash and other consideration payable to non-Carlyle personnel | |
| | Performance-based contingent cash consideration | Performance-based contingent equity consideration | Employment-based contingent cash consideration | | | |
| | (Dollars in millions) | | | | | |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ 158.6 | \$ 57.6 | \$ 96.2 | \$ 28.1 | \$ 340.5 | |
| Change in carrying value | (11.7) | 8.1 | 10.7 | 1.8 | 8.9 | |

Edgar Filing: ASBURY AUTOMOTIVE GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|----------|----|------|-------|--------|----|------|----------|
| Payments | (9.3) | | | (0.7) | (10.0) | | | |
| Balance, end of period | \$ 137.6 | \$ | 65.7 | \$ | 106.9 | \$ | 29.2 | \$ 339.4 |

The fair value of the performance-based contingent cash and equity consideration payable to the sellers who are senior Carlyle professionals has been recorded in due to affiliates in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets. These payments are not contingent upon the senior Carlyle professional being employed by Carlyle at the time that the performance conditions are met. For periods prior to the reorganization and initial public offering in May 2012, the change in the fair value of this contingent consideration was recorded directly in partners' capital in the condensed consolidated balance sheets. For periods subsequent to the reorganization and initial public offering, changes in the fair value of these amounts are recorded in other non-operating expenses in the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

Table of Contents**The Carlyle Group L.P.****Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements****(Unaudited)**

The amount of employment-based contingent cash consideration payable to the sellers who are senior Carlyle professionals has been recorded as accrued compensation and benefits in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets. For periods prior to the reorganization and initial public offering in May 2012, the change in the value of this contingent consideration was recorded in partners' capital in the condensed consolidated balance sheets. For periods subsequent to the reorganization and initial public offering, changes in the value of these amounts are recorded as compensation expense in the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

The fair value of contingent consideration payable to non-Carlyle personnel is included in accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets. Changes in the fair value of this contingent consideration are recorded in other non-operating expenses in the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

The fair values of the performance-based contingent cash consideration were based on probability-weighted discounted cash flow models. These fair value measurements are based on significant inputs not observable in the market and thus represent Level III measurements as defined in the accounting guidance for fair value measurement. As of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the fair value of the contingently issuable Carlyle Holdings partnership units was based principally by reference to the quoted price of the Partnership's common units. This fair value measurement was based on inputs that are not directly observable but are corroborated by observable market data and thus represents a Level II measurement as defined in the accounting guidance for fair value measurement. Refer to Note 4 for additional disclosures related to the fair value of these instruments as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

The following table represents the maximum amounts that could be paid from contingent cash obligations associated with the business acquisitions and the strategic investment in NGP Management and the amount payable if the Partnership elects to exercise its options related to NGP:

| | As of March 31, 2013 | | | Liability Recognized on Financial Statements (1) |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|----------|--------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Hedge Fund Acquisitions | NGP Investment | Total | |
| | (Dollars in millions) | | | |
| Performance-based contingent cash consideration | \$ 363.3 | \$ 183.0 | \$ 546.3 | \$ 166.8 |
| Employment-based contingent cash consideration | 300.7 | 45.0 | 345.7 | 106.9 |
| Options to acquire additional investments in NGP ⁽²⁾ | | 97.2 | 97.2 | |
| Total maximum cash obligations | \$ 664.0 | \$ 325.2 | \$ 989.2 | \$ 273.7 |

- (1) On the condensed consolidated balance sheet, the liability for performance-based contingent cash consideration is included in due to affiliates (for amounts owed to senior Carlyle professionals) and accounts payable, accrued expenses, and other liabilities (for amounts owed to other sellers), and the liability for employment-based contingent cash consideration is included in accrued compensation and benefits. Also, the amounts shown here exclude the \$65.7 million liability that has been recognized on the condensed consolidated financial statements for performance-based contingent equity consideration.

- (2) Refer to Note 6 for more information.

Some of the employment-based contingent cash consideration agreements do not contain provisions limiting the amount that could be paid by the Partnership. For purposes of the table above, the Partnership has used its current estimate of the amount to be paid upon the determination dates for such payments. In the condensed consolidated financial statements, the Partnership records the performance-based contingent cash

Edgar Filing: ASBURY AUTOMOTIVE GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

consideration from business acquisitions at fair value at each reporting period. For the employment-based contingent cash consideration, the Partnership accrues the compensation liability over the implied service period. If the Partnership exercises the options to acquire additional investments in NGP, the amount paid will be included in the carrying value of its equity-method investment in NGP at such time.

Table of Contents**The Carlyle Group L.P.****Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements****(Unaudited)****10. Accrued Compensation and Benefits**

Accrued compensation and benefits consist of the following:

| | March 31, 2013 | As of December 31, 2012 |
|------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|
| | (Dollars in millions) | |
| Accrued performance fee-related compensation | \$ 1,089.9 | \$ 912.0 |
| Accrued bonuses | 113.2 | 188.5 |
| Employment-based contingent cash consideration | 106.9 | 96.2 |
| Other | 139.3 | 121.5 |
| Total | \$ 1,449.3 | \$ 1,318.2 |

11. Commitments and Contingencies**Capital Commitments**

The Partnership and its unconsolidated affiliates have unfunded commitments to entities within the following segments as of March 31, 2013 (Dollars in millions):

| | Unfunded Commitments |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| Corporate Private Equity | \$ 1,887.9 |
| Global Market Strategies | 221.1 |
| Real Assets | 187.3 |
| | \$ 2,296.3 |

Of the \$2.3 billion of unfunded commitments, approximately \$2.1 billion is subscribed individually by senior Carlyle professionals, operating executives and other professionals, with the balance funded directly by the Partnership. In addition to these unfunded commitments, the Partnership may from time to time exercise its right to purchase additional interests in its investment funds that become available in the ordinary course of their operations.

Guaranteed Loans

On August 4, 2001, the Partnership entered into an agreement with a financial institution pursuant to which the Partnership is the guarantor on a credit facility for eligible employees investing in Carlyle sponsored funds. This credit facility renews on an annual basis, allowing for annual incremental borrowings up to an aggregate of \$16.1 million, and accrues interest at the lower of the prime rate, as defined, or three-month LIBOR plus 2%, reset quarterly (3.25% weighted-average rate at March 31, 2013). As of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, approximately \$10.7 million and \$10.8 million, respectively, were outstanding under the credit facility and payable by the employees. The

amount funded by the Partnership under this guarantee as of March 31, 2013 was not material. The Partnership believes the likelihood of any material funding under this guarantee to be remote. The fair value of this guarantee is not significant to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

Other Guarantees

The Partnership has guaranteed payment of giveback obligations, if any, related to one of its corporate private equity funds to the extent the amount of funds reserved for potential giveback obligations is not sufficient to

Table of Contents**The Carlyle Group L.P.****Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements****(Unaudited)**

fulfill such obligations. At March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, \$13.2 million and \$13.0 million, respectively, were held in an escrow account and the Partnership believes the likelihood of any material fundings under this guarantee to be remote.

Contingent Obligations (Giveback)

A liability for potential repayment of previously received performance fees of \$46.3 million at March 31, 2013, is shown as accrued giveback obligations in the condensed consolidated balance sheets, representing the giveback obligation that would need to be paid if the funds were liquidated at their current fair values at March 31, 2013. However, the ultimate giveback obligation, if any, does not become realized until the end of a fund's life (see Note 2). The Partnership has recorded \$19.9 million and \$32.8 million of unbilled receivables from former and current employees and senior Carlyle professionals as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, related to giveback obligations, which are included in due from affiliates and other receivables, net in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets. Current and former senior Carlyle professionals and employees are personally responsible for their giveback obligations. The receivables are collateralized by investments made by individual senior Carlyle professionals and employees in Carlyle-sponsored funds. In addition, \$305.3 million and \$309.1 million have been withheld from distributions of carried interest to senior Carlyle professionals and employees for potential giveback obligations as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. Such amounts are held by an entity not included in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets.

During the three months ended March 31, 2013, the Partnership repaid \$14.0 million of giveback obligations to certain funds. This amount was funded primarily through collection of employee receivables related to giveback obligations and from contributions from non-controlling interests for their portion of the obligation. The Partnership had previously recognized this liability as an unrealized performance fee loss. As a result of the giveback repayment, the Partnership reclassified this amount to a realized performance fee loss for the three months ended March 31, 2013.

If, at March 31, 2013, all of the investments held by the Partnership's Funds were deemed worthless, a possibility that management views as remote, the amount of realized and distributed carried interest subject to potential giveback would be \$1.1 billion, on an after-tax basis where applicable.

Leases

The Partnership leases office space in various countries around the world and maintains its headquarters in Washington, D.C., where it leases its primary office space under a non-cancelable lease agreement expiring on July 31, 2026. Office leases in other locations expire in various years from 2013 through 2021. These leases are accounted for as operating leases. Rent expense was approximately \$12.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and is included in general, administrative and other expenses in the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

The future minimum commitments for the leases are as follows (Dollars in millions):

| | |
|------------|----------|
| 2013 | \$ 34.4 |
| 2014 | 43.7 |
| 2015 | 39.7 |
| 2016 | 30.9 |
| 2017 | 29.7 |
| Thereafter | 124.7 |
| | \$ 303.1 |

Total minimum rentals to be received in the future under non-cancelable subleases as of March 31, 2013 were \$10.2 million.

Table of Contents

The Carlyle Group L.P.

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

The Partnership records contractual escalating minimum lease payments on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease. Deferred rent payable under the leases was \$32.4 million and \$30.1 million as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, and is included in accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets.

Legal Matters

In the ordinary course of business, the Partnership is a party to litigation, investigations, disputes and other potential claims. Certain of these matters are described below. The Partnership is not currently able to estimate for any such matters the reasonably possible amount of loss or range of loss. The Partnership does not believe it is probable that the outcome of any existing litigation, investigations, disputes or other potential claims will materially affect the Partnership or these financial statements. The Partnership believes that the matters described below are without merit and intends to vigorously contest all such allegations.

In September 2006 and March 2009, the Partnership received requests for certain documents and other information from the Antitrust Division of the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) in connection with the DOJ 's investigation of global alternative asset firms to determine whether they have engaged in conduct prohibited by U.S. antitrust laws. The Partnership fully cooperated with the DOJ 's investigation.

On February 14, 2008, a private class-action lawsuit challenging club bids and other alleged anti-competitive business practices was filed in the U.S. District Court for the District of Massachusetts (*Police and Fire Retirement System of the City of Detroit v. Apollo Global Management, LLC*). The complaint alleges, among other things, that certain global alternative asset firms, including the Partnership, violated Section 1 of the Sherman Act by forming multi-sponsor consortiums for the purpose of bidding collectively in company buyout transactions in certain going private transactions, which the plaintiffs allege constitutes a conspiracy in restraint of trade. Count One of the complaint alleges an overarching conspiracy relating to certain large buyout transactions. Count Two of the complaint alleges a conspiracy with regard to the buyout of Healthcare Corporation of America. The plaintiffs seek damages as provided for in Section 4 of the Clayton Act and an injunction against such conduct in restraint of trade in the future. The defendants moved for summary judgment on both counts. On March 13, 2013, the U.S. District Court for the District of Massachusetts ruled that plaintiffs could proceed on Count One solely on the basis of an alleged conspiracy to refrain from jumping announced proprietary (i.e., non-auction) deals. The Court stated that it would entertain further summary judgment motions by individual defendants as to their participation in the more narrowly-defined alleged conspiracy. The Court also denied summary judgment as to Count Two. On April 16, 2013, Carlyle filed a consolidated motion, renewing its motion for summary judgment on Count One, and moving for reconsideration on Count Two. On April 22, 2013, Carlyle joined a motion seeking reconsideration on Count Two filed on behalf of all Count Two defendants. The U. S. District Court for the District of Massachusetts has not set a schedule for class certification proceedings.

Along with many other companies and individuals in the financial sector, Carlyle and CMP are named as defendants in *Foy v. Austin Capital*, a case filed in June 2009, pending in the State of New Mexico 's First Judicial District Court, County of Santa Fe, which purports to be a qui tam suit on behalf of the State of New Mexico. The suit alleges that investment decisions by New Mexico public investment funds were improperly influenced by campaign contributions and payments to politically connected placement agents. The plaintiffs seek, among other things, actual damages, actual damages for lost income, rescission of the investment transactions described in the complaint and disgorgement of all fees received. In May 2011, the Attorney General of New Mexico moved to dismiss certain defendants including Carlyle and CMP on the grounds that separate civil litigation by the Attorney General is a more effective means to seek recovery for the State from these defendants. The Attorney General has brought two civil actions against certain of those defendants, not including the Carlyle defendants. The Attorney General has stated that its investigation is continuing and it may bring additional civil actions.

Carlyle Capital Corporation Limited (CCC) was a fund sponsored by Carlyle that invested in AAA-rated residential mortgage backed securities on a highly leveraged basis. In March of 2008, amidst turmoil throughout the mortgage markets and money markets, CCC filed for insolvency protection in Guernsey. Several different lawsuits, described below, developed from the CCC insolvency.

Table of Contents**The Carlyle Group L.P.****Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements****(Unaudited)**

First, on July 13, 2009, a former shareholder of CCC, claiming to have lost \$20.0 million, filed a claim against CCC, Carlyle and certain affiliates and one of the Partnership's officers (*Huffington v. TC Group L.L.C., et al.*) alleging violations of Massachusetts' blue sky law provisions relating to material misrepresentations and omissions allegedly made during and after the marketing of CCC. The plaintiff sought treble damages, interest, expenses, attorney's fees and to have the subscription agreement deemed null and void and to receive a full refund of the investment. In March 2010, the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts dismissed the plaintiff's complaint on the grounds that it should have been filed in Delaware instead of Massachusetts based on the forum selection provision in the plaintiff's subscription agreement. The plaintiff subsequently filed a notice of appeal to the United States Court of Appeals for the First Circuit. The plaintiff lost his appeal to the First Circuit and filed a new claim in Delaware State Court. The Delaware State Court granted in part and denied in part defendants' motion to dismiss, which was converted to a motion for summary judgment. The plaintiff has since dismissed his claim without any monetary compensation, in exchange for Carlyle's dismissal of its counterclaim against him for violation of the forum selection clause.

Second, in November 2009, another CCC investor, National Industries Group (National Industries) instituted legal proceedings on similar grounds in Kuwait's Court of First Instance (*National Industries Group v. Carlyle Group*) seeking to recover losses incurred in connection with an investment in CCC. In July 2011, the Delaware Court of Chancery issued a decision restraining National Industries from proceeding in Kuwait against Carlyle Investment Management L.L.C. or TC Group, L.L.C., based on the forum selection clause in National Industries' subscription agreement, which provided for exclusive jurisdiction in the Delaware courts. In September 2011, National Industries reissued its complaint in Kuwait naming CCC only, and reissued its complaint in January 2012 joining Carlyle Investment Management, L.L.C. as a defendant. In April 2013, the court in Kuwait dismissed National Industries' claim without prejudice for failure to serve process. In August 2012, National Industries filed a motion to vacate the Delaware Court of Chancery's decision. The Partnership successfully opposed that motion and the Court's injunction remains in effect. In November 2012, National Industries filed a notice of appeal. The appeal was heard by the Delaware Supreme Court on May 1, 2013.

Third, the Guernsey liquidators who took control of CCC in March 2008 filed four suits on July 7, 2010 against Carlyle, certain of its affiliates and the former directors of CCC in the Delaware Chancery Court, the Royal Court of Guernsey, the Superior Court of the District of Columbia and the Supreme Court of New York, New York County, (*Carlyle Capital Corporation Limited v. Conway et al.*) seeking \$1.0 billion in damages. They allege that Carlyle and the CCC board of directors were negligent, grossly negligent or willfully mismanaged the CCC investment program and breached certain fiduciary duties allegedly owed to CCC and its shareholders. The liquidators further allege (among other things) that the directors and Carlyle put the interests of Carlyle ahead of the interests of CCC and its shareholders and gave priority to preserving and enhancing Carlyle's reputation and its brand over the best interests of CCC. In July 2011, the Royal Court of Guernsey held that the case should be litigated in Delaware pursuant to the exclusive jurisdiction clause in the investment management agreement. That ruling was appealed by the liquidators, and in February 2012 was reversed by the Guernsey Court of Appeal, which held that the case should proceed in Guernsey. Two claims in that case, which sought the return of certain documents and other property purportedly belonging to CCC, were resolved by agreement of the parties and order of the Royal Court of Guernsey in December 2012. Carlyle is now in the process of producing relevant documents to the plaintiffs, who have told the Royal Court of Guernsey that they intend to amend their pleading after receiving and reviewing the documents. A schedule for the case will be set after that amended complaint is filed. Defendants' attempts to appeal to the Privy Council were unsuccessful and the plaintiffs' case is proceeding in Guernsey. In addition, the liquidators' lawsuits in New York and the District of Columbia were dismissed in December 2011 without prejudice.

Fourth, on June 21, 2011, August 24, 2011 and September 1, 2011, respectively, three putative shareholder class actions were filed against Carlyle, certain of its affiliates and former directors of CCC alleging that the fund offering materials and various public disclosures were materially misleading or omitted material information. Two of the shareholder class actions (*Phelps v. Stomber, et al.* and *Glaubach v. Carlyle Capital Corporation Limited, et al.*) were filed in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia. *Phelps v. Stomber, et al.* was also filed in

Table of Contents

The Carlyle Group L.P.

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

the Supreme Court of New York, New York County and was subsequently removed to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. The two original D.C. cases were consolidated into one case under the caption of *Phelps v. Stomber* and the Phelps named plaintiffs were designated lead plaintiffs by the Court. The New York case was transferred to the D.C. federal court and the plaintiffs requested that it be consolidated with the other two D.C. actions. The plaintiffs were seeking compensatory damages sustained as a result of the alleged misrepresentations, costs and expenses, as well as reasonable attorney's fees. On August 13, 2012, the United States District Court for the District of Columbia dismissed both the D.C. and New York shareholder class actions. The plaintiffs have moved for leave to amend their complaint and/or for amendment of the Court's decision and the defendants have opposed these motions. The plaintiffs also have noticed an appeal to the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit, but that appeal is being held in abeyance until the District Court resolves the pending motions.

Other Contingencies

From 2007 to 2009, a Luxembourg subsidiary of Carlyle Europe Real Estate Partners, L.P. (CEREP I), a real estate fund, received proceeds from the sale of real estate located in Paris, France. The relevant French tax authorities have asserted that CEREP I was ineligible to claim certain exemptions from French tax under the Luxembourg-French tax treaty, and have issued a tax assessment seeking to collect approximately 97.0 million, consisting of taxes, interest and penalties. Additionally, the French Ministry of Justice has commenced an investigation regarding the legality under French law of claiming the exemptions under the tax treaty.

During 2006, CEREP I completed a reorganization of several Italian subsidiaries. Certain of those Italian subsidiaries sold various properties located in Italy. The Italian tax authorities issued formal notices of assessment to certain of those subsidiaries, in each case, disallowing deductions of certain capital losses claimed with respect to the reorganization of the Italian subsidiaries. If unchallenged, the disallowance of such deductions would increase the aggregate amount owed by such subsidiaries by approximately 25.5 million of income tax, 28.5 million of penalties and 4.9 million of interest (through May 2013) for a total of approximately 59.0 million. CEREP I has a limited period of time during which it may challenge or negotiate a settlement of these amounts and may be required to post collateral up to the full 59.0 million allegedly owed in order to initiate such a challenge. It is possible that the Italian Ministry of Justice could appoint a prosecutor to conduct an investigation.

CEREP I and its subsidiaries are contesting the French tax assessment and intend to contest the Italian tax assessment. They are also exploring settlement opportunities. In July 2012, the Partnership provided a guarantee to the French tax authorities as credit support for the 45.7 million tax assessment and in October 2012, placed an additional 4.4 million in escrow, in each case, related to CEREP I. The Partnership expects to incur costs on behalf of CEREP I and its related entities. The Partnership will attempt to recover any amounts advanced or paid from proceeds of subsequent portfolio dispositions by CEREP I. The amount of any unrecoverable costs that may be incurred by the Partnership is not estimable at this time. Commencing with the issuance of the credit support on behalf of CEREP I in July 2012, the Partnership consolidated the fund into its condensed consolidated financial statements. As of March 31, 2013, CEREP I had accrued 50.0 million (\$64.1 million as of March 31, 2013) related to this contingency, which is included in other liabilities of Consolidated Funds in the condensed consolidated financial statements.

Indemnifications

In the normal course of business, the Partnership and its subsidiaries enter into contracts that contain a variety of representations and warranties and provide general indemnifications. The Partnership's maximum exposure under these arrangements is unknown as this would involve future claims that may be made against the Partnership that have not yet occurred. However, based on experience, the Partnership believes the risk of material loss to be remote.

Table of Contents

The Carlyle Group L.P.

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

Risks and Uncertainties

Carlyle's funds seek investment opportunities that offer the possibility of attaining substantial capital appreciation. Certain events particular to each industry in which the underlying investees conduct their operations, as well as general economic conditions, may have a significant negative impact on the Partnership's investments and profitability. Such events are beyond the Partnership's control, and the likelihood that they may occur and the effect on the Partnership cannot be predicted.

Furthermore, certain of the funds' investments are made in private companies and there are generally no public markets for the underlying securities at the current time. The funds' ability to liquidate their publicly-traded investments are often subject to limitations, including discounts that may be required to be taken on quoted prices due to the number of shares being sold. The funds' ability to liquidate their investments and realize value is subject to significant limitations and uncertainties, including among others currency fluctuations and natural disasters.

The funds make investments outside of the United States. Investments outside the U.S. may be subject to less developed bankruptcy, corporate, partnership and other laws (which may have the effect of disregarding or otherwise circumventing the limited liability structures potentially causing the actions or liabilities of one fund or a portfolio company to adversely impact the Partnership or an unrelated fund or portfolio company). Non-U.S. investments are subject to the same risks associated with the Partnership's U.S. investments as well as additional risks, such as fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates, unexpected changes in regulatory requirements, heightened risk of political and economic instability, difficulties in managing non-U.S. investments, potentially adverse tax consequences and the burden of complying with a wide variety of foreign laws.

Furthermore, Carlyle is exposed to economic risk concentrations related to certain large investments as well as concentrations of investments in certain industries and geographies.

Additionally, the Partnership encounters credit risk. Credit risk is the risk of default by a counterparty in the Partnership's investments in debt securities, loans, leases and derivatives that result from a borrower's, lessee's or derivative counterparty's inability or unwillingness to make required or expected payments.

The Partnership considers cash, cash equivalents, securities, receivables, equity-method investments, accounts payable, accrued expenses, other liabilities, loans payable, senior notes, assets and liabilities of Consolidated Funds and contingent and other consideration for acquisitions to be its financial instruments. The carrying amounts reported in the condensed consolidated balance sheets for these financial instruments equal or closely approximate their fair values.

Table of Contents**The Carlyle Group L.P.****Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements****(Unaudited)****Termination Costs**

Employee and office lease termination costs are included in accrued compensation and benefits and accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities in the condensed consolidated balance sheets as well as general, administrative and other expenses in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. As of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the accrual for termination costs primarily represents (1) lease obligations associated with closed offices, and (2) severance costs related to terminated employees, which represents management's estimate of the total amount expected to be incurred. The changes in the accrual for termination costs for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 are as follows:

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (Dollars in millions) | |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ 13.6 | \$ 15.2 |
| Compensation expense | 0.6 | 2.2 |
| Contract termination costs | (0.1) | 0.2 |
| Costs paid or settled | (2.2) | (1.3) |
| Balance, end of period | \$ 11.9 | \$ 16.3 |

12. Related Party Transactions**Due from Affiliates and Other Receivables, Net**

The Partnership had the following due from affiliates and other receivables at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

| | March 31, | As of December 31, |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (Dollars in millions) | |
| Unbilled receivable for giveback obligations from current and former employees | \$ 19.9 | \$ 32.8 |
| Notes receivable and accrued interest from affiliates | 7.4 | 10.0 |
| Other receivables from unconsolidated funds and affiliates, net | 119.3 | 147.9 |
| Total | \$ 146.6 | \$ 190.7 |

Notes receivable represent loans that the Partnership has provided to certain unconsolidated funds to meet short-term obligations to purchase investments. Other receivables from certain of the unconsolidated funds and portfolio companies relate to management fees receivable from limited partners, advisory fees receivable and expenses paid on behalf of these entities. These costs represent costs related to the pursuit of actual or proposed investments, professional fees and expenses associated with the acquisition, holding and disposition of the investments. The

Edgar Filing: ASBURY AUTOMOTIVE GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

affiliates are obligated at the discretion of the Partnership to reimburse the expenses. Based on management's determination, the Partnership accrues and charges interest on amounts due from affiliate accounts at interest rates ranging up to 5.63% as of March 31, 2013. The accrued and charged interest to the affiliates was not significant for any period presented.

These receivables are assessed regularly for collectability and amounts determined to be uncollectible are charged directly to general, administrative and other expenses in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. A corresponding allowance for doubtful accounts is recorded and such amounts were not significant for any period presented.

Table of Contents**The Carlyle Group L.P.****Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements****(Unaudited)****Due to Affiliates**

The Partnership had the following due to affiliates balances at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

| | March 31, 2013 | As of December 31, 2012 |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| | (Dollars in millions) | |
| Due to affiliates of Consolidated Funds | \$ 44.7 | \$ 42.1 |
| Due to non-consolidated affiliates | 34.8 | 27.8 |
| Performance-based contingent cash and equity consideration related to acquisitions | 203.3 | 216.2 |
| Amounts owed under the tax receivable agreement | 36.5 | 34.9 |
| Other | 13.5 | 11.1 |
| Total | \$ 332.8 | \$ 332.1 |

The Partnership has recorded obligations for amounts due to certain of its affiliates. The Partnership periodically offsets expenses it has paid on behalf of its affiliates against these obligations. The amount owed under the tax receivable agreement is related to the exchange in May 2012 by CalPERS of its Carlyle Holdings partnership units for Partnership common units.

Other Related Party Transactions

In the normal course of business, the Partnership has made use of aircraft owned by entities controlled by senior Carlyle professionals. The senior Carlyle professionals paid for their purchases of the aircraft and bear all operating, personnel and maintenance costs associated with their operation for personal use. Payment by the Partnership for the business use of these aircraft by senior Carlyle professionals and other employees is made at market rates, which totaled \$2.7 million and \$1.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. These fees are included in general, administrative, and other expenses in the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

Senior Carlyle professionals and employees are permitted to participate in co-investment entities that invest in Carlyle funds or alongside Carlyle funds. In many cases, participation is limited by law to individuals who qualify under applicable legal requirements. These co-investment entities generally do not require senior Carlyle professionals and employees to pay management or performance fees.

Carried interest income from the funds can be distributed to senior Carlyle professionals and employees on a current basis, but is subject to repayment by the subsidiary of the Partnership that acts as general partner of the fund in the event that certain specified return thresholds are not ultimately achieved. The senior Carlyle professionals and certain other investment professionals have personally guaranteed, subject to certain limitations, the obligation of these subsidiaries in respect of this general partner obligation. Such guarantees are several and not joint and are limited to a particular individual's distributions received.

The Partnership does business with some of its portfolio companies; all such arrangements are on a negotiated basis.

Substantially all revenue is earned from affiliates of Carlyle.

Table of Contents**The Carlyle Group L.P.****Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements****(Unaudited)****13. Income Taxes**

The Partnership is organized primarily as a series of pass through entities pursuant to the United States Internal Revenue Code. As such, the Partnership is not responsible for the tax liability due on certain income earned during the year. Such income is taxed at the unitholder and non-controlling interest holder level, and any tax on such income is the responsibility of the unitholders and is paid at that level. The Partnership's income tax expense was \$24.9 million and \$11.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

In the normal course of business, the Partnership is subject to examination by federal and certain state, local and foreign tax regulators. As of March 31, 2013, the Partnership's U.S. federal income tax returns for the years 2009 through 2011 are open under the normal three-year statute of limitations and therefore subject to examination. State and local tax returns are generally subject to audit from 2008 to 2012. Foreign tax returns are generally subject to audit from 2005 to 2012. Certain of the Partnership's foreign subsidiaries are currently under audit by foreign tax authorities.

The Partnership does not believe that the outcome of these audits will require it to record reserves for uncertain tax positions or that the outcome will have a material impact on the condensed consolidated financial statements. The Partnership does not believe that it has any tax positions for which it is reasonably possible that the total amounts of unrecognized tax benefits will significantly increase or decrease within the next twelve months.

14. Non-controlling Interests in Consolidated Entities

The components of the Partnership's non-controlling interests in consolidated entities are as follows:

| | March 31, 2013 | As of December 31, 2012 |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| | (Dollars in millions) | |
| Non-Carlyle interests in Consolidated Funds | \$ 7,544.1 | \$ 7,963.9 |
| Non-Carlyle interests in majority-owned subsidiaries | 224.7 | 228.1 |
| Non-controlling interest in AlpInvest | 33.0 | 28.9 |
| Non-controlling interest in carried interest and cash held for carried interest distributions | 49.5 | 43.9 |
| Non-controlling interests in consolidated entities | \$ 7,851.3 | \$ 8,264.8 |

Table of Contents**The Carlyle Group L.P.****Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements****(Unaudited)**

The components of the Partnership's non-controlling interests in income (loss) of consolidated entities are as follows:

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (Dollars in millions) | |
| Non-Carlyle interests in Consolidated Funds | \$ 227.1 | \$ 772.2 |
| Non-Carlyle interests in majority-owned subsidiaries | 3.5 | 7.4 |
| Non-controlling interest in carried interest and cash held for carried interest distributions | 17.3 | 1.0 |
| Net income attributable to other non-controlling interests in consolidated entities | 247.9 | 780.6 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to partners' capital appropriated for CLOs | (257.1) | 105.1 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to redeemable non-controlling interests in consolidated entities | 177.2 | (20.8) |
| Non-controlling interests in income of consolidated entities | \$ 168.0 | \$ 864.9 |

There have been no significant changes in the Partnership's ownership interests in its consolidated entities for the periods presented.

15. Earnings Per Common Unit

Prior to the reorganization and the initial public offering in May 2012, Carlyle's business was conducted through a large number of entities as to which there was no single holding entity, but which were separately owned by the senior Carlyle professionals, CalPERS, and Mubadala. There was no single capital structure upon which to calculate historical earnings per common unit information. Accordingly, earnings per common unit information has not been presented for historical periods prior to the reorganization and initial public offering.

The weighted-average common units outstanding, basic and diluted, are calculated as follows:

| | Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|----------------|
| | Basic | Diluted |
| The Carlyle Group L.P. weighted-average common units outstanding | 43,343,268 | 43,343,268 |
| Unvested deferred restricted common units | | 5,393,646 |
| Contingently issuable Carlyle Holdings Partnership units | | 2,372,094 |
| Weighted-average common units outstanding | 43,343,268 | 51,109,008 |

The weighted-average common units outstanding of The Carlyle Group L.P. includes vested deferred restricted common units for which issuance of the related common units is deferred until May 2013.

Edgar Filing: ASBURY AUTOMOTIVE GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

The Partnership applies the treasury stock method to determine the dilutive weighted-average common units represented by the unvested deferred restricted common units.

Included in the determination of dilutive weighted-average common units are contingently issuable Carlyle Holdings partnership units associated with the Claren Road and Vermillion acquisitions. For purposes of

Table of Contents**The Carlyle Group L.P.****Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements****(Unaudited)**

determining the dilutive weighted-average common units, it is assumed that March 31, 2013 represents the end of the contingency period and the if-converted method is applied to the Carlyle Holdings partnership units issuable therefrom.

The Partnership applies the if-converted method to the vested Carlyle Holdings partnership units to determine the dilutive weighted-average common units outstanding. The Partnership applies the treasury stock method to the unvested Carlyle Holdings partnership units and the if-converted method on the resulting number of additional Carlyle Holdings partnership units to determine the dilutive weighted-average common units represented by the unvested Carlyle Holdings partnership units.

In computing the dilutive effect that the exchange of Carlyle Holdings partnership units would have on earnings per common unit, the Partnership considered that net income available to holders of common units would increase due to the elimination of non-controlling interests in Carlyle Holdings (including any tax impact). Based on these calculations, the incremental 226,419,959 of vested and unvested Carlyle Holdings partnership units for the three months ended March 31, 2013 were antidilutive, and therefore have been excluded.

Basic and diluted net income per common unit are calculated as follows:

| | Three Months Ended | |
|---------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|
| | March 31, 2013 | |
| | Basic | Diluted |
| Net income attributable to The Carlyle Group L.P. | \$ 33,800,000 | \$ 33,800,000 |
| Weighted-average common units outstanding | 43,343,268 | 51,109,008 |
| Net income per common unit | \$ 0.78 | \$ 0.66 |

16. Equity-Based Compensation

In May 2012, Carlyle Group Management L.L.C., the general partner of the Partnership, adopted The Carlyle Group L.P. 2012 Equity Incentive Plan (the Equity Incentive Plan). The Equity Incentive Plan is a source of new equity-based awards permitting the Partnership to grant to Carlyle employees, directors of the Partnership's general partner and consultants non-qualified options, unit appreciation rights, common units, restricted common units, deferred restricted common units, phantom restricted common units and other awards based on the Partnership's common units and Carlyle Holdings partnership units. The total number of the Partnership's common units and Carlyle Holdings partnership units which were initially available for grant under the Equity Incentive Plan was 30,450,000. The Equity Incentive Plan contains a provision which automatically increases the number of the Partnership's common units and Carlyle Holdings partnership units available for grant based on a pre-determined formula; this increase occurs annually on January 1. As of January 1, 2013, pursuant to the formula, the total number of the Partnership's common units and Carlyle Holdings partnership units available for grant under the Equity Incentive Plan was 30,611,743.

