

United Community Bancorp
Form 10-Q
May 12, 2014

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, DC 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2014

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number: 0-54876

United Community Bancorp

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-Q

Indiana

80-0694246

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

92 Walnut Street, Lawrenceburg, Indiana 47025
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

(812) 537-4822

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

N/A

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one)

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller Reporting Company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

As of May 2, 2014, there were 5,039,964 shares of the registrant's common stock outstanding.

UNITED COMMUNITY BANCORP

Table of Contents

| | Page No. |
|--|---------------------|
| | |
| <u>Part I. Financial Information</u> | |
| Item 1. <u>Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u> | |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition at March 31, 2014 and June 30, 2013</u> | 1 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Income for the Three and Nine Month Periods Ended March 31, 2014 and 2013</u> | 2 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the Three and Nine Month Periods Ended March 31, 2014 and 2013</u> | 3 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Nine Month Periods Ended March 31, 2014 and 2013</u> | 4 |
| <u>Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 5 |
| Item 2. <u>Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 20 |
| Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u> | 42 |
| Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 43 |
| | |
| <u>Part II. Other Information</u> | |
| Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 44 |
| Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u> | 44 |
| Item 2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | 44 |
| Item 3. <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u> | 44 |
| Item 4. <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u> | 44 |
| Item 5. <u>Other Information</u> | 44 |

Item 6. Exhibits

45

Signatures

46

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION**Item 1. Financial Statements****UNITED COMMUNITY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES**

Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition

| (In thousands, except share amounts) | March 31, 2014 | June 30, 2013 |
|---|----------------|---------------|
| Assets | | |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ 3,044 | \$ 2,716 |
| Interest-earning deposits in other financial institutions | 24,792 | 14,071 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 27,836 | 16,787 |
| Investment securities: | | |
| Securities available for sale - at estimated market value | 36,674 | 32,013 |
| Securities held to maturity - at amortized cost | 337 | 417 |
| Mortgage-backed securities available for sale - at estimated market value | 173,170 | 170,117 |
| Investment securities | 210,181 | 202,547 |
| Loans receivable, net | 246,162 | 254,578 |
| Loans available for sale | - | 417 |
| Property and equipment, net | 6,948 | 6,674 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank stock, at cost | 6,588 | 6,588 |
| Accrued interest receivable: | | |
| Loans | 870 | 906 |
| Investments and mortgage-backed securities | 816 | 730 |
| Other real estate owned, net | 578 | 618 |
| Cash surrender value of life insurance policies | 16,795 | 13,228 |
| Deferred income taxes | 4,318 | 4,504 |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | 1,621 | 1,842 |
| Goodwill | 2,522 | 2,522 |
| Intangible asset | 580 | 690 |
| Total assets | \$ 525,815 | \$ 512,631 |
| Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity | | |
| Deposits | \$ 434,149 | \$ 421,243 |
| Advances from FHLB | 15,000 | 15,000 |
| Accrued interest on deposits | 15 | 22 |

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---|------------|------------|
| Accrued interest on FHLB advance | 12 | 7 |
| Advances from borrowers for payment of insurance and taxes | 338 | 223 |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities | 2,517 | 2,593 |
| Total liabilities | 452,031 | 439,088 |
| Commitments and contingencies | - | - |
| Stockholders' equity | | |
| Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value; 1,000,000 shares authorized, none issued | - | - |
| Common stock, \$0.01 par value; 25,000,000 shares authorized, 5,149,564 and 5,149,564 shares issued at March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively; 5,039,964 and 5,149,564 shares outstanding at March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively | 51 | 51 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 51,786 | 51,882 |
| Retained earnings | 28,530 | 27,371 |
| Less shares purchased for stock plans | (3,244) | (3,648) |
| Treasury Stock, at cost - 109,600 shares at March 31, 2014 | (1,217) | - |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss): | | |
| Unrealized loss on securities available for sale, net of income taxes | (2,122) | (2,113) |
| Total stockholders' equity | 73,784 | 73,543 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity \$ | \$ 525,815 | \$ 512,631 |

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

UNITED COMMUNITY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Statements of Income

(In thousands, except share amounts)

| (In thousands, except per share data) | For the Three Months Ended | | For the Nine Months Ended | |
|---|----------------------------|----------|---------------------------|----------|
| | March 31, 2014 | 2013 | March 31, 2014 | 2013 |
| Interest income: | | | | |
| Loans | \$ 2,847 | \$ 3,119 | \$ 8,916 | \$ 9,892 |
| Investments and mortgage-backed securities | 905 | 728 | 2,363 | 2,283 |
| Total interest income | 3,752 | 3,847 | 11,279 | 12,175 |
| Interest expense: | | | | |
| Deposits | 560 | 705 | 1,846 | 2,505 |
| Borrowed funds | 62 | 42 | 162 | 134 |
| Total interest expense | 622 | 747 | 2,008 | 2,639 |
| Net interest income | 3,130 | 3,100 | 9,271 | 9,536 |
| Provision for (recovery of) loan losses | 75 | 110 | (292) | 585 |
| Net interest income after provision for (recovery of) loan losses | 3,055 | 2,990 | 9,563 | 8,951 |
| Other income: | | | | |
| Service charges | 594 | 557 | 1,889 | 1,807 |
| Gain on sale of loans | 15 | 136 | 141 | 668 |
| Gain on sale of investments | - | 290 | - | 553 |
| Gain (loss) on sale of other real estate owned | (1) | (130) | 6 | (83) |
| Gain on sale of fixed assets | - | - | 136 | - |
| Income from bank owned life insurance | 150 | 81 | 362 | 298 |
| Other | 129 | 15 | 416 | 140 |
| Total other income | 887 | 949 | 2,950 | 3,383 |
| Other expense: | | | | |
| Compensation and employee benefits | 1,804 | 1,864 | 5,424 | 5,458 |
| Premises and occupancy expense | 322 | 342 | 919 | 1,053 |
| Deposit insurance premium | 93 | 107 | 269 | 388 |
| Advertising expense | 61 | 78 | 239 | 259 |
| Data processing expense | 324 | 408 | 1,069 | 1,127 |
| Provision for loss on real estate owned | - | - | 1 | 105 |
| Intangible amortization | 35 | 48 | 110 | 128 |

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---------|---------|----------|----------|
| Professional fees | 151 | 152 | 667 | 554 |
| Other operating expenses | 416 | 428 | 1,250 | 1,142 |
| Total other expense | 3,206 | 3,427 | 9,948 | 10,214 |
| Income before income taxes | 736 | 512 | 2,565 | 2,120 |
| Income tax provision | 153 | 105 | 638 | 523 |
| Net income | \$ 583 | \$ 407 | \$ 1,927 | \$ 1,597 |
| Basic and diluted earnings per share | \$ 0.12 | \$ 0.08 | \$ 0.40 | \$ 0.32 |

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

UNITED COMMUNITY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income

(In thousands)

| | For the Three Months Ended March 31, | | For the Nine Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|--|--------|--|----------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 203 |
| Net income | \$ 583 | 407 | \$ 1,927 | \$ 1,597 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax | | | | |
| Unrealized gain (loss) on securities available for sale | 432 | (116) | (9) | (85) |
| Reclassification adjustment for gains on securities available for sale included in income | - | (177) | - | (337) |
| Total comprehensive income | \$ 1,015 | \$ 114 | \$ 1,918 | \$ 1,175 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

UNITED COMMUNITY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

| (In thousands) | For the Nine Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|---|--------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 |
| Operating activities: | | |
| Net income | \$1,927 | \$1,597 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation | 307 | 373 |
| Provision for (recovery of) loan losses | (292) | 585 |
| Deferred loan origination costs | (45) | (79) |
| Amortization of premium on investments | 2,807 | 2,317 |
| Proceeds from sale of loans | 9,952 | 20,197 |
| Loans disbursed for sale in the secondary market | (9,394) | (19,694) |
| Gain on sale of loans | (141) | (668) |
| Amortization of intangible asset | 110 | 128 |
| Amortization of acquisition-related loan yield adjustment | (4) | (174) |
| Amortization of acquisition-related credit risk adjustment | (257) | - |
| Amortization of acquisition-related CD yield adjustment | - | (13) |
| Gain on sale of investment securities | - | (553) |
| Gain on sale of fixed assets | (136) | - |
| Provision for loss on real estate owned | 1 | 105 |
| Loss (gain) on sale of other real estate owned | (6) | 83 |
| Increase in cash surrender value of life insurance | (362) | (298) |
| ESOP shares committed to be released | 308 | 154 |
| Deferred income taxes | 180 | 149 |
| Effects of change in operating assets and liabilities: | | |
| Accrued interest receivable | (50) | (38) |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | 288 | 1,212 |
| Accrued interest | (2) | (13) |
| Accrued expenses and other | (74) | (288) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 5,117 | 5,082 |
| Investing activities: | | |
| Proceeds from sale of available for sale investment securities | 90 | 2,627 |
| Proceeds from maturity of held to maturity securities | 80 | 76 |
| Proceeds from repayment of mortgage-backed securities available for sale | 29,284 | 23,121 |
| Proceeds from sale of mortgage-backed securities available for sale | - | 34,940 |
| Proceeds from sale of fixed assets | 425 | - |

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|----------|-----------|
| Proceeds from sale of other real estate owned | 322 | 2,068 |
| Purchases of available for sale investment securities | (4,498) | (13,302) |
| Purchases of mortgage-backed securities available for sale | (35,425) | (108,312) |
| Net decrease in loans | 8,737 | 21,834 |
| Proceeds from (purchase of) bank owned life insurance | (3,205) | 182 |
| Capital expenditures | (870) | (91) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (5,060) | (36,857) |
| Financing activities: | | |
| Net decrease in deposits | 12,906 | 10,027 |
| Borrowings from Federal Home Loan Bank | 5,000 | - |
| Repayments of Federal Home Loan Bank advances | (5,000) | (750) |
| Proceeds from stock conversion | - | 22,913 |
| Dividends paid to stockholders | (812) | (1,121) |
| Cash contributed by United Community MHC | - | 612 |
| Common stock acquired by ESOP | - | (1,552) |
| Repurchases of common stock | (1,217) | - |
| Net increase in advances from borrowers for payment of insurance and taxes | 115 | 188 |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 10,992 | 30,317 |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 11,049 | (1,458) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 16,787 | 29,079 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$27,836 | \$27,621 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

UNITED COMMUNITY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. **BASIS OF PRESENTATION** - United Community Bancorp, a federal corporation (“old United Community Bancorp”) completed its previously announced conversion from the mutual holding company form of organization to the stock holding company form on January 9, 2013. As a result of the conversion, United Community Bancorp, an Indiana corporation (“United Community Bancorp” or “Company”), became the holding company for United Community Bank (“Bank”), and United Community MHC and old United Community Bancorp, ceased to exist. As part of the conversion, all outstanding shares of old United Community Bancorp common stock (other than those owned by United Community MHC) were converted into the right to receive 0.6573 of a share of United Community Bancorp common stock.

The information in this report for periods prior to the conversion date of January 9, 2013 refers to old United Community Bancorp, except share and per share information which have been restated to give retroactive recognition to the conversion ratio of 0.6573.

The Company, through the Bank, operates in a single business segment providing traditional banking services through its office and branches in southeastern Indiana. UCB Real Estate Management Holding, LLC is a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Bank. The entity was formed for the purpose of holding assets that are acquired by the Bank through, or in lieu of, foreclosure. UCB Financial Services, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Bank, was formed for the purpose of collecting commissions on investments referred to Lincoln Financial Group.

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements were prepared in accordance with the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission, and therefore do not include all information or footnotes necessary for complete financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. However, all normal recurring adjustments that, in the opinion of management, are necessary for a fair presentation of the financial statements have been included. No other adjustments have been included. The results for the three- and nine-month periods ended March 31, 2014 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2014. These financial statements should be read in conjunction the Company’s audited consolidated financial statements and the accompanying notes thereto for the year ended June 30, 2013, which are included in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 27, 2013.

The Company evaluates events and transactions occurring subsequent to the date of the financial statements for matters requiring recognition or disclosure in the financial statements.

2. EMPLOYEE STOCK OWNERSHIP PLAN (“ESOP”) – As of March 31, 2014 and June 30, 2013, the ESOP owned 256,641 and 274,307 shares, respectively, of the Company’s common stock. The shares owned by the ESOP are held in a suspense account until released for allocation to participants.