Unvested Carlyle Holdings Partnership Units

The unvested Carlyle Holdings partnership units are held by senior Carlyle professionals and other individuals engaged in Carlyle's business and vest ratably over a six-year period. The unvested Carlyle Holdings partnership units are accounted for as equity-based compensation in accordance with ASC Topic 718, Compensation—Stock Compensation. The grant-date fair value of the unvested Carlyle Holdings partnership units are charged to equity-based compensation expense on a straight-line basis over the required service period. Additionally, the calculation of the expense assumes a forfeiture rate of up to 7.5%. For the three months ended March 31, 2013, the Partnership recorded \$39.0 million in equity-based compensation expense associated with these awards. No tax benefits have been recorded related to the unvested Carlyle Holdings

Edgar Filing: ASBURY AUTOMOTIVE GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

partnership units, as the vesting of these units does not result in a tax deduction to the corporate taxpayers.

In connection with the Partnership's investment in NGP Management in December 2012, the Partnership issued 996,572 Carlyle Holdings partnership units to ECM Capital, L.P. which vest ratably over a period of five years. The Partnership also issued 597,944 Carlyle Holdings partnership units to ECM Capital, L.P. that were issued

Table of Contents

The Carlyle Group L.P.

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

at closing but vest upon the achievement of performance conditions. The fair value of these units will be recognized as a reduction to the Partnership's investment income in NGP Management over the relevant service or performance period, based on the fair value of the units on each reporting date and adjusted for the actual fair value of the units at each vesting date. For the Carlyle Holdings partnership units that vest based on the achievement of performance conditions, the Partnership uses the minimum number of partnership units within the range of potential values for measurement and recognition purposes.

As of March 31, 2013, the total unrecognized compensation expense related to unvested Carlyle Holdings partnership units, considering estimated forfeitures, is \$931.5 million, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average term of 5.1 years.

Deferred Restricted Common Units

The deferred restricted common units are unvested when granted and vest ratably over a service period, which ranges up to six years. The grant-date fair value of the deferred restricted common units granted to Carlyle's employees are charged to equity-based compensation expense on a straight-line basis over the required service period. Additionally, the calculation of the expense assumes a forfeiture rate up to 15.0%. For the three months ended March 31, 2013, the Partnership recorded \$12.3 million in equity-based compensation expense, with \$0.8 million of corresponding deferred tax benefits. As of March 31, 2013, the total unrecognized compensation expense related to unvested deferred restricted common units, considering estimated forfeitures, is \$298.7 million, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average term of 4.9 years.

Equity-based awards issued to non-employees are recognized as general, administrative and other expenses. The expense associated with the deferred restricted common units granted to NGP personnel by the Partnership are recognized as a reduction of the Partnership's investment income in NGP Management. The grant-date fair value of deferred restricted common units granted to Carlyle's non-employee directors are charged to expense on a straight-line basis over the vesting period. The cost of services received in exchange for an equity-based award issued to consultants is measured at each vesting date. Equity-based awards that require the satisfaction of future service criteria are recognized over the relevant service period, adjusted for estimated forfeitures of awards not expected to vest, based on the fair value of the award on each reporting date and adjusted for the actual fair value of the award at each vesting date. The expense for equity-based awards issued to non-employees was not significant for the three months ended March 31, 2013.

Phantom Deferred Restricted Common Units

The phantom deferred restricted common units are unvested when granted and vest ratably over a service period of three years. Upon vesting, the units will be settled in cash. As the phantom deferred restricted common units will be settled in cash, they are accounted for as liability awards. The fair value of the units is re-measured at each reporting period until settlement and charged to compensation expense over the vesting period. Additionally, the calculation of the expense assumes a forfeiture rate of up to 15.0%. For the three months ended March 31, 2013, the Partnership recorded \$1.0 million in compensation expense associated with these awards, which is included in base compensation expense in the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements. The tax benefits recognized from these awards was not material during the period. As of March 31, 2013, the total unrecognized compensation expense related to unvested phantom deferred restricted common units, considering estimated forfeitures, is \$7.2 million, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average term of 2.1 years.

Table of Contents**The Carlyle Group L.P.****Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements****(Unaudited)**

A summary of the status of the Partnership's non-vested equity-based awards as of March 31, 2013 and a summary of changes during the three months ended March 31, 2013, are presented below:

| | Carlyle Holdings | | The Carlyle Group, L.P. | | | |
|-------------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|----------|
| | Partnership Units | Weighted- Average Grant Date Fair Value | Equity Settled Awards | | Cash Settled Awards | |
| Deferred Restricted Common Units | | | Weighted- Average Grant Date Fair Value | Phantom Units | Weighted- Average Grant Date Fair Value | |
| Unvested Units | | | | | | |
| Balance, December 31, 2012 | 57,850,299 | \$ 22.12 | 16,707,028 | \$ 22.12 | 334,614 | \$ 22.00 |
| Granted | | \$ | 1,207,960 | \$ 31.90 | | \$ |
| Forfeited | | \$ | 311,662 | \$ 22.00 | 7,616 | \$ 22.00 |
| Balance, March 31, 2013 | 57,850,299 | \$ 22.12 | 17,603,326 | \$ 22.80 | 326,998 | \$ 22.00 |

17. Segment Reporting

Carlyle conducts its operations through four reportable segments:

Corporate Private Equity The Corporate Private Equity segment is comprised of the Partnership's operations that advise a diverse group of funds that invest in buyout and growth capital transactions that focus on either a particular geography or a particular industry.

Global Market Strategies The Global Market Strategies segment advises a group of funds that pursue investment opportunities across various types of credit, equities and alternative instruments, and (as regards certain macroeconomic strategies) currencies, commodities, sovereign debt, and interest rate products and their derivatives.

Real Assets The Real Assets segment is comprised of the Partnership's operations that advise U.S. and international funds focused on real estate, infrastructure, energy and renewable energy transactions.

Solutions The Solutions segment was launched upon the Partnership's acquisition of a 60% equity interest in AlpInvest on July 1, 2011 and advises a global private equity fund of funds program and related co-investment and secondary activities.

The Partnership's reportable business segments are differentiated by their various investment focuses and strategies. Overhead costs were allocated based on direct base compensation expense for the funds comprising each segment. The Partnership includes adjustments to reflect the Partnership's economic interests in Claren Road, AlpInvest, ESG and Vermillion. The Partnership's earnings from its investment in NGP Management are presented in the respective operating captions within the Real Assets segment.

Economic Net Income (ENI) and its components are key performance measures used by management to make operating decisions and assess the performance of the Partnership's reportable segments. ENI differs from income (loss) before provision for income taxes computed in accordance with U.S. GAAP in that it does not include net income (loss) attributable to non-Carlyle interests in Consolidated Funds or charges (credits) related to Carlyle corporate actions and non-recurring items. Charges (credits) related to Carlyle corporate actions and non-recurring items include: charges associated with equity-based compensation that was issued in the initial public offering in May 2012 or is issued in acquisitions

Edgar Filing: ASBURY AUTOMOTIVE GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

or strategic investments, amortization associated with acquired intangible assets, transaction costs associated with acquisitions, gains and losses associated with the mark to market on contingent consideration issued in conjunction with acquisitions or strategic investments, gains and losses from the retirement of debt, charges associated with lease terminations and employee severance, and settlements of legal claims.

Table of Contents

The Carlyle Group L.P.

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

Also, for periods prior to the reorganization and initial public offering in May 2012, ENI also differed from income (loss) before provision for income taxes computed in accordance with U.S. GAAP in that ENI reflected a charge for compensation, bonuses and performance fee compensation attributable to Carlyle partners. Subsequent to the reorganization and initial public offering, these compensation charges are included in both ENI and income (loss) before provision for income taxes computed in accordance with U.S. GAAP.

Fee related earnings (FRE) is a component of ENI and is used to assess the ability of the business to cover direct base compensation and operating expenses from total fee revenues. FRE differs from income (loss) before provision for income taxes computed in accordance with U.S. GAAP in that it adjusts for the items included in the calculation of ENI and also adjusts ENI to exclude performance fees, investment income from investments in Carlyle funds, and performance fee related compensation.

Distributable earnings is a component of ENI and is used to assess performance and amounts potentially available for distribution. Distributable earnings differs from income (loss) before provision for income taxes computed in accordance with U.S. GAAP in that it adjusts for the items included in the calculation of ENI and also adjusts ENI for unrealized performance fees, unrealized investment income and the corresponding unrealized performance fee compensation expense.

ENI and its components are used by management primarily in making resource deployment and compensation decisions across the Partnership's four reportable segments. Management makes operating decisions and assesses the performance of each of the Partnership's business segments based on financial and operating metrics and data that is presented without the consolidation of any of the Consolidated Funds. Consequently, ENI and all segment data exclude the assets, liabilities and operating results related to the Consolidated Funds.

Table of Contents**The Carlyle Group L.P.****Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements****(Unaudited)**

The following table presents the financial data for the Partnership's four reportable segments as of and for the three months ended March 31, 2013:

| | March 31, 2013 and the Three Months Then Ended | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|-----------|----------|
| | Corporate Private Equity | Global Market Strategies | Real Assets | Solutions | Total |
| | (Dollars in millions) | | | | |
| Segment Revenues | | | | | |
| Fund level fee revenues | | | | | |
| Fund management fees | \$ 108.3 | \$ 66.3 | \$ 47.0 | \$ 18.5 | \$ 240.1 |
| Portfolio advisory fees, net | 4.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | | 4.6 |
| Transaction fees, net | 10.4 | | | | 10.4 |
| Total fund level fee revenues | 122.8 | 66.5 | 47.3 | 18.5 | 255.1 |
| Performance fees | | | | | |
| Realized | 212.3 | 24.1 | 11.0 | 1.5 | 248.9 |
| Unrealized | 207.6 | 64.3 | 49.5 | 21.3 | 342.7 |
| Total performance fees | 419.9 | 88.4 | 60.5 | 22.8 | 591.6 |
| Investment income (loss) | | | | | |
| Realized | 1.8 | 1.9 | (13.0) | | (9.3) |
| Unrealized | 2.8 | 5.1 | 4.5 | (0.1) | 12.3 |
| Total investment income | 4.6 | 7.0 | (8.5) | (0.1) | 3.0 |
| Interest and other income | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.3 | | 2.4 |
| Total revenues | 548.3 | 163.0 | 99.6 | 41.2 | 852.1 |
| Segment Expenses | | | | | |
| Compensation and benefits | | | | | |
| Direct base compensation | 55.0 | 25.7 | 17.9 | 9.4 | 108.0 |
| Indirect base compensation | 20.0 | 4.8 | 7.5 | 1.3 | 33.6 |
| Equity-based compensation | 1.5 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 2.6 |
| Performance fee related | | | | | |
| Realized | 101.6 | 9.7 | (4.9) | 1.0 | 107.4 |
| Unrealized | 83.6 | 6.2 | 23.6 | 16.1 | 129.5 |
| Total compensation and benefits | 261.7 | 46.8 | 44.7 | 27.9 | 381.1 |
| General, administrative, and other indirect expenses | 39.0 | 9.5 | 10.4 | 3.4 | 62.3 |
| Depreciation and amortization expense | 3.5 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 6.3 |
| Interest expense | 4.9 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 8.5 |
| Total expenses | 309.1 | 59.0 | 57.8 | 32.3 | 458.2 |
| Economic Net Income | \$ 239.2 | \$ 104.0 | \$ 41.8 | \$ 8.9 | \$ 393.9 |

Edgar Filing: ASBURY AUTOMOTIVE GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|------------|------------|----------|----------|------------|
| Fee Related Earnings | \$ (0.1) | \$ 24.5 | \$ 8.5 | \$ 3.3 | \$ 36.2 |
| Net Performance Fees | \$ 234.7 | \$ 72.5 | \$ 41.8 | \$ 5.7 | \$ 354.7 |
| Realized Net Performance Fees | \$ 110.7 | \$ 14.4 | \$ 15.9 | \$ 0.5 | \$ 141.5 |
| Investment Income (Loss) | \$ 4.6 | \$ 7.0 | \$ (8.5) | \$ (0.1) | \$ 3.0 |
| Distributable Earnings | \$ 112.4 | \$ 40.8 | \$ 11.4 | \$ 3.8 | \$ 168.4 |
| Segment assets as of March 31, 2013 | \$ 2,740.3 | \$ 1,054.2 | \$ 970.7 | \$ 367.3 | \$ 5,132.5 |

Table of Contents**The Carlyle Group L.P.****Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements****(Unaudited)**

The following table presents the financial data for the Partnership's four reportable segments for the three months ended March 31, 2012:

| | Three Months Ended March 31, 2012 | | | | Total |
|------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| | Corporate Private Equity | Global Market Strategies | Real Assets | Solutions | |
| | (Dollars in millions) | | | | |
| Segment Revenues | | | | | |
| Fund level fee revenues | | | | | |
| Fund management fees | \$ 123.9 | \$ 48.6 | \$ 36.6 | \$ 16.3 | \$ 225.4 |
| Portfolio advisory fees, net | 7.0 | 0.7 | 0.3 | | 8.0 |
| Transaction fees, net | 1.6 | | 1.1 | | 2.7 |
| Total fund level fee revenues | 132.5 | 49.3 | 38.0 | 16.3 | 236.1 |
| Performance fees | | | | | |
| Realized | 223.0 | 32.4 | 23.2 | 3.2 | 281.8 |
| Unrealized | 241.3 | 12.7 | 82.4 | 13.3 | 349.7 |
| Total performance fees | 464.3 | 45.1 | 105.6 | 16.5 | 631.5 |
| Investment income | | | | | |
| Realized | 0.8 | 1.3 | | | 2.1 |
| Unrealized | 14.5 | 3.7 | 3.0 | | 21.2 |
| Total investment income | 15.3 | 5.0 | 3.0 | | 23.3 |
| Interest and other income | 1.4 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 2.6 |
| Total revenues | 613.5 | 100.0 | 147.0 | 33.0 | 893.5 |
| Segment Expenses | | | | | |
| Compensation and benefits | | | | | |
| Direct base compensation | 55.3 | 19.7 | 18.2 | 8.0 | 101.2 |
| Indirect base compensation | 20.8 | 4.9 | 6.4 | 1.0 | 33.1 |
| Performance fee related | | | | | |
| Realized | 117.6 | 17.8 | 0.9 | 2.8 | 139.1 |
| Unrealized | 132.0 | 9.7 | 5.9 | 10.0 | 157.6 |
| Total compensation and benefits | 325.7 | 52.1 | 31.4 | 21.8 | 431.0 |
| General, administrative, and other indirect expenses | 34.8 | 7.3 | 11.7 | 1.6 | 55.4 |
| Depreciation and amortization expense | 3.2 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 5.2 |
| Interest expense | 5.9 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 0.3 | 9.8 |
| Total expenses | 369.6 | 61.9 | 46.0 | 23.9 | 501.4 |
| Economic Net Income | \$ 243.9 | \$ 38.1 | \$ 101.0 | \$ 9.1 | \$ 392.1 |
| Fee Related Earnings | \$ 13.9 | \$ 15.5 | \$ (0.8) | \$ 5.4 | \$ 34.0 |

Edgar Filing: ASBURY AUTOMOTIVE GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|----------|---------|---------|--------|----------|
| Net Performance Fees | \$ 214.7 | \$ 17.6 | \$ 98.8 | \$ 3.7 | \$ 334.8 |
| Realized Net Performance Fees | \$ 105.4 | \$ 14.6 | \$ 22.3 | \$ 0.4 | \$ 142.7 |
| Investment Income | \$ 15.3 | \$ 5.0 | \$ 3.0 | \$ | \$ 23.3 |
| Distributable Earnings | \$ 120.1 | \$ 31.4 | \$ 21.5 | \$ 5.8 | \$ 178.8 |

Table of Contents**The Carlyle Group L.P.****Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements****(Unaudited)**

The following table reconciles the Total Segments to the Partnership's Income Before Provision for Taxes as of and for the three months ended March 31, 2013:

| | Total Reportable Segments | Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 | | Carlyle Consolidated |
|---------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| | | Consolidated Funds | Reconciling Items | |
| | | (Dollars in millions) | | |
| Revenues | \$ 852.1 | \$ 268.4 | \$ 24.5 (a) | \$ 1,145.0 |
| Expenses | \$ 458.2 | \$ 333.2 | \$ 112.7 (b) | \$ 904.1 |
| Other income | \$ | \$ 212.6 | \$ (1.1) (c) | \$ 211.5 |
| Economic net income | \$ 393.9 | \$ 147.8 | \$ (89.3) (d) | \$ 452.4 |
| Total assets | \$ 5,132.5 | \$ 27,609.9 | \$ (90.9) (e) | \$ 32,651.5 |

The following table reconciles the Total Segments to the Partnership's Income Before Provision for Taxes for the three months ended March 31, 2012:

| | Total Reportable Segments | Three Months Ended March 31, 2012 | | Carlyle Consolidated |
|---------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| | | Consolidated Funds | Reconciling Items | |
| | | (Dollars in millions) | | |
| Revenues | \$ 893.5 | \$ 211.5 | \$ 5.9 (a) | \$ 1,110.9 |
| Expenses | \$ 501.4 | \$ 220.2 | \$ (244.4) (b) | \$ 477.2 |
| Other income | \$ | \$ 870.5 | \$ 1.6 (c) | \$ 872.1 |
| Economic net income | \$ 392.1 | \$ 861.8 | \$ 251.9 (d) | \$ 1,505.8 |

- (a) The Revenues adjustment principally represents fund management and performance fees earned from the Consolidated Funds which were eliminated in consolidation to arrive at the Partnership's total revenues, adjustments for amounts attributable to non-controlling interests in consolidated entities, adjustments related to expenses associated with the investment in NGP Management that are included in operating captions or are excluded from the segment results, and adjustments to reflect the Partnership's ownership interests in Claren Road, ESG, Vermillion and AlpInvest which were included in Revenues in the Partnership's segment reporting.
- (b) The Expenses adjustment represents the elimination of intercompany expenses of the Consolidated Funds payable to the Partnership, adjustments for partner compensation, adjustments related to expenses associated with the investment in NGP Management that are included in operating captions, charges and credits associated with Carlyle corporate actions and non-recurring items and adjustments to reflect the Partnership's economic interests in Claren Road, ESG, Vermillion and AlpInvest as detailed below (Dollars in millions):

| | Three Months Ended | |
|----------------------|--------------------|------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Partner compensation | \$ | \$ (271.0) |

Edgar Filing: ASBURY AUTOMOTIVE GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|------------|
| Equity-based compensation issued in conjunction with the initial public offering and strategic investments | 52.1 | |
| Acquisition related charges and amortization of intangibles | 62.5 | 24.1 |
| Losses associated with debt refinancing activities | 1.9 | |
| Other non-operating expenses | (2.4) | (4.1) |
| Severance and lease terminations | 0.5 | 2.4 |
| Non-Carlyle economic interests in acquired business | 78.9 | 38.0 |
| Other adjustments | 0.9 | (0.2) |
| Elimination of expenses of Consolidated Funds | (81.7) | (33.6) |
| | \$ 112.7 | \$ (244.4) |

Table of Contents**The Carlyle Group L.P.****Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements****(Unaudited)**

- (c) The Other Income (Loss) adjustment results from the Consolidated Funds which were eliminated in consolidation to arrive at the Partnership's total Other Income (Loss).
- (d) The following table is a reconciliation of Income Before Provision for Income Taxes to Economic Net Income, to Fee Related Earnings, and to Distributable Earnings (Dollars in millions):

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-------------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Income before provision for income taxes | \$ 452.4 | \$ 1,505.8 |
| Adjustments: | | |
| Partner compensation ⁽¹⁾ | | (271.0) |
| Equity-based compensation issued in conjunction with the initial public offering and strategic investments | 52.1 | |
| Acquisition related charges and amortization of intangibles | 62.5 | 24.1 |
| Losses associated with debt refinancing activities | 1.9 | |
| Other non-operating (income) expenses | (2.4) | (4.1) |
| Net income attributable to non-controlling interests in Consolidated entities | (168.0) | (864.9) |
| Provision for income taxes attributable to non-controlling interests in Consolidated entities | (6.0) | |
| Severance and lease terminations | 0.5 | 2.4 |
| Other adjustments | 0.9 | (0.2) |
| Economic Net Income | \$ 393.9 | \$ 392.1 |
| Net performance fees ⁽²⁾ | 354.7 | 334.8 |
| Investment income ⁽²⁾ | 3.0 | 23.3 |
| Fee Related Earnings | \$ 36.2 | \$ 34.0 |
| Realized performance fees, net of related compensation | 141.5 | 142.7 |
| Investment income (loss) - realized | (9.3) | 2.1 |
| Distributable Earnings | \$ 168.4 | \$ 178.8 |

- (1) Adjustments for partner compensation reflect amounts due to senior Carlyle professionals for compensation and performance fees allocated to them, which amounts were classified as distributions from partners' capital in the consolidated financial statements for periods prior to the reorganization and initial public offering in May 2012.

Table of Contents**The Carlyle Group L.P.****Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements****(Unaudited)**

(2) See reconciliation to most directly comparable U.S. GAAP measure below:

| | Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | Carlyle Consolidated | Adjustments (3) (Dollars in millions) | Total Reportable Segments |
| Performance fees | | | |
| Realized | \$ 252.8 | \$ (3.9) | \$ 248.9 |
| Unrealized | 389.6 | (46.9) | 342.7 |
| Total performance fees | 642.4 | (50.8) | 591.6 |
| Performance fee related compensation expense | | | |
| Realized | 108.7 | (1.3) | 107.4 |
| Unrealized | 195.0 | (65.5) | 129.5 |
| Total performance fee related compensation expense | 303.7 | (66.8) | 236.9 |
| Net performance fees | | | |
| Realized | 144.1 | (2.6) | 141.5 |
| Unrealized | 194.6 | 18.6 | 213.2 |
| Total net performance fees | \$ 338.7 | \$ 16.0 | \$ 354.7 |
| Investment income (loss) | | | |
| Realized | \$ (4.2) | \$ (5.1) | \$ (9.3) |
| Unrealized | 4.6 | 7.7 | 12.3 |
| Total investment income (loss) | \$ 0.4 | \$ 2.6 | \$ 3.0 |

| | Three Months Ended March 31, 2012 | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | Carlyle Consolidated | Adjustments (3) (Dollars in millions) | Total Reportable Segments |
| Performance fees | | | |
| Realized | \$ 280.6 | \$ 1.2 | \$ 281.8 |
| Unrealized | 360.2 | (10.5) | 349.7 |
| Total performance fees | 640.8 | (9.3) | 631.5 |
| Performance fee related compensation expense | | | |
| Realized | 34.3 | 104.8 | 139.1 |
| Unrealized | 54.8 | 102.8 | 157.6 |
| Total performance fee related compensation expense | 89.1 | 207.6 | 296.7 |

Edgar Filing: ASBURY AUTOMOTIVE GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | |
|--------------------------------|----------|------------|----------|
| Net performance fees | | | |
| Realized | 246.3 | (103.6) | 142.7 |
| Unrealized | 305.4 | (113.3) | 192.1 |
| Total net performance fees | \$ 551.7 | \$ (216.9) | \$ 334.8 |
| Investment income (loss) | | | |
| Realized | \$ (0.8) | \$ 2.9 | \$ 2.1 |
| Unrealized | 22.3 | (1.1) | 21.2 |
| Total investment income (loss) | \$ 21.5 | \$ 1.8 | \$ 23.3 |

- (3) Adjustments to performance fees and investment income (loss) relate to amounts earned from the Consolidated Funds, which were eliminated in the U.S. GAAP consolidation but were included in the segment results, and amounts attributable to non-controlling interests in consolidated entities, which were excluded from the segment results. Adjustments to investment income (loss) also include the reclassification of earnings for the investment in NGP Management to the appropriate operating captions for the segment results, and the exclusion of charges associated with the investment in NGP Management that are excluded from the segment results. Adjustments to

Table of Contents

The Carlyle Group L.P.

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

performance fee related compensation expense relate to the inclusion of partner compensation in the segment results for periods prior to the reorganization and initial public offering in May 2012. Adjustments are also included in these financial statement captions to reflect the Partnership's 55% economic interest in Claren Road, ESG and Vermillion and the Partnership's 60% interest in AlpInvest in the segment results.

- (e) The Total Assets adjustment represents the addition of the assets of the Consolidated Funds which were eliminated in consolidation to arrive at the Partnership's total assets.

18. Subsequent Events

In May 2013, the Board of Directors of the general partner of the Partnership declared a quarterly distribution of \$0.16 to common unit holders of record at the close of business on May 20, 2013, payable on May 31, 2013.

Table of Contents**The Carlyle Group L.P.****Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements****(Unaudited)****19. Supplemental Financial Information**

The following supplemental financial information illustrates the consolidating effects of the Consolidated Funds on the Partnership's financial position as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 and results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012. The supplemental statement of cash flows is presented without effects of the Consolidated Funds.

| | Consolidated Operating Entities | As of March 31, 2013 | | Consolidated |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| | | Consolidated Funds | Eliminations | |
| Assets | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 570.4 | \$ | \$ | \$ 570.4 |
| Cash and cash equivalents held at Consolidated Funds | | 2,173.2 | | 2,173.2 |
| Restricted cash | 43.4 | | | 43.4 |
| Restricted cash and securities of Consolidated Funds | | 17.8 | | 17.8 |
| Accrued performance fees | 2,622.2 | | (24.0) | 2,598.2 |
| Investments | 910.5 | | (54.3) | 856.2 |
| Investments of Consolidated Funds | | 25,093.6 | | 25,093.6 |
| Due from affiliates and other receivables, net | 159.2 | | (12.6) | 146.6 |
| Due from affiliates and other receivables of Consolidated Funds, net | | 320.7 | | 320.7 |
| Fixed assets, net | 62.2 | | | 62.2 |
| Deposits and other | 49.8 | 4.6 | | 54.4 |
| Intangible assets, net | 650.1 | | | 650.1 |
| Deferred tax assets | 64.7 | | | 64.7 |
| Total assets | \$ 5,132.5 | \$ 27,609.9 | \$ (90.9) | \$ 32,651.5 |
| Liabilities and partners' capital | | | | |
| Loans payable | \$ 25.0 | \$ | \$ | \$ 25.0 |
| 3.875% senior notes due 2023 | 499.8 | | | 499.8 |
| 5.625% senior notes due 2043 | 398.4 | | | 398.4 |
| Loans payable of Consolidated Funds | | 14,366.6 | (53.9) | 14,312.7 |
| Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities | 204.9 | | | 204.9 |
| Accrued compensation and benefits | 1,449.3 | | | 1,449.3 |
| Due to affiliates | 288.2 | 44.7 | (0.1) | 332.8 |
| Deferred revenue | 184.6 | 1.5 | | 186.1 |
| Deferred tax liabilities | 70.0 | | | 70.0 |
| Other liabilities of Consolidated Funds | | 1,793.8 | (30.1) | 1,763.7 |
| Accrued giveback obligations | 55.9 | | (9.6) | 46.3 |
| Total liabilities | 3,176.1 | 16,206.6 | (93.7) | 19,289.0 |
| Redeemable non-controlling interests in consolidated entities | 6.6 | 3,297.3 | | 3,303.9 |
| Partners' capital | 239.8 | (2.9) | 2.9 | 239.8 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (4.2) | | (1.1) | (5.3) |
| Partners' capital appropriated for Consolidated Funds | | 564.8 | 1.0 | 565.8 |

Edgar Filing: ASBURY AUTOMOTIVE GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|------------|-----------------|
| Non-controlling interests in consolidated entities | 307.2 | 7,544.1 | | 7,851.3 |
| Non-controlling interests in Carlyle Holdings | 1,407.0 | | | 1,407.0 |
| Total partners' capital | 1,949.8 | 8,106.0 | 2.8 | 10,058.6 |
| Total liabilities and partners' capital | \$ 5,132.5 | \$ 27,609.9 | \$ (90.9) | \$ 32,651.5 |

Table of Contents**The Carlyle Group L.P.****Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements****(Unaudited)**

| | As of December 31, 2012 | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| | Consolidated Operating Entities | Consolidated Funds | Eliminations | Consolidated |
| | (Dollars in millions) | | | |
| Assets | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 567.1 | \$ | \$ | \$ 567.1 |
| Cash and cash equivalents held at Consolidated Funds | | 1,646.6 | | 1,646.6 |
| Restricted cash | 34.5 | | | 34.5 |
| Restricted cash and securities of Consolidated Funds | | 36.3 | | 36.3 |
| Accrued performance fees | 2,204.9 | | (12.4) | 2,192.5 |
| Investments | 932.6 | | (51.4) | 881.2 |
| Investments of Consolidated Funds | | 24,815.7 | | 24,815.7 |
| Due from affiliates and other receivables, net | 201.5 | | (10.8) | 190.7 |
| Due from affiliates and other receivables of Consolidated Funds, net | | 331.8 | | 331.8 |
| Fixed assets, net | 63.6 | | | 63.6 |
| Deposits and other | 44.2 | 4.2 | | 48.4 |
| Intangible assets, net | 691.1 | | | 691.1 |
| Deferred tax assets | 67.1 | | | 67.1 |
| Total assets | \$ 4,806.6 | \$ 26,834.6 | \$ (74.6) | \$ 31,566.6 |
| Liabilities and partners' capital | | | | |
| Loans payable | \$ 886.3 | \$ | \$ | \$ 886.3 |
| Loans payable of Consolidated Funds | | 13,708.2 | (51.5) | 13,656.7 |
| Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities | 215.0 | | | 215.0 |
| Accrued compensation and benefits | 1,318.2 | | | 1,318.2 |
| Due to affiliates | 290.4 | 42.1 | (0.4) | 332.1 |
| Deferred revenue | 57.9 | 1.5 | | 59.4 |
| Deferred tax liabilities | 61.1 | | | 61.1 |
| Other liabilities of Consolidated Funds | | 1,405.0 | (19.2) | 1,385.8 |
| Accrued giveback obligations | 79.0 | | (9.8) | 69.2 |
| Total liabilities | 2,907.9 | 15,156.8 | (80.9) | 17,983.8 |
| Redeemable non-controlling interests in consolidated entities | 6.0 | 2,881.4 | | 2,887.4 |
| Partners' capital | 235.1 | (4.7) | 4.7 | 235.1 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (5.0) | | 0.2 | (4.8) |
| Partners' capital appropriated for Consolidated Funds | | 837.2 | 1.4 | 838.6 |
| Non-controlling interests in consolidated entities | 300.9 | 7,963.9 | | 8,264.8 |
| Non-controlling interests in Carlyle Holdings | 1,361.7 | | | 1,361.7 |
| Total partners' capital | 1,892.7 | 8,796.4 | 6.3 | 10,695.4 |
| Total liabilities and partners' capital | \$ 4,806.6 | \$ 26,834.6 | \$ (74.6) | \$ 31,566.6 |

Table of Contents**The Carlyle Group L.P.****Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements****(Unaudited)**

| | Consolidated Operating Entities | Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| | | Consolidated Funds | Eliminations | Consolidated |
| (Dollars in millions) | | | | |
| Revenues | | | | |
| Fund management fees | \$ 274.2 | \$ | \$ (42.8) | \$ 231.4 |
| Performance fees | | | | |
| Realized | 254.3 | | (1.5) | 252.8 |
| Unrealized | 424.8 | | (35.2) | 389.6 |
| Total performance fees | 679.1 | | (36.7) | 642.4 |
| Investment income (loss) | | | | |
| Realized | (2.4) | | (1.8) | (4.2) |
| Unrealized | 5.7 | | (1.1) | 4.6 |
| Total investment income (loss) | 3.3 | | (2.9) | 0.4 |
| Interest and other income | 2.4 | | | 2.4 |
| Interest and other income of Consolidated Funds | | 268.4 | | 268.4 |
| Total revenues | 959.0 | 268.4 | (82.4) | 1,145.0 |
| Expenses | | | | |
| Compensation and benefits | | | | |
| Base compensation | 178.5 | | | 178.5 |
| Equity-based compensation | 52.3 | | | 52.3 |
| Performance fee related | | | | |
| Realized | 108.7 | | | 108.7 |
| Unrealized | 195.0 | | | 195.0 |
| Total compensation and benefits | 534.5 | | | 534.5 |
| General, administrative and other expenses | 110.0 | | 1.4 | 111.4 |
| Interest | 10.5 | | | 10.5 |
| Interest and other expenses of Consolidated Funds | | 333.2 | (83.1) | 250.1 |
| Other non-operating income | (2.4) | | | (2.4) |
| Total expenses | 652.6 | 333.2 | (81.7) | 904.1 |
| Other income | | | | |
| Net investment gains of Consolidated Funds | | 212.6 | (1.1) | 211.5 |
| Income before provision for income taxes | 306.4 | 147.8 | (1.8) | 452.4 |
| Provision for income taxes | 24.9 | | | 24.9 |
| Net income | 281.5 | 147.8 | (1.8) | 427.5 |
| Net income attributable to non-controlling interests in consolidated entities | 22.0 | | 146.0 | 168.0 |

Edgar Filing: ASBURY AUTOMOTIVE GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|----------|------------|---------|
| Net income attributable to Carlyle Holdings | 259.5 | 147.8 | (147.8) | 259.5 |
| Net income attributable to non-controlling interests in Carlyle Holdings | 225.7 | | | 225.7 |
| Net income attributable to The Carlyle Group L.P. | \$ 33.8 | \$ 147.8 | \$ (147.8) | \$ 33.8 |

Table of Contents**The Carlyle Group L.P.****Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements****(Unaudited)**

| | Consolidated Operating Entities | Three Months Ended March 31, 2012 | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| | | Consolidated Funds | Eliminations | Consolidated |
| (Dollars in millions) | | | | |
| Revenues | | | | |
| Fund management fees | \$ 264.2 | \$ | \$ (29.8) | \$ 234.4 |
| Performance fees | | | | |
| Realized | 284.2 | | (3.6) | 280.6 |
| Unrealized | 362.8 | | (2.6) | 360.2 |
| Total performance fees | 647.0 | | (6.2) | 640.8 |
| Investment income | | | | |
| Realized | 2.4 | | (3.2) | (0.8) |
| Unrealized | 23.9 | | (1.6) | 22.3 |
| Total investment income | 26.3 | | (4.8) | 21.5 |
| Interest and other income | 2.8 | | (0.1) | 2.7 |
| Interest and other income of Consolidated Funds | | 211.5 | | 211.5 |
| Total revenues | 940.3 | 211.5 | (40.9) | 1,110.9 |
| Expenses | | | | |
| Compensation and benefits | | | | |
| Base compensation | 106.1 | | | 106.1 |
| Performance fee related | | | | |
| Realized | 34.3 | | | 34.3 |
| Unrealized | 54.8 | | | 54.8 |
| Total compensation and benefits | 195.2 | | | 195.2 |
| General, administrative and other expenses | 89.1 | | 2.1 | 91.2 |
| Interest | 10.4 | | | 10.4 |
| Interest and other expenses of Consolidated Funds | | 220.2 | (35.7) | 184.5 |
| Other non-operating income | (4.1) | | | (4.1) |
| Total expenses | 290.6 | 220.2 | (33.6) | 477.2 |
| Other income | | | | |
| Net investment gains of Consolidated Funds | | 870.5 | 1.6 | 872.1 |
| Income before provision for income taxes | 649.7 | 861.8 | (5.7) | 1,505.8 |
| Provision for income taxes | 11.7 | | | 11.7 |
| Net income | 638.0 | 861.8 | (5.7) | 1,494.1 |
| Net income attributable to non-controlling interests in consolidated entities | 8.8 | | 856.1 | 864.9 |
| Net income attributable to Carlyle Holdings | \$ 629.2 | \$ 861.8 | \$ (861.8) | \$ 629.2 |

Table of Contents**The Carlyle Group L.P.****Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements****(Unaudited)**

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (Dollars in millions) | |
| Cash flows from operating activities | | |
| Net income | \$ 281.5 | \$ 638.0 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash flows from operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 43.5 | 24.2 |
| Amortization of deferred financing fees | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Equity-based compensation | 52.3 | |
| Non-cash performance fees | (510.2) | (476.4) |
| Other non-cash amounts | 2.6 | (4.1) |
| Investment income | 19.3 | (25.1) |
| Purchases of investments | (54.4) | (10.0) |
| Proceeds from the sale of investments | 118.1 | 145.7 |
| Purchases of trading securities | (6.2) | (5.0) |
| Change in deferred taxes | 11.2 | 7.6 |
| Change in due from affiliates and other receivables | (3.6) | (11.9) |
| Change in deposits and other | 2.1 | (8.7) |
| Change in accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities | (3.8) | (22.4) |
| Change in accrued compensation and benefits | 152.0 | (95.8) |
| Change in due to affiliates | (2.1) | (27.5) |
| Change in deferred revenue | 128.9 | 113.4 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 231.6 | 242.4 |
| Cash flows from investing activities | | |
| Change in restricted cash | (9.3) | |
| Purchases of fixed assets, net | (5.4) | (5.1) |
| Purchases of intangible assets | | (43.1) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (14.7) | (48.2) |
| Cash flows from financing activities | | |
| Borrowings under credit facility | | 313.1 |
| Repayments under credit facility | (386.3) | (55.9) |
| Issuance of 3.875% senior notes due 2023, net of financing costs | 495.3 | |
| Issuance of 5.625% senior notes due 2043, net of financing costs | 394.1 | |
| Payments on loans payable | (475.0) | (270.0) |
| Payments of contingent consideration | (10.0) | |
| Distributions to common unitholders | (36.8) | |
| Contributions from predecessor owners | | 1.1 |
| Distributions to predecessor owners | | (199.1) |
| Contributions from non-controlling interest holders | 27.5 | 3.8 |
| Distributions to non-controlling interest holders | (256.3) | (7.3) |
| Change in due to/from affiliates financing activities | 38.5 | 32.1 |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (209.0) | (182.2) |
| Effect of foreign exchange rate changes | (4.6) | 1.6 |

Edgar Filing: ASBURY AUTOMOTIVE GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|------------------------------------------------|-----------------|----------|
| Increase in cash and cash equivalents | 3.3 | 13.6 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | 567.1 | 509.6 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | \$ 570.4 | \$ 523.2 |

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

The following discussion analyzes the financial condition and results of operations of The Carlyle Group L.P. (the Partnership) and, for periods prior to May 2012, the combined and consolidated financial condition and results of operations of TC Group, L.L.C., TC Group Cayman, L.P., TC Group Investment Holdings, L.P. and TC Group Cayman Investment Holdings, L.P., as well as their majority-owned subsidiaries (collectively Carlyle Group), the predecessor of the Partnership. Such analysis should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and the related notes included in the Annual Report on Form 10-K. For ease of reference, we refer to the historical financial results of Carlyle Group as being our historical financial results. Unless the context otherwise requires, references to we, us, our and the Partnership are intended to mean the business and operations of the Partnership beginning in May 2012. When used in the historical context (i.e., prior to May 2012), these terms are intended to mean the business and operations of Carlyle Group.

Overview

We conduct our operations through four reportable segments: Corporate Private Equity, Global Market Strategies, Real Assets and Solutions.

Corporate Private Equity Our Corporate Private Equity segment advises our 21 buyout and 9 growth capital funds, which seek a wide variety of investments of different sizes and growth potentials. As of March 31, 2013, our Corporate Private Equity segment had approximately \$55 billion in AUM and approximately \$33 billion in Fee-earning AUM.

Global Market Strategies Our Global Market Strategies segment advises a group of 59 funds that pursue investment opportunities across structured credit, distressed debt, corporate and energy mezzanine debt, middle-market and senior debt, as well as credit, emerging markets and commodities-focused hedge funds. As of March 31, 2013, our Global Market Strategies segment had approximately \$33 billion in AUM and approximately \$31 billion in Fee-earning AUM.

Real Assets Our Real Assets segment advises our ten U.S. and internationally focused real estate funds, our infrastructure fund, as well as our six Legacy Energy funds that we jointly advise with Riverstone. The segment also includes eight NGP management fee funds advised by NGP. As of March 31, 2013, our Real Assets segment had approximately \$40 billion in AUM and approximately \$29 billion in Fee-earning AUM.

Solutions Our Solutions segment was launched upon our acquisition of a 60% equity interest in AlpInvest on July 1, 2011 and advises a global private equity fund of funds program and related co-investment and secondary activities across 76 fund of funds vehicles. As of March 31, 2013, AlpInvest had approximately \$48 billion in AUM and approximately \$29 billion in Fee-earning AUM.

We earn management fees pursuant to contractual arrangements with the investment funds that we manage and fees for transaction advisory and oversight services provided to portfolio companies of these funds. We also typically receive a performance fee from an investment fund, which may be either an incentive fee or a special residual allocation of income, which we refer to as a carried interest, in the event that specified investment returns are achieved by the fund. Under U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (U.S. GAAP), we are required to consolidate some of the investment funds that we advise. However, for segment reporting purposes, we present revenues and expenses on a basis that deconsolidates these investment funds. Accordingly, our segment revenues primarily consist of fund management and related advisory fees, performance fees (consisting of incentive fees and carried interest allocations), investment income, including realized and unrealized gains on our investments in our funds and other trading securities, as well as interest and other income. Our segment expenses primarily consist of compensation and benefits expenses, including salaries, bonuses, performance payment arrangements, and equity-based compensation granted subsequent to our initial public offering, and general and administrative expenses. Refer to Note 17 to the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for more information on the differences between our financial results reported pursuant to U.S. GAAP and our financial results for segment reporting purposes.

Table of Contents

Our Family of Funds

The following chart presents the name (acronym), total capital commitments (in the case of our carry funds, structured credit funds, fund of funds vehicles and the NGP management fee funds), assets under management (in the case of our hedge funds) and vintage year of the active funds in each of our segments, as of March 31, 2013. We present total capital commitments (as opposed to assets under management) for our closed-end investment funds because we believe this metric provides the most useful information regarding the relative size and scale of such funds. In the case of our hedge funds, which are open-ended and accordingly do not have permanent committed capital, we believe the most useful metric regarding relative size and scale is assets under management.

Note: All funds are closed-end and amounts shown represent total capital commitments as of March 31, 2013, unless otherwise noted.

- (1) Open-ended funds. Amounts represent AUM as of March 31, 2013.
- (2) Includes NGPC, NGP ETP I, NGP M&R, NGP ETP II, NGP VII, NGP VIII and NGP IX.

Table of Contents**Trends Affecting our Business**

Our results of operations are affected by a variety of factors including global economic, market and financial conditions, particularly in the United States, Europe and Asia. We believe that our diversified, multi-product global platform with 114 funds and 76 fund of funds vehicles which invest across numerous industries, asset classes and geographies generally enhances, on an annual basis, the stability of our distributable earnings and management fee streams, reduces the volatility of our carried interest and performance fees and decreases our exposure to a negative event associated with any specific fund, investment or vintage. In general, a climate of low and stable interest rates and high levels of liquidity in the debt and equity capital markets provide a positive environment for us to generate attractive investment returns in our carry funds. We also believe that periods of volatility and dislocation in the capital markets present us with opportunities to invest at reduced valuations that position us for future revenue growth. For our hedge funds, opportunities to generate revenue depend on their respective investment strategies, certain of which may benefit from higher market volatility. These strategies include, but are not limited to, low levels of correlation in equity and debt markets, differences in market prices versus fundamental value and opportunities to profit from trading inefficiencies.

During the first quarter of 2013, risk asset prices around the world generally increased, largely driven by perceptions of sharp declines in the likelihood of low-probability, high-impact events, retail fund flows into equities and ongoing easing in central bank policy. Equities indices rose in most regions in the first quarter: the U.S. S&P 500 increased by just over 10%, the MSCI World Index was up 7% and Japan's Nikkei 225 increased by 16%. In the fourth quarter of 2012, the aggregate output of advanced economies contracted for the first time since the Great Recession; however, data sources we tend to rely on indicate that global growth recovered in the first quarter of 2013. In the U.S., large fiscal deficits and uncertainty about their ultimate resolution continue to hinder business planning and create downside risks for businesses impacted by potential tax increases or spending cuts. However, U.S. residential and commercial real estate markets improved during 2012 and those improvements appear to have continued into the first quarter of 2013. In Europe, despite the easing in financial conditions, credit to the nonfinancial private sector continues to contract, though the extreme strains in cross-border fund flows that characterized much of 2011 and 2012 continued to ease. The ongoing political uncertainty in Italy and the consequences from the Cyprus bailout program also continue to present significant risks to financial stability. In addition, we believe that the European real estate market remains challenging as the lack of growth in that area, in particular the lack of job growth, appears to be reducing leasing demand for commercial real estate assets.

Financing markets for corporate borrowers remained attractive with spreads on speculative grade corporate bonds falling in the U.S. and Europe. In addition, all-in financing costs for speculative grade borrowers reached record lows during the quarter, although credit spreads remained significantly higher than those that prevailed during 2005-2007. Corporate borrowers were also able to take advantage of upward pricing pressure in the institutional loan market, where investor demand far exceeded available supply. During the quarter, new institutional loan volume was double that of the first quarter of 2012 and nearly equal to the total issuance volume of 2010, largely due to opportunistic refinancings.

In addition to these global macro-economic and market trends, our future performance may be impacted by the following factors:

The attractiveness of the alternative asset management industry. Our ability to attract new capital and investors is driven in part by the extent to which investors continue to see the alternative asset management industry as an attractive vehicle for capital preservation and growth. During the three months ended March 31, 2013, we raised \$4.9 billion of new capital commitments across our fund platform. Although the fundraising environment remains competitive and the time required to raise a fund has increased from prior years, with several of our larger regional buyout funds currently in the market, we expect fundraising to continue at a strong pace in 2013. As part of our fundraising effort, we may use feeder funds and other intermediaries to access investors. The use of such funds and intermediaries differs from our traditional fundraising model and may meaningfully increase our fundraising expenses.

Our ability to generate strong absolute and risk adjusted returns. The strength of our investment performance affects investors willingness to commit capital to our funds. The capital we are able to attract is one of the main drivers of the growth of our AUM and the management fees we earn. During the three months ended March 31, 2013, we realized proceeds of \$4.1 billion for our carry fund investors. Although the valuation of our carry fund portfolio increased 7% overall during the first quarter of 2013, with a 9%

Table of Contents

increase in our Corporate Private Equity segment, a 9% increase in our Global Market Strategies segment and a 3% increase in our Real Assets segment, there can be no assurance that these trends will continue. For our hedge funds, absolute positive performance and relative outperformance and lower volatility versus their respective benchmarks may be among the considerations taken into account in an investor's decision to increase or maintain allocations to our funds.

Our successful deployment of capital. Our ability to maintain and grow our revenue base is dependent upon our ability to successfully deploy the capital that our investors have committed to our funds. We believe the current economic environment provides significant investment opportunities. However, competition, valuation, credit availability and pricing and other general market conditions may impact our ability to identify and execute attractive investments. Because we pursue investment opportunities strategically as they arise and we have a long-term investment horizon, the capital deployed in any one quarter may vary significantly from the capital deployed in any other quarter or the quarterly average of capital deployed in any given year. During the three months ended March 31, 2013, we invested \$2.5 billion in new and existing investments in our carry funds. As of March 31, 2013, we had capital available for investment through our carry funds of \$25 billion and had approximately \$13 billion in hedge fund assets invested across credit, equities, and commodities trading strategies.

Our ready access to credit. During the first quarter of 2013, we took advantage of the historically low interest rates to strengthen the capital structure of the firm. Specifically, we issued:

\$500 million in aggregate principal amount of ten-year senior notes with a coupon of 3.875%, which allowed us to repay the outstanding borrowings under the revolving credit facility of our senior credit facility of \$386.3 million as of December 31, 2012 and to prepay \$75.0 million of term loan principal that would have been due in September 2014; and

\$400 million in aggregate principal amount of 30-year senior notes with a coupon of 5.625%, which allowed us to repay, together with cash on hand, borrowings under the term loan of our senior credit facility of \$400.0 million as of December 31, 2012.

Our ability to meet evolving investor requirements. We believe that investors will seek to deploy their investment capital in a variety of different ways, including fund investments, separate accounts and direct co-investments. Additionally, as we continue to expand our platform, we seek to broaden the appeal of our investment products and to create avenues through which we expect to attract a new base of individual investors. We are currently exploring various methods to access this new investor base, including via feeder funds and other intermediaries.

The timing of the expiration of the investment periods of our funds and the raising of successor funds. The investment periods for many of the large carry funds that we raised between 2006 and 2008 expired during 2012 and the investment periods for additional funds will expire in 2013. In certain cases, the investment period of a fund has expired prior to the raising of a successor fund. In general, the end of the original investment period (regardless of whether it is extended) will trigger a change in the capital base on which management fees are calculated from committed capital to invested capital at cost. In some cases, a step-down in the applicable rate used to calculate management fees may also occur. As a result, the management fee revenues we earn from these extended funds will decline; however, it is during this period that our funds are generally realizing their remaining investments and generating realized performance fees if such funds have exceeded their performance hurdles. Also, the favorable impact on Fee-earning AUM and related management fee revenues of a successor fund or new fundraising initiatives will, to the extent of the success of these new funds or initiatives, offset the management fee revenue reductions. However, to the extent we do not plan to raise a successor fund in the same year, Fee-earning AUM will be reduced. In 2013, we generally expect that management fees from successor funds and new fundraising initiatives will result in a net increase in management fees as compared to 2012. However, management fees in our Corporate Private Equity segment may be level with 2012 or decrease slightly due to the timing and/or size of raising certain successor funds.

Table of Contents

Recent Transactions

During the first quarter of 2013, we issued \$500.0 million of 3.875% Senior Notes due February 1, 2023 at 99.966% of par and \$400.0 million of 5.625% Senior Notes due March 30, 2043 at 99.583% of par. The net proceeds from these issuances, together with cash on hand, was used to repay \$386.3 million of borrowings under the revolving credit facility of our senior credit facility and \$475.0 million of borrowings under the term loan of our senior credit facility. Refer to Note 8 to the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for more information.

In May 2013, the Board of Directors of our general partner declared a quarterly distribution of \$0.16 per common unit to common unit holders of record at the close of business on May 20, 2013, payable on May 31, 2013.

Consolidation of Certain Carlyle Funds

Pursuant to U.S. GAAP, we consolidate certain Carlyle sponsored funds, related co-investment entities and CLOs that we advise, which we refer to collectively as the Consolidated Funds, in our consolidated financial statements. These funds represent approximately 19% of our AUM as of March 31, 2013; 16% and 11% of our fund management fees for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively; and approximately 5% and less than 1% of our performance fees for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

We are not required under U.S. GAAP to consolidate most of the investment funds we advise in our consolidated financial statements because such funds provide their limited partners with the right to dissolve the fund without cause by a simple majority vote of the non-Carlyle affiliated limited partners, which overcomes the presumption of control by Carlyle. However, we consolidate certain CLOs that we advise as a result of the application of the accounting standards governing consolidations. As of March 31, 2013, our consolidated CLOs held approximately \$16 billion of total assets and comprised 60% of the assets of the Consolidated Funds and 100% of the loans payable of the Consolidated Funds. As of March 31, 2013, our consolidated AlpInvest fund of funds vehicles had approximately \$8 billion of total assets and comprised 27% of the assets of the Consolidated Funds. The remainder of the assets of the Consolidated Funds as of March 31, 2013 relates to our consolidated hedge funds and other consolidated funds. The assets and liabilities of the Consolidated Funds are generally held within separate legal entities and, as a result, the liabilities of the Consolidated Funds are non-recourse to us. For further information on consolidation of certain funds, see Note 2 to the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Generally, the consolidation of the Consolidated Funds has a gross-up effect on our assets, liabilities and cash flows but has no net effect on the net income attributable to the Partnership and partners' capital. The majority of the net economic ownership interests of the Consolidated Funds are reflected as non-controlling interests in consolidated entities, redeemable non-controlling interests in consolidated entities, and partners' capital appropriated for Consolidated Funds in the consolidated financial statements. For further information, see Note 2 to the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Because only a small portion of our funds are consolidated, the performance of the Consolidated Funds is not necessarily consistent with or representative of the combined performance trends of all of our funds.

Key Financial Measures

Our key financial measures are discussed in the following pages.

Revenues

Revenues primarily consist of fund management fees, performance fees, investment income, including realized and unrealized gains of our investments in our funds and other trading securities, as well as interest and other income. See Note 2 to the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for additional information regarding the manner in which management fees and performance fees are generated.

Table of Contents

Fund Management Fees. Fund management fees include (i) management fees earned on capital commitments or AUM and (ii) transaction and portfolio advisory fees. Management fees are fees we receive for advisory services we provide to funds in which we hold a general partner interest or with which we have an investment advisory or investment management agreement. Management fees are based on (a) third parties capital commitments to our investment funds, (b) third parties remaining capital invested in our investment funds or (c) the net asset value (NAV) of certain of our investment funds, as described in our consolidated financial statements. Fee-earning AUM based on NAV was approximately 10% of our total Fee-earning AUM as of March 31, 2013 and approximately 7% of our total Fee-earning AUM as of March 31, 2012.

Management fees for our corporate private equity, closed-end carry funds in the global market strategies segment and real assets funds generally range from 1% to 2% of commitments during the investment period of the relevant fund. Large funds tend to have lower effective management fee rates, while smaller funds tend to have effective management fee rates approaching 2%. Following the expiration or termination of the investment period of such funds, the management fees generally step-down to between 0.6% and 2.0% of contributions for unrealized investments. Depending upon the contracted terms of investment advisory or investment management and related agreements, these fees generally are called semiannually in advance and are recognized as earned over the subsequent six month period. As a result, cash on hand and deferred revenue will generally be higher around January and July, which are the semiannual due dates for management fees. Management fees from the fund of funds vehicles in our Solutions segment generally range from 0.3% to 1.0% on the vehicle s capital commitments during the commitment fee period of the relevant fund. Following the expiration of the commitment fee period of such vehicles, the management fees generally range from 0.3% to 1.0% on the lower of cost or fair value of the capital invested. Management fees for our Solutions segment are due quarterly and recognized over the related quarter. Our hedge funds generally pay management fees quarterly that range from 1.5% to 3.0% of NAV per year. Management fees for our CLOs typically range from 0.4% to 0.6% on the total par amount of assets in the fund and are due quarterly or semiannually based on the terms and recognized over the relevant period. Our management fees for our CLOs and credit opportunities funds are governed by indentures and collateral management agreements. With respect to Claren Road, ESG, Vermillion and AlpInvest, we retain a specified percentage of the earnings of the businesses based on our ownership in the management companies of 55% in the case of Claren Road, ESG, and Vermillion and 60% in the case of AlpInvest. Management fees are not subject to repayment but may be offset to the extent that other fees are earned as described below under Transaction and Portfolio Advisory Fee .

Management fees attributable to Carlyle Partners V, L.P. (CP V), our fifth U.S. buyout fund with approximately \$13 billion of Fee-earning AUM as of March 31, 2013, was approximately 18% and 17% of total management fees recognized during the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. No other fund generated over 10% of total management fees in the periods presented.

Transaction and Portfolio Advisory Fees. Transaction and portfolio advisory fees are fees we receive for the transaction and portfolio advisory services we provide to our portfolio companies. When covered by separate contractual agreements, we recognize transaction and portfolio advisory fees for these services when the service has been provided and collection is reasonably assured. We are required to offset our fund management fees earned by a percentage of the transaction and advisory fees earned, which we refer to as the rebate offsets. Such rebate offset percentages generally range from 50% to 80% of the transaction and advisory fees earned. While the portfolio advisory fees are relatively consistent, transaction fees vary in accordance with our investment pace. We have received and expect to continue to receive requests from a variety of investors and groups representing investors to increase the percentage of transaction and advisory fees we share with our investors in future funds; to the extent that we accommodate such requests on future funds, the rebate offset percentages would increase as compared to the historical levels.

Performance Fees. Performance fees consist principally of the special residual allocation of profits to which we are entitled, commonly referred to as carried interest, from certain of our investment funds, which we refer to as the carry funds. We are generally entitled to a 20% allocation (or approximately 2% to 10% in the case of most of our fund of funds vehicles) of the net realized income or gain as a carried interest after returning the invested capital, the allocation of preferred returns of generally 8% to 9% and the return of certain fund costs (generally subject to catch-up provisions as set forth in the fund limited partnership agreement). Carried interest revenue, which is a component of performance fees in our consolidated financial statements, is recognized by Carlyle upon appreciation of the valuation of our funds investments above certain return hurdles as set forth in each

Table of Contents

respective partnership agreement and is based on the amount that would be due to us pursuant to the fund partnership agreement at each period end as if the funds were liquidated at such date. Accordingly, the amount of carried interest recognized as performance fees reflects our share of the fair value gains and losses of the associated funds' underlying investments measured at their then-current fair values. As a result, the performance fees earned in an applicable reporting period are not indicative of any future period. Carried interest is ultimately realized when: (i) an underlying investment is profitably disposed of, (ii) the investment fund's cumulative returns are in excess of the preferred return and (iii) we have decided to collect carry rather than return additional capital to limited partner investors. The portion of performance fees that are realized and unrealized in each period are separately reported in our statements of operations.

Under our arrangements with the historical owners and management team of AlpInvest, the management team and employees of AlpInvest are allocated all carried interest in respect of the historical investments and commitments to our fund of funds vehicles that existed as of July 1, 2011 (including any options to increase any such commitments exercised after such date), 85% of the carried interest in respect of commitments from the historical owners of AlpInvest for the period between 2011 and 2020 and 60% of the carried interest in respect of all other commitments (including all future commitments from third parties).

Our performance fees are generated by a diverse set of funds with different vintages, geographic concentration, investment strategies and industry specialties. For an explanation of the fund acronyms used throughout this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations section, refer to Our Family of Funds.

Performance fees from Carlyle Partners IV, L.P. (CP IV), our fourth U.S. buyout fund, and CP V (with total AUM of approximately \$7.2 billion and \$14.8 billion, respectively, as of March 31, 2013) were \$178.9 million and \$159.6 million, respectively, for the three months ended March 31, 2013. Performance fees from CP IV and Carlyle Asia Partners II, L.P. (CAP II), our second Asia buyout fund, (with total AUM of approximately \$9.9 billion and \$1.7 billion, respectively, as of March 31, 2012) were \$270.5 million and \$75.4 million, respectively, for the three months ended March 31, 2012. No other fund generated over 10% of performance fees in the periods presented.

Realized carried interest may be clawed-back or given back to the fund if the fund's investment values decline below certain return hurdles, which vary from fund to fund. If the fair value of a fund's investments falls below the applicable return hurdles, previously recognized carried interest and performance fees are reduced. This will occur even if the fund's investment values remain unchanged, because the fund's return hurdle will claw-back previously recognized performance fees over time. In all cases, each investment fund is considered separately in evaluating carried interest and potential giveback obligations. For any given period, carried interest income could therefore be negative; however, cumulative performance fees can never be negative over the life of a fund. In addition, we are not obligated to pay guaranteed returns or hurdles. If upon a hypothetical liquidation of a fund's investments at the then-current fair values, previously recognized and distributed carried interest would be required to be returned, a liability is established in our financial statements for the potential giveback obligation. As discussed below, each individual recipient of realized carried interest typically signs a guarantee agreement or partnership agreement that personally obligates such person to return his/her pro rata share of any amounts of realized carried interest previously distributed that are later clawed back. Generally, the actual giveback liability, if any, does not become due until the end of a fund's life.

In addition to the carried interest from our carry funds, we are also entitled to receive incentive fees or allocations from certain of our Global Market Strategies funds when the return on AUM exceeds previous calendar-year ending or date-of-investment high-water marks. Our hedge funds generally pay annual incentive fees or allocations equal to 20% of the fund's profits for the year, subject to a high-water mark. The high-water mark is the highest historical NAV attributable to a fund investor's account on which incentive fees were paid and means that we will not earn incentive fees with respect to such fund investor for a year if the NAV of such investor's account at the end of the year is lower than any prior year-end NAV or the NAV at the date of such fund investor's investment, generally excluding any contributions and redemptions for purposes of calculating NAV. We recognize the incentive fees from our hedge funds as they are earned. In these arrangements, incentive fees are recognized when the performance benchmark has been achieved and are included in performance fees in our consolidated statements of operations. These incentive fees are a component of performance fees in our consolidated financial statements and are treated as accrued until paid to us.

Table of Contents

For any given period, performance fee revenue on our statement of operations may include reversals of previously recognized performance fees due to a decrease in the value of a particular fund that results in a decrease of cumulative performance fees earned to date. Since fund return hurdles are cumulative, previously recognized performance fees also may be reversed in a period of appreciation that is lower than the particular fund's hurdle rate. For the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, the reversals of performance fees were \$3.4 million and \$8.7 million, respectively.

As of March 31, 2013, accrued performance fees and accrued giveback obligations were approximately \$2.6 billion and \$46.3 million, respectively. Each balance assumes a hypothetical liquidation of the funds' investments at March 31, 2013 at their then current fair values. These assets and liabilities will continue to fluctuate in accordance with the fair values of the fund investments until they are realized.

In addition, realized performance fees may be reversed in future periods to the extent that such amounts become subject to a giveback obligation. If at March 31, 2013, all investments held by our carry funds were deemed worthless, the amount of realized and previously distributed performance fees subject to potential giveback would be \$1.1 billion. See the related discussion of Contingent Obligations (Giveback) within Liquidity and Capital Resources.

As described above, each investment fund is considered separately in evaluating carried interest and potential giveback obligations. As a result, performance fees within funds will continue to fluctuate primarily due to certain investments within each fund constituting a material portion of the carry in that fund. Additionally, the fair value of investments in our funds may have substantial fluctuations from period to period.

In addition, we use the term *net performance fees* to refer to the performance fees from our funds net of the portion allocated to our investment professionals which is reflected as performance fee related compensation expense. We use the term *realized net performance fees* to refer to realized performance fees from our funds, net of the portion allocated to our investment professionals which is reflected as realized performance fee related compensation expense. See *Non-GAAP Financial Measures* for the amount of realized and unrealized performance fees recognized each period. See *Segment Analysis* for the realized and unrealized performance fees by segment and related discussion for each period.

Fair Value Measurement. U.S. GAAP establishes a hierarchical disclosure framework which ranks the observability of market price inputs used in measuring financial instruments at fair value. The observability of inputs is impacted by a number of factors, including the type of financial instrument, the characteristics specific to the financial instrument and the state of the marketplace, including the existence and transparency of transactions between market participants. Financial instruments with readily available quoted prices, or for which fair value can be measured from quoted prices in active markets, will generally have a higher degree of market price observability and a lesser degree of judgment applied in determining fair value.

Financial instruments measured and reported at fair value are classified and disclosed based on the observability of inputs used in the determination of fair values, as follows:

Level I inputs to the valuation methodology are quoted prices available in active markets for identical instruments as of the reporting date. The type of financial instruments included in Level I include unrestricted securities, including equities and derivatives, listed in active markets. The Partnership does not adjust the quoted price for these instruments, even in situations where the Partnership holds a large position and a sale could reasonably impact the quoted price.

Level II inputs to the valuation methodology are other than quoted prices in active markets, which are either directly or indirectly observable as of the reporting date. The type of financial instruments in this category includes less liquid and restricted securities listed in active markets, securities traded in other than active markets, government and agency securities, and certain over-the-counter derivatives where the fair value is based on observable inputs. Investments in hedge funds are classified in this category when their net asset value is redeemable without significant restriction.

Level III inputs to the valuation methodology are unobservable and significant to overall fair value measurement. The inputs into the determination of fair value require significant management judgment or estimation. Financial instruments that are included in this category include investments in privately-held entities, non-investment grade residual interests in securitizations, collateralized loan obligations, and certain over-the-counter derivatives where the fair value is based on unobservable inputs. Investments in fund of funds are generally included in this category.

Table of Contents

In certain cases, the inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In such cases, the determination of which category within the fair value hierarchy is appropriate for any given financial instrument is based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. The Partnership's assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment and considers factors specific to the financial instrument.

The table below summarizes the valuation of investments and other financial instruments included within our AUM, by segment and fair value hierarchy levels, as of March 31, 2013 (amounts in millions):

| | As of March 31, 2013 | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| | Corporate Private Equity | Global Market Strategies | Real Assets | Solutions | Total |
| Consolidated Results | | | | | |
| Level I | \$ 9,426 | \$ 4,718 | \$ 3,856 | \$ 822 | \$ 18,822 |
| Level II | | 4,373 | 1,385 | 25 | 5,783 |
| Level III | 27,385 | 18,166 | 26,325 | 29,066 | 100,942 |
| Total Fair Value | 36,811 | 27,257 | 31,566 | 29,913 | 125,547 |
| Other Net Asset Value | 1,246 | 4,069 | (747) | | 4,568 |
| Total AUM, Excluding Available Capital Commitments | 38,057 | 31,326 | 30,819 | 29,913 | 130,115 |
| Available Capital Commitments | 17,062 | 1,762 | 9,521 | 17,853 | 46,198 |
| Total AUM | \$ 55,119 | \$ 33,088 | \$ 40,340 | \$ 47,766 | \$ 176,313 |

In certain cases, debt and equity securities are valued on the basis of prices from an orderly transaction between market participants provided by reputable dealers or pricing services. In determining the value of a particular investment, pricing services may use certain information with respect to transactions in such investments, quotations from dealers, pricing matrices, market transactions in comparable investments and various relationships between investments.

In the absence of observable market prices, the Partnership values its investments using valuation methodologies applied on a consistent basis. For some investments little market activity may exist. Management's determination of fair value is then based on the best information available in the circumstances and may incorporate management's own assumptions and involves a significant degree of judgment, taking into consideration a combination of internal and external factors, including the appropriate risk adjustments for non-performance and liquidity risks. Investments for which market prices are not observable include private investments in the equity of operating companies, real estate properties, and certain debt positions. The valuation technique for each of these investments is described in Note 4 of our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Investment Income and Interest and Other Income. Investment income and interest and other income represent the unrealized and realized gains and losses on our principal investments, including our investments in Carlyle funds that are not consolidated, our equity method investments and other principal investments, as well as any interest and other income. Investment income (loss) also includes the related amortization of the basis difference

Table of Contents

between the carrying value of our investment and our share of the underlying net assets of the investee, as well as the compensation expense associated with compensatory arrangements provided by us to employees of our equity method investee. Realized investment income (loss) is recorded when we redeem all or a portion of our investment or when we receive or are due cash income, such as dividends or distributions. A realized investment loss is also recorded when an investment is deemed to be worthless. Unrealized investment income (loss) results from changes in the fair value of the underlying investment, as well as the reversal of unrealized gains (losses) at the time an investment is realized.

Interest and Other Income of Consolidated Funds. Interest and other income of Consolidated Funds primarily represents the interest earned on CLO assets. However, the Consolidated Funds are not the same entities in all periods presented and may change in future periods due to changes in U.S. GAAP, changes in fund terms and terminations of funds.

Net Investment Gains (Losses) of Consolidated Funds. Net investment gains (losses) of Consolidated Funds measures the change in the difference in fair value between the assets and the liabilities of the Consolidated Funds. A gain (loss) indicates that the fair value of the assets of the Consolidated Funds appreciated more (less), or depreciated less (more), than the fair value of the liabilities of the Consolidated Funds. A gain or loss is not necessarily indicative of the investment performance of the Consolidated Funds and does not impact the management or incentive fees received by Carlyle for its management of the Consolidated Funds. Substantially all of the net investment gains (losses) of Consolidated Funds are attributable to the limited partner investors and allocated to non-controlling interests. Therefore a gain or loss is not expected to have an impact on the revenues or profitability of the Partnership. Moreover, although the assets of the Consolidated Funds are consolidated onto our balance sheet pursuant to U.S. GAAP, ultimately we do not have recourse to such assets and such liabilities are non-recourse to us. Therefore, a gain or loss from the Consolidated Funds does not impact the assets available to our equity holders.