3. EARNINGS PER SHARE (“EPS”) – Non-vested shares with non-forfeitable dividend rights are considered participating securities and, thus, subject to the two-class method pursuant to ASC 260, *Earnings per Share*, when computing basic and diluted earnings per share. The Company’s restricted share awards contain non-forfeitable dividend rights but do not contractually obligate the holders to share in the losses of the Company. Accordingly, during periods of net income, unvested restricted shares are included in the determination of both basic and diluted EPS. During periods of net loss, these shares are excluded from both basic and diluted EPS.

Basic EPS is based on the weighted average number of common shares and unvested restricted shares outstanding, adjusted for ESOP shares not yet committed to be released. Diluted EPS reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock, such as outstanding stock options, were exercised or converted into common stock or resulted in the issuance of common stock. Diluted EPS is calculated by adjusting the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding to include the effects of contracts or securities exercisable or which could be converted into common stock, if dilutive, using the treasury stock method. For each of the three- and nine-month periods ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, outstanding options to purchase 227,626 shares were excluded from the computations of diluted earnings per share as their effect would have been anti-dilutive. The following is a reconciliation of the basic and diluted weighted average number of common shares outstanding:

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | | Nine Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|---------------------------------|-----------|--------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Basic weighted average outstanding shares | 4,814,774 | 4,892,523 | 4,855,390 | 4,998,364 |
| Effect of dilutive stock options | — | — | — | — |
| Diluted weighted average outstanding shares | 4,814,774 | 4,892,523 | 4,855,390 | 4,998,364 |

4. **STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION** – The Company applies the provisions of ASC 718-10-35-2, *Compensation-Stock Compensation*, to stock-based compensation, which requires the Company to measure the cost of employee services received in exchange for awards of equity instruments and to recognize this cost in the financial statements over the period during which the employee is required to provide such services. The Company has elected to recognize compensation cost associated with its outstanding stock-based compensation awards with graded vesting on an accelerated basis pursuant to ASC 718-10-35-8. The expense is calculated for stock options at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The expense associated with restricted stock awards is calculated based upon the value of the common stock on the date of grant. No stock-based compensation awards were granted during the three- and nine-month periods ended March 31, 2014 and 2013.

5. **DIVIDENDS** – On August 22, 2013, October 24, 2013 and January 23, 2014, the Board of Directors of the Company declared cash dividends on the Company’s outstanding shares of stock of \$0.06 per share for each period. The dividends, totaling \$812,000, were paid during the nine months ended March 31, 2014.

6. **STOCK REPURCHASE PLAN**

On February 3, 2014 the Company’s board of directors approved the repurchase of up to 514,956 shares of the Company’s outstanding common stock, which is approximately 10% of the Company’s outstanding shares. Purchases will be conducted solely through and based upon the parameters of a Rule 10b5-1 repurchase plan. As of March 31, 2014, 109,600 shares have been repurchased at a total cost of \$1.2 million.

7. **SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION**

Nine Months Ended
March 31,
2014 2013
(Dollars in
thousands)

Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information is as follows:

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---|----------|-----------|
| Cash paid (refunded) during the period for: | | |
| Income taxes | \$ 522 | \$ (182) |
| Interest | \$ 2,010 | \$ 2,652 |

Supplemental disclosure of non-cash investing and financing activities is as follows:

| | | |
|---|---------|-----------|
| Unrealized loss on securities designated as available for sale, net of tax | \$ (9) | \$ (422) |
| Transfers of loans to other real estate owned | \$ 277 | \$ 2,534 |
| Accrued dividends | \$ - | \$ 927 |
| Beginning of period adjustment from transfer of mortgage servicing rights from amortized cost method to fair value method, net of tax | \$ 45 | \$ - |

8. DISCLOSURES ABOUT FAIR VALUE OF ASSETS AND LIABILITIES - ASC 820, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures*, requires disclosure of the fair value of financial instruments, both assets and liabilities, whether or not recognized in the consolidated balance sheet, for which it is practicable to estimate the value. For financial instruments where quoted market prices are not available, fair values are estimated using present value or other valuation methods.

The following methods and assumptions are used in estimating the fair values of financial instruments:

Cash and cash equivalents

The carrying values presented in the consolidated statements of position approximate fair value.

Investments and mortgage-backed securities

For investment securities (debt instruments) and mortgage-backed securities, fair values are based on quoted market prices, where available. If a quoted market price is not available, fair value is estimated using quoted market prices of comparable instruments.

Loans receivable

The fair value of the loan portfolio is estimated by evaluating homogeneous categories of loans with similar financial characteristics. Loans are segregated by types, such as residential mortgage, commercial real estate, and consumer. Each loan category is further segmented into fixed and adjustable rate interest, terms, and by performing and non-performing categories. The fair value of performing loans, except residential mortgage loans, is calculated by discounting contractual cash flows using estimated market discount rates which reflect the credit and interest rate risk inherent in the loan. For performing residential mortgage loans, fair value is estimated by discounting contractual cash flows adjusted for prepayment estimates using discount rates based on secondary market sources. The fair value for significant non-performing loans is based on recent internal or external appraisals. Assumptions regarding credit risk, cash flow, and discount rates are judgmentally determined by using available market information.

Federal Home Loan Bank stock

The Bank is a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank system and is required to maintain an investment based upon a pre-determined formula. The carrying values presented in the consolidated statements of position approximate fair value.

Deposits

The fair values of passbook accounts, NOW accounts, and money market savings and demand deposits approximate their carrying values. The fair values of fixed maturity certificates of deposit are estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies interest rates currently offered for deposits of similar maturities.

Advance from Federal Home Loan Bank

The fair value is calculated using rates available to the Company on advances with similar terms and remaining maturities.

Off-balance sheet items

Carrying value is a reasonable estimate of fair value. These instruments are generally variable rate or short-term in nature, with minimal fees charged.

The estimated fair values of the Company's financial instruments at March 31, 2014 and June 30, 2013 are as follows:

| | March 31, 2014 | | June 30, 2013 | |
|---|---------------------|---------------|---------------------|---------------|
| | Carrying Amounts | Fair Value | Carrying Amounts | Fair Value |
| (In thousands) | | | | |
| Financial assets: | | | | |
| Cash and due from banks | \$27,836 | \$27,836 | \$16,787 | \$16,787 |
| Investment securities available for sale | 36,674 | 36,674 | 32,013 | 32,013 |
| Investment securities held to maturity | 337 | 337 | 417 | 417 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 173,170 | 173,170 | 170,117 | 170,117 |
| Loans receivable and loans receivable held for sale | 246,162 | 245,320 | 254,995 | 253,472 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 1,686 | 1,686 | 1,636 | 1,636 |
| Investment in FHLB stock | 6,588 | 6,588 | 6,588 | 6,588 |
| Financial liabilities: | | | | |
| Deposits | 434,149 | 435,460 | 421,243 | 422,987 |
| Accrued interest payable | 27 | 27 | 29 | 29 |
| FHLB advance | 15,000 | 14,923 | 15,000 | 14,850 |

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|-------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Off-balance sheet items | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$— |
|-------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|

7

ASC 820-10-50-2 also establishes a fair value hierarchy which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The standard describes three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value:

Level 1 Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities.

Level 3 Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities.

Fair value methods and assumptions are set forth below for each type of financial instrument. Where quoted prices are available in an active market, securities are classified within Level 1 of the valuation hierarchy. Level 2 securities include U.S. Government and agency mortgage-backed securities, U.S. Government agency bonds, municipal securities, and other real estate owned. If quoted market prices are not available, the Bank utilizes a third party vendor to calculate the fair value of its available for sale securities. The third party vendor uses quoted prices of securities with similar characteristics when available. If such quotes are not available, the third party vendor uses pricing models or discounted cash flow models with observable inputs to determine the fair value of these securities.

Fair value measurements for certain assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis:

| | Total | Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets (Level 1) | Significant other observable inputs (Level 2) | Significant other unobservable inputs (Level 3) |
|--|----------------|--|---|---|
| March 31, 2014: | (In thousands) | | | |
| Mortgage-backed securities | \$ 173,170 | \$ — | \$ 173,170 | \$ — |
| Municipal bonds | 34,521 | — | 34,521 | — |
| U.S. Government Agency Bonds | 1,985 | — | 1,985 | — |
| Other equity securities | 168 | 168 | — | — |
| Mortgage servicing rights ⁽¹⁾ | 820 | — | 820 | — |
| June 30, 2013: | | | | |
| Mortgage-backed securities | \$ 170,117 | \$ — | \$ 170,117 | \$ — |
| Municipal bonds | 31,851 | — | 31,851 | — |
| Other equity securities | 162 | 162 | — | — |

Effective July 1, 2013, the Company changed its accounting method for mortgage servicing rights from the amortization method to the fair value measurement method, as permitted in accordance with FASB ASC 860-50, (1) “Servicing Assets and Liabilities”. In accordance with ASC 860-50, the Company recorded an adjustment at the beginning of the period to retained earnings for the value of such servicing rights at that date.

Fair value measurements for certain assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis:

| | Total | Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets (Level 1) | Significant other observable inputs (Level 2) | Significant other unobservable inputs (Level 3) |
|-------------------------|----------------|---|---|---|
| March 31, 2014: | (In thousands) | | | |
| Other real estate owned | \$578 | \$ — | \$ 578 | \$ — |
| Impaired loans | 20,693 | — | 20,693 | — |
| June 30, 2013: | | | | |
| Other real estate owned | \$618 | \$ — | \$ 618 | \$ — |
| Loans held for sale | 417 | — | 417 | — |
| Impaired loans | 23,920 | — | 23,920 | — |

The adjustments to other real estate owned and impaired loans are based primarily on appraisals of the real estate, cash flow analysis or other observable market prices. The Bank's policy is that fair values for these assets are based on current appraisals or cash flow analysis.

The following table presents fair value measurements for the Company's financial instruments which are not recognized at fair value in the accompanying statements of financial position on a recurring or nonrecurring basis.

| | Total | Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets (Level 1) | Significant other observable inputs (Level 2) | Significant other unobservable inputs (Level 3) |
|--|----------|--|---|---|
| March 31, 2014: | | | | |
| Financial assets: | | | | |
| Cash and interest bearing deposits | \$27,836 | \$ 27,836 | \$ — | \$ — |
| Investment securities held to maturity | 337 | — | 337 | — |
| Loans receivable and loans held for sale | 245,320 | — | 245,320 | — |
| Accrued interest receivable | 1,686 | — | 1,686 | — |
| Investment in FHLB stock | 6,588 | — | 6,588 | — |
| Financial liabilities: | | | | |
| Deposits | 435,460 | — | 435,460 | — |
| Accrued interest payable | 27 | — | 27 | — |

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--|----------|-----------|---------|------|
| FHLB advances | 14,923 | — | 14,923 | — |
| June 30, 2013: | | | | |
| Financial assets: | | | | |
| Cash and interest bearing deposits | \$16,787 | \$ 16,787 | \$— | \$ — |
| Investment securities held to maturity | 417 | — | 417 | — |
| Loans receivable and loans held for sale | 253,472 | — | 253,472 | — |
| Accrued interest receivable | 1,636 | — | 1,636 | — |
| Investment in FHLB stock | 6,588 | — | 6,588 | — |
| Financial liabilities: | | | | |
| Deposits | 422,987 | — | 422,987 | — |
| Accrued interest payable | 29 | — | 29 | — |
| FHLB advances | 14,850 | — | 14,850 | — |

9. INVESTMENT SECURITIES

Investment securities available for sale at March 31, 2014 consisted of the following:

| | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Estimated Market Value |
|------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Mortgage-backed securities | \$ 175,909 | \$ 234 | \$ 2,973 | \$ 173,170 |
| Municipal bonds | 35,205 | 324 | 1,008 | 34,521 |
| U.S. Government Agency Bonds | 2,000 | - | 15 | 1,985 |
| Other equity securities | 210 | — | 42 | 168 |
| | \$ 213,324 | \$ 558 | \$ 4,038 | \$ 209,844 |

Investment securities held to maturity at March 31, 2014 consisted of the following:

| | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Estimated Market Value |
|-----------------|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Municipal Bonds | \$ 337 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 337 |

Investment securities available for sale at June 30, 2013 consisted of the following:

| | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Estimated Market Value |
|----------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Mortgage-backed securities | \$ 172,478 | \$ 181 | \$ 2,542 | \$ 170,117 |
| Municipal bonds | 32,894 | 239 | 1,282 | 31,851 |
| Other equity securities | 210 | — | 48 | 162 |
| | \$ 205,582 | \$ 420 | \$ 3,872 | \$ 202,130 |

Investment securities held to maturity at June 30, 2013 consisted of the following:

| | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Estimated Market Value |
|-----------------|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Municipal Bonds | \$ 417 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 417 |

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-Q

The mortgage-backed securities, callable bonds and municipal bonds available for sale have the following maturities at March 31, 2014:

| | Amortized cost | Estimated market value |
|--|-------------------|---------------------------|
| Due or callable in one year or less | \$ - | \$ - |
| Due or callable in 1 - 5 years | 161,805 | 159,408 |
| Due or callable in 5 - 10 years | 42,660 | 41,933 |
| Due or callable in greater than 10 years | 8,649 | 8,335 |
| Total debt securities | \$ 213,114 | \$ 209,676 |

All other securities available for sale at March 31, 2014 are saleable within one year. The Bank held \$337,000 and \$417,000 in investment securities that were being held to maturity at March 31, 2014 and June 30, 2013, respectively. The investment securities held to maturity have annual returns of principal and will be fully matured between 2014 and 2019.