Expenses

Compensation and Benefits. Compensation includes salaries, bonuses, equity-based compensation, and performance payment arrangements. Bonuses are accrued over the service period to which they relate. For periods prior to our initial public offering in May 2012, compensation attributable to our senior Carlyle professionals was accounted for as distributions from equity rather than as employee compensation. For periods subsequent to our initial public offering in May 2012, we account for compensation to senior Carlyle professionals as compensation expense in our statement of operations. Accordingly, compensation expense pursuant to U.S. GAAP was substantially lower in periods prior to our initial public offering in May 2012. For periods prior to our initial public offering in May 2012, in our calculations of Economic Net Income, Fee Related Earnings and Distributable Earnings, which are used by management in assessing the performance of our segments, we have included an adjustment for partner compensation. See Consolidated Results of Operations Non-GAAP Financial Measures for a reconciliation of Income Before Provision for Income Taxes to Total Segments Economic Net Income, of Total Segments Economic Net Income to Fee Related Earnings and of Fee Related Earnings to Distributable Earnings.

We recognize as compensation expense the portion of performance fees that are due to our employees, senior Carlyle professionals, and operating executives in a manner consistent with how we recognize the performance fee revenue. These amounts are accounted for as compensation expense in conjunction with the related performance fee revenue and, until paid, are recognized as a component of the accrued compensation and benefits liability. Compensation in respect of performance fees is not paid until the related performance fees are realized, and not when such performance fees are accrued. The funds do not have a uniform allocation of performance fees to our employees, senior Carlyle professionals and operating executives. Therefore, for any given period, the ratio of performance fee compensation to performance fee revenue may vary based on the funds generating the performance fee revenue for that period and their particular allocation percentages.

In addition, as part of our initial public offering in May 2012, we implemented various equity-based compensation arrangements that require senior Carlyle professionals and other employees to vest ownership of a portion of their equity interests over a service period of up to six years, which under U.S. GAAP will result in compensation charges over current and future periods. Compensation charges associated with the equity-based compensation grants issued in our initial public offering in May 2012 or grants issued in acquisitions or strategic investments are excluded from our calculations of Economic Net Income, Fee Related Earnings and Distributable Earnings.

Table of Contents

We expect that we will hire additional individuals and that overall compensation levels will correspondingly increase, which will result in an increase in compensation and benefits expense. As a result of recent acquisitions, we have charges associated with contingent consideration taking the form of earn-outs and profit participation, some of which are reflected as compensation expense. We also expect that our fundraising will increase in future periods and as a result we expect that our compensation expense will also increase in periods where we close on increased levels of new capital commitments. Amounts due to employees related to such fundraising will be expensed when earned even though the benefit of the new capital and related fees will be reflected in operations over the life of the related fund.

General, Administrative and Other Expenses. General, administrative, and other expenses include occupancy and equipment expenses and other expenses, which consist principally of professional fees, travel and related expenses, communications and information services, depreciation and amortization and foreign currency transactions.

We anticipate that general, administrative and other expenses will fluctuate from period to period due to the impact of foreign exchange transactions. Additionally, we expect that general, administrative and other expenses will vary due to infrequently occurring or unusual items. We also expect to incur greater expenses in the future related to our recent acquisitions including amortization of acquired intangibles, earn-outs to equity holders and fair value adjustments on contingent consideration issued.

Interest and Other Expenses of Consolidated Funds. The interest and other expenses of Consolidated Funds consist primarily of interest expense related primarily to our CLO loans, professional fees and other third-party expenses.

Income Taxes. The Carlyle Holdings partnerships and their subsidiaries operate as pass-through entities for U.S. income tax purposes and record a provision for state and local income taxes for certain entities based on applicable laws and a provision for foreign income taxes for certain foreign entities. In addition, Carlyle Holdings I GP Inc. is subject to additional entity-level taxes that are reflected in our consolidated financial statements.

Prior to our initial public offering in May 2012, we operated as a group of pass-through entities for U.S. income tax purposes and our profits and losses were allocated to the individual senior Carlyle professionals, who were individually responsible for reporting such amounts. We recorded a provision for state and local income taxes for certain entities based on applicable laws and a provision for foreign income taxes for certain foreign entities.

Income taxes for foreign entities are accounted for using the liability method of accounting. Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the expected future tax consequences of differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities and their respective tax basis, using currently enacted tax rates. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period when the change is enacted. Deferred tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance when it is more likely than not that some or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized.

In the normal course of business, we are subject to examination by federal and certain state, local and foreign tax regulators. As of March 31, 2013, our U.S. federal income tax returns for the years 2009 through 2011 are open under the normal three-year statute of limitations and therefore subject to examination. State and local tax returns are generally subject to audit from 2008 to 2012. Specifically, our Washington, D.C. franchise tax years are currently open, as are our New York City returns, for the tax years 2009 to 2011. Foreign tax returns are generally subject to audit from 2005 to 2012. Certain of our foreign subsidiaries are currently under audit by foreign tax authorities.

Non-controlling Interests in Consolidated Entities. Non-controlling interests in consolidated entities represent the component of equity in consolidated entities not held by us. These interests are adjusted for general partner allocations and by subscriptions and redemptions in hedge funds which occur during the reporting period. Non-controlling interests related to hedge funds are subject to quarterly or monthly redemption by investors in these funds following the expiration of a specified period of time (typically one year), or may be withdrawn subject to a

Table of Contents

redemption fee in the hedge funds during the period when capital may not be withdrawn. As limited partners in these types of funds have been granted redemption rights, amounts relating to third-party interests in such consolidated funds are presented as redeemable non-controlling interests in consolidated entities within the consolidated balance sheets. When redeemable amounts become legally payable to investors, they are classified as a liability and included in other liabilities of Consolidated Funds in the consolidated balance sheets.

We record significant non-controlling interests in Carlyle Holdings relating to the ownership interests of the limited partners of the Carlyle Holdings partnerships. The Partnership, through wholly-owned subsidiaries, is the sole general partner of Carlyle Holdings. Accordingly, the Partnership consolidates the financial position and results of operations of Carlyle Holdings into its financial statements, and the other ownership interests in Carlyle Holdings are reflected as a non-controlling interest in the Partnership's financial statements.

Non-GAAP Financial Measures

Economic Net Income. Economic net income or ENI, is a key performance benchmark used in our industry. ENI represents segment net income which excludes the impact of income taxes, acquisition-related items including amortization of acquired intangibles and contingent consideration taking the form of earn-outs, charges associated with equity-based compensation grants issued in May 2012 upon completion of the initial public offering or grants issued in acquisitions or strategic investments, corporate actions and infrequently occurring or unusual events. We believe the exclusion of these items provides investors with a meaningful indication of our core operating performance. For segment reporting purposes, revenues and expenses, and accordingly segment net income, are presented on a basis that deconsolidates the Consolidated Funds. ENI also reflects compensation expense for our senior Carlyle professionals, which for periods prior to our initial public offering in May 2012, was accounted for as distributions from equity under U.S. GAAP rather than as employee compensation. Total Segment ENI equals the aggregate of ENI for all segments. ENI is evaluated regularly by management in making resource deployment decisions and in assessing performance of our four segments and for compensation. We believe that reporting ENI is helpful to understanding our business and that investors should review the same supplemental financial measure that management uses to analyze our segment performance. This measure supplements and should be considered in addition to and not in lieu of the results of operations discussed further under Consolidated Results of Operations prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP.

Distributable Earnings. Distributable Earnings is derived from our segment reported results and is an additional measure to assess performance and amounts potentially available for distribution from Carlyle Holdings to its equity holders. Distributable Earnings, which is a non-GAAP measure, is intended to show the amount of net realized earnings without the effects of consolidation of the Consolidated Funds. Distributable Earnings is total ENI less net performance fees and investment income plus realized net performance fees and realized investment income.

Fee Related Earnings. Fee related earnings is a component of ENI and is used to measure our operating profitability exclusive of performance fees, investment income from investments in our funds and performance fee-related compensation. Accordingly, fee related earnings reflect the ability of the business to cover direct base compensation and operating expenses from fee revenues other than performance fees. Fee related earnings are reported as part of our segment results. We use fee related earnings from operations to measure our profitability from fund management fees. Fee related earnings reflects compensation expense for our senior Carlyle professionals, which for periods prior to our initial public offering in May 2012, was accounted for as distributions from equity rather than as employee compensation. See Note 17 to the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Table of Contents**Operating Metrics**

We monitor certain operating metrics that are common to the alternative asset management industry.

Fee-earning Assets under Management

Fee-earning assets under management or Fee-earning AUM refers to the assets we manage from which we derive recurring fund management fees. Our Fee-earning AUM generally equals the sum of:

- (a) for carry funds and certain co-investment vehicles where the original investment period has not expired, the amount of limited partner capital commitments, for fund of funds vehicles, the amount of external investor capital commitments during the commitment fee period, and for the NGP management fee funds, the amount of investor capital commitments before the first investment realization (see Fee-earning AUM based on capital commitments in the table below for the amount of this component at each period);
- (b) for substantially all carry funds and certain co-investment vehicles where the original investment period has expired, the remaining amount of limited partner invested capital, and for the NGP management fee funds where the first investment has been realized, the amount of partner commitments less realized and written-off investments (see Fee-earning AUM based on invested capital in the table below for the amount of this component at each period);
- (c) the amount of aggregate Fee-earning collateral balance at par of our CLOs, as defined in the fund indentures (typically exclusive of equities and defaulted positions) as of the quarterly cut-off date for each CLO, and the reference portfolio notional amount of our synthetic CLOs (see Fee-earning AUM based on collateral balances, at par in the table below for the amount of this component at each period);
- (d) the external investor portion of the net asset value (pre-redemptions and subscriptions) of our long/short credit funds, emerging markets, multi-product macroeconomic and other hedge funds and certain structured credit funds (see Fee-earning AUM based on net asset value in the table below for the amount of this component at each period); and
- (e) for fund of funds vehicles where the commitment fee period has expired, and certain carry funds where the investment period has expired, the lower of cost or fair value of invested capital (see Fee-earning AUM based on lower of cost or fair value and other in the table below for the amount of this component at each period).

The table below details Fee-earning AUM by its respective components at each period.

| Consolidated Results | March 31, | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (Dollars in millions) | |
| Components of Fee-earning AUM | | |
| Fee-earning AUM based on capital commitments (1) | \$ 39,292 | \$ 50,333 |
| Fee-earning AUM based on invested capital (2) | 33,379 | 20,013 |
| Fee-earning AUM based on collateral balances, at par (3) | 16,272 | 15,629 |
| Fee-earning AUM based on net asset value (4) | 12,157 | 8,421 |
| Fee-earning AUM based on lower of cost or fair value and other (5) | 21,804 | 22,602 |
| Balance, End of Period | \$ 122,904 | \$ 116,998 |

- (1) Reflects limited partner capital commitments where the investment period or commitment fee period has not expired.
- (2) Reflects limited partner invested capital and includes amounts committed to or reserved for investments for certain real assets funds.
- (3) Represents the amount of aggregate Fee-earning collateral balances, at par, for our CLOs.
- (4) Reflects the net asset value of our hedge funds (pre-redemptions and subscriptions).
- (5) Includes funds with fees based on notional value and gross asset value.

Table of Contents

The table below provides the period to period rollforward of Fee-earning AUM.

| | Three Months Ended | |
|----------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|
| | March 31, | |
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (Dollars in millions) | |
| Consolidated Results | | |
| Fee-earning AUM Rollforward | | |
| Balance, Beginning of Period | \$ 123,121 | \$ 111,025 |
| Acquisitions | | 2,866 |
| Inflows, including Commitments (1) | 1,994 | 3,686 |
| Outflows, including Distributions (2) | (1,799) | (3,060) |
| Subscriptions, net of Redemptions (3) | (56) | 717 |
| Changes in CLO collateral balances (4) | 296 | 234 |
| Market Appreciation/(Depreciation) (5) | 745 | 277 |
| Foreign Exchange and other (6) | (1,397) | 1,253 |
| Balance, End of Period | \$ 122,904 | \$ 116,998 |

- (1) Inflows represent limited partner capital raised by our carry funds and fund of funds vehicles and capital invested by our carry funds and fund of funds vehicles outside the investment period.
 - (2) Outflows represent limited partner distributions from our carry funds and fund of funds vehicles and changes in basis for our carry funds and fund of funds vehicles where the investment period has expired.
 - (3) Represents the net result of subscriptions to and redemptions from our hedge funds and open-end structured credit funds.
 - (4) Represents the change in the aggregate Fee-earning collateral balances at par of our CLOs, as of the quarterly cut-off dates.
 - (5) Market Appreciation/ (Depreciation) represents changes in the net asset value of our hedge funds and our fund of funds vehicles based on the lower of cost or fair value.
 - (6) Represents the impact of foreign exchange rate fluctuations on the translation of our non-U.S. dollar denominated funds. Activity during the period is translated at the average rate for the period. Ending balances are translated at the spot rate as of the period end.
- Refer to Segment Analysis for a detailed discussion by segment of the activity affecting Fee-earning AUM for each of the periods presented by segment.

Assets under Management

Assets under management or AUM refers to the assets we manage. Our AUM equals the sum of the following:

- (a) the fair value of the capital invested in our carry funds, co-investment vehicles, NGP management fee funds, and fund of funds vehicles plus the capital that we are entitled to call from investors in those funds and vehicles (including our commitments to those funds and vehicles and those of senior Carlyle professionals and employees) pursuant to the terms of their capital commitments to those funds and vehicles;
- (b) the amount of aggregate collateral balance and principal cash at par of our CLOs (inclusive of all positions) and the reference portfolio notional amount of our synthetic CLOs; and
- (c) the net asset value (pre-redemptions and subscriptions), of our long/short credit emerging markets, multi-product macroeconomic and other hedge funds and certain structured credit funds.

Our carry funds are closed-ended funds and investors are not able to redeem their interests under the fund partnership agreements.

Edgar Filing: ASBURY AUTOMOTIVE GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

For our carry funds, co-investment vehicles, fund of funds vehicles and the NGP management fee funds, total AUM includes the fair value of the capital invested, whereas Fee-earning AUM includes the amount of capital commitments or the remaining amount of invested capital, depending on whether the investment period for the fund has expired. As such, Fee-earning AUM may be greater than total AUM when the aggregate fair value of the remaining investments is less than the cost of those investments.

Table of Contents

Our calculations of Fee-earning AUM and AUM may differ from the calculations of other alternative asset managers and, as a result, this measure may not be comparable to similar measures presented by others. In addition, our calculation of AUM includes uncalled commitments to, and the fair value of invested capital in, our funds from Carlyle and our personnel, regardless of whether such commitments or invested capital are subject to management or performance fees. Our calculations of Fee-earning AUM or AUM are not based on any definition of Fee-earning AUM or AUM that is set forth in the agreements governing the investment funds that we manage.

We generally use Fee-earning AUM as a metric to measure changes in the assets from which we earn management fees. Total AUM tends to be a better measure of our investment and fundraising performance as it reflects assets at fair value plus available uncalled capital.

Available Capital

Available capital, commonly known as dry powder, for our carry funds, fund of funds vehicles, and NGP management fee funds refers to the amount of capital commitments available to be called for investments. Amounts previously called may be added back to available capital following certain distributions. Expired Available Capital occurs when a fund has passed the investment and follow-on periods and can no longer invest capital into new or existing deals. Any remaining Available Capital, typically a result of either recycled distributions or specific reserves established for the follow-on period that are not drawn, can only be called for fees and expenses and is therefore removed from the Total AUM calculation.

The table below provides the period to period Rollforward of Available Capital and Fair Value of Capital, and the resulting rollforward of Total AUM.

| | Three Months Ended | | |
|----------------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|
| | March 31, 2013 | | |
| | Available Capital | Fair Value of Capital | Total AUM |
| | (Dollars in millions) | | |
| Consolidated Results | | | |
| Balance, Beginning of Period | \$ 43,934 | \$ 126,222 | \$ 170,156 |
| Commitments (1) | 6,042 | | 6,042 |
| Capital Called, net (2) | (3,632) | 3,519 | (113) |
| Distributions (3) | 250 | (5,098) | (4,848) |
| Subscriptions, net of Redemptions (4) | | 2 | 2 |
| Changes in CLO collateral balances (5) | | 331 | 331 |
| Market Appreciation/(Depreciation) (6) | | 6,199 | 6,199 |
| Foreign exchange and other (7) | (396) | (1,060) | (1,456) |
| Balance, End of Period | \$ 46,198 | \$ 130,115 | \$ 176,313 |

- (1) Represents capital raised by our carry funds and fund of funds vehicles, net of expired available capital.
- (2) Represents capital called by our carry funds and fund of funds vehicles, net of fund fees and expenses. Equity invested amounts may vary from capital called due to timing differences between acquisition and capital call dates.
- (3) Represents distributions from our carry funds and fund of funds vehicles, net of amounts recycled. Distributions are based on when proceeds are actually distributed to investors, which may differ from when they are realized.
- (4) Represents the net result of subscriptions to and redemptions from our hedge funds and open-end structured credit funds.
- (5) Represents the change in the aggregate collateral balance and principal cash at par of the CLOs.
- (6) Market Appreciation/(Depreciation) represents realized and unrealized gains (losses) on portfolio investments and changes in the net asset value of our hedge funds.
- (7) Represents the impact of foreign exchange rate fluctuations on the translation of our non-U.S. dollar denominated funds. Activity during the period is translated at the average rate for the period. Ending balances are translated at the spot rate as of the period end.

Please refer to Segment Analysis for a detailed discussion by segment of the activity affecting Total AUM for each of the periods presented.

Table of Contents

Consolidated Results of Operations

The following table and discussion sets forth information regarding our unaudited condensed consolidated results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and March 31, 2012. The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared on substantially the same basis for all historical periods presented; however, the consolidated funds are not the same entities in all periods shown due to changes in U.S. GAAP, changes in fund terms and the creation and termination of funds. We formed four new CLOs throughout 2012 and two new CLOs in the first quarter of 2013 and have consolidated those CLOs beginning on their respective closing dates. As further described below, the consolidation of these funds primarily had the impact of increasing interest and other income of Consolidated Funds, interest and other expenses of Consolidated Funds, and net investment gains (losses) of Consolidated Funds in the year that the fund is initially consolidated. The consolidation of these funds had no effect on net income attributable to the Partnership for the periods presented.

Table of Contents

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (Dollars in millions, except unit and per unit data) | |
| Revenues | | |
| Fund management fees | \$ 231.4 | \$ 234.4 |
| Performance fees | | |
| Realized | 252.8 | 280.6 |
| Unrealized | 389.6 | 360.2 |
| Total performance fees | 642.4 | 640.8 |
| Investment income (loss) | | |
| Realized | (4.2) | (0.8) |
| Unrealized | 4.6 | 22.3 |
| Total investment income (loss) | 0.4 | 21.5 |
| Interest and other income | 2.4 | 2.7 |
| Interest and other income of Consolidated Funds | 268.4 | 211.5 |
| Total revenues | 1,145.0 | 1,110.9 |
| Expenses | | |
| Compensation and benefits | | |
| Base compensation | 178.5 | 106.1 |
| Equity-based compensation | 52.3 | |
| Performance fee related | | |
| Realized | 108.7 | 34.3 |
| Unrealized | 195.0 | 54.8 |
| Total compensation and benefits | 534.5 | 195.2 |
| General, administrative and other expenses | 111.4 | 91.2 |
| Interest | 10.5 | 10.4 |
| Interest and other expenses of Consolidated Funds | 250.1 | 184.5 |
| Other non-operating income | (2.4) | (4.1) |
| Total expenses | 904.1 | 477.2 |
| Other income | | |
| Net investment gains of Consolidated Funds | 211.5 | 872.1 |
| Income before provision for income taxes | 452.4 | 1,505.8 |
| Provision for income taxes | 24.9 | 11.7 |
| Net income | 427.5 | 1,494.1 |
| Net income attributable to non-controlling interests in consolidated entities | 168.0 | 864.9 |
| Net income attributable to Carlyle Holdings | 259.5 | \$ 629.2 |
| Net income attributable to non-controlling interests in Carlyle Holdings | 225.7 | |
| Net income attributable to The Carlyle Group L.P. | \$ 33.8 | |
| Net income attributable to The Carlyle Group L.P. per common unit | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.78 | |

Edgar Filing: ASBURY AUTOMOTIVE GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|-------------------------------|----|------------|
| Diluted | \$ | 0.66 |
| Weighted-average common units | | |
| Basic | | 43,343,268 |
| Diluted | | 51,109,008 |

Table of Contents***Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 Compared to the Three Months Ended March 31, 2012******Revenues***

Total revenues were \$1,145.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013, an increase of 3% over total revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2012 of \$1,110.9 million. The increase in revenues was primarily attributable to an increase in interest and other income of Consolidated Funds of \$56.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 as compared to 2012 partially offset by a decrease in investment income of \$21.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 as compared to 2012.

Fund Management Fees. Fund management fees decreased \$3.0 million, or 1%, to \$231.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 as compared to 2012. In addition, fund management fees from consolidated funds increased \$13.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 as compared to 2012. These fees eliminate upon consolidation of these funds.

The overall increase, inclusive of management fees eliminated from consolidated funds, was primarily due to approximately \$8.2 million of incremental management fees related to the acquisition of Vermillion in October 2012 as well as approximately \$13.2 million of increased management fees from greater assets under management in ESG, our CLOs, and our energy mezzanine fund (CEMOF I) in 2013 as compared to 2012. Offsetting those increases were decreases in management fees of approximately \$15.2 million resulting from the change in the basis for earning management fees from commitments to invested capital for certain buyout funds.

Fund management fees include transaction and portfolio advisory fees, net of rebate offsets, of \$15.0 million and \$10.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The \$4.3 million increase in transaction and portfolio advisory fees resulted primarily from a transaction fee that was generated by a buyout fund during the three months ended March 31, 2013, partially offset by fewer portfolio advisory fees received in 2013 upon the sale or public offering of portfolio companies as compared to 2012.

Performance Fees. Performance fees for the three months ended March 31, 2013 were \$642.4 million compared to \$640.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012. In addition, performance fees from consolidated funds increased \$30.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 as compared to 2012. These fees eliminate upon consolidation. The performance fees recorded in the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 were due principally to increases in the fair value of the underlying funds, which increased approximately 7% and 9% in total remaining value during the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The net appreciation in the fair value of the investments was driven by asset performance and operating projections as well as increases in market comparables. Approximately \$434.7 million and \$464.5 million of performance fees for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, were generated by our Corporate Private Equity segment. Performance fees for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 were \$108.5 million and \$45.5 million for the Global Market Strategies segment, \$63.0 million and \$105.5 million for the Real Assets segment, and \$36.2 million and \$25.3 million for the Solutions segment, respectively. Further, approximately \$338.5 million and \$322.0 million of our performance fees for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, were related to CP V and CP IV.

Investment Income. Investment income of \$0.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 decreased 98% over investment income of \$21.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012. The \$21.1 million decrease relates primarily to unrealized losses on investments in certain real estate funds. Also contributing to the decrease in investment income from 2012 was the distribution in March 2012, in conjunction with the reorganization and initial public offering, of certain investments that were funded by certain existing and former owners of Carlyle indirectly through Carlyle; those investments generated investment income for the three months ended March 31, 2012. In addition, investment income from Consolidated Funds decreased \$1.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 as compared to 2012. This income is eliminated upon consolidation.

Interest and Other Income. Interest and other income decreased \$0.3 million to \$2.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013, as compared to \$2.7 million for 2012.

Table of Contents

Interest and Other Income of Consolidated Funds. Interest and other income of Consolidated Funds was \$268.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013, an increase of \$56.9 million from \$211.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012. This increase relates primarily to the four new CLOs formed throughout 2012 and the two new CLOs formed in the first quarter of 2013. Our CLOs generate interest income primarily from investments in bonds and loans inclusive of amortization of discounts and generate other income from consent and amendment fees. Substantially all interest and other income of our CLOs together with interest expense of our CLOs and net investment gains (losses) of Consolidated Funds is attributable to the related funds' limited partners or CLO investors and therefore is allocated to non-controlling interests. Accordingly, such amounts have no material impact on net income attributable to the Partnership.

Expenses

Expenses were \$904.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013, an increase of \$426.9 million from \$477.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012. The increase is primarily due to increases in total compensation and benefits, interest and other expenses of Consolidated Funds, and general, administrative and other expenses, which increased \$339.3 million, \$65.6 million and \$20.2 million, respectively.

Total compensation and benefits for the three months ended March 31, 2013 increased \$339.3 million, or 174%, from \$195.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012 to \$534.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013. For periods prior to our initial public offering in May 2012, all compensation to senior Carlyle professionals was accounted for as equity distributions in our consolidated financial statements. Had such amounts attributable to senior Carlyle professionals been accounted for as compensation expense, then total expenses would have been \$904.1 million and \$748.2 million in the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, representing an increase of \$155.9 million due primarily to increases in compensation and benefits, interest and other expenses of Consolidated Funds, and general, administrative and other expenses of \$68.3 million, \$65.6 million, and \$20.2 million, respectively. The increase in compensation primarily reflects equity-based compensation expense recorded in 2013.

Compensation and Benefits. Base compensation and benefits increased \$72.4 million, or 68%, for the three months ended March 31, 2013 as compared to 2012, which primarily relates to the inclusion of base compensation attributable to senior Carlyle professionals in 2013. Also contributing to the increase in base compensation expense were increases in the value of the employment-based contingent cash consideration associated with the Partnership's acquisitions totaling \$10.7 million and the acquisition of Vermillion and the addition of their professionals in October 2012. Base compensation and benefits attributable to senior Carlyle professionals was \$52.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012. Had such amounts attributable to senior Carlyle professionals been accounted for as compensation expense, then base compensation and benefits expense would have been \$178.5 million and \$158.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Equity-based compensation was \$52.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013. Equity-based compensation includes the effect of grants of deferred restricted common units and phantom deferred restricted common units and the issuance of unvested Carlyle Holdings partnership units.

Performance fee related compensation expense increased \$214.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 as compared to 2012. Performance fee related compensation attributable to senior Carlyle professionals was \$217.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012. Had such amounts attributable to senior Carlyle professionals been accounted for as compensation expense, then performance fee related compensation expense would have been \$306.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012. As adjusted for amounts related to senior Carlyle professionals, performance fee related compensation as a percentage of performance fees was 47% and 48% for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Total compensation and benefits would have been \$534.5 million and \$465.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, had compensation attributable to senior Carlyle professionals been treated as compensation expense.

Table of Contents

General, Administrative and Other Expenses. General, administrative and other expenses increased \$20.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 as compared to 2012. This increase was driven primarily by an increase of \$18.3 million in amortization expense associated with intangible assets acquired in 2012 and an increase of \$8.5 million associated with fundraising activities for corporate private equity buyout funds. These increases were partially offset by decreases in professional fees of \$3.6 million and a positive variance of \$3.5 million related to foreign currency adjustments.

Interest. Interest expense for the three months ended March 31, 2013 was \$10.5 million, an increase of \$0.1 million from 2012.

Interest and Other Expenses of Consolidated Funds. Interest and other expenses of Consolidated Funds increased \$65.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 as compared to 2012. This increase relates primarily to the four new CLOs formed throughout 2012 and the two new CLOs formed in the first quarter of 2013. The CLOs incur interest expense on their loans payable and incur other expenses consisting of trustee fees, rating agency fees and professional fees. Substantially all interest and other income of our CLOs together with interest expense of our CLOs and net investment gains (losses) of Consolidated Funds is attributable to the related funds' limited partners or CLO investors and therefore is allocated to non-controlling interests. Accordingly, such amounts have no material impact on net income attributable to the Partnership.

Other Non-operating Income. Other non-operating income of \$2.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 reflects a decrease of \$1.7 million from the three months ended March 31, 2012. The decrease is due primarily to a \$2.5 million gain on redemption of the subordinated notes payable to Mubadala in March 2012.

Net Investment Gains of Consolidated Funds

For the three months ended March 31, 2013, net investment gains of Consolidated Funds were \$211.5 million, as compared to gains of \$872.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012. This balance is predominantly driven by our consolidated AlInvest fund of funds vehicles, CLOs, and hedge funds. For the consolidated CLOs, the amount reflects the net gain or loss on the fair value adjustment of both the assets and liabilities. The components of net investment gains (losses) of consolidated funds for the respective periods are comprised of the following:

| | Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 2012 (Dollars in millions) | |
|----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Realized gains | \$ 477.9 | \$ 215.1 |
| Net change in unrealized gains | 121.5 | 816.0 |
| Total gains | 599.4 | 1,031.1 |
| Losses on liabilities of CLOs | (386.9) | (159.2) |
| Gains (losses) on other assets of CLOs | (1.0) | 0.2 |
| Total | \$ 211.5 | \$ 872.1 |

The realized and unrealized investment gains/losses primarily include the appreciation/depreciation of the equity investments within the consolidated AlInvest fund of funds vehicles, the appreciation/depreciation of investments made by our consolidated hedge funds, and the appreciation/depreciation of CLO investments in loans and bonds. The net investment gains for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 were due primarily to net investment gains attributable to the consolidated AlInvest fund of funds vehicles of \$265.7 million and \$786.6 million, respectively, net investment gains attributable to the consolidated hedge funds of \$222.0 million and \$10.3 million, respectively, and net appreciation of CLO investments in loans and bonds of \$112.0 million and \$230.2 million, respectively. The losses on the liabilities of the CLOs reflects the fair value adjustment on the debt of the CLOs.

Table of Contents

Net Income Attributable to Non-controlling Interests in Consolidated Entities

Net income attributable to non-controlling interests in consolidated entities was \$168.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 compared to \$864.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012. These amounts are primarily attributable to the net earnings or losses of the Consolidated Funds for each period, which are substantially all allocated to the related funds' limited partners or CLO investors.

For the three months ended March 31, 2013, the net income of our Consolidated Funds was approximately \$147.8 million. This income was substantially due to the consolidated AlpInvest fund of funds vehicles, our hedge funds and the consolidated CLOs. The net income from the consolidated AlpInvest fund of fund vehicles and the hedge funds was approximately \$229.7 million and \$176.0 million, respectively, for the three months ended March 31, 2013. The net income was partially offset by net losses from the consolidated CLOs of \$257.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013. The CLOs' investments appreciated in value less than the CLO liabilities, thereby creating a net loss for this period.

The net income of our Consolidated Funds was \$861.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012. This income was substantially due to the consolidated AlpInvest fund of funds vehicles and the consolidated CLOs. The net income from the consolidated AlpInvest fund of fund vehicles and the consolidated CLOs was approximately \$769.8 million and \$109.3 million, respectively, for the three months ended March 31, 2012.

Net Income Attributable to The Carlyle Group L.P.

The net income attributable to the Partnership was \$33.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013. The Partnership is allocated a portion of the net income attributable to Carlyle Holdings based on the Partnership's ownership in Carlyle Holdings (which was approximately 14% as of March 31, 2013). For the three months ended March 31, 2013, the net income attributable to Carlyle Holdings was \$259.5 million. Additionally, the Partnership is allocated 100% of the net income or loss attributable to the Partnership's wholly-owned taxable subsidiaries.

Table of Contents**Non-GAAP Financial Measures**

The following table sets forth information in the format used by management when making resource deployment decisions and in assessing performance of our segments. These non-GAAP financial measures are presented for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012. The table below shows our total segment Economic Net Income which is composed of the sum of Fee Related Earnings, Net Performance Fees and Investment Income. This analysis excludes the effects of consolidated funds, acquisition-related items including amortization of acquired intangibles and contingent consideration taking the form of earn-outs, charges associated with equity-based compensation grants issued in May 2012 upon completion of the initial public offering or grants issued in acquisitions or strategic investments, corporate actions and infrequently occurring or unusual events, and for periods prior to the reorganization and initial public offering in May 2012, treats compensation attributable to senior Carlyle professionals as compensation expense. See Note 17 to the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (Dollars in millions) | |
| Segment Revenues | | |
| Fund level fee revenues | | |
| Fund management fees | \$ 240.1 | \$ 225.4 |
| Portfolio advisory fees, net | 4.6 | 8.0 |
| Transaction fees, net | 10.4 | 2.7 |
| Total fund level fee revenues | 255.1 | 236.1 |
| Performance fees | | |
| Realized | 248.9 | 281.8 |
| Unrealized | 342.7 | 349.7 |
| Total performance fees | 591.6 | 631.5 |
| Investment income (loss) | | |
| Realized | (9.3) | 2.1 |
| Unrealized | 12.3 | 21.2 |
| Total investment income (loss) | 3.0 | 23.3 |
| Interest and other income | 2.4 | 2.6 |
| Total revenues | 852.1 | 893.5 |
| Segment Expenses | | |
| Compensation and benefits | | |
| Direct base compensation | 108.0 | 101.2 |
| Indirect base compensation | 33.6 | 33.1 |
| Equity-based compensation | 2.6 | |
| Performance fee related | | |
| Realized | 107.4 | 139.1 |
| Unrealized | 129.5 | 157.6 |
| Total compensation and benefits | 381.1 | 431.0 |
| General, administrative, and other indirect expenses | 62.3 | 55.4 |
| Depreciation and amortization expense | 6.3 | 5.2 |
| Interest expense | 8.5 | 9.8 |
| Total expenses | 458.2 | 501.4 |
| Economic Net Income | \$ 393.9 | \$ 392.1 |

Edgar Filing: ASBURY AUTOMOTIVE GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Fee Related Earnings | \$ 36.2 | \$ 34.0 |
| Net Performance Fees | \$ 354.7 | \$ 334.8 |
| Realized Net Performance Fees | \$ 141.5 | \$ 142.7 |
| Investment Income | \$ 3.0 | \$ 23.3 |
| Distributable Earnings | \$ 168.4 | \$ 178.8 |

Table of Contents

Income before provision for income taxes is the GAAP financial measure most comparable to economic net income, fee related earnings, and distributable earnings. The following table is a reconciliation of income before provision for income taxes to economic net income, to fee related earnings, and to distributable earnings.

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-------------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Income before provision for income taxes | \$ 452.4 | \$ 1,505.8 |
| Adjustments: | | |
| Partner compensation ⁽¹⁾ | | (271.0) |
| Equity-based compensation issued in conjunction with the initial public offering and strategic investments | 52.1 | |
| Acquisition related charges and amortization of intangibles | 62.5 | 24.1 |
| Losses associated with debt refinancing activities | 1.9 | |
| Other non-operating (income) expenses | (2.4) | (4.1) |
| Net income attributable to non-controlling interests in Consolidated entities | (168.0) | (864.9) |
| Provision for income taxes attributable to non-controlling interests in Consolidated entities | (6.0) | |
| Severance and lease terminations | 0.5 | 2.4 |
| Other adjustments | 0.9 | (0.2) |
| Economic Net Income | \$ 393.9 | \$ 392.1 |
| Net performance fees ⁽²⁾ | 354.7 | 334.8 |
| Investment income ⁽²⁾ | 3.0 | 23.3 |
| Fee Related Earnings | \$ 36.2 | \$ 34.0 |
| Realized performance fees, net of related compensation | 141.5 | 142.7 |
| Investment income (loss) - realized | (9.3) | 2.1 |
| Distributable Earnings | \$ 168.4 | \$ 178.8 |

- (1) Adjustments for partner compensation reflect amounts due to senior Carlyle professionals for compensation and performance fees allocated to them, which amounts were classified as distributions from partners' capital in our consolidated financial statements for periods prior to the reorganization and initial public offering in May 2012.

Table of Contents

(2) See reconciliation to most directly comparable U.S. GAAP measure below:

| | Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| | Carlyle Consolidated | Adjustments (3) (Dollars in millions) | Total Reportable Segments |
| Performance fees | | | |
| Realized | \$ 252.8 | \$ (3.9) | \$ 248.9 |
| Unrealized | 389.6 | (46.9) | 342.7 |
| Total performance fees | 642.4 | (50.8) | 591.6 |
| Performance fee related compensation expense | | | |
| Realized | 108.7 | (1.3) | 107.4 |
| Unrealized | 195.0 | (65.5) | 129.5 |
| Total performance fee related compensation expense | 303.7 | (66.8) | 236.9 |
| Net performance fees | | | |
| Realized | 144.1 | (2.6) | 141.5 |
| Unrealized | 194.6 | 18.6 | 213.2 |
| Total net performance fees | \$ 338.7 | \$ 16.0 | \$ 354.7 |
| Investment income (loss) | | | |
| Realized | \$ (4.2) | \$ (5.1) | \$ (9.3) |
| Unrealized | 4.6 | 7.7 | 12.3 |
| Total investment income (loss) | \$ 0.4 | \$ 2.6 | \$ 3.0 |

| | Three Months Ended March 31, 2012 | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| | Carlyle Consolidated | Adjustments (3) (Dollars in millions) | Total Reportable Segments |
| Performance fees | | | |
| Realized | \$ 280.6 | \$ 1.2 | \$ 281.8 |
| Unrealized | 360.2 | (10.5) | 349.7 |
| Total performance fees | 640.8 | (9.3) | 631.5 |
| Performance fee related compensation expense | | | |
| Realized | 34.3 | 104.8 | 139.1 |
| Unrealized | 54.8 | 102.8 | 157.6 |
| Total performance fee related compensation expense | 89.1 | 207.6 | 296.7 |
| Net performance fees | | | |
| Realized | 246.3 | (103.6) | 142.7 |
| Unrealized | 305.4 | (113.3) | 192.1 |
| Total net performance fees | \$ 551.7 | \$ (216.9) | \$ 334.8 |

| | | | |
|---------------------------------|----------|--------|---------|
| Investment income (loss) | | | |
| Realized | \$ (0.8) | \$ 2.9 | \$ 2.1 |
| Unrealized | 22.3 | (1.1) | 21.2 |
| Total investment income (loss) | \$ 21.5 | \$ 1.8 | \$ 23.3 |

- (3) Adjustments to performance fees and investment income (loss) relate to amounts earned from the Consolidated Funds, which were eliminated in the U.S. GAAP consolidation but were included in the Non-GAAP results, and amounts attributable to non-controlling interests in consolidated entities, which were excluded from the Non-GAAP results. Adjustments to investment income (loss) also include the reclassification of earnings for the investment in NGP Management to the appropriate operating captions for the Non-GAAP results, and

Table of Contents

the exclusion of charges associated with the investment in NGP Management that are excluded from the Non-GAAP results. Adjustments to performance fee related compensation expense relate to the inclusion of partner compensation in the Non-GAAP results for periods prior to the reorganization and initial public offering in May 2012. Adjustments are also included in these financial statement captions to reflect Carlyle's 55% economic interest in Claren Road, ESG, and Vermillion and Carlyle's 60% interest in AlpInvest in the Non-GAAP results.

Economic Net Income and Distributable Earnings for our reportable segments are as follows:

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (Dollars in millions) | |
| Economic Net Income | | |
| Corporate Private Equity | \$ 239.2 | \$ 243.9 |
| Global Market Strategies | 104.0 | 38.1 |
| Real Assets | 41.8 | 101.0 |
| Solutions | 8.9 | 9.1 |
| Economic Net Income | \$ 393.9 | \$ 392.1 |
| Distributable Earnings | | |
| Corporate Private Equity | \$ 112.4 | \$ 120.1 |
| Global Market Strategies | 40.8 | 31.4 |
| Real Assets | 11.4 | 21.5 |
| Solutions | 3.8 | 5.8 |
| Distributable Earnings | \$ 168.4 | \$ 178.8 |

Segment Analysis

Discussed below is our ENI for our segments for the periods presented. Our segment information is reflected in the manner utilized by our senior management to make operating decisions, assess performance and allocate resources.

For segment reporting purposes, revenues and expenses are presented on a basis that deconsolidates our Consolidated Funds. As a result, segment revenues from management fees, performance fees and investment income are different than those presented on a consolidated GAAP basis because fund management fees recognized in certain segments are received from Consolidated Funds and are eliminated in consolidation when presented on a consolidated GAAP basis. Furthermore, segment expenses are different than related amounts presented on a consolidated GAAP basis due to the exclusion of fund expenses that are paid by the Consolidated Funds. Segment revenue and expenses are also different than those presented on a consolidated GAAP basis because we present our segment revenues and expenses related to Claren Road, ESG, Vermillion, and AlpInvest based on our economic interest in those entities. Also, ENI excludes expenses associated with equity-based compensation that was issued in our initial public offering or is issued in acquisitions and strategic investments. Finally, for periods prior to the reorganization and initial public offering in May 2012, ENI includes an expense for base and performance fee related compensation attributable to senior Carlyle professionals, which was accounted for as distributions from equity in the consolidated GAAP basis financial statements.

Table of Contents**Corporate Private Equity**

The following table presents our results of operations for our Corporate Private Equity segment:

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (Dollars in millions) | |
| Segment Revenues | | |
| Fund level fee revenues | | |
| Fund management fees | \$ 108.3 | \$ 123.9 |
| Portfolio advisory fees, net | 4.1 | 7.0 |
| Transaction fees, net | 10.4 | 1.6 |
| | | |
| Total fund level fee revenues | 122.8 | 132.5 |
| Performance fees | | |
| Realized | 212.3 | 223.0 |
| Unrealized | 207.6 | 241.3 |
| | | |
| Total performance fees | 419.9 | 464.3 |
| Investment income | | |
| Realized | 1.8 | 0.8 |
| Unrealized | 2.8 | 14.5 |
| | | |
| Total investment income | 4.6 | 15.3 |
| Interest and other income | 1.0 | 1.4 |
| | | |
| Total revenues | 548.3 | 613.5 |
| Segment Expenses | | |
| Compensation and benefits | | |
| Direct base compensation | 55.0 | 55.3 |
| Indirect base compensation | 20.0 | 20.8 |
| Equity-based compensation | 1.5 | |
| Performance fee related | | |
| Realized | 101.6 | 117.6 |
| Unrealized | 83.6 | 132.0 |
| | | |
| Total compensation and benefits | 261.7 | 325.7 |
| General, administrative, and other indirect | 39.0 | 34.8 |
| Depreciation and amortization expense | 3.5 | 3.2 |
| Interest expense | 4.9 | 5.9 |
| | | |
| Total expenses | 309.1 | 369.6 |
| | | |
| Economic Net Income | \$ 239.2 | \$ 243.9 |
| | | |
| Fee Related Earnings | \$ (0.1) | \$ 13.9 |
| | | |
| Net Performance Fees | \$ 234.7 | \$ 214.7 |
| | | |
| Realized Net Performance Fees | \$ 110.7 | \$ 105.4 |

Edgar Filing: ASBURY AUTOMOTIVE GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Investment Income | \$ 4.6 | \$ 15.3 |
| Distributable Earnings | \$ 112.4 | \$ 120.1 |

Table of Contents***Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 Compared to the Three Months Ended March 31, 2012***

Total fee revenues were \$122.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013, representing a decrease of \$9.7 million, or 7%, from the three months ended March 31, 2012. This decrease reflects a \$15.6 million decrease in fund management fees and a decrease in net portfolio advisory fees of \$2.9 million. These decreases were partially offset by an increase in net transaction fees of \$8.8 million. The weighted-average management fee rate decreased from 1.31% at March 31, 2012 to 1.29% at March 31, 2013. The decrease of approximately \$4.6 billion of Fee-earning AUM resulted in a decrease in fund management fees. The decrease in Fee-earning AUM was due largely to the change in basis from commitments to invested equity in our third European buyout (CEP III) and second Japan buyout (CJP II) funds, as well as distributions in several of the funds outside their commitment period. The decrease in net portfolio fees was primarily due to fewer portfolio advisory fees received in 2013 upon the sale or public offering of portfolio companies as compared to 2012. The increase in net transaction fees resulted primarily from a transaction fee that was generated by one of the buyout funds in 2013.

Interest and other income was \$1.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013, a decrease from \$1.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012.

Total compensation and benefits was \$261.7 million and \$325.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Performance fee related compensation expense was \$185.2 million and \$249.6 million, or 44% and 54% of performance fees, for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. As part of the reorganization and initial public offering in May 2012, the portion of carried interest allocated to our senior Carlyle professionals and other personnel who work in our fund operations decreased from historical levels to approximately 45%.

Direct and indirect base compensation expense decreased \$1.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013, or 1% less than the three months ended March 31, 2012.

Equity-based compensation was \$1.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013.

General, administrative and other indirect expenses increased \$4.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 as compared to 2012. The expense increase primarily reflected higher expenses associated with fundraising activities for buyout funds, partially offset by decreases in professional fees and a positive variance related to foreign currency adjustments.

Depreciation and amortization expense was \$3.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013, an increase from \$3.2 million in 2012.

Interest expense decreased \$1.0 million, or 17%, for the three months ended March 31, 2013 as compared to 2012. This decrease was due primarily to lower outstanding borrowings in the first quarter of 2013 as compared to the first quarter of 2012.

Economic Net Income. ENI was \$239.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013, reflecting a 2% decrease as compared to ENI of \$243.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012. The decrease in ENI in the first quarter of 2013 was due to a \$14.0 million decrease in fee related earnings and a decrease in investment income of \$10.7 million, which were offset by an increase in net performance fees of \$20.0 million.

Fee Related Earnings. Fee related earnings were \$(0.1) million for the three months ended March 31, 2013, as compared to \$13.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012, representing a decrease of \$14.0 million. The decrease in fee related earnings is primarily attributable to a decrease in fee revenues of \$9.7 million and an increase in general, administrative and other indirect expenses of \$4.2 million.

Table of Contents

Performance Fees. Performance fees decreased \$44.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 as compared to 2012. Performance fees of \$419.9 million and \$464.3 million are inclusive of performance fees reversed of approximately \$2.1 million and \$1.8 million during the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Performance fees for this segment by type of fund are as follows:

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|----------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (Dollars in millions) | |
| Buyout funds | \$ 413.8 | \$ 453.8 |
| Growth Capital funds | 6.1 | 10.5 |
| Performance fees | \$ 419.9 | \$ 464.3 |

The \$419.9 million in performance fees for the three months ended March 31, 2013 was driven primarily by performance fees for CP IV, CP V, and CAP II of \$171.6 million, \$157.8 million and \$30.0 million, respectively. The total 2013 and 2012 appreciation in the remaining value of assets for funds in this segment was approximately 9% and 8%, respectively. Comparatively, the \$464.3 million of performance fees for the three months ended March 31, 2012 was driven primarily by performances fees for CP IV, CAP II and CP V of \$270.5 million, \$75.4 million and \$51.6 million, respectively.

During the three months ended March 31, 2013, net performance fees were \$234.7 million or 56% of performance fees and \$20.0 million more than the net performance fees in the first quarter of 2012.

Investment Income. Investment income for the three months ended March 31, 2013 was \$4.6 million compared to \$15.3 million in the first quarter of 2012. During the three months ended March 31, 2013, realized investment income was \$1.8 million as compared to \$0.8 million for the first quarter in 2012 and unrealized investment income was \$2.8 million as compared to \$14.5 million for the first quarter of 2012. The decrease in investment income from 2012 to 2013 relates primarily to the distribution in March 2012, in conjunction with the reorganization and initial public offering, of certain investments that were funded by certain existing and former owners of Carlyle indirectly through Carlyle; those investments generated unrealized investment income for the three months ended March 31, 2012.

Distributable Earnings. Distributable earnings decreased \$7.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 to \$112.4 million from \$120.1 million for the same period in 2012. This decrease primarily reflects a reduction in fee related earnings of \$14.0 million offset by an increase in realized net performance fees of \$5.3 million.

Table of Contents**Fee-earning AUM as of and for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 and 2012.**

Fee-earning AUM is presented below for each period together with the components of change during each respective period.

The table below breaks out Fee-earning AUM by its respective components at each period.

| | As of March 31, | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (Dollars in millions) | |
| Corporate Private Equity | | |
| Components of Fee-earning AUM (1) | | |
| Fee-earning AUM based on capital commitments | \$ 20,920 | \$ 28,633 |
| Fee-earning AUM based on invested capital | 12,275 | 8,954 |
| Fee-earning AUM based on lower of cost or fair value and other (2) | | 246 |
| Total Fee-earning AUM | \$ 33,195 | \$ 37,833 |
| Weighted Average Management Fee Rates (3) | | |
| All Funds | 1.29% | 1.31% |
| Funds in Investment Period | 1.40% | 1.37% |

(1) For additional information concerning the components of Fee-earning AUM, see Fee-earning Assets under Management.

(2) Includes certain funds that are calculated on gross asset value.

(3) Represents the aggregate effective management fee rate of each fund in the segment, weighted by each fund's Fee-earning AUM, as of the end of each period presented.

The table below provides the period to period rollforward of Fee-earning AUM.

| | Three Months Ended | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|
| | March 31, | |
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (Dollars in millions) | |
| Corporate Private Equity | | |
| Fee-earning AUM Rollforward | | |
| Balance, Beginning of Period | \$ 33,840 | \$ 37,996 |
| Inflows, including Commitments (1) | 453 | 137 |
| Outflows, including Distributions (2) | (797) | (454) |
| Foreign Exchange and other (3) | (301) | 154 |
| Balance, End of Period | \$ 33,195 | \$ 37,833 |

(1) Inflows represent limited partner capital raised and capital invested by funds outside the investment period.

(2) Outflows represent distributions from funds outside the investment period and changes in basis for our carry funds where the investment period has expired.

(3) Represents the impact of foreign exchange rate fluctuations on the translation of our non-U.S. dollar denominated funds. Activity during the period is translated at the average rate for the period. Ending balances are translated at the spot rate as of period end.

Fee-earning AUM was \$33.2 billion at March 31, 2013, a decrease of \$0.6 billion, or 2%, compared to \$33.8 billion at December 31, 2012.

Outflows of \$0.8 billion were principally a result of distributions from several buyout funds that were outside of their investment period, in addition to \$0.3 billion foreign exchange loss. This was offset by inflows of \$0.5 billion, primarily related to equity invested in various funds outside their investment period, and limited partner commitments raised by our Peru buyout fund (CPF I), and Sub-Saharan Africa fund (CSSAF

Edgar Filing: ASBURY AUTOMOTIVE GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

I). Investment and distribution activity by funds still in the investment period does not impact Fee-earning AUM as these funds are based on commitments and not invested capital. Changes in fair value have no material impact on Fee-earning AUM for Corporate Private Equity as substantially all of the funds generate management fees based on either commitments or invested capital at cost, neither of which is impacted by fair value movements.

Table of Contents

Fee-earning AUM was \$37.8 billion at March 31, 2012, a decrease of \$0.2 billion, or less than 1%, compared to \$38.0 billion at December 31, 2011. Inflows of \$0.1 billion were primarily related to limited partner commitments raised by our equity opportunities fund (CEOF I) and equity invested by various funds outside of their investment period. Outflows of \$0.5 billion were principally a result of distributions from several buyout funds that were outside of their investment period.

Fee-earning AUM was \$33.8 billion at December 31, 2012, a decrease of \$4.0 billion, or over 10%, compared to \$37.8 billion at March 31, 2012. This decrease was primarily related to the step-down in basis for CEP III and CJP II and distributions in various funds outside of their original investment period.

Total AUM as of and for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2013.

The table below provides the period to period rollforwards of Available Capital and Fair Value of Capital, and the resulting rollforward of Total AUM.

| | Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 | | |
|----------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|
| | Available Capital | Fair Value of Capital | Total AUM |
| | (Dollars in millions) | | |
| Corporate Private Equity | | | |
| Balance, Beginning of Period | \$ 17,642 | \$ 35,696 | \$ 53,338 |
| Commitments (1) | 1,430 | | 1,430 |
| Capital Called, net (2) | (1,940) | 1,832 | (108) |
| Distributions (3) | 18 | (2,175) | (2,157) |
| Market Appreciation/(Depreciation) (4) | | 3,073 | 3,073 |
| Foreign exchange and other (5) | (88) | (369) | (457) |
| Balance, End of Period | \$ 17,062 | \$ 38,057 | \$ 55,119 |

- (1) Represents capital raised by our carry funds, net of expired available capital.
- (2) Represents capital called by our carry funds, net of fund fees and expenses. Equity invested amounts may vary from capital called due to timing differences between acquisition and capital call dates.
- (3) Represents distributions from our carry funds, net of amounts recycled. Distributions are based on when proceeds are actually distributed to investors, which may differ from when they are realized.
- (4) Market Appreciation/(Depreciation) represents realized and unrealized gains (losses) on portfolio investments.
- (5) Represents the impact of foreign exchange rate fluctuations on the translation of our non-U.S. dollar denominated funds. Activity during the period is translated at the average rate for the period. Ending balances are translated at the spot rate as of the period end.

Total AUM was \$55.1 billion at March 31, 2013, an increase of \$1.8 billion, or approximately 3%, compared to \$53.3 billion at December 31, 2012. This increase was primarily driven by \$3.0 billion of market appreciation across our portfolio, which experienced a 9% increase in value over the period due to a 9% increase across our buyout funds and a 2% increase across our growth capital funds. The 9% increase in our buyout funds was primarily driven by appreciation in CP IV, CP V and CEP III. Additionally, we raised new commitments of \$1.4 billion for several funds and coinvestment vehicles, including our latest vintage U.S. and Asia buyout funds (CP VI and CAP IV), our latest financial services fund (CGFSP II), CPF I, and CSSAF I. This increase was partially offset by distributions of \$2.2 billion and approximately \$0.5 billion of foreign exchange loss.

Fund Performance Metrics

Fund performance information for our investment funds that have at least \$1.0 billion in capital commitments, cumulative equity invested or total value as of March 31, 2013, which we refer to as our significant funds is included throughout this discussion and analysis to facilitate an understanding of our results of operations for the periods presented. The fund return information reflected in this discussion and analysis is not indicative of the performance of The Carlyle Group L.P. and is also not necessarily indicative of the future performance of any particular fund. An investment in The Carlyle Group L.P. is not an investment in any of our funds. There can be no assurance that any of our funds or our other existing and future funds will achieve similar returns.

Table of Contents

The following tables reflect the performance of our significant funds in our Corporate Private Equity business. Please see Our Family of Funds for a legend of the fund acronyms listed below.

| | Fund Inception Date (1) | Committed Capital | TOTAL INVESTMENTS as of March 31, 2013 | | | | | REALIZED/PARTIALLY REALIZED INVESTMENTS (5) as of March 31, 2013 | | | | |
|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------|---------------|--|
| | | | Cumulative Invested Capital (2) | Total Fair Value (3) | MOIC (4) | Gross IRR (7) | Net IRR (8) | Cumulative Invested Capital (2) | Total Fair Value (3) | MOIC (4) | Gross IRR (7) | |
| | | | (Reported in Local Currency, in Millions) | | | | | (Reported in Local Currency, in Millions) | | | | |
| Corporate Private Equity | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fully Invested Funds (6) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CP II | 10/1994 | \$ 1,331.1 | \$ 1,362.4 | \$ 4,071.5 | 3.0x | 34% | 25% | \$ 1,362.4 | \$ 4,071.5 | 3.0x | 34% | |
| CP III | 2/2000 | \$ 3,912.7 | \$ 4,031.6 | \$ 10,145.9 | 2.5x | 27% | 21% | \$ 4,031.6 | \$ 10,145.9 | 2.5x | 27% | |
| CP IV | 12/2004 | \$ 7,850.0 | \$ 7,612.6 | \$ 16,237.0 | 2.1x | 16% | 13% | \$ 4,394.5 | \$ 10,581.2 | 2.4x | 20% | |
| CEP I | 12/1997 | 1,003.6 | 981.6 | 2,126.5 | 2.2x | 18% | 11% | 981.6 | 2,126.5 | 2.2x | 18% | |
| CEP II | 9/2003 | 1,805.4 | 2,046.5 | 3,800.9 | 1.9x | 38% | 21% | 1,115.8 | 2,797.4 | 2.5x | 67% | |
| CAP I | 12/1998 | \$ 750.0 | \$ 627.7 | \$ 2,490.9 | 4.0x | 25% | 18% | \$ 627.7 | \$ 2,490.9 | 4.0x | 25% | |
| CAP II | 2/2006 | \$ 1,810.0 | \$ 1,614.9 | \$ 2,812.0 | 1.7x | 12% | 8% | \$ 587.7 | \$ 1,714.6 | 2.9x | 27% | |
| CJP I | 10/2001 | ¥ 50,000.0 | ¥ 47,291.4 | ¥ 133,029.0 | 2.8x | 61% | 37% | ¥ 39,756.6 | ¥ 130,139.9 | 3.3x | 65% | |
| All Other Funds (9) | Various | | \$ 3,380.3 | \$ 5,017.2 | 1.5x | 17% | 7% | \$ 2,356.2 | \$ 4,104.6 | 1.7x | 22% | |
| Coinvestments and Other (10) | Various | | \$ 7,238.9 | \$ 17,607.3 | 2.4x | 36% | 33% | \$ 4,648.1 | \$ 14,350.3 | 3.1x | 36% | |
| Total Fully Invested Funds | | | \$ 30,252.5 | \$ 67,393.2 | 2.2x | 28% | 21% | \$ 21,119.2 | \$ 55,153.2 | 2.6x | 30% | |
| Funds in the Investment Period (6) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CP V | 5/2007 | \$ 13,719.7 | \$ 12,146.1 | \$ 18,064.7 | 1.5x | 17% | 11% | | | | | |
| CEP III | 12/2006 | 5,294.9 | 4,461.4 | 5,860.5 | 1.3x | 10% | 7% | | | | | |
| CAP III | 5/2008 | \$ 2,551.6 | \$ 1,802.0 | \$ 2,087.8 | 1.2x | 7% | 1% | | | | | |
| CJP II | 7/2006 | ¥ 165,600.0 | ¥ 135,239.7 | ¥ 137,816.5 | 1.0x | 1% | (4%) | | | | | |
| CGFSP I | 9/2008 | \$ 1,100.2 | \$ 931.3 | \$ 1,292.9 | 1.4x | 16% | 9% | | | | | |
| CAGP IV | 6/2008 | \$ 1,041.4 | \$ 546.8 | \$ 666.2 | 1.2x | 11% | 1% | | | | | |
| CEOF I (11) | 5/2011 | \$ 1,017.7 | \$ 234.6 | \$ 299.8 | 1.3x | n/m | n/m | | | | | |
| All Other Funds (12) | Various | | \$ 1,232.7 | \$ 1,510.6 | 1.2x | 11% | 1% | | | | | |
| Total Funds in the Investment Period | | | \$ 24,048.7 | \$ 32,898.4 | 1.4x | 13% | 8% | \$ 4,779.7 | \$ 9,945.4 | 2.1x | 25% | |
| TOTAL CORPORATE PRIVATE EQUITY (13) | | | \$ 54,301.2 | \$ 100,291.6 | 1.8x | 26% | 18% | \$ 25,898.8 | \$ 65,098.6 | 2.5x | 30% | |

- (1) The data presented herein that provides inception to date performance results of our segments relates to the period following the formation of the first fund within each segment. For our Corporate Private Equity segment our first fund was formed in 1990.
- (2) Represents the original cost of all capital called for investments since inception of the fund.
- (3) Represents all realized proceeds combined with remaining fair value, before management fees, expenses and carried interest.
- (4) Multiple of invested capital (MOIC) represents total fair value, before management fees, expenses and carried interest, divided by cumulative invested capital.
- (5) An investment is considered realized when the investment fund has completely exited, and ceases to own an interest in, the investment. An investment is considered partially realized when the total amount of proceeds received in respect of such investment, including dividends, interest or other distributions and/or return of capital, represents at least 85% of invested capital and such investment is not yet fully realized. Because part of our value creation strategy involves pursuing best exit alternatives, we believe information regarding Realized/Partially Realized MOIC and Gross IRR, when considered together with the other investment performance metrics presented, provides investors with meaningful information regarding our investment performance by removing the impact of investments where significant realization activity has not yet occurred. Realized/Partially Realized MOIC and Gross IRR have limitations as measures of investment performance, and should not be considered in isolation. Such limitations include the fact that these measures do not include the performance of earlier stage and other investments that do not satisfy the criteria provided above. The exclusion of such investments will have a positive impact on Realized/Partially Realized MOIC and Gross IRR in instances when the MOIC and Gross IRR in respect of such investments are less than the

Edgar Filing: ASBURY AUTOMOTIVE GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

aggregate MOIC and Gross IRR. Our measurements of Realized/Partially Realized MOIC and Gross IRR may not be comparable to those of other companies that use similarly titled measures. We do not present Realized/Partially Realized performance information separately for funds that are still in the investment period because of the relatively insignificant level of realizations for funds of this type. However, to the extent such funds have had realizations, they are included in the Realized/Partially Realized performance information presented for Total Corporate Private Equity.

- (6) Fully Invested funds are past the expiration date of the investment period as defined in the respective limited partnership agreement. In instances where a successor fund has had its first capital call, the predecessor fund is categorized as fully invested.
- (7) Gross Internal Rate of Return (Gross IRR) represents the annualized IRR for the period indicated on Limited Partner invested capital based on contributions, distributions and unrealized value before management fees, expenses and carried interest.
- (8) Net Internal Rate of Return (Net IRR) represents the annualized IRR for the period indicated on Limited Partner invested capital based on contributions, distributions and unrealized value after management fees, expenses and carried interest.
- (9) Aggregate includes the following funds: CP I, CMG, CVP I, CVP II, CEVP, CETP I, CAVP I, CAVP II, CAGP III, CUSGF III and Mexico.
- (10) Includes co-investments and certain other stand-alone investments arranged by us.
- (11) The Gross IRR and Net IRR for CEOF I are not meaningful as the investment period commenced May 2011.
- (12) Aggregate includes the following funds: MENA, CSABF, CETP II, CBPF, CSSAF and CPF I.
- (13) For purposes of aggregation, funds that report in foreign currency have been converted to U.S. dollars at the reporting period spot rate.

Table of Contents**Global Market Strategies**

For purposes of presenting our results of operations for this segment, we include only our 55% economic interest in the results of operations of Claren Road, ESG and Vermillion. Vermillion was acquired on October 1, 2012. The following table presents our results of operations for our Global Market Strategies segment:

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (Dollars in millions) | |
| Segment Revenues | | |
| Fund level fee revenues | | |
| Fund management fees | \$ 66.3 | \$ 48.6 |
| Portfolio advisory fees, net | 0.2 | 0.7 |
| Transaction fees, net | | |
| | | |
| Total fund level fee revenues | 66.5 | 49.3 |
| Performance fees | | |
| Realized | 24.1 | 32.4 |
| Unrealized | 64.3 | 12.7 |
| | | |
| Total performance fees | 88.4 | 45.1 |
| Investment income | | |
| Realized | 1.9 | 1.3 |
| Unrealized | 5.1 | 3.7 |
| | | |
| Total investment income | 7.0 | 5.0 |
| Interest and other income | 1.1 | 0.6 |
| | | |
| Total revenues | 163.0 | 100.0 |
| Segment Expenses | | |
| Compensation and benefits | | |
| Direct base compensation | 25.7 | 19.7 |
| Indirect base compensation | 4.8 | 4.9 |
| Equity-based compensation | 0.4 | |
| Performance fee related | | |
| Realized | 9.7 | 17.8 |
| Unrealized | 6.2 | 9.7 |
| | | |
| Total compensation and benefits | 46.8 | 52.1 |
| General, administrative, and other indirect expenses | 9.5 | 7.3 |
| Depreciation and amortization expense | 1.2 | 0.8 |
| Interest expense | 1.5 | 1.7 |
| | | |
| Total expenses | 59.0 | 61.9 |
| | | |
| Economic Net Income | \$ 104.0 | \$ 38.1 |
| | | |
| Fee Related Earnings | \$ 24.5 | \$ 15.5 |
| | | |
| Net Performance Fees | \$ 72.5 | \$ 17.6 |

Edgar Filing: ASBURY AUTOMOTIVE GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|-------------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| Realized Net Performance Fees | \$ 14.4 | \$ 14.6 |
| Investment Income | \$ 7.0 | \$ 5.0 |
| Distributable Earnings | \$ 40.8 | \$ 31.4 |

Table of Contents

Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 Compared to the Three Months Ended March 31, 2012

Total fee revenues were \$66.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013, an increase of \$17.2 million from 2012. The increase was due primarily to the acquisition of Vermillion on October 1, 2012, increases in management fees from certain carry funds that had subsequent investor closings, and increases in management fees generated by the CLOs. Included in management fees for the three months ended March 31, 2013 were \$7.4 million of subordinated management fees earned from two CLOs that were in the process of liquidation during the quarter. The weighted average management fee rate on our hedge funds decreased from 1.89% to 1.83% compared to the prior year period due to lower rates charged on the Vermillion hedge funds, while our weighted average fee rate on our carry funds increased from 1.43% to 1.48% over the prior year period due to increased commitments in CEMOF I and our latest distressed and corporate opportunities fund (CSP III) that are both currently in the investment period.

Interest and other income was \$1.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 as compared to \$0.6 million for the same period in 2012.

Total compensation and benefits was \$46.8 million and \$52.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Performance fee related compensation expense was \$15.9 million and \$27.5 million, or 18% and 61% of performance fees, for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Since we only include our 55% economic interest in Claren Road, ESG and Vermillion in our Non-GAAP results, most of the performance fees associated with those funds do not have corresponding performance fee compensation. As a result, the percentage of performance fee related compensation expense to performance fees is generally not a meaningful percentage for Global Market Strategies.

Direct and indirect base compensation increased \$5.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 as compared to 2012, which primarily relates to the acquisition of Vermillion and increases in compensation at the hedge funds.

Equity-based compensation was \$0.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013.

General, administrative and other indirect expenses increased \$2.2 million to \$9.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 as compared to 2012. The increase is primarily due to the acquisition of Vermillion.

Depreciation and amortization expense was \$1.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013, an increase from \$0.8 million in 2012.

Interest expense decreased \$0.2 million, or 12%, for the three months ended March 31, 2013 as compared to 2012. This decrease was due primarily to lower outstanding borrowings in the first quarter of 2013 as compared to the first quarter of 2012.

Economic Net Income. ENI was \$104.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013, an increase of \$65.9 million from \$38.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012. The increase in ENI for the three months ended March 31, 2013 as compared to 2012 was primarily driven by an increase in net performance fees of \$54.9 million and an increase in fee related earnings of \$9.0 million.

Fee Related Earnings. Fee related earnings increased \$9.0 million to \$24.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 as compared to 2012. The increase was primarily due to increases in fee revenues of \$17.2 million, offset by increases in base compensation of \$5.9 million and general, administrative and other indirect expenses of \$2.2 million.

Table of Contents

Performance Fees. Performance fees of \$88.4 million and \$45.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, are inclusive of performance fees reversed of approximately \$0.4 million and \$0.3 million, respectively. Performance fees for this segment by type of fund are as follows:

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (Dollars in millions) | |
| Carry funds | \$ 20.2 | \$ 38.2 |
| Hedge funds | 66.6 | 6.2 |
| Structured credit funds | 1.6 | 0.7 |
| Performance fees | \$ 88.4 | \$ 45.1 |

Performance fees for the three months ended March 31, 2013 were generated primarily by the distressed debt funds, including \$18.4 million of performance fees from Carlyle Strategic Partners II, our second distressed debt fund (CSP II), as well as the hedge funds, including \$32.0 million from the Claren Road Master Fund and \$16.5 million from the ESG Cross Border Equity fund. Performance fees for the three months ended March 31, 2012 were generated primarily by the distressed debt funds, including \$36.1 million of performance fees from CSP II.

Net performance fees increased \$54.9 million to \$72.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 as compared to \$17.6 million for the same period in 2012.