The expected returns of principal of investments held to maturity are as follows as of March 31, 2014:

(dollars in thousands):

| | |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| April 1, 2014 through June 30, 2014 | \$- |
| 2015 | 86 |
| 2016 | 56 |
| 2017 | 61 |
| 2018 | 65 |
| 2018 and thereafter | 69 |
| | \$337 |

Gross proceeds on the sale of investment and mortgage-backed securities were \$45,000 and \$13.8 million for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Gross proceeds on the sale of investment and mortgage-backed securities were \$90,000 and \$37.6 million for the nine-month periods ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Gross realized gains for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 were \$-0- and \$298,000, respectively. Gross realized gains for the nine-month periods ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 were \$-0- and \$561,000, respectively. There were no gross realized losses for the three- and nine-month periods ended March 31, 2014. Gross realized losses for the three- and nine-month periods ended March 31, 2013 were \$8,000 and \$8,000, respectively.

The table below indicates the length of time individual investment securities and mortgage-backed securities have been in a continuous loss position at March 31, 2014:

| | Less than 12 months | | 12 months or longer | | Total | |
|------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|
| | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
| | (In thousands) | | | | | |
| Mortgage-backed securities | \$79,184 | \$ 1,324 | \$ 64,309 | \$ 1,649 | \$143,493 | \$ 2,973 |
| Municipal bonds | 17,955 | 593 | 6,100 | 415 | 24,055 | 1,008 |
| U.S. Government agency bonds | 1,985 | 15 | - | - | 1,985 | 15 |
| Other equity securities | - | - | 168 | 42 | 168 | 42 |
| | \$99,124 | \$ 1,932 | \$ 70,577 | \$ 2,106 | \$169,701 | \$ 4,038 |
| Number of investments | 62 | | 35 | | 97 | |

Securities available for sale are reviewed for possible other-than-temporary impairment on a quarterly basis. During this review, management considers the severity and duration of the unrealized losses as well as its intent and ability to hold the securities until recovery, taking into account balance sheet management strategies and its market view and

outlook. Management also assesses the nature of the unrealized losses taking into consideration factors such as changes in risk-free interest rates, general credit spread widening, market supply and demand, creditworthiness of the issuer or any credit enhancement providers, and the quality of the underlying collateral. Management does not intend to sell these securities in the foreseeable future, and does not believe that it is more likely than not that the Bank will be required to sell a security in an unrealized loss position prior to a recovery in its value. The decline in market value is due to changes in market interest rates. The fair values are expected to recover as the securities approach maturity dates.

10. GOODWILL AND INTANGIBLE ASSET

In June 2010, old United Community Bancorp acquired three branches from Integra Bank National Association (“Integra”), which was accounted for under the purchase method of accounting. Under the purchase method, the Company is required to allocate the cost of an acquired company to the assets acquired, including identified intangible assets, and liabilities assumed based on their estimated fair values at the date of acquisition. The excess cost over the value of net assets acquired represents goodwill, which is not subject to amortization.

Goodwill arising from business combinations represents the value attributable to unidentifiable intangible elements in the business acquired. Goodwill recorded by the Company in connection with its acquisition relates to the inherent value in the business acquired and this value is dependent upon the Company’s ability to provide quality, cost-effective services in a competitive market place. As such, goodwill value is supported ultimately by revenue that is driven by the volume of business transacted. A decline in earnings as a result of a lack of growth or the inability to deliver cost-effective services over sustained periods can lead to impairment of goodwill that could adversely impact earnings in future periods.

As permitted by current accounting rules, the Company completed its qualitative assessment to determine whether current events or changes in circumstances lead to a determination that it is more likely than not, as defined, that the fair value of the reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. Based upon the Company's assessment, there was no such determination that the fair value of the reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. Accordingly, the Company did not apply the traditional two-step goodwill impairment test.

The following table indicates changes to the core deposit intangible asset and goodwill balances for the nine-month period ended March 31, 2014:

| | Core Deposit Goodwill Intangible (In thousands) | |
|---------------------------|--|----------|
| Balance at June 30, 2013 | \$ 690 | \$ 2,522 |
| Amortization | (110) | - |
| Balance at March 31, 2014 | \$ 580 | \$ 2,522 |

The core deposit intangible is being amortized using the double declining balance method over its estimated useful life of 8.75 years. Remaining amortization of the core deposit intangible is as follows (dollars in thousands) as of March 31, 2014:

| | |
|-------------------------------------|--------|
| April 1, 2014 through June 30, 2014 | \$ 33 |
| 2015 | 118 |
| 2016 | 117 |
| 2017 | 117 |
| 2018 | 117 |
| 2019 | 78 |
| | \$ 580 |

11. DISCLOSURES ABOUT THE CREDIT QUALITY OF LOANS RECEIVABLE AND THE ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES (IN THOUSANDS)

The following tables illustrate certain disclosures required by ASC 310-10-50-11B(c), (g) and (h), the changes to the allowance for loan losses, for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2014 (in thousands):

Allowance for Credit Losses and Recorded Investment in Loans Receivable

| | One- to Four- Family Owner- Occupied Mortgage | Consumer | One- to Four-family Non-owner Occupied Mortgage | Multi- family owner Occupied Mortgage | Non- Residential Real estate | Non- Construction | and | Commercial and Agricultural | Total |
|---------------------------------|---|-----------|---|---|------------------------------------|----------------------|----------|-----------------------------------|------------|
| Allowance for Credit Losses: | | | | | | | | | |
| Balance, January 1, 2014: | \$ 1,007 | \$ 638 | \$ 209 | \$ 1,161 | \$ 2,294 | \$ 12 | \$ 17 | \$ 33 | \$ 5,371 |
| Charge offs | (2) | (25) | - | - | - | - | (12) | (4) | (43) |
| Recoveries | 3 | 41 | 1 | 10 | 1 | - | 12 | - | 68 |
| Provision (credit) | 156 | (97) | 6 | 26 | (18) | (1) | (2) | 5 | 75 |
| Ending Balance: | \$ 1,164 | \$ 557 | \$ 216 | \$ 1,197 | \$ 2,277 | \$ 11 | \$ 15 | \$ 34 | \$ 5,471 |
| Allowance for Credit Losses: | | | | | | | | | |
| Balance, July 1, 2013: | \$ 942 | \$ 553 | \$ 215 | \$ 1,286 | \$ 2,386 | \$ 10 | \$ 17 | \$ 34 | \$ 5,443 |
| Charge offs | (459) | (103) | - | - | (30) | - | (15) | (4) | (611) |
| Recoveries | 370 | 95 | 2 | 411 | 28 | - | 24 | 1 | 931 |
| Provision (credit) | 311 | 12 | (1) | (500) | (107) | 1 | (11) | 3 | (292) |
| Ending Balance: | \$ 1,164 | \$ 557 | \$ 216 | \$ 1,197 | \$ 2,277 | \$ 11 | \$ 15 | \$ 34 | \$ 5,471 |
| Balance, Individually Evaluated | | | | | | | | | |
| Balance, Individually Evaluated | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 16 | \$ 357 | \$ 160 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 533 |
| Balance, Collectively Evaluated | | | | | | | | | |
| Balance, Collectively Evaluated | \$ 1,164 | \$ 557 | \$ 200 | \$ 840 | \$ 2,117 | \$ 11 | \$ 15 | \$ 34 | \$ 4,938 |
| Financing receivables: | | | | | | | | | |
| Ending balance | \$ 112,040 | \$ 34,153 | \$ 14,845 | \$ 27,057 | \$ 50,067 | \$ 3,147 | \$ 2,822 | \$ 7,610 | \$ 251,741 |
| Ending Balance, individually | \$ 3,919 | \$ 633 | \$ 882 | \$ 7,450 | \$ 8,321 | \$ - | \$ 21 | \$ - | \$ 21,226 |

evaluated for
impairment

Ending Balance:

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|------------|
| collectively evaluated for impairment | \$ 98,885 | \$ 29,305 | \$ 13,376 | \$ 19,607 | \$ 38,549 | \$ 3,147 | \$ 2,737 | \$ 6,486 | \$ 212,092 |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|------------|

Ending Balance:

| | | | | | | | | | |
|--|----------|----------|--------|------|----------|------|-------|----------|-----------|
| loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality | \$ 9,236 | \$ 4,215 | \$ 587 | \$ - | \$ 3,197 | \$ - | \$ 64 | \$ 1,124 | \$ 18,423 |
|--|----------|----------|--------|------|----------|------|-------|----------|-----------|

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-Q

For the year ended June 30, 2013 (in thousands):

Allowance for Credit Losses and Recorded Investment in Loans Receivable

| | One- to Four- Family Owner- Occupied Mortgage | Consumer | One- to Four-family Non-owner Occupied Mortgage | Multi- family Non-Non- Occupied Mortgage | Residential Real estate | Construction | and | Commercial and Agricultural | Total |
|--|---|-----------|---|--|----------------------------|--------------|----------|-----------------------------------|------------|
| Allowance for Credit Losses: | | | | | | | | | |
| Beginning balance: | \$ 666 | \$ 477 | \$ 236 | \$ 1,915 | \$ 2,282 | \$ 3 | \$ 11 | \$ 24 | \$ 5,614 |
| Charge offs | (254) | (165) | (68) | - | (457) | - | - | - | (944) |
| Recoveries | 34 | 75 | 63 | 660 | 4 | - | - | 3 | 839 |
| Provision (credit) | 496 | 166 | (16) | (1,289) | 557 | 7 | 6 | 7 | (66) |
| Ending Balance: | \$ 942 | \$ 553 | \$ 215 | \$ 1,286 | \$ 2,386 | \$ 10 | \$ 17 | \$ 34 | \$ 5,443 |
| Balance, Individually Evaluated | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 7 | \$ 205 | \$ 120 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 332 |
| Balance, Collectively Evaluated | \$ 942 | \$ 553 | \$ 208 | \$ 1,081 | \$ 2,266 | \$ 10 | \$ 17 | \$ 34 | \$ 5,111 |
| Financing receivables: | | | | | | | | | |
| Ending balance | \$ 111,404 | \$ 35,699 | \$ 16,655 | \$ 32,306 | \$ 51,902 | \$ 2,200 | \$ 3,435 | \$ 7,115 | \$ 260,716 |
| Ending Balance: individually evaluated for impairment | \$ 5,121 | \$ 535 | \$ 1,370 | \$ 9,951 | \$ 7,251 | \$ - | \$ 24 | \$ - | \$ 24,252 |
| Ending Balance: collectively evaluated for impairment | \$ 95,779 | \$ 30,406 | \$ 14,628 | \$ 22,355 | \$ 41,265 | \$ 2,200 | \$ 3,304 | \$ 5,816 | \$ 215,753 |
| Ending Balance: loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality | \$ 10,504 | \$ 4,758 | \$ 657 | \$ - | \$ 3,386 | \$ - | \$ 107 | \$ 1,299 | \$ 20,711 |

The following tables illustrate certain disclosures required by ASC 310-10-50-29(b).

Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade

At March 31, 2014

(in thousands)

| Grade: | One- to Four- Family Owner- Occupied Mortgage | Consumer | One- to Four-family Non-owner Occupied Mortgage | Multi-family Non-owner Occupied Mortgage | Non- Residential Real estate | Construction | Land | Commercial and Agricultural | Total |
|--------------------|--|-----------|---|---|------------------------------------|--------------|----------|-----------------------------------|------------|
| Pass | \$ 101,635 | \$ 32,321 | \$ 8,948 | \$ 16,535 | \$ 30,643 | \$ 3,147 | \$ 899 | \$ 5,843 | \$ 199,971 |
| Watch | 5,736 | 961 | 4,256 | 2,729 | 8,560 | — | 845 | 1,767 | 24,854 |
| Special mention | 750 | 132 | 759 | 343 | 2,444 | — | 1,057 | — | 5,485 |
| Substandard | 3,919 | 739 | 882 | 7,450 | 8,420 | — | 21 | — | 21,431 |
| Total: | \$ 112,040 | \$ 34,153 | \$ 14,845 | \$ 27,057 | \$ 50,067 | \$ 3,147 | \$ 2,822 | \$ 7,610 | \$ 251,741 |

Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade

At June 30, 2013

(in thousands)

| Grade: | One- to Four- Family Owner- Occupied Mortgage | Consumer | One- to Four-family Non-owner Occupied Mortgage | Multi-family Non-owner Occupied Mortgage | Non- Residential Real estate | Construction | Land | Commercial and Agricultural | Total |
|--------------------|--|-----------|---|---|------------------------------------|--------------|----------|-----------------------------------|------------|
| Pass | \$ 99,494 | \$ 34,506 | \$ 10,909 | \$ 16,900 | \$ 26,340 | \$ 2,200 | \$ 2,364 | \$ 5,691 | \$ 198,404 |
| Watch | 6,033 | 641 | 3,988 | 5,102 | 14,866 | — | 861 | 1,414 | 32,905 |
| Special mention | 756 | 17 | 388 | 353 | 3,343 | — | 186 | — | 5,043 |
| Substandard | 5,121 | 535 | 1,370 | 9,951 | 7,353 | — | 24 | 10 | 24,364 |
| Total: | \$ 111,404 | \$ 35,699 | \$ 16,655 | \$ 32,306 | \$ 51,902 | \$ 2,200 | \$ 3,435 | \$ 7,115 | \$ 260,716 |

The following tables illustrate certain disclosures required by ASC 310-10-50-7A for gross loans.

Age Analysis of Past Due Loans Receivable

At March 31, 2014

(in thousands)

| | 30-59 days past due | 60-89 days past due | Greater than 90 days | Total past due | Total current | Total loans receivable |
|---|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|------------------|---------------------------|
| Mortgage One- to Four- Family - Owner-Occupied | \$ 1,650 | \$ 661 | \$ 515 | \$2,826 | \$109,214 | \$ 112,040 |
| Consumer | 147 | 210 | - | 357 | 33,796 | 34,153 |
| One- to Four- Family Non-Owner Occupied Mortgage | 421 | 284 | 84 | 789 | 14,056 | 14,845 |
| Multi-family Residential Real Estate Mortgage | 1,604 | - | - | 1,604 | 25,453 | 27,057 |
| Non-Residential Real Estate | 6 | 108 | 3,258 | 3,372 | 46,695 | 50,067 |
| Construction | - | - | - | - | 3,147 | 3,147 |
| Land | - | - | - | - | 2,822 | 2,822 |
| Commercial and Agricultural | 6 | - | - | 6 | 7,604 | 7,610 |
| Total | \$ 3,834 | \$ 1,263 | \$ 3,857 | \$8,954 | \$242,787 | \$ 251,741 |

Age Analysis of Past Due Loans Receivable

At June 30, 2013

(in thousands)

| | 30-59 days past due | 60-89 days past due | Greater than 90 days | Total past due | Total current | Total loans receivable |
|---|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|------------------|---------------------------|
| Mortgage One- to Four- Family - Owner-Occupied | \$ 1,748 | \$ 706 | \$ 889 | \$3,343 | \$108,061 | \$ 111,404 |
| Consumer | 202 | 68 | 8 | 278 | 35,421 | 35,699 |
| One- to Four- Family Non-Owner-Occupied Mortgage | 54 | 388 | — | 442 | 16,213 | 16,655 |
| Multi-family Residential Real Estate Mortgage | 110 | — | 2,263 | 2,373 | 29,933 | 32,306 |
| Nonresidential Real Estate | 286 | 18 | 719 | 1,023 | 50,879 | 51,902 |
| Construction | — | — | — | — | 2,200 | 2,200 |
| Land | — | — | — | — | 3,435 | 3,435 |
| Commercial and Agricultural | 7 | — | — | 7 | 7,108 | 7,115 |
| Total | \$ 2,407 | \$ 1,180 | \$ 3,879 | \$7,466 | \$253,250 | \$ 260,716 |

The following table illustrates certain disclosures required by ASC 310-10-50-15.

Impaired Loans

| | Recorded investment | Unpaid principal balance | Specific allowance | For the three months ended March 31, 2014 | | For the nine months ended March 31, 2014 | |
|---|------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| | | | | Interest income recognized | Average Recorded investment | Interest income recognized | Average Recorded investment |
| With a related allowance recorded: | | | | | | | |
| Mortgage One- to Four- Family - Owner-Occupied | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| Consumer | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| One- to Four- Family Non-Owner Occupied Mortgage | 154 | 170 | (16) | - | 77 | 5 | 205 |
| Multi-family Residential Real Estate Mortgage | 2,979 | 3,335 | (356) | - | 3,076 | 56 | 3,168 |
| Non-Residential Real Estate | 3,088 | 3,249 | (161) | - | 2,478 | 22 | 2,177 |
| Construction | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Land | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Commercial and Agricultural | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total | \$ 6,221 | \$ 6,754 | \$ (533) | \$ - | \$ 5,631 | \$ 83 | \$ 5,550 |

Impaired Loans

| | Recorded investment | Unpaid principal balance | Specific allowance | For the three months ended March 31, 2014 | | For the nine months ended March 31, 2014 | |
|--|---------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|---|-----------------------------|--|-----------------------------|
| | | | | Interest income recognized | Average Recorded investment | Interest income recognized | Average Recorded investment |
| With no related allowance recorded: | | | | | | | |
| Mortgage One- to Four- Family - Owner-Occupied | \$ 3,919 | \$ 4,620 | \$ - | \$ 15 | \$ 4,015 | \$ 50 | \$ 4,359 |
| Consumer | 633 | 1,143 | - | 6 | 637 | 19 | 580 |
| One- to Four- Family Non-Owner Occupied Mortgage | 712 | 773 | - | 8 | 906 | 23 | 966 |
| Multi-family Residential Real Estate Mortgage | 4,115 | 5,811 | - | 59 | 4,155 | 178 | 4,740 |
| Non-Residential Real Estate Construction | 5,072 | 8,864 | - | 20 | 4,606 | 66 | 4,912 |
| Land | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Commercial and Agricultural | 21 | 29 | - | - | 28 | - | 26 |
| Total | - | 10 | - | - | 2 | - | 1 |
| | \$ 14,472 | \$ 21,250 | \$ - | \$ 108 | \$ 14,349 | \$ 336 | \$ 15,584 |

Impaired Loans

| | Recorded investment | Unpaid principal balance | Specific allowance | For the three months ended March 31, 2014 | | For the nine months ended March 31, 2014 | |
|--|---------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|---|-----------------------------|--|-----------------------------|
| | | | | Interest income recognized | Average Recorded investment | Interest income recognized | Average Recorded investment |
| Total: | | | | | | | |
| Mortgage One- to Four- Family - Owner-Occupied | \$ 3,919 | \$4,620 | \$ - | \$ 15 | \$ 4,015 | \$ 50 | \$ 4,359 |
| Consumer | 633 | 1,143 | - | 6 | 637 | 19 | 580 |
| One- to Four- Family Non-Owner Occupied Mortgage | 866 | 943 | (16) | 8 | 983 | 28 | 1,171 |
| Multi-family Residential Real Estate Mortgage | 7,094 | 9,146 | (356) | 59 | 7,231 | 234 | 7,908 |
| Non-Residential Real Estate Construction | 8,160 | 12,113 | (161) | 20 | 7,084 | 88 | 7,089 |
| Land | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Commercial and Agricultural | 21 | 29 | - | - | 28 | - | 26 |
| Total | - | 10 | - | - | 2 | - | 1 |
| Total | \$ 20,693 | \$28,004 | \$ (533) | \$ 108 | \$ 19,980 | \$ 419 | \$ 21,134 |

Impaired Loans

| | Recorded investment | Unpaid principal balance | Specific allowance | For the year ended June 30, 2013 | |
|--|---------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | | | | Interest income recognized | Average recorded investment |
| (in thousands) | | | | | |
| With an allowance recorded: | | | | | |
| One- to Four- Family - Owner-Occupied | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$ 20 |
| Consumer | — | — | — | — | — |
| One- to Four- Family Non-Owner Occupied Mortgage | 334 | 341 | (7) | 21 | 405 |
| Multi-family Residential Real Estate Mortgage | 3,283 | 3,488 | (205) | 103 | 3,775 |
| Nonresidential Real Estate | 1,880 | 2,000 | (120) | 71 | 3,397 |
| Construction | — | — | — | — | — |
| Land | — | — | — | — | — |
| Commercial and Agricultural | — | — | — | — | — |
| Total | \$5,497 | \$ 5,829 | \$ (332) | \$ 195 | \$ 7,597 |

| | Recorded investment | Unpaid principal balance | Specific allowance | For the year ended June 30, 2013 | |
|--------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | | | | Interest income recognized | Average recorded investment |
| (in thousands) | | | | | |
| Without an allowance recorded: | | | | | |

Without an allowance recorded:

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | |
|--|----------|----------|----|---|---------|----------|
| Mortgage One- to Four- Family - Owner-Occupied | \$5,121 | \$5,876 | \$ | — | \$65 | \$5,799 |
| Consumer | 535 | 1,116 | | — | 26 | 521 |
| One- to Four- Family Non-Owner Occupied Mortgage | 1,029 | 1,114 | | — | 26 | 657 |
| Multi-family Residential Real Estate Mortgage | 6,463 | 8,570 | | — | 219 | 7,855 |
| Non-residential Real Estate | 5,251 | 9,239 | | — | 2,118 | 3,480 |
| Construction | — | — | | — | — | — |
| Land | 24 | 45 | | — | 27 | 26 |
| Commercial and Agricultural | — | 7 | | — | 195 | 120 |
| Total | \$18,423 | \$25,967 | \$ | — | \$2,676 | \$18,458 |

| | Recorded investment | Unpaid principal balance | Specific allowance | Interest income recognized | Average recorded investment |
|--|---------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | (in thousands) | | | | |
| Total: | | | | | |
| Mortgage One- to Four- Family - Owner-Occupied | \$5,121 | \$5,876 | \$ — | \$65 | \$5,819 |
| Consumer | 535 | 1,116 | — | 26 | 521 |
| One- to Four- Family Non-Owner Occupied Mortgage | 1,363 | 1,455 | (7) | 47 | 1,062 |
| Multi-family Residential Real Estate Mortgage | 9,746 | 12,058 | (205) | 322 | 11,630 |
| Nonresidential Real Estate | 7,131 | 11,239 | (120) | 2,189 | 6,877 |
| Construction | — | — | — | — | — |
| Land | 24 | 45 | — | 27 | 26 |
| Commercial and Agricultural | — | 7 | — | 195 | 120 |
| Total | \$23,920 | \$31,796 | \$ (332) | \$2,871 | \$26,055 |

The Bank did not have any investments in subprime loans at March 31, 2014. Impaired loans at March 31, 2014 included troubled debt restructurings (“TDR”) with an aggregate principal balance of \$13.6 million and a recorded investment of \$13.3 million. See Note 12 for a discussion on TDRs.

12. TROUBLED DEBT RESTRUCTURINGS - From time to time, as part of our loss mitigation process, loans may be renegotiated in a TDR when we determine that greater economic value will ultimately be recovered under the new restructured terms than through foreclosure, liquidation, or bankruptcy. We may consider the borrower’s payment status and history, the borrower’s ability to pay upon a rate reset on an adjustable rate mortgage, size of the payment increase upon a rate reset, period of time remaining prior to the rate reset, and other relevant factors in determining whether a borrower is experiencing financial difficulty. TDRs are accounted for as set forth in ASC 310-40 *Troubled Debt Restructurings by Creditors* (“ASC 310-40”). A TDR may be on nonaccrual or it may accrue interest. A TDR is typically on nonaccrual until the borrower successfully performs under the new terms for at least six consecutive months. However, a TDR may be placed on accrual immediately following the restructuring in those instances where a borrower’s payments are current prior to the modification, the loan is restructured at a market rate and management determines that principal and interest under the new terms are fully collectible. All TDRs are considered to be impaired loans. A TDR will be removed from TDR classification if it is restructured at a market rate, is not impaired under restructured terms and has been performing for at least twelve consecutive months.

Existing performing loan customers who request a loan (non-TDR) modification and who meet the Bank's underwriting standards may, usually for a fee, modify their original loan terms to terms currently offered. The modified terms of these loans are similar to the terms offered to new customers with similar credit risk. The fee assessed for modifying the loan is deferred and amortized over the life of the modified loan using the level-yield method and is reflected as an adjustment to interest income. Each modification is examined on a loan-by-loan basis and if the modification of terms represents more than a minor change to the loan, then the unamortized balance of the pre-modification deferred fees or costs associated with the mortgage loan are recognized in interest income at the time of the modification. If the modification of terms does not represent more than a minor change to the loan, then the unamortized balance of the pre-modification deferred fees or costs continue to be deferred.

The following tables summarize TDRs by loan type and accrual status.

| | | At March 31, 2014 | | Total Unpaid Principal Balance | Related Allowance | Recorded Investment | Number of Loans | Average Recorded Investment |
|---|--|-------------------|------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | | Loan Status | | | | | | |
| (In thousands) | | Accrual | Nonaccrual | | | | | |
| One- to Four-Family residential real estate | | \$1,271 | \$ 1,578 | \$ 2,849 | \$ - | \$ 2,849 | 23 | \$ 3,068 |
| Multi-family residential real estate | | 4,115 | 1,604 | 5,719 | 172 | 5,547 | 11 | 5,664 |
| Nonresidential real estate | | 3,384 | 1,673 | 5,057 | 120 | 4,937 | 12 | 5,042 |
| Total | | \$8,770 | \$ 4,855 | \$ 13,625 | \$ 292 | \$ 13,333 | 46 | \$ 13,774 |

| | | At June 30, 2013 | | Total Unpaid Principal Balance | Related Allowance | Recorded Investment | Number of Loans | Average Recorded Investment |
|---|--|------------------|------------|---|----------------------|------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | | Loan Status | | | | | | |
| (In thousands) | | Accrual | Nonaccrual | | | | | |
| One- to Four-Family residential real estate | | \$2,061 | \$ 2,554 | \$ 4,615 | \$ 7 | \$ 4,608 | 27 | \$ 4,779 |
| Multi-family residential real estate | | 5,827 | 2,263 | 8,090 | 20 | 8,070 | 12 | 9,935 |
| Nonresidential real estate | | 3,656 | 2,701 | 6,357 | 120 | 6,237 | 13 | 5,941 |
| Total | | \$11,544 | \$ 7,518 | \$ 19,062 | \$ 147 | \$ 18,915 | 52 | \$ 20,655 |

Interest income recognized on TDRs is as follows:

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-Q

| | For the three months ended | | For the nine months ended | |
|--|----------------------------|--------|---------------------------|--------|
| | March 31, | | March 31, | |
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
| One-to-Four Family residential real estate | \$ 14 | \$ 18 | \$ 48 | \$ 93 |
| Multi-family residential real estate | 59 | 75 | 233 | 309 |
| Nonresidential real estate | 20 | 12 | 87 | 98 |
| Construction | - | - | - | 2 |
| Commercial | - | - | - | - |
| Consumer | - | - | - | - |
| Total | \$ 93 | \$ 105 | \$ 368 | \$ 502 |

18

At March 31, 2014, the Bank had 46 loans totaling \$13.6 million that were reported as TDRs, and had established an allowance for losses on these loans of \$292,000. With respect to the \$13.6 million in TDRs, the Bank charged-off \$5.1 million with respect to 10 of these loans at the time these 10 loans were restructured into the Note A/B format. At June 30, 2013, the Bank had 52 loans totaling \$19.1 million that were reported as TDRs, and had an allowance for losses on these loans of \$147,000. With respect to the \$19.1 million in TDRs, the Bank charged-off \$5.1 million with respect to these loans at the time these loans were restructured into the Note A/B format. At March 31, 2014, the Bank had no other commitments to lend on its TDRs. Management continues to monitor the performance of loans reported as TDRs on a monthly basis.

Loans that were included in TDRs at March 31, 2014 and June 30, 2013 were generally given concessions of interest rate reductions of between 25 and 300 basis points, and/or structured as interest only payment loans for periods of one to three years. Many of these loans also have balloon payments due at the end of their lowered interest rate period, requiring the borrower to refinance at market interest rates at that time. At March 31, 2014, there were 42 loans with required principal and interest payments and four loans with required interest only payments. At June 30, 2013, there were 47 loans with required principal and interest payments and five loans with required interest only payments.

The following table is a roll forward of activity in our TDRs:

| | Three Months Ended March 31, 2014 | | Nine Months Ended March 31, 2014 | |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------|
| | Recorded Investment | Number of Loans | Recorded Investment | Number of Loans |
| (Dollar amounts in thousands) | | | | |
| Beginning balance | \$ 14,213 | 47 | \$ 18,915 | 52 |
| Additions to TDR | - | - | 20 | - |
| Charge-offs | (152) | - | (206) | - |
| Removal of TDRs ⁽¹⁾ | (102) | (1) | (4,304) | (6) |
| Payments | (626) | - | (1,092) | - |
| Ending balance | \$ 13,333 | 46 | \$ 13,333 | 46 |

⁽¹⁾ The removal of these loans from TDR was due to the payoff of the loans during the nine months ended March 31, 2014.

13. EFFECT OF RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In January 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-04, *Receivables – Troubled Debt Restructurings by Creditors (Subtopic 310-40)*, which clarifies when an in substance repossession or foreclosure has occurred and the creditor should be considered to have received physical possession of residential real estate property collateralizing a

consumer mortgage loan such that the loan receivable should be derecognized and the real estate property recognized. A creditor is considered to have received physical possession of residential real estate property collateralizing a consumer mortgage loan either when legal title to the residential real estate property is obtained upon completion of a foreclosure or when the borrower has conveyed all interest in the residential real property to the creditor to satisfy the loan through completion of a deed in lieu of foreclosure or similar arrangement. The ASU also require disclosure of both the amount of foreclosed residential real estate property held by the creditor and the recorded investment in consumer mortgage loans collateralized by residential real estate property that are in the process of foreclosure. The guidance is effective for annual periods, and interim periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2014. We do not expect the adoption of these provisions to have a significant impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In July 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-11, *Presentation of an Unrecognized Tax Benefit When a Net Operating Loss Carryforward, a Similar Tax Loss, or a Tax Credit Carryforward Exists*, which amends ASC 740, Income Taxes. The amendments provide guidance on the financial statement presentation of an unrecognized tax benefit, as either a reduction of a deferred tax asset or as a liability, when a net operating loss carryforward, similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward exists. The amendments are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2013 and may be applied on either a prospective or retrospective basis. We do not expect the adoption of these provisions to have a significant impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Forward-Looking Statements

This report contains forward-looking statements that are based on assumptions and may describe future plans, strategies and expectations of the Company. These forward-looking statements are generally identified by use of the words "believe," "expect," "intend," "anticipate," "estimate," "project" or similar expressions. The Company's ability to predict results or the actual effect of future plans or strategies is inherently uncertain. Factors which could have a material adverse effect on the operations of the Company and its subsidiaries include, but are not limited to, general economic conditions, changes in the interest rate environment, legislative or regulatory changes that may adversely affect our business, changes in accounting policies and practices, changes in competition and demand for financial services, adverse changes in the securities markets, changes in deposit flows, and changes in the quality or composition of the Company's loan or investment portfolios. Additionally, other risks and uncertainties may be described in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 27, 2013, which is available through the SEC's website at www.sec.gov and in Part II, Item 1A, "Risk Factors" of our Form 10-Q filed with the SEC on February 12, 2014 and in other reports filed by the Company. These risks and uncertainties should be considered in evaluating forward-looking statements and undue reliance should not be placed on such statements. Except as required by applicable law or regulation, the Company does not undertake the responsibility, and specifically disclaims any obligation, to release publicly the result of any revisions that may be made to any forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of the statements or to reflect the occurrence of anticipated or unanticipated events.

Critical Accounting Policies

We consider accounting policies involving significant judgments and assumptions by management that have, or could have, a material impact on the carrying value of certain assets or on income to be critical accounting policies. We consider the following to be our critical accounting policies: the allowance for loan losses and the valuation of deferred income taxes.

ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES - The allowance for loan losses is the amount estimated by management as necessary to cover probable credit losses in the loan portfolio at the statement of financial condition date. The allowance is established through the provision for loan losses, which is charged to income. Determining the amount of the allowance for loan losses necessarily involves a high degree of judgment. Among the material estimates required to establish the allowance are: loss exposure at default; the amount and timing of future cash flows on affected loans; and the value of collateral. Inherent loss factors based upon environmental and other economic factors are then applied to the remaining loan portfolio. All of these estimates are susceptible to significant change. Management reviews the level of the allowance at least quarterly and establishes the provision for loan losses based upon an evaluation of the portfolio, past loss experience, current economic conditions and other factors related to the collectibility of the loan

portfolio. Although we believe that we use the best information available to establish the allowance for loan losses, future adjustments to the allowance may be necessary if economic conditions differ substantially from the assumptions used in making the evaluation. In addition, the OCC, as an integral part of its examination process, periodically reviews our allowance for loan losses. Such agency may require us to recognize adjustments to the allowance based on its judgments about information available to it at the time of its examination. A large loss could deplete the allowance and require increased provisions to replenish the allowance, which would negatively affect earnings. For additional discussion, see notes 1 and 4 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 of the Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 27, 2013.

DEFERRED INCOME TAXES - We use the asset and liability method of accounting for income taxes as prescribed in Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) 740-10-50. Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. If current available information raises doubt as to the realization of the deferred tax assets, a valuation allowance is established. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. We exercise significant judgment in evaluating the amount and timing of recognition of the resulting tax liabilities and assets. These judgments require us to make projections of future taxable income. The judgments and estimates we make in determining our deferred tax assets, which are inherently subjective, are reviewed on a continual basis as regulatory and business factors change. Any reduction in estimated future taxable income may require us to record a valuation allowance against our deferred tax assets. A valuation allowance would result in additional income tax expense in the period, which would negatively affect earnings. United Community Bancorp referred to as the Company, accounts for income taxes under the provisions of ASC 275-10-50-8 to account for uncertainty in income taxes. The Company had no unrecognized tax benefits as of March 31, 2014 and June 30, 2013. The Company recognized no interest and penalties on the underpayment of income taxes during the three and nine month periods ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, and had no accrued interest and penalties on the balance sheet as of March 31, 2014 and June 30, 2013. The Company has no tax positions for which it is reasonably possible that the total amounts of unrecognized tax benefits will significantly increase within the next fiscal year. The Company is no longer subject to U.S. federal, state and local income tax examinations by tax authorities for tax years ending on or before June 30, 2009.

Comparison of Financial Condition at March 31, 2014 and June 30, 2013

Balance Sheet Analysis

Total assets were \$525.8 million at March 31, 2014, compared to \$512.6 million at June 30, 2013. An \$11.0 million increase in cash and cash equivalents and a \$7.6 million increase in investment securities were partially offset by an \$8.4 million decrease in loans. The increase in cash and cash equivalents and investment securities was the result of the payoff of loans with a portion of the proceeds redeployed into purchases of mortgage-backed securities and available for sale securities. The decrease in loans was primarily the result of net payoffs totaling \$5.8 million in one- to four-family real estate loans and \$2.3 million in commercial real estate loans during the nine months ended March 31, 2014.

Total liabilities were \$452.0 million at March 31, 2014, compared to \$439.1 million at June 30, 2013. The increase in liabilities was the result of a \$12.9 million increase in deposits. The increase in deposits is primarily due to an increase in municipal deposits resulting from normal fluctuations in municipal deposits.

Total stockholders' equity was \$73.8 million at March 31, 2014, compared to \$73.5 million at June 30, 2013. Net income of \$1.9 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2014 was offset by stock repurchases totaling \$1.2 million and dividends paid of \$812,000.

Loans. At March 31, 2014, one- to four- family residential loans totaled \$126.9 million, or 50.4% of total gross loans, compared to \$128.1 million, or 49.1% of total gross loans, at June 30, 2013. The reduction in the one- to four-family residential portfolio was primarily due to net payoffs totaling \$6.5 million in one- to four-family real estate loans during the current year period.

Multi-family and nonresidential real estate loans totaled \$77.1 million and represented 30.6% of total loans at March 31, 2014, compared to \$84.2 million, or 32.3% of total loans, at June 30, 2013. The decrease was primarily attributable to payoffs totaling \$8.0 million and payments totaling \$2.3 million, partially offset by new loans of \$3.9 million.