Investment Income. Investment income was \$7.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 as compared to \$5.0 million for the same period in 2012. The increase in investment income primarily reflects unrealized investment income on the CLOs formed throughout 2012 and 2013.

Distributable Earnings. Distributable earnings increased \$9.4 million to \$40.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 from \$31.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012. The increase related primarily to an increase in fee related earnings of \$9.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 as compared to 2012.

Fee-earning AUM as of and for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 and 2012.

Fee-earning AUM is presented below for each period together with the components of change during each respective period.

The table below breaks out Fee-earning AUM by its respective components at each period.

| | As of March 31, | |
|------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (Dollars in millions) | |
| Global Market Strategies | | |
| Components of Fee-earning AUM (1) | | |
| Fee-earning AUM based on capital commitments | \$ 2,131 | \$ 1,037 |
| Fee-earning AUM based on invested capital | 854 | 1,205 |
| Fee-earning AUM based on collateral balances, at par | 16,272 | 15,629 |
| Fee-earning AUM based on net asset value | 12,157 | 8,421 |
| Fee-earning AUM based on other (2) | 22 | 511 |
| Total Fee-earning AUM | \$ 31,436 | \$ 26,803 |
| Weighted Average Management Fee Rates (3) | | |
| All Funds, excluding CLOs | 1.76% | 1.79% |

Edgar Filing: ASBURY AUTOMOTIVE GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

- (1) For additional information concerning the components of Fee-earning AUM, see Fee-earning Assets under Management.
- (2) Includes funds with fees based on notional value.
- (3) Represents the aggregate effective management fee rate for carry funds and hedge funds, weighted by each fund's Fee-earning AUM, as of the end of each period presented. Management fees for CLOs are based on the total par amount of the assets (collateral) in the fund and are not calculated as a percentage of equity and are therefore not included.

Table of Contents

The table below provides the period to period rollforward of Fee-earning AUM.

| | Three Months Ended | |
|----------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|
| | March 31, | |
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (Dollars in millions) | |
| Global Market Strategies | | |
| Fee-earning AUM Rollforward | | |
| Balance, Beginning of Period | \$ 31,034 | \$ 23,186 |
| Acquisitions | | 2,866 |
| Inflows, including Commitments (1) | 54 | 193 |
| Outflows, including Distributions (2) | (212) | (331) |
| Subscriptions, net of Redemptions (3) | (56) | 717 |
| Changes in CLO collateral balances (4) | 296 | 234 |
| Market Appreciation/(Depreciation) (5) | 489 | (154) |
| Foreign Exchange and other (6) | (169) | 92 |
| Balance, End of Period | \$ 31,436 | \$ 26,803 |

- (1) Inflows represent limited partner capital raised and capital invested by our carry funds outside the investment period.
- (2) Outflows represent limited partner distributions from our carry funds and changes in basis for our carry funds where the investment period has expired.
- (3) Represents subscriptions and redemptions in our hedge funds and open-end structured credit funds.
- (4) Represents the change in the aggregate Fee-earning collateral balances at par of our CLOs, as of the quarterly cut-off dates.
- (5) Market Appreciation/ (Depreciation) represents changes in the net asset value of our hedge funds and open-end structured credit funds.
- (6) Represents the impact of foreign exchange rate fluctuations on the translation of our non-U.S. dollar denominated funds. Activity during the period is translated at the average rate for the period. Ending balances are translated at the spot rate as of the period end.

Fee-earning AUM was \$31.4 billion at March 31, 2013, an increase of \$0.4 billion, or over 1%, compared to \$31.0 billion at December 31, 2012. This increase was primarily a result of market appreciation in our hedge funds of \$0.5 billion in addition to increases in the aggregate par value of our CLO collateral balances of \$0.3 billion, partially due to the launch of two new-issue CLOs totaling \$1.2 billion. Outflows of \$0.2 billion were primarily driven by distributions from our fully invested funds. Distributions from carry funds still in the investment period do not impact Fee-earning AUM as these funds are based on commitments and not invested capital.

Fee-earning AUM was \$26.8 billion at March 31, 2012, an increase of \$3.6 billion, or over 15%, compared to \$23.2 billion at December 31, 2011. This increase was primarily a result of the \$2.9 billion acquisition of certain CLO management contracts from Highland Capital Management, L.P. Outflows of \$0.3 billion were primarily driven by distributions from our fully invested funds. Additionally, we had subscriptions, net of redemptions, of \$0.7 billion in our hedge funds. The aggregate par value of our CLO collateral balances increased \$0.2 billion due to the launch of a \$0.5 billion new-issue CLO. Market depreciation of \$0.2 billion was primarily due to decreases in the value of our hedge funds, which charge fees based on net asset value.

Fee-earning AUM was \$31.0 billion at December 31, 2012, an increase of \$4.2 billion, or over 15%, compared to \$26.8 billion at March 31, 2012. This increase was driven by the acquisition of a 55% equity interest in Vermillion Asset Management, additional closes in our energy mezzanine fund (CEMOF I) and distressed fund (CSP III), and net subscriptions to our existing hedge funds.

Table of Contents**Total AUM as of and for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2013.**

The table below provides the period to period rollforwards of Available Capital and Fair Value of Capital, and the resulting rollforward of Total AUM.

| | Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 | | |
|----------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|
| | Available Capital | Fair Value of Capital | Total AUM |
| | (Dollars in millions) | | |
| Global Market Strategies | | | |
| Balance, Beginning of Period | \$ 1,820 | \$ 30,722 | \$ 32,542 |
| Commitments (1) | (12) | | (12) |
| Capital Called, net (2) | (86) | 75 | (11) |
| Distributions (3) | 40 | (308) | (268) |
| Subscriptions, net of Redemptions (4) | | 2 | 2 |
| Changes in CLO collateral balances (5) | | 331 | 331 |
| Market Appreciation/(Depreciation) (6) | | 678 | 678 |
| Foreign exchange and other (7) | | (174) | (174) |
| Balance, End of Period (8) | \$ 1,762 | \$ 31,326 | \$ 33,088 |

- (1) Represents capital raised by our carry funds, net of expired available capital.
- (2) Represents capital called by our carry funds, net of fund fees and expenses. Equity invested amounts may vary from capital called due to timing differences between acquisition and capital call dates.
- (3) Represents distributions from our carry funds, net of amounts recycled. Distributions are based on when proceeds are actually distributed to investors, which may differ from when they are realized.
- (4) Represents the net result of subscriptions to and redemptions from our hedge funds and open-end structured credit funds.
- (5) Represents the change in the aggregate collateral balance and principal cash at par of the CLOs.
- (6) Market Appreciation/(Depreciation) represents realized and unrealized gains (losses) on portfolio investments and changes in the net asset value of our hedge funds.
- (7) Represents the impact of foreign exchange rate fluctuations on the translation of our non-U.S. dollar denominated funds. Activity during the period is translated at the average rate for the period. Ending balances are translated at the spot rate as of the period end.
- (8) Ending balance is comprised of approximately \$16.8 billion from our structured credit funds (including \$0.1 billion of Available Capital), \$12.7 billion in our hedge funds, and \$3.6 billion (including \$1.7 billion of Available Capital) in our carry funds.

Total AUM was \$33.1 billion at March 31, 2013, an increase of \$0.6 billion, or approximately 2%, compared to \$32.5 billion at December 31, 2012. This increase was driven by market appreciation of nearly \$0.7 billion, primarily from our hedge funds, and an increase of \$0.3 billion in the par value of our CLO collateral balances due to the launch of two new-issue CLOs totaling \$1.2 billion. These increases were partially offset by distributions of \$0.3 billion from our carry funds and foreign exchange loss of \$0.2 billion.

Fund Performance Metrics

Fund performance information for certain of our Global Market Strategies Funds is included throughout this discussion and analysis to facilitate an understanding of our results of operations for the periods presented. The fund return information reflected in this discussion and analysis is not indicative of the performance of The Carlyle Group L.P. and is also not necessarily indicative of the future performance of any particular fund. An investment in The Carlyle Group L.P. is not an investment in any of our funds. There can be no assurance that any of our funds or our other existing and future funds will achieve similar returns.

Table of Contents

The following table reflects the performance of certain funds in our Global Market Strategies business. These tables separately present funds that, as of March 31, 2013, had at least \$1.0 billion in capital commitments, cumulative equity invested or total equity value. Please see Our Family of Funds for a legend of the fund acronyms listed below.

| | Fund Inception Date (1) | Fund Size | TOTAL INVESTMENTS as of March 31, 2013 | | | | |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------|------------|-------------------------------------------|----------------------|----------|---------------|-------------|
| | | | Cumulative Invested Capital (2) | Total Fair Value (3) | MOIC (4) | Gross IRR (5) | Net IRR (6) |
| Global Market Strategies | | | | | | | |
| CSP II | 6/2007 | \$ 1,352.3 | \$ 1,352.3 | \$ 2,353.4 | 1.7x | 18% | 13% |
| CEMOF I (7) | 12/2010 | \$ 1,382.5 | \$ 274.2 | \$ 343.8 | 1.3x | n/m | n/m |

- (1) The data presented herein that provides inception to date performance results for CSP II and CEMOF I related to the period following the formation of the funds in June 2007 and December 2010, respectively.
- (2) Represents the original cost of investments net of investment level recallable proceeds which is adjusted to reflect recyclability of invested capital for the purpose of calculating the fund MOIC.
- (3) Represents all realized proceeds combined with remaining fair value, before management fees, expenses and carried interest, net of investment level recallable proceeds which is adjusted to reflect recyclability of realized proceeds for the purpose of calculating the fund MOIC.
- (4) Multiple of invested capital (MOIC) represents total fair value, before management fees, expenses and carried interest, divided by cumulative invested capital.
- (5) Gross Internal Rate of Return (Gross IRR) represents the annualized IRR for the period indicated on Limited Partner invested capital based on contributions, distributions and unrealized value before management fees, expenses and carried interest.
- (6) Net Internal Rate of Return (Net IRR) represents the annualized IRR for the period indicated on Limited Partner invested capital based on contributions, distributions and unrealized value after management fees, expenses and carried interest.
- (7) Gross IRR and Net IRR for CEMOF I are not meaningful as the investment period commenced in December 2010.

The following table reflects the performance of the Claren Road Master Fund and the Claren Road Opportunities Fund, which had AUM of approximately \$5.7 billion and \$1.7 billion, respectively, as of March 31, 2013:

| | 1 Year (2) | 3-Year (2) | 5-Year (2) | Inception (3) |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|---------------|
| Net Annualized Return (1) | | | | |
| Claren Road Master Fund | 2% | 4% | 9% | 10% |
| Claren Road Opportunities Fund | 4% | 8% | n/a | 16% |
| Barclays Aggregate Bond Index | 4% | 6% | 6% | 6% |
| Volatility (4) | | | | |
| Claren Road Master Fund Standard Deviation (Annualized) | 4% | 4% | 4% | 4% |
| Claren Road Opportunities Fund Standard Deviation (Annualized) | 5% | 6% | n/a | 7% |
| Barclays Aggregate Bond Index Standard Deviation (Annualized) | 2% | 2% | 4% | 3% |
| Sharpe Ratio (1M LIBOR) (5) | | | | |
| Claren Road Master Fund | 0.36 | 1.04 | 1.92 | 2.04 |
| Claren Road Opportunities Fund | 0.64 | 1.23 | n/a | 1.95 |
| Barclays Aggregate Bond Index | 1.99 | 2.46 | 1.48 | 1.13 |

- (1) Net annualized return is presented for fee-paying investors only on a total return basis, net of all fees and expenses.
- (2) As of December 31, 2012.

Edgar Filing: ASBURY AUTOMOTIVE GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

- (3) The Claren Road Master Fund was established in January 2006. The Claren Road Opportunities Fund was established in April 2008. Performance is from inception through March 31, 2013.
- (4) Volatility is the annualized standard deviation of monthly net investment returns.
- (5) The Sharpe Ratio compares the historical excess return on an investment over the risk free rate of return with its historical annualized volatility.

Table of Contents

The following table reflects the performance of the ESG Cross Border Equity Master Fund Ltd., which had AUM of approximately \$2.0 billion as of March 31, 2013:

| | 1 Year (2) | 3-Year (2) | 5-Year (2) | Inception (3) |
|-----------------------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|---------------|
| Net Annualized Return (1) | | | | |
| CBE | 7% | 9% | 2% | 6% |
| MSCI EM index | 18% | 5% | (1%) | 5% |
| Volatility (4) | | | | |
| CBE Standard Deviation (Annualized) | 6% | 6% | 8% | 8% |
| MSCI EM index Standard Deviation (Annualized) | 20% | 22% | 29% | 27% |
| Sharpe Ratio (1M LIBOR) (5) | | | | |
| CBE | 1.11 | 1.57 | 0.21 | 0.64 |
| MSCI EM index | 0.94 | 0.22 | (0.04) | 0.13 |

- (1) Net annualized return is presented on a total return basis, net of all fees and expenses.
- (2) As of December 31, 2012.
- (3) The CBE Fund was established in January 2007. Performance is from inception through March 31, 2013.
- (4) Volatility is the annualized standard deviation of monthly net investment returns.
- (5) The Sharpe Ratio compares the historical excess return on an investment over the risk free rate of return with its historical annualized volatility.

The following table reflects the performance of the Viridian Ltd., which had AUM of over \$1.4 billion as of March 31, 2013:

| | 1 Year (2) | 3-Year (2) | 5-Year (2) | Inception (3) |
|-----------------------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|---------------|
| Net Annualized Return (1) | | | | |
| Viridian Ltd. | (10%) | 0% | 2% | 5% |
| Treasuries | 0% | 0% | 0% | 2% |
| Volatility (4) | | | | |
| Viridian Ltd. Standard Deviation (Annualized) | 3% | 7% | 8% | 8% |
| Treasuries Standard Deviation (Annualized) | 0% | 0% | 0% | 1% |
| Sharpe Ratio (1M LIBOR) (5) | | | | |
| Viridian Ltd. | (3.13) | (0.02) | 0.24 | 0.46 |

- (1) Net annualized return is presented on a total return basis, net of all fees and expenses.
- (2) As of December 31, 2012.
- (3) Viridian was established in June 2005. Performance is from inception through March 31, 2013.
- (4) Volatility is the annualized standard deviation of monthly net investment returns.
- (5) The Sharpe Ratio compares the historical excess return on an investment over the risk free rate of return with its historical annualized volatility.

Table of Contents**Real Assets**

For purposes of presenting results of operations for this segment, our earnings from our December 2012 investment in NGP Management are presented in the respective operating captions. The following table presents our results of operations for our Real Assets segment:

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (Dollars in millions) | |
| Segment Revenues | | |
| Fund level fee revenues | | |
| Fund management fees | \$ 47.0 | \$ 36.6 |
| Portfolio advisory fees, net | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Transaction fees, net | | 1.1 |
| | | |
| Total fund level fee revenues | 47.3 | 38.0 |
| Performance fees | | |
| Realized | 11.0 | 23.2 |
| Unrealized | 49.5 | 82.4 |
| | | |
| Total performance fees | 60.5 | 105.6 |
| Investment income (loss) | | |
| Realized | (13.0) | |
| Unrealized | 4.5 | 3.0 |
| | | |
| Total investment income (loss) | (8.5) | 3.0 |
| Interest and other income | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| | | |
| Total revenues | 99.6 | 147.0 |
| Segment Expenses | | |
| Compensation and benefits | | |
| Direct base compensation | 17.9 | 18.2 |
| Indirect base compensation | 7.5 | 6.4 |
| Equity-based compensation | 0.6 | |
| Performance fee related | | |
| Realized | (4.9) | 0.9 |
| Unrealized | 23.6 | 5.9 |
| | | |
| Total compensation and benefits | 44.7 | 31.4 |
| General, administrative, and other indirect expenses | 10.4 | 11.7 |
| Depreciation and amortization expense | 1.1 | 1.0 |
| Interest expense | 1.6 | 1.9 |
| | | |
| Total expenses | 57.8 | 46.0 |
| | | |
| Economic Net Income | \$ 41.8 | \$ 101.0 |
| | | |
| Fee Related Earnings | \$ 8.5 | \$ (0.8) |
| | | |
| Net Performance Fees | \$ 41.8 | \$ 98.8 |
| | | |
| Realized Net Performance Fees | \$ 15.9 | \$ 22.3 |

Edgar Filing: ASBURY AUTOMOTIVE GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|-------------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| Investment Income (Loss) | \$ (8.5) | \$ 3.0 |
| Distributable Earnings | \$ 11.4 | \$ 21.5 |

101

Table of Contents

Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 Compared to the Three Months Ended March 31, 2012

Total fee revenues were \$47.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013, an increase of \$9.3 million from March 31, 2012. The increase in total fee revenues reflects an increase in fund management fees of \$10.4 million, partially offset by a decrease in transaction fees of \$1.1 million. The increase in fund management fees primarily reflects revenue earned from our December 2012 investment in NGP Management totaling \$16.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013. This increase was partially offset by decreases in management fees due to the change in basis from commitments to invested capital for one of our Legacy Energy funds during 2012 (Energy IV) and our infrastructure fund in 2013. Our investment in NGP Management, which entitles us to an allocation of income equal to 47.5% of management fee-related revenues from certain NGP funds, resulted in the weighted average management fee rate increasing to 1.26% at March 31, 2013 from 1.22% at March 31, 2012.

Interest and other income was \$0.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013, a decrease from \$0.4 million for the same period in 2012.

Total compensation and benefits was \$44.7 million and \$31.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Performance fee related compensation expense was \$18.7 million and \$6.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Performance fees earned from the Legacy Energy funds are allocated solely to Carlyle and are not otherwise shared or allocated with our investment professionals. To date, performance related compensation expense in Real Assets reflects amounts earned primarily by our real estate investment professionals as we generally incur no compensation expense for Riverstone and we have not yet generated any performance fees or related compensation from our infrastructure fund. Accordingly, performance fee compensation as a percentage of performance fees is generally not a meaningful percentage for Real Assets.

Direct and indirect base compensation was \$25.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013, consistent with the \$24.6 million of expense for the same period in 2012.

Equity-based compensation was \$0.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013.

General, administrative and other indirect expenses decreased \$1.3 million to \$10.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 as compared to 2012. The expense decrease primarily reflects decreases in professional fees and a positive variance related to foreign currency adjustments.

Depreciation and amortization expense was \$1.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013, an increase from \$1.0 million in 2012.

Interest expense decreased \$0.3 million, or 16%, for the three months ended March 31, 2013 as compared to 2012. This decrease was due primarily to lower outstanding borrowings in the first quarter of 2013 as compared to the first quarter of 2012.

Economic Net Income. ENI was \$41.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013, a decrease of \$59.2 million from \$101.0 million for the same period in 2012. The decrease in ENI for the three months ended March 31, 2013 as compared to 2012 was primarily driven by a decrease in net performance fees of \$57.0 million.

Fee Related Earnings. Fee related earnings increased \$9.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 as compared to 2012 to \$8.5 million. The increase in fee related earnings is primarily attributable to an increase in fee revenues of \$9.3 million.

Table of Contents

Performance Fees. Performance fees of \$60.5 million and \$105.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, are inclusive of performance fees reversed of approximately \$1.3 million and \$6.4 million, respectively. Performance fees for this segment by type of fund are as follows:

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (Dollars in millions) | |
| Energy funds | \$ 20.8 | \$ 96.5 |
| Real Estate funds | 39.7 | 9.1 |
| Performance fees | \$ 60.5 | \$ 105.6 |

Performance fees for the three months ended March 31, 2013 were primarily driven by performance fees related to our sixth U.S. real estate fund (CRP VI) of \$20.0 million and our third U.S. real estate fund (CRP III) of \$10.2 million. Investments in our Real Assets portfolio increased 3% during the three months ended March 31, 2013 with energy investments appreciating 4% and real estate investments appreciating 1%. During the three months ended March 31, 2013, due to the performance of investments in CRP VI, we were entitled to a catch-up allocation of carried interest from CRP VI, resulting in disproportionately higher performance fees earned in this period from that fund. Performance fees for the three months ended March 31, 2012 were primarily driven by performance fees related to two Legacy Energy funds: Energy III (including co-investments) of \$51.5 million and Energy IV of \$13.3 million.

During the three months ended March 31, 2013, we repaid a giveback obligation to a real estate fund. The amount was funded primarily through collection of employee receivables related to giveback obligations and from contributions from non-controlling interests for their portion of the obligation. We had previously recognized this liability as unrealized performance fee loss and negative unrealized performance fee compensation expense. As a result of the giveback repayment, during the three months ended March 31, 2013, we reclassified \$5.4 million of unrealized performance fee loss to realized performance fee loss, and reclassified \$(4.9) million of unrealized performance fee compensation expense to realized performance fee compensation expense.

Net performance fees for the three months ended March 31, 2013 were \$41.8 million, representing a decline of \$57.0 million from \$98.8 million in net performance fees for the three months ended March 31, 2012.

Investment Income (Loss). Investment loss was \$8.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 compared to investment income of \$3.0 million for the same period in 2012. The decline in investment income from 2012 to 2013 relates primarily to unrealized losses on investments in certain European real estate funds. The \$13.0 million realized investment loss primarily relates to the realization of a \$15.0 million investment loss in a real estate investment that was originally reserved in 2012. The realization of the \$15.0 million loss had no net impact on total investment loss for the three months ended March 31, 2013.

Distributable Earnings. Distributable earnings declined \$10.1 million to \$11.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 from \$21.5 million for the same period in 2012. The decline was due to a \$13.0 million decline in realized investment income and a \$6.4 million decline in realized net performance fees, partially offset by an increase in fee related earnings of \$9.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2012.

Table of Contents**Fee-earning AUM as of and for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 and 2012.**

Fee-earning AUM is presented below for each period together with the components of change during each respective period.

The table below breaks out Fee-earning AUM by its respective components at each period.

| | As of March 31, | |
|--------------------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Real Assets | (Dollars in millions) | |
| Components of Fee-earning AUM (1) | | |
| Fee-earning AUM based on capital commitments | \$ 9,169 | \$ 12,994 |
| Fee-earning AUM based on invested capital (2) | 20,250 | 9,854 |
| Total Fee-earning AUM (3) | \$ 29,419 | \$ 22,848 |
| Weighted Average Management Fee Rates (4) | | |
| All Funds | 1.26% | 1.22% |
| Funds in Investment Period | 1.22% | 1.26% |

(1) For additional information concerning the components of Fee-earning AUM, See -Fee-earning Assets under Management.

(2) Includes amounts committed to or reserved for investments for certain real estate funds.

(3) Energy I, Energy II, Energy III, Energy IV, Renew I, and Renew II (collectively, the Legacy Energy Funds), are managed with Riverstone Holdings LLC and its affiliates. Affiliates of both Carlyle and Riverstone act as investment advisers to each of the Legacy Energy Funds. With the exception of Energy IV and Renew II, where Carlyle has a minority representation on the funds' management committees, management of each of the Legacy Energy Funds is vested in committees with equal representation by Carlyle and Riverstone, and the consent of representatives of both Carlyle and Riverstone are required for investment decisions. As of March 31, 2013, the Legacy Energy Funds had, in the aggregate, approximately \$14.4 billion in AUM and \$9.2 billion in Fee-earning AUM. NGP VII, NGP VIII, NGP IX, NGP X, or in the case of NGP M&R, NGP ETP I, NGP ETP II, and NGPC, certain affiliated entities (collectively, the NGP management fee funds), are managed by NGP Energy Capital Management. As of March 31, 2013, the NGP management fee funds had, in the aggregate, approximately \$12.1 billion in AUM and \$10.3 billion in Fee-earning AUM.

(4) Represents the aggregate effective management fee rate of each fund in the segment, weighted by each fund's Fee-earning AUM, as of the end of each period presented. Calculation reflects Carlyle's 10% and 47.5% interest in management fees earned by the Legacy Energy funds and the NGP management fee funds, respectively.

The table below provides the period to period rollforward of Fee-earning AUM.

| | Three Months Ended | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Real Assets | (Dollars in millions) | |
| Fee-earning AUM Rollforward | | |
| Balance, Beginning of Period | \$ 29,305 | \$ 22,172 |
| Inflows, including Commitments (1) | 243 | 837 |
| Outflows, including Distributions (2) | (51) | (265) |
| Foreign Exchange and other (3) | (78) | 104 |
| Balance, End of Period | \$ 29,419 | \$ 22,848 |

Edgar Filing: ASBURY AUTOMOTIVE GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

- (1) Inflows represent limited partner capital raised and capital invested by funds outside the investment period.
- (2) Outflows represent distributions from funds outside the investment period and changes in basis for our carry funds where the investment period has expired.
- (3) Represents the impact of foreign exchange rate fluctuations on the translation of our non-U.S. dollar denominated funds. Activity during the period is translated at the average rate for the period. Ending balances are translated at the spot rate as of the period end.

Fee-earning AUM was \$29.4 billion at March 31, 2013, an increase of \$0.1 billion, or less than 1%, compared to \$29.3 billion at December 31, 2012. Inflows of \$0.2 billion were primarily related to investment activity in two of our Legacy Energy funds (Energy III and Energy IV), one of our U.S. real estate funds (CRP V), and our realty credit fund (CRCP I). Offsetting this increase were foreign exchange losses of \$78 million and outflows of \$51 million, principally a result of distributions from our fully invested U.S. and Europe real estate

Table of Contents

funds and related co-investments. Investment and distribution activity by funds still in the investment period do not impact Fee-earning AUM as these funds are based on commitments and not invested capital. Changes in fair value have no impact on Fee-earning AUM for Real Assets as substantially all of the funds generate management fees based on either commitments or invested capital at cost, neither of which is impacted by fair value movements.

Fee-earning AUM was \$22.8 billion at March 31, 2012, an increase of \$0.6 billion, or nearly 3%, compared to \$22.2 billion at December 31, 2011. Inflows of \$0.8 billion were primarily related to investment activity in our latest Europe real estate fund (CEREP III) and related co-investments, one of our U.S. real estate funds (CRP V), and our realty credit fund (CRCP I). Outflows of \$0.3 billion were principally a result of distributions from our fully invested energy funds and U.S. and Europe real estate funds and related co-investments.

Fee-earning AUM was \$29.3 billion at December 31, 2012, an increase of \$6.5 billion, or over 28%, compared to \$22.8 billion at March 31, 2012. This increase was primarily related to the acquisition of an equity interest in NGP, offset by the step-down in basis of our infrastructure fund (CIP I) and Energy IV, along with distributions from our fully invested U.S., Europe, and Asia real estate funds and related co-investments.

Total AUM as of and for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2013.

The table below provides the period to period rollforwards of Available Capital and Fair Value of Capital, and the resulting rollforward of Total AUM.

| | Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 | | |
|----------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|
| | Available Capital | Fair Value of Capital | Total AUM |
| | (Dollars in millions) | | |
| Real Assets | | | |
| Balance, Beginning of Period | \$ 9,944 | \$ 30,250 | \$ 40,194 |
| Commitments (1) | 419 | | 419 |
| Capital Called, net (2) | (929) | 874 | (55) |
| Distributions (3) | 103 | (1,049) | (946) |
| Market Appreciation/(Depreciation) (4) | | 841 | 841 |
| Foreign exchange and other (5) | (16) | (97) | (113) |
| Balance, End of Period | \$ 9,521 | \$ 30,819 | \$ 40,340 |

- (1) Represents capital raised by our carry funds, net of expired available capital.
- (2) Represents capital called by our carry funds, net of fund fees and expenses. Equity invested amounts may vary from capital called due to timing differences between acquisition and capital call dates.
- (3) Represents distributions from our carry funds, net of amounts recycled. Distributions are based on when proceeds are actually distributed to investors, which may differ from when they are realized.
- (4) Market Appreciation/(Depreciation) represents realized and unrealized gains (losses) on portfolio investments.
- (5) Represents the impact of foreign exchange rate fluctuations on the translation of our non-U.S. dollar denominated funds. Activity during the period is translated at the average rate for the period. Ending balances are translated at the spot rate as of the period end.
- (6) Amounts related to the NGP Funds are based on the latest available information (in most cases as of December 31, 2012).

Total AUM was \$40.3 billion at March 31, 2013, an increase of \$0.1 billion, or less than 1%, compared to \$40.2 billion at December 31, 2012. This increase was driven by \$0.8 billion of market appreciation across our portfolio. This appreciation was the result of a 3% increase in values across the segment, comprised of a 1% increase in values in our real estate and infrastructure funds and a 4% increase in values in our energy funds, primarily driven by appreciation in the CRP V and Energy IV portfolios. The increase was offset by distributions of \$1.0 billion, of which approximately \$0.1 billion was recycled back into available capital.

Table of Contents**Fund Performance Metrics**

Fund performance information for our investment funds that have at least \$1.0 billion in capital commitments, cumulative equity invested or total value as of March 31, 2013, which we refer to as our significant funds, is included throughout this discussion and analysis to facilitate an understanding of our results of operations for the periods presented. The fund return information reflected in this discussion and analysis is not indicative of the performance of The Carlyle Group L.P. and is also not necessarily indicative of the future performance of any particular fund. An investment in The Carlyle Group L.P. is not an investment in any of our funds. There can be no assurance that any of our funds or our other existing and future funds will achieve similar returns. The following tables reflect the performance of our significant funds in our Real Assets business. Please see Our Family of Funds for a legend of the fund acronyms listed below.

| | Fund Inception Date (1) | Committed Capital | TOTAL INVESTMENTS as of March 31, 2013 | | | | | REALIZED/PARTIALLY REALIZED INVESTMENTS (5) as of March 31, 2013 | | | |
|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------|---------------|
| | | | Cumulative Invested Capital (2) | Total Fair Value (3) | MOIC (4) | Gross IRR (7) | Net IRR (8) | Cumulative Invested Capital (2) | Total Fair Value (3) | MOIC (4) | Gross IRR (7) |
| | | | (Reported in Local Currency, in Millions) | | | | | (Reported in Local Currency, in Millions) | | | |
| Real Assets | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fully Invested Funds (6) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CRP III | 11/2000 | \$ 564.1 | \$ 522.5 | \$ 1,400.9 | 2.7x | 44% | 30% | \$ 522.5 | \$ 1,400.9 | 2.7x | 44% |
| CRP IV | 12/2004 | \$ 950.0 | \$ 1,186.0 | \$ 1,210.2 | 1.0x | 1% | (3%) | \$ 441.8 | \$ 455.9 | 1.0x | 10% |
| CRP V | 11/2006 | \$ 3,000.0 | \$ 3,209.9 | \$ 4,375.8 | 1.4x | 10% | 7% | \$ 1,844.1 | \$ 2,517.9 | 1.4x | 12% |
| CEREP I | 3/2002 | 426.6 | 517.0 | 741.6 | 1.4x | 13% | 7% | 441.2 | 751.8 | 1.7x | 19% |
| CEREP II | 4/2005 | 762.7 | 826.9 | 143.8 | 0.2x | (56%) | (44%) | 416.5 | 137.8 | 0.3x | (54%) |
| CEREP III | 5/2007 | 2,229.5 | 1,752.6 | 1,877.9 | 1.1x | 3% | (2%) | | 3.5 | n/a | n/a |
| Energy II | 7/2002 | \$ 1,100.0 | \$ 1,336.7 | \$ 3,670.4 | 2.7x | 81% | 54% | \$ 827.4 | \$ 3,410.1 | 4.1x | 105% |
| Energy III | 10/2005 | \$ 3,800.0 | \$ 3,564.6 | \$ 6,808.5 | 1.9x | 16% | 12% | \$ 1,545.4 | \$ 4,494.2 | 2.9x | 28% |
| Energy IV | 12/2007 | \$ 5,979.1 | \$ 5,129.9 | \$ 8,237.0 | 1.6x | 23% | 15% | \$ 1,710.6 | \$ 3,630.9 | 2.1x | 32% |
| All Other Funds (9) | Various | | \$ 2,289.4 | \$ 2,280.5 | 1.0x | 0% | (7%) | \$ 1,484.1 | \$ 1,703.3 | 1.1x | 8% |
| Coinvestments and Other (10) | Various | | \$ 3,962.2 | \$ 6,706.7 | 1.7x | 20% | 16% | \$ 1,727.0 | \$ 3,850.5 | 2.2x | 29% |
| Total Fully Invested Funds | | | \$ 25,171.1 | \$ 38,232.7 | 1.5x | 16% | 10% | \$ 11,202.6 | \$ 22,608.5 | 2.0x | 28% |
| Funds in the Investment Period (6) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CRP VI | 9/2010 | \$ 2,340.0 | \$ 835.8 | \$ 1,077.5 | 1.3x | 33% | 16% | | | | |
| CIP | 9/2006 | \$ 1,143.7 | \$ 922.4 | \$ 948.2 | 1.0x | 1% | (4%) | | | | |
| Renew II | 3/2008 | \$ 3,417.5 | \$ 2,694.1 | \$ 3,675.2 | 1.4x | 14% | 8% | | | | |
| All Other Funds (11) | Various | | \$ 201.8 | \$ 241.2 | 1.2x | 30% | 22% | | | | |
| Total Funds in the Investment Period | | | \$ 4,654.1 | \$ 5,942.1 | 1.3x | 12% | 6% | \$ 833.7 | \$ 895.4 | 1.1x | 3% |
| TOTAL REAL ASSETS (12) | | | \$ 29,825.2 | \$ 44,174.8 | 1.5x | 16% | 10% | \$ 12,036.3 | \$ 23,504.0 | 2.0x | 27% |

- (1) The data presented herein that provides inception to date performance results of our segments relates to the period following the formation of the first fund within each segment. For our Real Assets segment our first fund was formed in 1997.
- (2) Represents the original cost of all capital called for investments since inception of the fund.
- (3) Represents all realized proceeds combined with remaining fair value, before management fees, expenses and carried interest.
- (4) Multiple of invested capital (MOIC) represents total fair value, before management fees, expenses and carried interest, divided by cumulative invested capital.
- (5) An investment is considered realized when the investment fund has completely exited, and ceases to own an interest in, the investment. An investment is considered partially realized when the total amount of proceeds received in respect of such investment, including dividends, interest or other distributions and/or return of capital, represents at least 85% of invested capital and such investment is not yet fully realized. Because part of our value creation strategy involves pursuing best exit alternatives, we believe information regarding Realized/Partially Realized MOIC and Gross IRR, when considered together with the other investment performance metrics presented, provides investors with meaningful information regarding our investment performance by removing the

Edgar Filing: ASBURY AUTOMOTIVE GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

impact of investments where significant realization activity has not yet occurred. Realized/Partially Realized MOIC and Gross IRR have limitations as measures of investment performance, and should not be considered in isolation. Such limitations include the fact that these measures do not include the performance of earlier stage and other investments that do not satisfy the criteria provided above. The exclusion of such investments will have a positive impact on Realized/Partially Realized MOIC and Gross IRR in instances when the MOIC and Gross IRR in respect of such investments are less than the aggregate MOIC and Gross IRR. Our measurements of Realized/Partially Realized MOIC and Gross IRR may not be comparable to those of other companies that use similarly titled measures. We do not present Realized/Partially Realized performance information separately for funds that are still in the investment period because of the relatively insignificant level of realizations for funds of this type. However, to the extent such funds have had realizations, they are included in the Realized/Partially Realized performance information presented for Total Real Assets.

- (6) Fully Invested funds are past the expiration date of the investment period as defined in the respective limited partnership agreement. In instances where a successor fund has had its first capital call, the predecessor fund is categorized as fully invested.
- (7) Gross Internal Rate of Return (Gross IRR) represents the annualized IRR for the period indicated on Limited Partner invested capital based on contributions, distributions and unrealized value before management fees, expenses and carried interest.
- (8) Net Internal Rate of Return (Net IRR) represents the annualized IRR for the period indicated on Limited Partner invested capital based on contributions, distributions and unrealized value after management fees, expenses and carried interest.
- (9) Aggregate includes the following funds: CRP I, CRP II, CAREP I, CAREP II, Energy I and Renew I.
- (10) Includes co-investments, prefund investments and certain other stand-alone investments arranged by us.
- (11) Aggregate includes the following fund: CRCP I.
- (12) For purposes of aggregation, funds that report in foreign currency have been converted to U.S. dollars at the reporting period spot rate.

Table of Contents**Solutions**

Our Solutions segment results reflect only our 60% interest in AlpInvest's operations whereas our consolidated financial statements reflect 100% of AlpInvest's operations and a non-controlling interest of 40%. The following table presents our results of operations for our Solutions segment:

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (Dollars in millions) | |
| Segment Revenues | | |
| Fund level fee revenues | | |
| Fund management fees | \$ 18.5 | \$ 16.3 |
| Portfolio advisory fees, net | | |
| Transaction fees, net | | |
| | | |
| Total fund level fee revenues | 18.5 | 16.3 |
| Performance fees | | |
| Realized | 1.5 | 3.2 |
| Unrealized | 21.3 | 13.3 |
| | | |
| Total performance fees | 22.8 | 16.5 |
| Investment income (loss) | | |
| Realized | | |
| Unrealized | (0.1) | |
| | | |
| Total investment income | (0.1) | |
| Interest and other income | | 0.2 |
| | | |
| Total revenues | 41.2 | 33.0 |
| Segment Expenses | | |
| Compensation and benefits | | |
| Direct base compensation | 9.4 | 8.0 |
| Indirect base compensation | 1.3 | 1.0 |
| Equity-based compensation | 0.1 | |
| Performance fee related | | |
| Realized | 1.0 | 2.8 |
| Unrealized | 16.1 | 10.0 |
| | | |
| Total compensation and benefits | 27.9 | 21.8 |
| General, administrative, and other indirect expenses | 3.4 | 1.6 |
| Depreciation and amortization expense | 0.5 | 0.2 |
| Interest expense | 0.5 | 0.3 |
| | | |
| Total expenses | 32.3 | 23.9 |
| | | |
| Economic Net Income | \$ 8.9 | \$ 9.1 |
| | | |
| Fee Related Earnings | \$ 3.3 | \$ 5.4 |
| | | |
| Net Performance Fees | \$ 5.7 | \$ 3.7 |
| | | |
| Realized Net Performance Fees | \$ 0.5 | \$ 0.4 |

Edgar Filing: ASBURY AUTOMOTIVE GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|-------------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Investment Income (Loss) | \$ (0.1) | \$ |
| Distributable Earnings | \$ 3.8 | \$ 5.8 |

Table of Contents

Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 Compared to the Three Months Ended March 31, 2012

Total fee revenues were \$18.5 million and \$16.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Management fees from our fund of funds vehicles generally range from 0.3% to 1.0% on the fund or vehicle's capital commitments during the commitment fee period of the relevant fund. Following the expiration of the commitment fee period of such funds, the management fees generally range from 0.3% to 1.0% on the lower of cost or fair value of the capital invested. The increase in management fees was due primarily to co-investments representing a larger percentage of Fee-earning AUM in 2013 as compared to 2012. The management fee rate for co-investments generally is higher than the management fee rates for fund investments and secondary investments.

Total compensation and benefits were \$27.9 million and \$21.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Performance fee related compensation expense was \$17.1 million and \$12.8 million, or 75% and 78% of performance fees for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Direct and indirect base compensation expense was \$10.7 million and \$9.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The increase in base compensation expense was due primarily to an increase in headcount and higher pension costs.

General, administrative and other indirect expenses were \$3.4 million and \$1.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Such expenses are comprised primarily of professional fees and rent.

Depreciation and amortization expense was \$0.5 million and \$0.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Interest expense was \$0.5 million and \$0.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Economic Net Income. Economic net income was \$8.9 million and \$9.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The ENI for those periods was derived primarily from \$3.3 million and \$5.4 million in fee related earnings for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$5.7 million and \$3.7 million in net performance fees for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Fee Related Earnings. Fee related earnings were \$3.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013, as compared to \$5.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012, representing a decrease of \$2.1 million. The decrease in fee related earnings is primarily attributable to an increase in base compensation of \$1.7 million and an increase in general, administrative and other indirect expenses of \$1.8 million, partially offset by an increase in fund management fees of \$2.2 million.

Performance Fees. Performance fees were \$22.8 million and \$16.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Under our arrangements with the historical owners and management team of AlpInvest, the management team and employees of AlpInvest are allocated all carried interest in respect of the historical investments and commitments to our fund of funds vehicles that existed as of July 1, 2011 (including any options to increase any such commitments exercised after such date), 85% of the carried interest in respect of commitments from the historical owners of AlpInvest for the period between 2011 and 2020 and 60% of the carried interest in respect of all other commitments (including all future commitments from third parties).

Distributable Earnings. Distributable earnings decreased \$2.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 to \$3.8 million from \$5.8 million for the same period in 2012. This decrease primarily reflects a reduction in fee related earnings of \$2.1 million.

Table of Contents**Fee-earning AUM as of and for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 and 2012.**

Fee-earning AUM is presented below for each period together with the components of change during each respective period.

| | As of March 31, | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (Dollars in millions) | |
| Solutions | | |
| Components of Fee-earning AUM (1) | | |
| Fee-earning AUM based on capital commitments | \$ 7,072 | \$ 7,669 |
| Fee-earning AUM based on lower of cost or fair market value | 21,782 | 21,845 |
| Total Fee-earning AUM | \$ 28,854 | \$ 29,514 |

(1) For additional information concerning the components of Fee-earning AUM, see Fee-earning Assets under Management. The table below breaks out Fee-earning AUM by its respective components during the period.

| | Three Months Ended | |
|----------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|
| | March 31, | |
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (Dollars in millions) | |
| Solutions | | |
| Fee-earning AUM Rollforward | | |
| Balance, Beginning of Period | \$ 28,942 | \$ 27,671 |
| Inflows, including Commitments (1) | 1,244 | 2,519 |
| Outflows, including Distributions (2) | (739) | (2,010) |
| Market Appreciation/(Depreciation) (3) | 256 | 431 |
| Foreign Exchange and other (4) | (849) | 903 |
| Balance, End of Period | \$ 28,854 | \$ 29,514 |

- (1) Inflows represent mandates where commitment fee period was activated and capital invested by fund of funds vehicles outside the commitment fee period.
- (2) Outflows represent distributions from fund of funds vehicles outside the commitment fee period and changes in basis for fund of funds vehicles where the commitment fee period has expired.
- (3) Market Appreciation/(Depreciation) represents changes in the net asset value of our fund of funds vehicles based on the lower of cost or fair value.
- (4) Represents the impact of foreign exchange rate fluctuations on the translation of our non-U.S. dollar denominated funds. Activity during the period is translated at the average rate for the period. Ending balances are translated at the spot rate as of the period end.

Fee-earning AUM was \$28.9 billion at March 31, 2013, a decrease of \$88 million, or less than 1%, compared to \$28.9 billion at December 31, 2012. This decrease is due to foreign exchange loss of \$0.8 billion and outflows of \$0.7 billion, principally a result of a change in basis from commitments to the lower of cost or fair value for vehicles that reached the end of their commitment period, as well as distributions from several funds outside of their commitment period. Distributions from funds still in the commitment period do not impact Fee-earning AUM as these funds are based on commitments and not invested capital. Inflows of \$1.2 billion were primarily related to new fund investment mandates activated as well as capital called on the fully committed funds. Increases in fair value of \$0.3 billion have an impact on Fee-earning AUM for Solutions as fully committed funds are based on the lower of cost or fair value of the underlying investments. However, all funds still in their commitment period charge management fees on commitments, which are not impacted by fair value movements.

Edgar Filing: ASBURY AUTOMOTIVE GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Fee-earning AUM was \$29.5 billion at March 31, 2012, an increase of \$1.8 billion, or over 6%, compared to \$27.7 billion at December 31, 2011. Inflows of \$2.5 billion were primarily related to new fund investment mandates activated as well as capital called on the fully committed funds. Outflows of \$2.0 billion were principally a result of a change in basis from commitments to the lower of cost or fair value. Changes in fair value of \$0.4 billion have an impact on Fee-earning AUM for Solutions as fully committed funds are based on the lower of cost or fair value of the underlying investments. Additionally, increased strength of the U.S. dollar against the Euro resulted in a \$0.9 billion increase in foreign exchange gain for the period.

Table of Contents**Total AUM as of and for the Three Month Period Ended March 31, 2013.**

The table below provides the period to period rollforwards of Available Capital and Fair Value of Capital, and the resulting rollforward of Total AUM.

| | Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 | | |
|----------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|
| | Available Capital | Fair Value of Capital | Total AUM |
| | (Dollars in millions) | | |
| Solutions | | | |
| Balance, Beginning of Period | \$ 14,528 | \$ 29,554 | \$ 44,082 |
| Commitments (1) | 4,205 | | 4,205 |
| Capital Called, net (2) | (677) | 738 | 61 |
| Distributions (3) | 89 | (1,566) | (1,477) |
| Market Appreciation/(Depreciation) (4) | | 1,607 | 1,607 |
| Foreign exchange and other (5) | (292) | (420) | (712) |
| Balance, End of Period | \$ 17,853 | \$ 29,913 | \$ 47,766 |

- (1) Represents capital raised by our fund of funds vehicles, including activation of new mandates, net of expired available capital.
- (2) Represents capital called by our fund of funds vehicles, net of fund fees and expenses.
- (3) Represents distributions from our fund of funds vehicles, net of amounts recycled.
- (4) Market Appreciation/(Depreciation) represents realized and unrealized gains (losses) on fund investments, secondary investments, and co-investments. Fair market values for Alpinvest primary fund investments and secondary investment funds are based on the latest available valuations of the underlying limited partnership interests (in most cases as of December 31, 2012) as provided by their general partners, plus the net cash flows since the latest valuation, up to March 31, 2013.
- (5) Represents the impact of foreign exchange rate fluctuations on the translation of our non-U.S. dollar denominated funds. Activity during the period is translated at the average rate for the period. Ending balances are translated at the spot rate as of the period end.

Total AUM was \$47.8 billion at March 31, 2013, an increase of \$3.7 billion, or over 8%, compared to \$44.1 billion at December 31, 2012. This increase was primarily driven by \$1.6 billion of commitments raised from long time investors, as well as \$2.6 billion of existing commitments from both long time and new investors that became active during the period (net of unused capital at the end of the commitment fee period), and \$1.6 billion of market appreciation. This increase was offset by \$1.5 billion of distributions, net of amounts recycled and a foreign translation adjustment on \$0.7 billion.

Fund Performance Metrics

Fund performance information for our investment funds that have at least \$1.0 billion in capital commitments, cumulative equity invested or total value as of March 31, 2013, which we refer to as our significant funds is included throughout this discussion and analysis to facilitate an understanding of our results of operations for the periods presented. The fund return information reflected in this discussion and analysis is not indicative of the performance of The Carlyle Group L.P. and is also not necessarily indicative of the future performance of any particular fund. An investment in The Carlyle Group L.P. is not an investment in any of our funds. There can be no assurance that any of our funds or our other existing and future funds will achieve similar returns.

Table of Contents

The following tables reflect the performance of our significant funds in our Solutions business.

| | Vintage Year | Committed Capital | Cumulative Invested Capital (2)(8) | TOTAL INVESTMENTS as of March 31, 2013 | | MOIC (4) | Gross IRR (6) | Net IRR (7) |
|---------------------------------------------|--------------|-------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|-------------|
| | | | | Total Fair Value (3)(8) | | | | |
| Solutions (1) | | | | | | | | |
| Fully Committed Funds (5) | | | | | | | | |
| Main Fund I - Fund Investments | 2000 | 5,174.6 | 3,963.3 | 6,337.4 | 1.6x | 12% | 12% | |
| Main Fund II - Fund Investments | 2003 | 4,545.0 | 4,449.5 | 6,362.3 | 1.4x | 10% | 9% | |
| Main Fund III - Fund Investments | 2005 | 11,500.0 | 10,096.9 | 12,158.0 | 1.2x | 6% | 6% | |
| Main Fund IV - Fund Investments | 2009 | 4,880.0 | 1,486.8 | 1,513.3 | 1.0x | 2% | 0% | |
| Main Fund I - Secondary Investments | 2002 | 519.4 | 464.6 | 875.3 | 1.9x | 55% | 52% | |
| Main Fund II - Secondary Investments | 2003 | 998.4 | 935.2 | 1,659.1 | 1.8x | 28% | 26% | |
| Main Fund III - Secondary Investments | 2006 | 2,250.0 | 2,107.9 | 2,776.0 | 1.3x | 9% | 9% | |
| Main Fund IV - Secondary Investments | 2010 | 1,856.4 | 1,640.3 | 2,139.9 | 1.3x | 20% | 19% | |
| Main Fund II - Co-Investments | 2003 | 1,090.0 | 874.8 | 2,331.6 | 2.7x | 45% | 43% | |
| Main Fund III - Co-Investments | 2006 | 2,760.0 | 2,500.1 | 3,089.5 | 1.2x | 4% | 4% | |
| Main Fund II - Mezzanine Investments | 2004 | 700.0 | 713.6 | 946.4 | 1.3x | 8% | 7% | |
| Main Fund III - Mezzanine Investments | 2006 | 2,000.0 | 1,409.8 | 1,807.7 | 1.3x | 10% | 9% | |
| All Other Funds (9) | Various | | 1,341.4 | 1,972.7 | 1.5x | 18% | 14% | |
| Total Fully Committed Funds | | | 31,984.1 | 43,969.2 | 1.4x | 11% | 10% | |
| Funds in the Commitment Period | | | | | | | | |
| Main Fund V - Fund Investments (11) | 2012 | 4,830.9 | 26.7 | 24.3 | 0.9x | n/m | n/m | |
| Main Fund V - Secondary Investments | 2011 | 2,665.6 | 607.5 | 724.0 | 1.2x | 38% | 34% | |
| Main Fund IV - Co-Investments | 2010 | 1,475.0 | 1,236.3 | 1,654.3 | 1.3x | 19% | 16% | |
| Main Fund V - Co-Investments (11) | 2012 | 1,228.2 | 293.0 | 305.9 | 1.0x | n/m | n/m | |
| All Other Funds (9) | Various | | 119.3 | 126.0 | 1.1x | 21% | 14% | |
| Total Funds in the Commitment Period | | | 2,282.8 | 2,834.5 | 1.2x | 21% | 18% | |
| TOTAL SOLUTIONS | | | 34,266.9 | 46,803.7 | 1.4x | 11% | 10% | |
| TOTAL SOLUTIONS (USD) (10) | | | \$ 43,931.9 | \$ 60,004.7 | 1.4x | | | |

- (1) Includes private equity and mezzanine primary fund investments, secondary fund investments and co-investments originated by the AlpInvest team. Excluded from the performance information shown are a) investments that were not originated by AlpInvest and b) Direct Investments, which was spun off from AlpInvest in 2005. As of March 31, 2013, these excluded investments represent \$0.6 billion of AUM.
- (2) Represents the original cost of all capital called for investments since inception of the fund.
- (3) Represents all realized proceeds combined with remaining fair value, before management fees, expenses and carried interest.
- (4) Multiple of invested capital (MOIC) represents total fair value, before management fees, expenses and carried interest, divided by cumulative invested capital.
- (5) Fully Committed funds are past the expiration date of the commitment period as defined in the respective limited partnership agreement.
- (6) Gross Internal Rate of Return (Gross IRR) represents the annualized IRR for the period indicated on Limited Partner invested capital based on contributions, distributions and unrealized value before management fees, expenses and carried interest.
- (7) Net Internal Rate of Return (Net IRR) represents the annualized IRR for the period indicated on Limited Partner invested capital based on contributions, distributions and unrealized value after management fees, expenses and carried interest.

Edgar Filing: ASBURY AUTOMOTIVE GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

- (8) To exclude the impact of FX, all foreign currency cash flows have been converted to Euro at the reporting period spot rate.
- (9) Aggregate includes Main Fund I Co-Investments, Main Fund I Mezzanine Investments, AlpInvest CleanTech Funds and funds which are not included as part of a main fund.
- (10) Represents the U.S. dollar equivalent balance translated at the spot rate as of period end.
- (11) Gross IRR and Net IRR are not meaningful as commitment periods commenced in Q3 2012.

Table of Contents**Liquidity and Capital Resources*****Historical Liquidity and Capital Resources***

We have historically required limited capital resources to support the working capital and operating needs of our business. Our management fees have largely covered our operating costs and we have distributed all realized performance fees after related compensation to equityholders. Historically, approximately 95% of all capital commitments to our funds have been provided by our fund investors, with the remaining amount typically funded by our senior Carlyle professionals, operating executives and other professionals.

For periods prior to our initial public offering in May 2012, our cash distributions included compensatory payments to our senior Carlyle professionals, which we accounted for as distributions from equity rather than as employee compensation, and also included distributions in respect of co-investments made by the owners of the Parent Entities indirectly through the Parent Entities. Distributions related to co-investments are allocable solely to the individuals that funded those co-investments.

Cash Flows

The significant captions and amounts from our combined and consolidated statements of cash flows which include the effects of our Consolidated Funds and CLOs in accordance with U.S. GAAP are summarized below.

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (Dollars in millions) | |
| Statements of Cash Flows Data | | |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | \$ 1,454.8 | \$ 479.9 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (14.7) | (48.2) |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (1,424.6) | (424.8) |
| Effect of foreign exchange rate change | (12.2) | 6.7 |
| Net change in cash and cash equivalents | \$ 3.3 | \$ 13.6 |

Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities. Net cash provided by operating activities is primarily driven by our earnings in the respective periods after adjusting for non-cash performance fees, the related non-cash performance fee related compensation, and non-cash equity-based compensation, all of which are included in earnings. Cash flows from operating activities prior to our initial public offering in May 2012 do not reflect any amounts paid or distributed to senior Carlyle professionals as these amounts are included as a use of cash for distributions in financing activities. Subsequent to our initial public offering, we record cash compensation expense related to senior Carlyle professionals, which has the effect of reducing cash provided by operating activities and cash used in financing activities as compared to the periods prior to the initial public offering. Cash used to purchase investments and trading securities as well as the proceeds from the sale of such investments are also reflected in our operating activities as investments are a normal part of our operating activities. Over time investment proceeds may be greater than investment purchases. During the three months ended March 31, 2013, proceeds were \$108.8 million while purchases were \$60.6 million. However, in the three months ended March 31, 2012, investment proceeds were \$145.7 million as compared to purchases of \$15.0 million. Also included in our net cash provided by operating activities are proceeds from sales of investments by the Consolidated Funds, offset by purchases of investments by the Consolidated Funds. For the three months ended March 31, 2013, proceeds from the sales and settlements of investments by the Consolidated Funds were \$3,181.4 million, while purchases of investments by the Consolidated Funds were \$2,934.1 million. For the three months ended March 31, 2012, proceeds from the sales and settlements of investments by the Consolidated Funds were \$2,000.5 million, while purchases of investments by the Consolidated Funds were \$1,586.4 million.

Table of Contents

Net Cash Used in Investing Activities. Our investing activities generally reflect cash used for acquisitions, fixed assets and software for internal use, and changes in restricted cash. We acquired \$43.1 million of intangible assets during the three months ended March 31, 2012, consisting of CLO management contracts.

Net Cash Used in Financing Activities. Financing activities are a net use of cash in each of the historical periods presented. As noted above, for periods prior to the initial public offering in May 2012, financing activities include distributions to senior Carlyle professionals, CalPERS, and Mubadala of \$199.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012. For the three months ended March 31, 2013, we received net proceeds of \$495.3 million, net of financing costs, from the \$500.0 million senior note issuance in January 2013 and \$394.1 million, net of financing costs, for the \$400.0 million senior note issuance in March 2013. The proceeds from these senior note issuances were used to repay outstanding borrowings under our revolving credit facility and our term loan. Our repayments under our revolving credit facility were \$386.3 million and our repayments on our term loan were \$475.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013. The net payment on loans payable by our Consolidated Funds during the three months ended March 31, 2013 was \$769.3 million. For the three months ended March 31, 2013, contributions from non-controlling interest holders were \$477.2 million and distributions to non-controlling interest holders were \$1,205.0; these amounts primarily relate to activity with the non-controlling interest holders in Consolidated Funds.

For the three months ended March 31, 2012, our net borrowings under our revolving credit facility were \$257.2 million and our payments on our loans payable were \$270.0 million. The net payment on loans payable by our Consolidated Funds during the three months ended March 31, 2012 was \$182.9 million. For the three months ended March 31, 2012, contributions from non-controlling interest holders were \$631.4 million and distributions to non-controlling interest holders were \$800.8; these amounts primarily relate to activity with the non-controlling interest holders in Consolidated Funds.

Our Sources of Cash and Liquidity Needs

In the future, we expect that our primary liquidity needs will be to:

provide capital to facilitate the growth of our existing business lines;

provide capital to facilitate our expansion into new, complementary business lines, including acquisitions;

pay operating expenses, including compensation and other obligations as they arise;

fund capital expenditures;

repay borrowings and related interest costs and expenses;

pay income taxes;

make distributions to our unitholders and the holders of the Carlyle Holdings partnership units in accordance with our distribution policy; and

fund the capital investments of Carlyle in our funds.

During the three months ended March 31, 2013, we paid distributions totaling \$0.85 per common unit, or approximately \$36.8 million, to common unitholders in respect of the fourth quarter of 2012. Also, in May 2013, the Board of Directors of our general partner declared a quarterly distribution of \$0.16 per common unit to common unitholders of record on May 20, 2013, which is payable on May 31, 2013.

Edgar Filing: ASBURY AUTOMOTIVE GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

We currently anticipate that we will cause Carlyle Holdings to make quarterly distributions to its partners, including The Carlyle Group L.P.'s wholly owned subsidiaries, that will enable The Carlyle Group L.P. to pay a quarterly distribution of \$0.16 per common unit for each of the first three quarters of each year and for the fourth quarter of each year, to pay a distribution of at least \$0.16 per common unit that, taken together with the prior quarterly distributions in respect of that year, represents its share, net of taxes and amounts payable under the tax receivable agreement, of Carlyle's Distributable Earnings in excess of the amount determined by Carlyle's general

Table of Contents

partner to be necessary or appropriate to provide for the conduct of Carlyle's business, to make appropriate investments in its business and its funds or to comply with applicable law or any of its financing agreements. We anticipate that the aggregate amount of our distributions for most years will be less than our Distributable Earnings for that year due to these funding requirements.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, the declaration and payment of any distributions will be at the sole discretion of our general partner, which may change our distribution policy at any time. Our general partner will take into account general economic and business conditions, our strategic plans and prospects, our business and investment opportunities, our financial condition and operating results, working capital requirements and anticipated cash needs, contractual restrictions and obligations, legal, tax and regulatory restrictions, other constraints on the payment of distributions by us to our common unitholders or by our subsidiaries to us, and such other factors as our general partner may deem relevant.

We intend to have Carlyle commit to fund approximately 1-2% of the capital commitments to our future carry funds. We may, from time to time, exercise our right to purchase additional interests in our investment funds that become available in the ordinary course of their operations. We expect our senior Carlyle professionals and employees to continue to make significant capital contributions to our funds based on their existing commitments, and to make capital commitments to future funds consistent with the level of their historical commitments. We also intend to make investments in our open-end funds and our CLO vehicles.

We generally use our working capital and cash flows to invest in growth initiatives, service our debt, fund the working capital needs of our investment funds and pay distributions to our equity owners. We have multiple sources of liquidity to meet our capital needs, including cash on hand, annual cash flows, accumulated earnings, funds from our senior credit facility, including a term loan facility and a revolving credit facility with \$750.0 million available as of March 31, 2013. We believe these sources will be sufficient to fund our capital needs for at least the next 12 months. From time to time, we may access the capital markets, including through the issuance of debt or equity securities, in order to further enhance our liquidity and capital structure. For example, during the first quarter of 2013, we issued \$500 million of senior notes due 2023 and \$400 million of senior notes due 2043 and used the proceeds from those note issuances to repay the outstanding balance under our revolving credit facility and \$475.0 million of our term loan borrowings.

Since our inception through March 31, 2013, we and our senior Carlyle professionals, operating executives and other professionals have invested or committed to invest in or alongside our funds. Approximately 5% of all capital commitments to our funds are funded collectively by us and our senior Carlyle professionals, operating executives and other professionals. The current invested capital and unfunded commitment of Carlyle and our senior Carlyle professionals, operating executives and other professionals to our investment funds as of March 31, 2013, consisted of the following:

| Asset Class | Current Equity Invested | Unfunded Commitment (Dollars in millions) | Total Current Equity Invested and Unfunded Commitment |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|
| Corporate Private Equity | \$ 1,496.8 | \$ 1,887.9 | \$ 3,384.7 |
| Global Market Strategies | 800.3 | 221.1 | 1,021.4 |
| Real Assets | 532.1 | 187.3 | 719.4 |
| Total | \$ 2,829.2 | \$ 2,296.3 | \$ 5,125.5 |

A substantial majority of these investments have been funded by, and a substantial majority of the remaining commitments are expected to be funded by, senior Carlyle professionals, operating executives and other professionals through our internal co-investment program. Of the \$2.3 billion of unfunded commitments, approximately \$2.1 billion is subscribed individually by senior Carlyle professionals, operating executives and other professionals, with the balance funded directly by the Partnership.

Table of Contents

Investments as of March 31, 2013 consist of the following (dollars in millions):

| | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Equity-method investments, excluding accrued performance fees | \$ 828.7 |
| Trading securities and other investments | 27.5 |
| Total investments | \$ 856.2 |
| Less: Amounts attributable to non-controlling interests in consolidated entities | (224.7) |
| Less: Strategic equity-method investment in NGP Management | (394.6) |
| Total investments attributable to Carlyle Holdings | \$ 236.9 |

The balances above are net of amounts eliminated in the consolidation of Consolidated Funds and CLOs. Investments attributable to Carlyle Holdings before the effect of consolidation were \$291.2 million at March 31, 2013.

Another source of liquidity we may use to meet our capital needs is the realized carried interest and incentive fee revenue generated by our investment funds. Carried interest is realized when an underlying investment is profitably disposed of and the fund's cumulative returns are in excess of the preferred return. Incentive fees earned on hedge fund structures are realized at the end of each fund's measurement period. Incentive fees earned on our CLO vehicles are paid upon the dissolution of such vehicles.

Our accrued performance fees by segment as of March 31, 2013, gross and net of accrued giveback obligations, are set forth below:

| Asset Class | Accrued Performance Fees | Accrued Giveback Obligation (Dollars in millions) | Net Accrued Performance Fees |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Corporate Private Equity | \$ 1,928.9 | \$ 9.8 | \$ 1,919.1 |
| Global Market Strategies | 145.5 | 1.4 | 144.1 |
| Real Assets | 294.0 | 35.1 | 258.9 |
| Solutions | 229.8 | | 229.8 |
| Total | \$ 2,598.2 | \$ 46.3 | \$ 2,551.9 |
| Less: Accrued performance fee-related compensation | | | (1,089.9) |
| Plus: Receivable for giveback obligations from current and former employees | | | 19.9 |
| Less: Net accrued performance fees attributable to non-controlling interests in consolidated entities | | | (59.2) |
| Net accrued performance fees attributable to Carlyle Holdings | | | \$ 1,422.7 |

The balances above are net of amounts eliminated in the consolidation of Consolidated Funds and CLOs. Net accrued performance fees attributable to Carlyle Holdings before the effect of consolidation was \$1,437.1 million at March 31, 2013.

Our Balance Sheet and Indebtedness

Total assets were \$32.7 billion at March 31, 2013, an increase of \$1.1 billion from December 31, 2012. The increase in total assets was primarily attributable to increases in cash and cash equivalents held at Consolidated Funds and accrued performance fees. Assets of the Consolidated Funds were approximately \$27.6 billion at March 31, 2013, representing an increase of \$0.8 billion from December 31, 2012. Total liabilities

Edgar Filing: ASBURY AUTOMOTIVE GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

were \$19.3 billion at March 31, 2013, an increase of \$1.3 billion from December 31, 2012. The increase in liabilities was primarily attributable to increases in the liabilities of the Consolidated Funds, which increased \$1.0 billion from December 31, 2012 to March 31, 2013. The assets and liabilities of the Consolidated Funds are generally held within separate legal entities and, as a result, the assets of the Consolidated Funds are not available to meet our liquidity requirements and similarly the liabilities of the Consolidated Funds are non-recourse to us.

Table of Contents

Our balance sheet without the effect of the Consolidated Funds can be seen in Note 19 to the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. At March 31, 2013, our total assets were \$5.1 billion, including cash and cash equivalents of \$570.4 million, accrued performance fees of \$2,622.2 million, and investments of \$910.5 million.

Loans Payable. Loans payable on our balance sheet at March 31, 2013 reflects \$25.0 million outstanding under our senior secured credit facility, comprised of \$25.0 million of term loan balance outstanding. No amount was outstanding under the revolving credit facility of our senior secured credit facility.

Senior Credit Facility. The senior credit facility includes \$500.0 million in a term loan and \$750.0 million in a revolving credit facility. The term loan and revolving credit facility mature on September 30, 2016. Principal amounts outstanding under the amended term loan and revolving credit facility accrue interest, at the option of the borrowers, either (a) at an alternate base rate plus an applicable margin not to exceed 0.75%, or (b) at LIBOR plus an applicable margin not to exceed 1.75% (1.25% at March 31, 2013). During the first quarter of 2013, we prepaid \$475.0 million of term loan principal that would have been due beginning in September 2014. The remaining outstanding principal amount under the term loan is payable on September 30, 2016.

The senior credit facility is unsecured. We are required to maintain management fee earning assets (as defined in the new senior credit facility) of at least \$61.8 billion plus 70% of any future acquired AUM and a total debt leverage ratio of less than 3.0 to 1.0, in each case, tested on a quarterly basis. Non-compliance with any of the financial or non-financial covenants without cure or waiver would constitute an event of default under the senior credit facility. An event of default resulting from a breach of certain financial or non-financial covenants may result, at the option of the lenders, in an acceleration of the principal and interest outstanding, and a termination of the revolving credit facility. The senior credit facility also contains other customary events of default, including defaults based on events of bankruptcy and insolvency, nonpayment of principal, interest or fees when due, breach of specified covenants, change in control and material inaccuracy of representations and warranties.

3.875% Senior Notes. In January 2013, Carlyle Holdings Finance L.L.C., an indirect finance subsidiary of the Partnership, issued \$500.0 million of 3.875% senior notes due February 1, 2023 at 99.966% of par. Interest is payable semi-annually on February 1 and August 1, beginning August 1, 2013. The notes are unsecured and unsubordinated obligations of Carlyle Holdings Finance L.L.C. and are fully and unconditionally guaranteed, jointly and severally, by The Carlyle Group L.P. and each of the Carlyle Holdings partnerships. The notes contain customary covenants and financial restrictions that, among other things, limit Carlyle Holdings Finance L.L.C. and the guarantors' ability, subject to certain exceptions, to incur indebtedness secured by liens on voting stock or profit participating equity interests of their subsidiaries or merge, consolidate or sell, transfer or lease assets. The notes also contain customary events of default. All or a portion of the notes may be redeemed at our option, in whole or in part, at any time and from time to time, prior to their stated maturity, at the make-whole redemption price set forth in the notes. If a change of control repurchase event occurs, the notes are subject to repurchase at the repurchase price as set forth in the notes.

5.625% Senior Notes. In March 2013, Carlyle Holdings II Finance L.L.C., an indirect finance subsidiary of the Partnership, issued \$400.0 million of 5.625% Senior Notes due March 30, 2043 at 99.583% of par. Interest is payable semi-annually on March 30 and September 30, beginning September 30, 2013. The notes are unsecured and unsubordinated obligations of Carlyle Holdings Finance L.L.C. and are fully and unconditionally guaranteed, jointly and severally, by The Carlyle Group L.P. and each of the Carlyle Holdings partnerships. The notes contain customary covenants and financial restrictions that, among other things, limit Carlyle Holdings Finance L.L.C. and the guarantors' ability, subject to certain exceptions, to incur indebtedness secured by liens on voting stock or profit participating equity interests of their subsidiaries or merge, consolidate or sell, transfer or lease assets. The notes also contain customary events of default. All or a portion of the notes may be redeemed at our option, in whole or in part, at any time and from time to time, prior to their stated maturity, at the make-whole redemption price set forth in the notes. If a change of control repurchase event occurs, the notes are subject to repurchase at the repurchase price as set forth in the notes.