The following table sets forth the composition of our loan portfolio at the dates indicated.

| | At March 31, 2014 | | At June 30, 2013 | |
|---|----------------------|---------|---------------------|---------|
| | Amount | Percent | Amount | Percent |
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| Residential real estate: | | | | |
| One- to four-family | \$126,885 | 50.4 % | \$128,059 | 49.1 % |
| Multi-family | 27,057 | 10.7 | 32,306 | 12.4 |
| Construction | 3,147 | 1.3 | 2,200 | 0.8 |
| Nonresidential real estate | 50,067 | 19.9 | 51,902 | 19.9 |
| Land | 2,822 | 1.1 | 3,435 | 1.3 |
| Commercial business | 4,531 | 1.8 | 3,556 | 1.4 |
| Agricultural | 3,079 | 1.2 | 3,559 | 1.4 |
| Consumer: | | | | |
| Home equity | 30,347 | 12.1 | 31,411 | 12.0 |
| Auto | 1,477 | 0.6 | 1,468 | 0.6 |
| Share loans | 1,138 | 0.4 | 1,625 | 0.6 |
| Other | 1,191 | 0.5 | 1,195 | 0.5 |
| Total consumer loans | 34,153 | 13.6 | 35,699 | 13.7 |
| Total loans | \$251,741 | 100.0 % | \$260,716 | 100.0 % |
| Less (plus): | | | | |
| Deferred loan costs, net | (1,075) | | (1,025) | |
| Undisbursed portion of loans in process | 1,183 | | 1,720 | |
| Allowance for loan losses | 5,471 | | 5,443 | |
| Loans, net | \$246,162 | | \$254,578 | |

Loan Maturity

The following table sets forth certain information at March 31, 2014 regarding the dollar amount of loan principal repayments becoming due during the periods indicated. The table does not include any estimate of prepayments, which significantly shorten the average life of all loans and may cause our actual repayment experience to differ from the contractual requirements shown below. Demand loans having no stated schedule of repayments and no stated maturity are reported as due in one year or less.

| | Less Than | More Than | More Than | Total |
|---|-----------|-------------|-----------|-----------|
| | One | One Year to | | |
| | Year | Five Years | | Loans |
| (in thousands) | | | | |
| One- to four-family residential real estate | \$8,017 | \$ 31,231 | \$ 87,637 | \$126,885 |
| Multi-family real estate | 963 | 6,074 | 20,020 | 27,057 |
| Construction | 1,042 | - | 2,105 | 3,147 |
| Nonresidential real estate | 3,356 | 19,623 | 27,088 | 50,067 |

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--------------|----------|-----------|------------|-----------|
| Land | 178 | 1,891 | 753 | 2,822 |
| Commercial | 1,380 | 2,231 | 920 | 4,531 |
| Agricultural | 339 | 2,230 | 510 | 3,079 |
| Consumer | 1,506 | 3,095 | 29,552 | 34,153 |
| Total | \$16,781 | \$ 66,375 | \$ 168,585 | \$251,741 |

The following table sets forth the dollar amount of all loans at March 31, 2014 due after March 31, 2015 that have either fixed interest rates or adjustable interest rates. The amounts shown below exclude unearned interest on consumer loans and deferred loan fees.

| | Fixed Rates | Floating or Adjustable Rates | Total |
|---|-----------------|------------------------------------|------------------|
| | (in thousands) | | |
| One- to four-family residential real estate | \$38,887 | \$ 79,981 | \$118,868 |
| Multi-family real estate | 9,481 | 16,613 | 26,094 |
| Construction | 1,500 | 605 | 2,105 |
| Nonresidential real estate | 10,785 | 35,926 | 46,711 |
| Land | 1,098 | 1,546 | 2,644 |
| Commercial | 1,620 | 1,531 | 3,151 |
| Agricultural | 857 | 1,884 | 2,741 |
| Consumer | 2,036 | 30,610 | 32,646 |
| Total | \$66,264 | \$ 168,696 | \$234,960 |

Loan Activity

The following table shows loan origination, repayment and sale activity during the periods indicated.

| | Nine Months Ended March 31, | | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|--------------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
| | (in thousands) | | | |
| Total loans at beginning of period | \$260,716 | \$288,199 | \$253,535 | \$271,568 |
| Loans originated (1): | | | | |
| One- to four-family residential real estate | 18,123 | 26,741 | 6,515 | 8,219 |
| Multi-family residential real estate | 525 | 88 | 525 | — |
| Construction | 1,315 | 841 | 276 | 370 |
| Nonresidential real estate | 3,200 | 4,530 | 1,873 | 1,048 |
| Land | 243 | 640 | 53 | — |
| Commercial business | 1,352 | 874 | 778 | 350 |
| Consumer | 1,893 | 4,791 | 754 | 189 |
| Total loans originated | 26,651 | 38,505 | 10,774 | 10,176 |
| Deduct: | | | | |
| Loan principal repayments | 26,232 | 43,593 | 12,193 | 12,010 |
| Loans originated for sale | 9,394 | 19,694 | 375 | 6,317 |
| Net loan activity | (8,975) | (24,782) | (1,794) | (8,151) |

| | | | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Total loans at end of period | \$251,741 | \$263,417 | \$251,741 | \$263,417 |
|------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|

(1) Includes loan renewals, loan refinancings and restructured loans.

Results of Operations for the Three and Nine Months Ended March 31, 2014 and 2013

Overview. Net income increased \$176,000 to \$583,000 for the quarter ended March 31, 2014, compared to net income of \$407,000 for the quarter ended March 31, 2013. Net income increased \$330,000 to \$1.9 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2014, compared to net income of \$1.6 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2013.

Net Interest Income. The following table summarizes changes in interest income and interest expense for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013.

| | Three Months Ended | | | Nine Months Ended | | |
|---|--------------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------|
| | March 31, 2014 | March 31, 2013 | % Change | March 31, 2014 | March 31, 2013 | % Change |
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | | |
| Interest income: | | | | | | |
| Loans | \$2,847 | \$3,119 | (8.7)% | \$8,916 | \$9,892 | (9.9)% |
| Investment and mortgage backed securities | 902 | 718 | 25.6 | 2,350 | 2,266 | 3.7 |
| Other interest-earning assets | 3 | 10 | (70.0) | 13 | 17 | (23.5) |
| Total interest income | 3,752 | 3,847 | (2.5) | 11,279 | 12,175 | (7.4) |
| Interest expense: | | | | | | |
| NOW and money market deposit accounts | 42 | 59 | (28.8) | 232 | 256 | (9.4) |
| Passbook accounts | 50 | 64 | (21.9) | 155 | 266 | (41.7) |
| Certificates of deposit | 468 | 582 | (19.6) | 1,459 | 1,983 | (26.4) |
| Total interest-bearing deposits | 560 | 705 | (20.6) | 1,846 | 2,505 | (26.3) |
| FHLB advances | 62 | 42 | 47.6 | 162 | 134 | 20.9 |
| Total interest expense | 622 | 747 | (16.7) | 2,008 | 2,639 | (23.9) |
| Net interest income | \$3,130 | \$3,100 | 1.0 | \$9,271 | \$9,536 | (2.8) |

Net interest income remained flat at \$3.1 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2014 compared to the same period in the prior year. A decrease of \$95,000 in interest income was offset by a decrease of \$125,000 in interest expense. The decrease in interest income was the result of a \$14.8 million decrease in the average balance of loans and a decrease in the average rate earned on loans from 4.75% for the quarter ended March 31, 2013 to 4.59% for the quarter ended March 31, 2014, partially offset by a \$15.4 million increase in the average balance of investments and an increase in the average rate earned on investments from 1.49% for the quarter ended March 31, 2013 to 1.73% for the quarter ended March 31, 2014. The decrease in interest expense was primarily the result of a decrease in the average interest rate paid on deposits from 0.64% for the quarter ended March 31, 2013 to 0.52% for the quarter ended March 31, 2014.

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-Q

Net interest income decreased \$265,000, or 2.8%, to \$9.3 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2014 as compared to \$9.5 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2013. A decrease of \$896,000 in interest income was partially offset by a \$631,000 decrease in interest expense. The decrease in interest income was the result of a \$21.0 million decrease in the average balance of loans, a decrease in the average rate earned on loans from 4.89% for the nine months ended March 31, 2013 to 4.78% for the nine months ended March 31, 2014, and a decrease in the average rate earned on investments from 1.74% for the nine months ended March 31, 2013 to 1.51% for the nine months ended March 31, 2014, partially offset by a \$33.6 million increase in the average balance of investments. The decrease in interest expense was primarily the result of a decrease in the average interest rate paid on deposits from 0.77% for the nine months ended March 31, 2013 to 0.58% for the nine months ended March 31, 2014. Changes in interest rates are reflective of decreases in overall market rates.

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-Q

The following table summarizes average balances and average yields and costs of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013. For the purposes of this table, average balances have been calculated using month-end balances, and nonaccrual loans are included in average balances only. Yields are not presented on a tax equivalent basis.

| | Three Months Ended March 31, 2014 | | | 2013 | | | Nine Months Ended March 31, 2014 | | | 2013 | | |
|--|--|------------------------------|----------------|--------------------|------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------|--------------------|------------------------------|----------------|
| | Average Balance (Dollars in thousands) | Interest and Dividends | Yield/ Cost | Average Balance | Interest and Dividends | Yield/ Cost | Average Balance | Interest and Dividends | Yield/ Cost | Average Balance | Interest and Dividends | Yield/ Cost |
| Assets: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Interest-earning assets: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Loans | \$247,972 | \$2,847 | 4.59 % | \$262,743 | \$3,119 | 4.75 % | \$248,465 | \$8,916 | 4.78 % | \$269,491 | | |
| Investment and mortgage backed securities | 208,559 | 902 | 1.73 | 193,131 | 718 | 1.49 | 207,511 | 2,350 | 1.51 | 173,894 | | |
| Other interest-earning assets | 25,874 | 3 | 0.05 | 34,130 | 10 | 0.12 | 23,525 | 13 | 0.07 | 29,977 | | |
| | 482,405 | 3,752 | 3.11 | 490,004 | 3,847 | 3.14 | 479,501 | 11,279 | 3.14 | 473,362 | | |
| Noninterest-earning assets | 39,177 | | | 35,632 | | | 38,753 | | | 36,283 | | |
| Total assets | \$521,582 | | | \$525,636 | | | \$518,254 | | | \$509,645 | | |
| Liabilities and stockholders' equity: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Interest-bearing liabilities: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| NOW and money market deposit accounts (1) | 161,538 | 42 | 0.10 | 169,125 | 59 | 0.14 | 160,781 | 232 | 0.19 | 162,871 | | |
| Passbook accounts (1) | 93,967 | 50 | 0.21 | 87,322 | 64 | 0.29 | 94,359 | 155 | 0.22 | 83,741 | | |
| Certificates of deposit (1) | 174,304 | 468 | 1.07 | 182,249 | 582 | 1.28 | 172,804 | 1,459 | 1.13 | 186,676 | | |
| Total interest-bearing deposits | 429,809 | 560 | 0.52 | 438,696 | 705 | 0.64 | 427,944 | 1,846 | 0.58 | 433,288 | | |
| FHLB advances | 15,000 | 62 | 1.65 | 10,208 | 42 | 1.65 | 13,500 | 162 | 1.60 | 10,458 | | |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | 444,809 | 622 | 0.56 | 448,904 | 747 | 0.67 | 441,444 | 2,008 | 0.61 | 443,746 | | |
| Noninterest bearing liabilities, | 2,453 | | | 9,316 | | | 2,944 | | | 5,746 | | |

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|---|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| commitments and contingencies | | | | | |
| Total liabilities, commitments and contingencies | 447,262 | | 458,220 | | 444,388 |
| Stockholders' equity | 74,320 | | 67,416 | | 73,866 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$521,582 | | \$525,636 | | \$518,254 |
| Net interest income | \$3,130 | | \$3,100 | | \$9,271 |
| Interest rate spread | | 2.55 % | | 2.47 % | |
| Net interest margin (annualized) | | 2.60 % | | 2.53 % | |
| Average interest-earning assets to average interest-bearing liabilities | | 108.45 % | | 109.16 % | |
| | | | | | 2.53 % |
| | | | | | 2.58 % |
| | | | | | 108.62 % |

Provision for (Recovery of) Loan Losses. The provision of loan losses was \$75,000 for the quarter ended March 31, 2014, compared to \$110,000 for the same quarter in the prior year. The recovery of loan losses was \$292,000 for the nine months ended March 31, 2014, compared to a provision for loan losses of \$585,000 for the same period in the prior year. The decrease in the provision for loan losses was primarily due to a \$379,000 recovery of a commercial loan and a \$124,000 recovery from two one- to four-family loans during the nine months ended March 31, 2014. Nonperforming assets as a percentage of total assets decreased from 2.79% at March 31, 2013 to 2.48% at March 31, 2014.