Table of Contents

Obligations of CLOs. Loans payable of the Consolidated Funds represent amounts due to holders of debt securities issued by the CLOs. We are not liable for any loans payable of the CLOs. Several of the CLOs issued preferred shares representing the most subordinated interest, however these tranches are mandatorily redeemable upon the maturity dates of the senior secured loans payable, and as a result have been classified as liabilities under U.S. GAAP, and are included in loans payable of Consolidated Funds in our combined and consolidated balance sheets.

As of March 31, 2013, the following borrowings were outstanding at our CLOs, including preferred shares classified as liabilities (Dollars in millions):

| | Borrowing Outstanding | Weighted Average Interest Rate | Weighted Average Remaining Maturity in Years |
|-------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| Senior secured notes | \$ 13,838.4 | 1.31% | 8.96 |
| Subordinated notes, Income notes and Preferred shares | 1,084.8 | N/A ⁽¹⁾ | 8.30 |
| Combination notes | 5.2 | N/A ⁽²⁾ | 11.90 |
| Total | \$ 14,928.4 | | |

- (1) The subordinated notes, income notes and preferred shares do not have contractual interest rates, but instead receive distributions from the excess cash flows of the CLOs.
- (2) The combination notes do not have contractual interest rates and have recourse only to U.S. Treasury securities and OATS specifically held to collateralize such combination notes.

The fair value of senior secured notes, subordinated notes, income notes and preferred shares, and combination notes of our CLOs as of March 31, 2013 was \$13,204.1 million, \$1,102.3 million, and \$6.3 million, respectively.

Loans payable of the CLOs are collateralized by the assets held by the CLOs and the assets of one CLO may not be used to satisfy the liabilities of another. This collateral consists of cash and cash equivalents, corporate loans, corporate bonds and other securities.

In addition, certain CLOs entered into liquidity facility agreements with various liquidity facility providers on or about the various closing dates in order to fund payments of interest when there are insufficient funds available. The proceeds from such draw-downs are available for payments of interest at each interest payment date and the acquisition or exercise of an option or warrant comprised in any collateral enhancement obligation. The liquidity facilities, in aggregate, allow for a maximum borrowing of \$12.8 million and bear weighted average interest at EURIBOR plus 0.25% per annum. Amounts borrowed under the liquidity facilities are repaid based on cash flows available subject to priority of payments under each CLO's governing documents. There were no borrowings outstanding under this liquidity facility as of March 31, 2013.

Unconsolidated Entities

Our Corporate Private Equity funds have not historically utilized substantial leverage at the fund level other than short-term borrowings under certain fund level lines of credit which are used to fund liquidity needs in the interim between the date of an investment and the receipt of capital from the investing fund's investors. These funds do, however, make direct or indirect investments in companies that utilize leverage in their capital structure. The degree of leverage employed varies among portfolio companies.

Certain of our real estate funds have entered into lines of credits secured by their investors' unpaid capital commitments. Due to the relatively large number of investments made by these funds, the lines of credit are primarily employed to reduce the overall number of capital calls. In certain instances, however, they may be used for other investment related activities, including serving as bridge financing for investments.

Table of Contents**Off-balance Sheet Arrangements**

In the normal course of business, we enter into various off-balance sheet arrangements including sponsoring and owning limited or general partner interests in consolidated and non-consolidated funds, entering into derivative transactions, entering into operating leases and entering into guarantee arrangements. We also have ongoing capital commitment arrangements with certain of our consolidated and non-consolidated funds. We do not have any other off-balance sheet arrangements that would require us to fund losses or guarantee target returns to investors in any of our other investment funds.

For further information regarding our off-balance sheet arrangements, see Note 2 to the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Contractual Obligations

The following table sets forth information relating to our contractual obligations as of March 31, 2013 on a consolidated basis and on a basis excluding the obligations of the Consolidated Funds:

| | April 1, 2013 to December 31, 2013 | 2014-2015 | 2016-2017 (Dollars in millions) | Thereafter | Total |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Loans payable and senior notes (a) | \$ | \$ | \$ 25.0 | \$ 900.0 | \$ 925.0 |
| Interest payable (b) | 34.7 | 90.2 | 85.0 | 666.6 | 876.5 |
| Contingent cash consideration (c) | 95.8 | 191.3 | 53.0 | 387.4 | 727.5 |
| Operating lease obligations (d) | 34.4 | 83.4 | 60.6 | 124.7 | 303.1 |
| Capital commitments to Carlyle funds (e) | 2,296.3 | 7.5 | | | 2,303.8 |
| Tax receivable agreement payments (f) | 0.9 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 29.7 | 36.5 |
| Loans payable of Consolidated Funds (g) | 4.8 | 62.0 | 259.8 | 14,601.8 | 14,928.4 |
| Interest on loans payable of Consolidated Funds (h) | 136.8 | 361.5 | 349.7 | 834.6 | 1,682.6 |
| Unfunded commitments of the CLOs and Consolidated Funds (i) | 1,220.9 | | | | 1,220.9 |
| Redemptions payable of Consolidated Funds (j) | 94.7 | | | | 94.7 |
| Consolidated contractual obligations | 3,919.3 | 798.8 | 836.1 | 17,544.8 | 23,099.0 |
| Loans payable of Consolidated Funds (g) | (4.8) | (62.0) | (259.8) | (14,601.8) | (14,928.4) |
| Interest on loans payable of Consolidated Funds (h) | (136.8) | (361.5) | (349.7) | (834.6) | (1,682.6) |
| Unfunded commitments of the CLOs and Consolidated Funds (i) | (1,220.9) | | | | (1,220.9) |
| Redemptions payable of Consolidated Funds (j) | (94.7) | | | | (94.7) |
| Carlyle Operating Entities contractual obligations | \$ 2,462.1 | \$ 375.3 | \$ 226.6 | \$ 2,108.4 | \$ 5,172.4 |

- (a) The table above assumes that no prepayments are made on the term loan or senior notes and that the outstanding balance on the revolving credit facility is repaid on the maturity date of the senior credit facility.
- (b) The interest rate on the loans payable consist of 3.875% on \$500.0 million of senior notes, 5.625% on \$400.0 million of senior notes and approximately 2.33% on \$25.0 million of term loan. Interest payments assume that no prepayments are made and loans are held until maturity.

Table of Contents

- (c) These obligations represent our probability-weighted estimate of amounts to be paid on the contingent cash consideration obligations associated with our business acquisitions and strategic investment in NGP Management. The actual amounts to be paid under these agreements will not be determined until the specific performance conditions are met. Refer to [Contingent Cash Payments for Business Acquisitions and Strategic Investments](#) below for the maximum amounts we may be required to pay under these arrangements and Note 6 and Note 9 to the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for more information. Included in these amounts are \$81.8 million of employment-based contingent consideration payments that have been earned but are not payable until the individuals are no longer employees of Carlyle, the timing of which cannot be predicted. For purposes of the table above, this amount has been included in the less than one year category. Excluded from this table are two options we have to purchase additional investments in NGP.
- (d) We lease office space in various countries around the world and maintain our headquarters in Washington, D.C., where we lease our primary office space under a non-cancelable lease agreement expiring on July 31, 2026. Our office leases in other locations expire in various years from 2013 through 2021. The amounts in this table represent the minimum lease payments required over the term of the lease.
- (e) These obligations represent commitments by us to fund a portion of the purchase price paid for each investment made by our funds. These amounts are generally due on demand and are therefore presented in the less than one year category. A substantial majority of these investments is expected to be funded by senior Carlyle professionals and other professionals through our internal co-investment program. Of the \$2.3 billion of unfunded commitments, approximately \$2.1 billion is subscribed individually by senior Carlyle professionals, operating executives and other professionals, with the balance funded directly by the Partnership. Also included in these amounts is \$7.5 million that will be paid to NGP in exchange for an additional 7.5% equity interest in NGP Management.
- (f) Represents obligations by the Partnership's corporate taxpayers to make payments under the tax receivable agreement. Holders of partnership units in Carlyle Holdings may exchange their Carlyle Holdings partnership units for common units in The Carlyle Group L.P. on a one-for-one basis. These exchanges may reduce the amount of tax that the corporate taxpayers would be required to pay in the future. The corporate taxpayers will pay to the limited partner of Carlyle Holdings making the exchange 85% of the amount of cash savings that the corporate taxpayers realize upon an exchange. See [Tax Receivable Agreement](#) below.
- (g) These obligations represent amounts due to holders of debt securities issued by the consolidated CLO vehicles.
- (h) These obligations represent interest to be paid on debt securities issued by the consolidated CLO vehicles. Interest payments assume that no prepayments are made and loans are held until maturity. For debt securities with rights only to the residual value of the CLO and no stated interest, no interest payments were included in this calculation. Interest payments on variable-rate debt securities are based on interest rates in effect as of March 31, 2013, at spreads to market rates pursuant to the debt agreements, and range from 0.41% to 12.65%.
- (i) These obligations represent commitments of the CLOs and Consolidated Funds to fund certain investments. These amounts are generally due on demand and are therefore presented in the less than one year category.
- (j) Our consolidated hedge funds are subject to quarterly or monthly redemption by investors in these funds. These obligations represent the amount of redemptions where the amount requested in the redemption notice has become fixed and payable.

Excluded from the table above are liabilities for uncertain tax positions of \$17.9 million at March 31, 2013 as we are unable to estimate when such amounts may be paid.

Contingent Cash Payments For Business Acquisitions and Strategic Investments

We have certain contingent cash obligations associated with our business acquisitions and our strategic investment in NGP Management. For our business acquisitions, these contingent cash payments relate to performance-based contingent cash consideration payable to the sellers of the businesses, some of whom are senior Carlyle professionals. Certain of these payments to those senior Carlyle professionals require such senior Carlyle professional to be employed by us at the time the performance conditions are met, while other payments are not contingent upon employment. For our strategic investment in NGP Management, the contingent cash payments relate to performance-based contingent cash consideration payable to NGP and an affiliate of Barclays Bank PLC, as well as two options we have to purchase additional investments in NGP that would entitle us to an allocation of income equal to 40% of the carried interest generated from certain NGP funds. The exercise of the NGP options and the resulting payments under the option agreements are at our discretion. See Note 6 and Note 9 to the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for more information.

Table of Contents

The amounts shown in the contractual obligations table above represent our probability-weighted estimate of amounts to be paid on the contingent cash consideration obligations associated with our business acquisitions and our strategic investment in NGP Management. Except as noted below, the following table represents the maximum amounts that could be paid from our contingent cash obligations associated with our business acquisitions and our strategic investment in NGP Management and the amount payable if we elect to exercise our options related to NGP:

| | As of March 31, 2013 | | | Liability Recognized on Financial Statements ⁽¹⁾ |
|--------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|----------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Hedge Fund Acquisitions | NGP Investment | Total | |
| Performance-based contingent cash consideration | \$ 363.3 | \$ 183.0 | \$ 546.3 | \$ 166.8 |
| Employment-based contingent cash consideration | 300.7 | 45.0 | 345.7 | 106.9 |
| Options to acquire additional investments in NGP | | 97.2 | 97.2 | |
| Total | \$ 664.0 | \$ 325.2 | \$ 989.2 | \$ 273.7 |

- (1) On our consolidated balance sheet, the liability for performance-based contingent cash consideration is included in due to affiliates (for amounts owed to senior Carlyle professionals) and accounts payable, accrued expenses, and other liabilities (for amounts owed to other sellers), and the liability for employment-based contingent cash consideration is included in accrued compensation and benefits. Also, the amounts shown here exclude the liabilities that have been recognized on our consolidated financial statements for performance-based contingent equity consideration.

Some of the employment-based contingent cash consideration agreements do not contain provisions limiting the amount that could be paid by us. For purposes of the table above, we have used our current estimate of the amount to be paid upon the determination dates for such payments. In our consolidated financial statements, we record the performance-based contingent cash consideration from our business acquisitions at fair value at each reporting period. For the employment-based contingent cash consideration, we accrue the compensation liability over the implied service period. If we exercise our options to acquire additional investments in NGP, the amount paid will be included in the carrying value of our equity-method investment in NGP at such time.

Guarantees

In 2001, we entered into an agreement with a financial institution pursuant to which we are the guarantor on a credit facility for eligible employees investing in Carlyle sponsored funds. This credit facility renews on an annual basis, allowing for annual incremental borrowings up to an aggregate of \$16.1 million, and accrues interest at the lower of the prime rate, as defined, or three-month LIBOR plus 2%, reset quarterly. At March 31, 2013, approximately \$10.7 million was outstanding under the credit facility and payable by the employees. No material funding under the guarantee has been required, and we believe the likelihood of any material funding under the guarantee to be remote.

In July 2012, we provided a guarantee to the French tax authorities as credit support for a 45.7 million tax assessment and in October 2012, placed an additional 4.4 million in escrow, in each case, related to CEREP I. We expect to incur costs on behalf of CEREP I and its related entities. We will attempt to recover any amounts advanced or paid under the guarantee from proceeds of subsequent portfolio dispositions by CEREP I. The amount of any unrecoverable costs that may be incurred by us is not estimable at this time. Refer to Contingencies below and Note 11 to the consolidated financial statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for additional information.

Indemnifications

In many of our service contracts, we agree to indemnify the third-party service provider under certain circumstances. The terms of the indemnities vary from contract to contract, and the amount of indemnification liability, if any, cannot be determined and has not been included in the table above or recorded in our consolidated financial statements as of March 31, 2013.

Table of Contents***Tax Receivable Agreement***

Holders of partnership units in Carlyle Holdings (other than The Carlyle Group L.P.'s wholly-owned subsidiaries), subject to the vesting and minimum retained ownership requirements and transfer restrictions applicable to such holders as set forth in the partnership agreements of the Carlyle Holdings partnerships, may (subject to the terms of the exchange agreement) exchange their Carlyle Holdings partnership units for The Carlyle Group L.P. common units on a one-for-one basis. A Carlyle Holdings limited partner must exchange one partnership unit in each of the three Carlyle Holdings partnerships to effect an exchange for a common unit. The exchanges are expected to result in increases in the tax basis of the tangible and intangible assets of Carlyle Holdings. These increases in tax basis may increase (for tax purposes) depreciation and amortization deductions and therefore reduce the amount of tax that Carlyle Holdings I GP Inc. and any other corporate taxpayers would otherwise be required to pay in the future, although the IRS may challenge all or part of that tax basis increase, and a court could sustain such a challenge.

In connection with the reorganization and initial public offering, we have entered into a tax receivable agreement with the limited partners of the Carlyle Holdings partnerships that will provide for the payment by the corporate taxpayers to such parties of 85% of the amount of cash savings, if any, in U.S. federal, state and local income tax or franchise tax that the corporate taxpayers realize as a result of these increases in tax basis and of certain other tax benefits related to entering into the tax receivable agreement, including tax benefits attributable to payments under the tax receivable agreement. This payment obligation is an obligation of the corporate taxpayers and not of Carlyle Holdings. While the actual increase in tax basis, as well as the amount and timing of any payments under this agreement, will vary depending upon a number of factors, including the timing of exchanges, the price of our common units at the time of the exchange, the extent to which such exchanges are taxable and the amount and timing of our income, we expect that as a result of the size of the transfers and increases in the tax basis of the tangible and intangible assets of Carlyle Holdings, the payments that we may make under the tax receivable agreement will be substantial. The payments under the tax receivable agreement are not conditioned upon these parties' continued ownership of us. In the event that The Carlyle Group L.P. or any of its wholly-owned subsidiaries that are not treated as corporations for U.S. federal income tax purposes become taxable as a corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes, these entities will also be obligated to make payments under the tax receivable agreement on the same basis and to the same extent as the corporate taxpayers.

The tax receivable agreement provides that upon certain changes of control, or if, at any time, the corporate taxpayers elect an early termination of the tax receivable agreement, the corporate taxpayers' obligations under the tax receivable agreement (with respect to all Carlyle Holdings partnership units whether or not previously exchanged) would be calculated by reference to the value of all future payments that the counterparties would have been entitled to receive under the tax receivable agreement using certain valuation assumptions, including that the corporate taxpayers will have sufficient taxable income to fully utilize the deductions arising from the increased tax deductions and tax basis and other benefits related to entering into the tax receivable agreement and, in the case of an early termination election, that any Carlyle Holdings partnership units that have not been exchanged are deemed exchanged for the market value of the common units at the time of termination. In addition, the counterparties will not reimburse us for any payments previously made under the tax receivable agreement if such tax basis increase is successfully challenged by the IRS. The corporate taxpayers' ability to achieve benefits from any tax basis increase, and the payments to be made under this agreement, will depend upon a number of factors, including the timing and amount of our future income. As a result, even in the absence of a change of control or an election to terminate the tax receivable agreement, payments under the tax receivable agreement could be in excess of the corporate taxpayers' actual cash tax savings.

Contingent Obligations (Giveback)

An accrual for potential repayment of previously received performance fees of \$46.3 million at March 31, 2013 is shown as accrued giveback obligations on the consolidated balance sheet, representing the giveback obligation that would need to be paid if the funds were liquidated at their current fair values at March 31, 2013. However, the ultimate giveback obligation, if any, does not arise until the end of a fund's life. We have recorded \$19.9 million of unbilled receivables from former and current employees and our individual senior Carlyle professionals as of March 31, 2013 related to giveback obligations, which are included in due from affiliates and other receivables, net in our consolidated balance sheet as of such date.

Table of Contents

During the three months ended March 31, 2013, we repaid \$14.0 million of giveback obligations to certain funds. This amount was funded primarily through collection of employee receivables related to giveback obligations and from contributions from non-controlling interests for their portion of the obligation.

If, as of March 31, 2013, all of the investments held by our funds were deemed worthless, the amount of realized and distributed carried interest subject to potential giveback would be \$1.1 billion, on an after-tax basis where applicable.

Our senior Carlyle professionals and employees who have received carried interest distributions are severally responsible for funding their proportionate share of any giveback obligations. However, the governing agreements of certain of our funds provide that to the extent a current or former employee from such funds does not fund his or her respective share, then we may have to fund additional amounts beyond what we received in carried interest, although we will generally retain the right to pursue any remedies that we have under such governing agreements against those carried interest recipients who fail to fund their obligations.

Contingencies

In the ordinary course of business, we are a party to litigation, investigations, disputes and other potential claims. Certain of these matters are described below. We are not currently able to estimate for any such matters the reasonably possible amount of loss or range of loss. We do not believe it is probable that the outcome of any existing litigation, investigations, disputes or other potential claims will materially affect us. We believe that these matters are without merit and intend to vigorously contest them.

In September 2006 and March 2009, Carlyle received requests for certain documents and other information from the Antitrust Division of the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) in connection with the DOJ 's investigation of global alternative asset firms to determine whether they have engaged in conduct prohibited by U.S. antitrust laws. We fully cooperated with the DOJ 's investigation.

On February 14, 2008, a private class-action lawsuit challenging club bids and other alleged anti-competitive business practices was filed in the U.S. District Court for the District of Massachusetts (*Police and Fire Retirement System of the City of Detroit v. Apollo Global Management, LLC*). The complaint alleges, among other things, that certain global alternative asset firms, including Carlyle, violated Section 1 of the Sherman Act by forming multi-sponsor consortiums for the purpose of bidding collectively in company buyout transactions in certain going private transactions, which the plaintiffs allege constitutes a conspiracy in restraint of trade. Count One of the complaint alleges an overarching conspiracy relating to certain large buyout transactions. Count Two of the complaint alleges a conspiracy with regard to the buyout of Healthcare Corporation of America. The plaintiffs seek damages as provided for in Section 4 of the Clayton Act and an injunction against such conduct in restraint of trade in the future. The defendants moved for summary judgment on both counts. On March 13, 2013, the U.S. District Court for the District of Massachusetts ruled that plaintiffs could proceed on Count One solely on the basis of an alleged conspiracy to refrain from jumping announced proprietary (i.e., non-auction) deals. The Court stated that it would entertain further summary judgment motions by individual defendants as to their participation in the more narrowly-defined alleged conspiracy. The Court also denied summary judgment as to Count Two. On April 16, 2013, Carlyle filed a consolidated motion, renewing its motion for summary judgment on Count One, and moving for reconsideration on Count Two. On April 22, 2013, Carlyle joined a motion seeking reconsideration on Count Two filed on behalf of all Count Two defendants. The U.S. District Court for the District of Massachusetts has not set a schedule for class certification proceedings.

Along with many other companies and individuals in the financial sector, Carlyle and CMP are named as defendants in *Foy v. Austin Capital*, a case filed in June 2009, pending in the State of New Mexico 's First Judicial District Court, County of Santa Fe, which purports to be a qui tam suit on behalf of the State of New Mexico. The suit alleges that investment decisions by New Mexico public investment funds were improperly influenced by campaign contributions and payments to politically connected placement agents. The plaintiffs seek, among other

Table of Contents

things, actual damages, actual damages for lost income, rescission of the investment transactions described in the complaint and disgorgement of all fees received. In May 2011, the Attorney General of New Mexico moved to dismiss certain defendants including Carlyle and CMP on the grounds that separate civil litigation by the Attorney General is a more effective means to seek recovery for the State from these defendants. The Attorney General has brought two civil actions against certain of those defendants, not including the Carlyle defendants. The Attorney General has stated that its investigation is continuing and it may bring additional civil actions.

Carlyle Capital Corporation Limited (CCC) was a fund sponsored by Carlyle that invested in AAA-rated residential mortgage backed securities on a highly leveraged basis. In March of 2008, amidst turmoil throughout the mortgage markets and money markets, CCC filed for insolvency protection in Guernsey. Several different lawsuits, described below, developed from the CCC insolvency.

First, on July 13, 2009, a former shareholder of CCC, claiming to have lost \$20.0 million, filed a claim against CCC, Carlyle and certain affiliates and one of our officers (*Huffington v. TC Group L.L.C., et al.*) alleging violations of Massachusetts blue sky law provisions relating to material misrepresentations and omissions allegedly made during and after the marketing of CCC. The plaintiff sought treble damages, interest, expenses, attorney's fees and to have the subscription agreement deemed null and void and to receive a full refund of the investment. In March 2010, the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts dismissed the plaintiff's complaint on the grounds that it should have been filed in Delaware instead of Massachusetts based on the forum selection provision in the plaintiff's subscription agreement. The plaintiff subsequently filed a notice of appeal to the United States Court of Appeals for the First Circuit. The plaintiff lost his appeal to the First Circuit and filed a new claim in Delaware State Court. The Delaware State Court granted in part and denied in part defendants' motion to dismiss, which was converted to a motion for summary judgment. The plaintiff has since dismissed his claim without any monetary compensation, in exchange for Carlyle's dismissal of its counterclaim against him for violation of the forum selection clause.

Second, in November 2009, another CCC investor, National Industries Group (National Industries) instituted legal proceedings on similar grounds in Kuwait's Court of First Instance (*National Industries Group v. Carlyle Group*) seeking to recover losses incurred in connection with an investment in CCC. In July 2011, the Delaware Court of Chancery issued a decision restraining National Industries from proceeding in Kuwait against Carlyle Investment Management L.L.C. or TC Group, L.L.C., based on the forum selection clause in National Industries subscription agreement, which provided for exclusive jurisdiction in the Delaware courts. In September 2011, National Industries reissued its complaint in Kuwait naming CCC only, and reissued its complaint in January 2012 joining Carlyle Investment Management, L.L.C. as a defendant. In April 2013, the court in Kuwait dismissed National Industries' claim without prejudice for failure to serve process. In August 2012, National Industries filed a motion to vacate the Delaware Court of Chancery's decision. We successfully opposed that motion and the Court's injunction remains in effect. In November 2012, National Industries filed a notice of appeal. The appeal was heard by the Delaware Supreme Court on May 1, 2013.

Third, the Guernsey liquidators who took control of CCC in March 2008 filed four suits on July 7, 2010 against Carlyle, certain of its affiliates and the former directors of CCC in the Delaware Chancery Court, the Royal Court of Guernsey, the Superior Court of the District of Columbia and the Supreme Court of New York, New York County, (*Carlyle Capital Corporation Limited v. Conway et al.*) seeking \$1.0 billion in damages. They allege that Carlyle and the CCC board of directors were negligent, grossly negligent or willfully mismanaged the CCC investment program and breached certain fiduciary duties allegedly owed to CCC and its shareholders. The liquidators further allege (among other things) that the directors and Carlyle put the interests of Carlyle ahead of the interests of CCC and its shareholders and gave priority to preserving and enhancing Carlyle's reputation and its brand over the best interests of CCC. In July 2011, the Royal Court of Guernsey held that the case should be litigated in Delaware pursuant to the exclusive jurisdiction clause in the investment management agreement. That ruling was appealed by the liquidators, and in February 2012 was reversed by the Guernsey Court of Appeal, which held that the case should proceed in Guernsey. Defendants' attempts to appeal to the Privy Council were unsuccessful and the plaintiffs' case is proceeding in Guernsey. Two claims in that case, which sought the return of certain documents and other property purportedly belonging to CCC, were resolved by agreement of the parties and order of the Royal Court of Guernsey in December 2012. Carlyle is now in the process of producing relevant documents to the plaintiffs, who have told the Royal Court of Guernsey that they intend to amend their pleading after receiving and reviewing the documents. A schedule for the case will be set after that amended complaint is filed. In addition, the liquidators' lawsuits in New York and the District of Columbia were dismissed in December 2011 without prejudice.

Table of Contents

Fourth, on June 21, 2011, August 24, 2011 and September 1, 2011, respectively, three putative shareholder class actions were filed against Carlyle, certain of its affiliates and former directors of CCC alleging that the fund offering materials and various public disclosures were materially misleading or omitted material information. Two of the shareholder class actions (*Phelps v. Stomber, et al.* and *Glaubach v. Carlyle Capital Corporation Limited, et al.*) were filed in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia. *Phelps v. Stomber, et al.* was also filed in the Supreme Court of New York, New York County and was subsequently removed to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. The two original D.C. cases were consolidated into one case under the caption of *Phelps v. Stomber* and the Phelps named plaintiffs were designated lead plaintiffs by the Court. The New York case was transferred to the D.C. federal court and the plaintiffs requested that it be consolidated with the other two D.C. actions. The plaintiffs were seeking compensatory damages sustained as a result of the alleged misrepresentations, costs and expenses, as well as reasonable attorney's fees. On August 13, 2012, the United States District Court for the District of Columbia dismissed both the D.C. and New York shareholder class actions. The plaintiffs have moved for leave to amend their complaint and/or for amendment of the Court's decision and the defendants have opposed these motions. The plaintiffs also have noticed an appeal to the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit, but that appeal is being held in abeyance until the District Court resolves the pending motions.

In September 2006 and March 2009, Carlyle received requests for certain documents and other information from the Antitrust Division of the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) in connection with the DOJ's investigation of global alternative asset firms to determine whether they have engaged in conduct prohibited by U.S. antitrust laws. The Partnership fully cooperated with the DOJ's investigation.

From 2007 to 2009, a Luxembourg subsidiary of Carlyle Europe Real Estate Partners, L.P. (CEREP I), a real estate fund, received proceeds from the sale of real estate located in Paris, France. The relevant French tax authorities have asserted that CEREP I was ineligible to claim certain exemptions from French tax under the Luxembourg-French tax treaty, and have issued a tax assessment seeking to collect approximately 97.0 million, consisting of taxes, interest and penalties. Additionally, the French Ministry of Justice has commenced an investigation regarding the legality under French law of claiming the exemptions under the tax treaty.

During 2006, CEREP I completed a reorganization of several Italian subsidiaries. Certain of those Italian subsidiaries sold various properties located in Italy. The Italian tax authorities issued formal notices of assessment to certain of those subsidiaries, in each case, disallowing deductions of certain capital losses claimed with respect to the reorganization of the Italian subsidiaries. If unchallenged, the disallowance of such deductions would increase the aggregate amount owed by such subsidiaries by approximately 25.5 million of income tax, 28.5 million of penalties and 4.9 million of interest (through May 2013) for a total of approximately 59.0 million. CEREP I has a limited period of time during which it may challenge or negotiate a settlement of these amounts and may be required to post collateral up to the full 59.0 million allegedly owed in order to initiate such a challenge. It is possible that the Italian Ministry of Justice could appoint a prosecutor to conduct an investigation.

CEREP I and its subsidiaries are contesting the French tax assessment and intend to contest the Italian tax assessment. They are also exploring settlement opportunities. In July 2012, the Partnership provided a guarantee to the French tax authorities as credit support for the 45.7 million tax assessment and in October 2012, placed an additional 4.4 million in escrow, in each case, related to CEREP I. The Partnership expects to incur costs on behalf of CEREP I and its related entities. The Partnership will attempt to recover any amounts advanced or paid from proceeds of subsequent portfolio dispositions by CEREP I. The amount of any unrecoverable costs that may be incurred by the Partnership is not estimable at this time. Commencing with the issuance of the credit support on behalf of CEREP I in July 2012, the Partnership consolidated the fund into its consolidated financial statements. As of March 31, 2013, CEREP I had accrued 50.0 million (\$64.1 million as of March 31, 2013) related to this contingency, which is included in other liabilities of Consolidated Funds in the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**Carlyle Holdings Partnership Units**

A rollforward of the outstanding Carlyle Holdings partnership units from December 31, 2012 through March 31, 2013 is as follows:

| | Units as of December 31, 2012 | Units Issued | Units Forfeited | Units as of March 31, 2013 |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|
| Carlyle Holdings partnership units held by the Partnership | 43,244,180 | | | 43,244,180 |
| Carlyle Holdings partnership units not held by the Partnership | 262,873,250 | | | 262,873,250 |
| Total Carlyle Holdings partnership units | 306,117,430 | | | 306,117,430 |

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Our primary exposure to market risk is related to our role as general partner or investment advisor to our investment funds and the sensitivities to movements in the fair value of their investments, including the effect on management fees, performance fees and investment income. Although our investment funds share many common themes, each of our alternative asset management asset classes runs its own investment and risk management processes, subject to our overall risk tolerance and philosophy. The investment process of our investment funds involves a comprehensive due diligence approach, including review of reputation of shareholders and management, company size and sensitivity of cash flow generation, business sector and competitive risks, portfolio fit, exit risks and other key factors highlighted by the deal team. Key investment decisions are subject to approval by both the fund-level managing directors, as well as the investment committee, which is generally comprised of one or more of the three founding partners, one sector head, one or more operating executives and senior investment professionals associated with that particular fund. Once an investment in a portfolio company has been made, our fund teams closely monitor the performance of the portfolio company, generally through frequent contact with management and the receipt of financial and management reports.

There was no material change in our market risks during the three months ended March 31, 2013. For additional information, refer to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures**Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures (as that term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act)) that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our reports under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our co-principal executive officers and principal financial officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures. In designing disclosure controls and procedures, our management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible disclosure controls and procedures. The design of any disclosure controls and procedures also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance of achieving the desired control objectives. Our management, with the participation of our co-principal executive officers and principal financial officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based upon that evaluation and subject to the foregoing, our co-principal executive officers and principal financial officer concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures were effective to accomplish their objectives at the reasonable assurance level.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2013 that have materially affected, or that are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal

control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Not applicable.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

For a discussion of our potential risks and uncertainties, see the information under Item 1A. Risk Factors in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, which is accessible on the SEC's website at sec.gov.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Not applicable.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

Not applicable.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

Item 5. Other Information

Pursuant to Section 219 of the Iran Threat Reduction and Syria Human Rights Act of 2012, which added Section 13(r) of the Exchange Act, Carlyle hereby incorporates by reference herein Exhibit 99.1 of this report, which includes disclosures provided to us by Applus Servicios Technologics S.L.U., a European company which may be considered our affiliate.

Item 6. Exhibits

Required exhibits are listed in the Index to Exhibits and are incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

The Carlyle Group L.P.

By: Carlyle Group Management L.L.C.,
its general partner

Date: May 13, 2013

By: /s/ Adena T. Friedman
Name: Adena T. Friedman
Title: Chief Financial Officer

Table of Contents**INDEX TO EXHIBITS**

The following is a list of all exhibits filed or furnished as part of this report:

| Exhibit | |
|----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| No. | Description |
| 3.1 | Certificate of Limited Partnership of The Carlyle Group L.P. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-176685) filed with the SEC on September 6, 2011). |
| 3.2 | Amended and Restated Limited Partnership Agreement of The Carlyle Group L.P. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on May 8, 2012). |
| 31.1 * | Certification of the co-principal executive officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a). |
| 31.2 * | Certification of the co-principal executive officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a). |
| 31.3 * | Certification of the co-principal executive officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a). |
| 31.4 * | Certification of the principal financial officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a). |
| 32.1 * | Certification of the co-principal executive officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.2 * | Certification of the co-principal executive officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.3 * | Certification of the co-principal executive officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.4 * | Certification of the principal financial officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 99.1 * | Section 19(r) Disclosure. |
| 101.INS** | XBRL Instance Document |
| 101.SCH** | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document |
| 101.CAL** | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document |
| 101.DEF** | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document |
| 101.LAB** | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Labels Linkbase Document |

* Filed herewith.

** XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language) information is furnished and not filed for purposes of Sections 11 and 12 of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

The agreements and other documents filed as exhibits to this report are not intended to provide factual information or other disclosure other than with respect to the terms of the agreements or other documents themselves, and you should not rely on them for that purpose. In particular, any representations and warranties made by us in these agreements or other documents were made solely within the specific context of the relevant agreement or document and may not describe the actual state of affairs as of the date they were made or at any other time.