Other Income. The following table summarizes other income for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013.

| | Three Months Ended | | | Nine Months Ended | | | |
|--|------------------------|-------|----------|-------------------|----------|----------|---|
| | March 31, 2014 | 2013 | % Change | March 31, 2014 | 2013 | % Change | |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | | |
| Service charges | \$594 | \$557 | 6.6 | % \$ 1,889 | \$ 1,807 | 4.5 | % |
| Gain on sale of loans | 15 | 136 | (89.0) |) 141 | 668 | (78.9) |) |
| Gain on sale of investments | - | 290 | (100.0) |) - | 553 | (100.0) |) |
| Gain (loss) on sale of other real estate owned | (1) | (130) | (99.2) |) 6 | (83) | 107.2 | |
| Gain on sale of fixed assets | - | - | - | 136 | - | 100.00 | |
| Income from Bank Owned Life Insurance | 150 | 81 | 85.2 | 362 | 298 | 21.5 | |
| Other | 129 | 15 | 760.0 | 416 | 140 | 197.1 | |
| Total | \$887 | \$949 | (6.5) |) \$ 2,950 | \$ 3,383 | (12.8) |) |

Other income decreased \$62,000, or 6.5%, to \$887,000 for the quarter ended March 31, 2014 compared to \$949,000 for the prior year quarter. The decrease is primarily due to a \$290,000 decrease in gain on sale of investments and a \$121,000 decrease in gain on sale of loans, partially offset by a \$114,000 increase in other income. Other income decreased \$433,000, or 12.8%, to \$3.0 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2014 compared to \$3.4 million for the prior year period. The decrease is primarily due to a \$553,000 decrease in gain on sale of investments and a \$527,000 decrease in gain on sale of loans, partially offset by a \$276,000 increase in other income and a \$136,000 increase in gain on sale of fixed assets.

The decrease in gain on sale of investments is due to the sale of mortgage-backed securities and other available for sale securities in the prior year periods with no corresponding sales in the current year periods. The decrease in gain on sale of loans is the result of a higher level of refinancing activity during the prior year periods as compared to the current year quarter and nine month periods due to higher loan rates in the current year. The increase in other income is primarily due to an increase in the value of mortgage servicing rights during the current year periods. The increase in income from mortgage servicing rights is primarily due to the decrease during current year periods in the

prepayment of mortgages as compared to the prepayment of mortgages during the prior year periods. The increase in gain on sale of fixed assets was the result of the sale of our Osgood branch facility during the nine months ended March 31, 2014.

Noninterest Expense. The following table shows the components of noninterest expense and the percentage changes for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013.

| | Three Months Ended | | | Nine Months Ended | | |
|---|------------------------|----------|----------|-------------------|-----------|----------|
| | March 31, 2014 | 2013 | % Change | March 31, 2014 | 2013 | % Change |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | |
| Compensation and employee benefits | \$ 1,804 | \$ 1,864 | (3.2)% | \$ 5,424 | \$ 5,458 | (0.6)% |
| Premises and occupancy expense | 322 | 342 | (5.8) | 919 | 1,053 | (12.7) |
| Deposit insurance premium | 93 | 107 | (13.1) | 269 | 388 | (30.7) |
| Advertising expense | 61 | 78 | (21.8) | 239 | 259 | (7.7) |
| Data processing expense | 324 | 408 | (20.6) | 1,069 | 1,127 | (5.1) |
| Provision for loss on real estate owned | - | - | - | 1 | 105 | (99.0) |
| Intangible amortization | 35 | 48 | (27.1) | 110 | 128 | (14.1) |
| Professional fees | 151 | 152 | (0.7) | 667 | 554 | 20.4 |
| Other operating expenses | 416 | 428 | (2.8) | 1,250 | 1,142 | 9.5 |
| Total | \$ 3,206 | \$ 3,427 | (6.4) | \$ 9,948 | \$ 10,214 | (2.6) |

Noninterest expense decreased \$221,000 to \$3.2 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2014 compared to \$3.4 million for the prior year quarter. Decreases included \$84,000 in data processing expense and \$60,000 in compensation and employee benefits. The decrease in data processing expense and in compensation and employee benefits for the three months ended March 31, 2014 as compared to the same period in the prior year is primarily due to additional expenses in the prior year related to a data processing conversion that was completed in February 2013.

Noninterest expense decreased \$266,000 to \$9.9 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2014 compared to \$10.2 million for the prior year period. Decreases of \$134,000 in premises and occupancy expense, \$119,000 in deposit insurance premium and \$104,000 in provision for loss on real estate owned were partially offset by increases of \$113,000 in professional fees and \$108,000 in other operating expenses. The decrease in premises and occupancy expense was primarily the result of non-recurring expenses for data processing upgrades in the prior year period. The decrease in provision for loss on real estate owned is due to write-downs on two commercial OREO properties in the prior year period with no such write-downs during the nine months ended March 31, 2014. The increase in professional fees is primarily the result of acquiring outside resources for internal audit and planning in the current year. The increase in other operating expenses is primarily the result of a short-term loan-related promotion during the current year period.

Income Taxes. Income tax expense for the three months ended March 31, 2014 was \$153,000 compared to \$105,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2013. Income tax expense for the nine months ended March 31, 2014 was \$638,000 compared to \$523,000 for the same period in the prior year. The increase in income tax expense for the current year periods is due to an increase in income before income taxes for the current year periods.

Analysis of Nonperforming Assets. We consider foreclosed real estate, repossessed assets, nonaccrual loans, and TDRs that are delinquent or have not been performing in accordance with their restructured terms for a specified period of time to be nonperforming assets.

All of the TDRs at March 31, 2014 represented loan relationships with long-time borrowers. In measuring impairment, management considered the results of independent property appraisals, together with estimated selling expenses, and/or detailed cash flow analyses. At March 31, 2014, 46 loans were considered to be TDRs (with a recorded investment of \$13.3 million) of which 28 loans (with a recorded investment of \$4.7 million) were included in nonperforming assets.

The following table provides information with respect to our nonperforming assets at the dates indicated.

| (Dollars in thousands) | At March 31, 2014 (Unaudited) | At June 30, 2013 | | |
|--|--|------------------------|------|---|
| Nonaccrual loans: | | | | |
| One- to four-family residential real estate | \$ 1,951 | \$ 1,876 | | |
| Multi-family real estate | 1,732 | 1,861 | | |
| Nonresidential real estate and land | 3,285 | 918 | | |
| Consumer | 633 | 535 | | |
| Total nonaccrual loans | 7,601 | 5,190 | | |
| Nonaccrual restructured loans: | | | | |
| One- to four-family residential real estate | 1,578 | 2,554 | | |
| Multi-family real estate | 1,604 | 2,263 | | |
| Nonresidential real estate and land | 1,673 | 2,701 | | |
| Total nonaccrual restructured loans | 4,855 | 7,518 | | |
| Total nonperforming loans | 12,456 | 12,708 | | |
| Real estate owned | 578 | 618 | | |
| Total nonperforming assets | 13,034 | \$ 13,326 | | |
| Accruing restructured loans | 8,770 | 11,543 | | |
| Accruing restructured loans and nonperforming assets | \$ 21,804 | \$ 24,869 | | |
| Total nonperforming loans to total loans | 4.95 | % | 4.87 | % |
| Total nonperforming loans to total assets | 2.37 | | 2.48 | |
| Total nonperforming assets to total assets | 2.48 | | 2.60 | |
| Total number of nonperforming loans | 77 | | 79 | |

The decrease in nonperforming loans is primarily due to the payoff of a multi-family loan with a carrying value of \$2.3 million and of the payoff of two one- to four-family loans with a total carrying value of \$887,000 during the nine months ended March 31, 2014, partially offset by the addition of two nonresidential real estate loans with a carrying value of \$2.4 million during the nine months ended March 31, 2014.

Interest income that would have been recorded for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2014 had nonaccruing loans been current according to their original terms was \$147,000 and \$371,000, respectively. Interest recognized on the cash basis with regard to nonaccrual restructured loans was \$56,000 and \$166,000 for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2014, respectively.

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-Q

At March 31, 2014, the percentage of nonperforming assets to total assets decreased by 0.12%, or 4.62%, to 2.48% as compared to 2.60% at June 30, 2013. A discussion of United Community Bank's largest loans that were reported as nonperforming loans or TDRs at either March 31, 2014 or June 30, 2013 are described below in the narratives regarding the "Loan Relationships", except for "Loan I" that was paid off during the December 2013 quarter. However, as reflected below, some of the Loan Relationships include loans that were restructured using the "Note A/B split note strategy" for which the amount of the Note B loan has been charged-off, with the borrower remaining responsible for that charged-off amount.

For purposes of this discussion, the loans are identified by a Loan number within each Loan Relationship, such as "Loan A-1", "Loan A-2" and "Loan M-1 and M-2".

The five largest nonaccrual loans at March 31, 2014 are Loans A-2, B-1, G, M-1, and M-2. At March 31, 2014 and June 30, 2013 the five largest charge-offs were comprised of loans in Loan Relationships B, E, F, H and K. Management monitors the performance of all of these loans and reviews all options available to keep the loans current, including further restructuring of the loans. If restructuring efforts ultimately are not successful, management will initiate foreclosure proceedings.

Loan Relationship A. At March 31, 2014, this Loan Relationship consisted of one loan (Loan A-2) that had an aggregate carrying value of \$1.6 million. Loan A-2 is secured by a first mortgage on two mobile home parks. At March 31, 2014, Loan A-2 is included in the above table in “Nonaccrual restructured loans, Multi-family real estate”, and at June 30, 2013, Loan A-2 is included in the above table in “Accruing restructured loans”, due to its restructuring as described below. At March 31, 2014, and June 30, 2013, Loan A-2 was classified as “Multi-Family Residential Real Estate, Substandard” in the “Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade” table on page 40. At June 30, 2013, this Loan Relationship consisted of two loans (Loans A-1 and A-2) which had an aggregate carrying value of \$3.9 million. At June 30, 2013, Loan A-1 is included in the above table in “Nonaccrual restructured loans, Multi-family real estate”. During the quarter ended December 31, 2012, Loans A-1 and A-2 reverted to the interest rate required by each loan’s original adjustable rate terms. At March 31, 2014, Loan A-2 was not performing in accordance with its original terms. Loan A-1 was not included in the above table at March 31, 2014 because the loan was paid off during the December 31, 2013 quarter, with its full carrying value of \$2.3 million, plus the \$379,000 charge off related to the loan, being recovered. As described below, the Loan Relationship had previously included a third loan, Loan A-3, which had also previously been restructured using the Note A/B split note strategy. Loan A-3 was paid off during the quarter ended June 30, 2013, with the full carrying value of Note A and Note B loans, \$994,000 and \$651,000, respectively, being recovered. Accordingly, Loan A-3 is not included in the above table at March 31, 2014 or at June 30, 2013. A more detailed history of Loan Relationship A follows.

The loans comprising Loan Relationship A were originally restructured in October and November, 2010. At the time of the first restructuring in 2010, Loan A-1, had a carrying value of \$3.0 million, was 180 days delinquent, and Loans A-2 and A-3 were performing in accordance with their original terms. Management performed a global analysis of the borrowers and restructured each of the three loans by reducing the original loan rates by 125 to 225 basis points to a rate that was 25 basis points below market rate. Foregone interest income amounted to \$51,000 on loans A-2 and A-3 that were restructured. The borrowers paid a loan modification fee of \$3,000 for this restructuring. On each of the three loans, one of the borrowers is a corporate entity whose principals individually signed as co-borrowers. At the time of the original restructuring, the Bank analyzed the personal net worth, liquid net worth, debt-to-income ratios and credit scores of the co-borrowers. While the co-borrowers were not expected to cover a total loss on the loans, management believed the co-borrowers would mitigate the amount of the potential future losses. Management established a \$1.1 million specific allocation on these three loans through a charge-off to the general allowance for loan losses as reflected in the Company’s Form 10-K, as amended, for the year ended June 30, 2011 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 28, 2012.

In March 2011, Loan A-2 had a carrying value of \$1.8 million and was performing in accordance with its restructured terms. Loan A-3 had a carrying valuation of \$1.6 million. In March 2011, Loan A-3 was again experiencing cash flow problems due to the combined effect decreases in rental revenue from the properties. Due to financial difficulties experienced by the co-borrowers, including the cash flow problems of the subject properties and a decrease in other outside sources of income, the co-borrowers were unable to mitigate the losses on the loans. Therefore, in March 2011, Loan A-3 was restructured into two loans, using the Note A/B split note strategy. The first loan (Loan A-3, a Note A loan) had a balance of \$994,000, was classified as substandard, reported as a TDR, and placed on nonaccrual. The second loan (a Note B loan) had a balance of \$651,000 that was charged-off as reflected in the Company’s Form 10-K, as amended, for the year ended June 30, 2011 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 28, 2012.

During the latter part of the quarter ended March 31, 2014, Loan A-2 began experiencing financial difficulties, primarily due to decreased rental revenue resulting from a decrease in occupancy. As a result of the decreased cash flow, the Bank re-appraised the two mobile home parks securing the loan. The new appraisal reflected a decrease of approximately \$200,000 from the previous appraisal. In conjunction with the new appraisal, the Bank conducted an impairment analysis which resulted in the need for a specific allocation of \$172,000. Management expects the borrower and co-borrower to infuse additional capital into the property to perform improvements to increase occupancy and cash flow.

Loan Relationship B. At March 31, 2014, this Loan Relationship consisted of four loans (two Note A loans, Loan B-1 and Loan B-2, and two Note B loans) having an aggregate carrying value of \$1.4 million. At June 30, 2013, the aggregate carrying value of the loans was \$1.4 million. At March 31, 2014, Loan B-1, which was restructured previously using the Note A/B split note strategy, had an aggregate carrying value of \$1.2 million, and secured by a first mortgage on two separate retail strip shopping centers. At March 31, 2014, Loan B-2, which was restructured previously using the Note A/B split note strategy, had an aggregate carrying value of \$172,000 and secured by a single purpose commercial use property. Loans B-1 and B-2 are included in the above table as “Nonaccrual restructured loans, Nonresidential real estate” at March 31, 2014 and June 30, 2013. In the “Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade” table on page 40, Loans B-1 and B-2 are classified as “Nonresidential real estate, Substandard” at March 31, 2014 and June 30, 2013. Loans B-1 and B-2 were performing in accordance with their restructured terms at December 31, 2013. A more detailed history of Loan Relationship B follows.

The loans comprising Loan Relationship B were originally restructured in June 2010, with an aggregate carrying value of \$4.1 million. At the time of the original restructuring, the property value was based primarily on the collateral's cash flow, including required personal cash infusions from the co-borrowers. Management believed that the lower debt service would improve the borrowers' cash flow, and in turn, the performance of the loans. One of the borrowers is a corporate entity. The principals of the corporate borrower are co-borrowers on the loans. The Bank analyzed the personal net worth, liquid net worth, debt to income ratios and credit scores of the co-borrowers. While the co-borrowers were not expected to cover a total loss on the loans, management believed the co-borrowers would mitigate the amount of potential future losses. The restructured loans were considered impaired at June 30, 2010, with an allowance for loan loss of \$600,000 to reflect the reduction in carrying value resulting from the exclusion of the required personal cash infusions from the co-borrowers from the calculation of the carrying value. In March 2011, the loans were again experiencing cash flow problems due to the combined effect due to decreases in rental revenue from the properties. Due to financial difficulties experienced by the co-borrowers, including the cash flow problems of the subject properties and a decrease in other outside sources of income, the co-borrowers were unable to mitigate the losses on the loans. Therefore, in March 2011, the two loans secured by the two separate retail strip shopping centers were combined and refinanced into two loans, using the Note A/B split note strategy. The first loan (Loan B-1, a Note A loan) had a balance of \$2.4 million and was classified as substandard, reported as a TDR, and placed on nonaccrual. The second loan (a Note B loan) had a balance of \$1.3 million and was charged-off (inclusive of the \$600,000 specific allowance recorded for this Loan Relationship in the quarter ended June 30, 2010).

In March 2011, Loan B-2 was refinanced into two loans, using the Note A/B split note strategy. The first loan (Loan B-2, a Note A loan) was for \$238,000 and was classified as substandard and a TDR. The second loan (a Note B loan) was for \$169,000 and was charged-off. The restructured loans had interest rates 275 basis points lower than their 2010 restructured rates for a period of two years, and 500 basis points below their original rates.

In May 2012, one of the two retail strip shopping centers that secured Loan B-1 experienced the loss of a major tenant. The resultant decrease in cash flow caused the Bank to have the two retail strip shopping centers securing the loan appraised in June 2012. The appraisal reflected that the properties had declined to \$1.45 million from the February 2011 appraised value of \$2.95 million. Management determined that this loan will ultimately be settled through the sale of the property. A charge-off of \$956,000 was established in the quarter ended June 30, 2012 based on the then most recent appraisal which indicated a known loss, together with an additional impairment of \$189,000 based on the Bank's experience in settling foreclosed property. The carrying value of this loan was classified as substandard, and reported as a TDR. The Bank also appraised the single purpose commercial use property in June 2012. The value of this property declined to \$225,000 from \$325,000 in February 2011 due to decreased cash flow from the then current tenant. Management determined that this loan would also be settled from the sale of the property. A charge-off in the amount of \$22,000 was established based on the then most recent appraisal indicating a known loss, together with an additional impairment of \$29,000 based on the Bank's experience in settling foreclosed property. The carrying value of this loan was classified as substandard, and the loan reported as a TDR. During the quarter ended March 31, 2013, the balloon payment for the two loans secured by the two separate retail strip shopping centers became due. An independent appraisal was performed in March 2013 on the properties reflecting that the appraised value of the properties had increased to \$1.8 million. The loan was restructured during the March 2013 quarter using the Note A/B split note strategy. The first loan (Loan B-1, a Note A loan) was refinanced for \$1.3 million, with a market interest rate of 5.50% based on a 30 year loan term, and a three year balloon payment. As stated above, the carrying value of this loan was put on nonaccrual, classified as substandard, and reported as a TDR. The second loan (a Note B loan) was for \$2.3 million was charged-off. This charged-off amount equaled the amount of the Note B loan balance in

March 2011 (\$1.3 million) plus that portion Note A loan balance in March 2011 that was charged-off during the period ended June 30, 2012 (\$1.0 million).

The balloon payment for Loan B-2 also came due during the quarter ended March 31, 2013. The Note A loan and the Note B loan secured by the single purpose commercial use property were modified again using the Note A/B split note strategy. The first loan (Loan B-2, a Note A loan) was modified to a balance of \$185,000, with a market interest rate of 5.50%, for a 30-year term, and a three year balloon payment. As stated above, the carrying value of this loan was put on nonaccrual, classified as substandard, and reported as a TDR. The second loan (a Note B loan) was modified at its then current balance of \$191,000 was charged-off. This charged-off amount equaled the amount of the Note B loan balance in March 2011 (\$169,000) plus that portion of the Note A loan having a balance in March 2011 that was charged-off during the period ended June 30, 2012 (\$22,000).

Loan Relationship D. At March 31, 2014 and June 30, 2013, Loan Relationship D was comprised of two loans (a Note A loan and a Note B loan) which had an aggregate carrying value of \$1.3 million. The loans are secured by a first mortgage on a 62-unit apartment complex near a college campus. As of March 31, 2014 and June 30, 2013, the first loan (a Note A loan) is included in "Accruing restructured loans" in the above table. In the "Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade" table on page 40, the first loan (a Note A loan) is classified as "Multi-family residential real estate, Substandard," at March 31, 2014 and June 30, 2013. There are no personal guarantees or co-borrowers on these loans. The Note A loan in Loan Relationship D was performing in accordance with its restructured terms at March 31, 2014. A more detailed history of Loan Relationship D follows.

Loan Relationship D was originally comprised of one loan that was restructured in December 2008 in connection with a loan made to a seasoned property manager who purchased the property securing a delinquent loan in lieu of the Bank foreclosing on the prior borrower. The Bank loaned the new borrower funds to purchase and renovate the subject property. At that time, management established a \$113,000 specific reserve through a charge-off to the general allowance for loan losses. There was no personal guarantee or co-borrower on this new loan. The new loan required interest only payments through December 2011 and was therefore reported as a TDR. At the time of the acquisition, management believed that the new borrower would be able to renovate the property with a view toward improving the property's cash flow, and in turn, the performance of the loan. At the time the loan was made, an independent appraisal was performed on the collateral underlying the loan. This appraisal supported the loan's \$1.6 million carrying value. In January 2012, the interest rate on the loan was to be adjusted to the prime interest rate as published by *The Wall Street Journal*, plus a spread, and converted to principal and interest payments. The borrower completed renovations to the property and the cash flow of the property improved. In November 2011, the borrower approached the Bank and expressed concern about being able to pay the principal and interest payment that would go into effect in January 2012. The internal cash flow analysis completed by the Bank indicated that the payment could be made based on the significantly higher monthly occupancy rates that had resulted from the borrower's renovations of the subject property. Nevertheless, an appraisal was ordered to provide the "as is" value of the property. The Bank obtained the appraisal in December 2011, and the appraised value of the property had decreased to \$1.4 million. Therefore, this loan was restructured into two loans using the Note A/B split note strategy. Based on the cash flows produced by the property, the first loan (a Note A loan) had a balance of \$1.3 million at a market interest rate with a two year balloon payment. This loan was put on nonaccrual, classified as substandard, and reported as a TDR. The second loan (a Note B loan) had a balance of \$393,000 and was charged-off in December 2011. During the quarter ended June 30, 2013, in anticipation of the balloon payment becoming due in the December 31, 2013 quarter, the borrower requested that the Bank refinance the property based on its improved cash flow. The Bank had been reviewing the property's cash flow on a monthly basis and agreed that its cash flow had improved. An appraisal was ordered to provide the "as is" value of the property. The independent appraisal obtained in June 2013 reflected that the value of the property had increased to \$1.7 million from \$1.4 million in December 2011, and the two loans were again refinanced using the Note A/B split note strategy. Because of the increased cash flow from the property underlying the loan, the first loan (a Note A loan)

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-Q

had a net carrying value of \$1.3 million, including \$83,000 from the prior Note B loan, with a market interest rate of 5.50%, for a 20 year loan term and a three year balloon payment. This loan was put on accrual (because of its sufficient payment history), classified as substandard, and reported as a TDR. The second loan (a Note B loan) balance was \$310,000 and was charged-off. This charged-off amount included the \$393,000 in the Note B Loan from December 2011, less the \$83,000 included in the new Note A loan as a result of the property's improved cash flow.

Loan Relationship E. At March 31, 2014, this Loan Relationship was comprised of two loans (a Note A loan and a Note B loan) having an aggregate carrying value of \$281,000. At June 30, 2013, this Loan Relationship was comprised of two loans having an aggregate carrying value of \$516,000. The loans are secured by nonresidential properties (warehouses). There are no personal guarantees or co-borrowers on these loans. As described below, these loans were previously restructured using the Note A/B split note strategy. The first loan (a Note A loan) is included in the above table in “Accruing restructured loans” at March 31, 2014 and June 30, 2013. In the “Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade” table on page 40, the Note A loan was classified as “Nonresidential real estate, Substandard” at March 31, 2014 and June 30, 2013. The Note A loan in Loan Relationship E was performing in accordance with its restructured terms at March 31, 2014. A more detailed history of Loan Relationship E follows.

Loan Relationship E was originally comprised of one loan. The loan was restructured in April 2010. At June 30, 2010, the charge-off to the general allowance for loan losses, based upon a then current independent appraisal, was \$308,000. The restructured loan had payments deferred for one year, while accruing interest at a market rate. This loan was scheduled to undergo an interest rate and payment reset in February 2011. There were no personal guarantees or co-borrowers on this loan. At the time of the loan adjustment period, it became apparent that the borrower would have difficulty making the required monthly payments beginning in February 2011. As a result, management completed a detailed analysis of this loan and determined to again restructure the loan utilizing the Note A/B split note strategy in March 2011. The terms of Note A the loan were calculated using the borrower’s then current financial information to yield a payment having a debt service coverage ratio of approximately 1.5x, which was more stringent than the Bank’s normal underwriting standards. A restructuring fee of \$9,000 was charged and included in the Note B loan at March 31, 2011. The Note A loan had a balance of \$569,000, put on nonaccrual, classified as substandard and was reported as a TDR. The Note B loan had a balance of \$508,000, which was charged-off in the quarter ended March 31, 2011. This charged-off amount was inclusive of the previous specific reserve of \$308,000 recorded during the period ended June 30, 2010. During the quarter ended March 31, 2013, the balloon payments for these loans became due. At that time, the Bank had been reviewing the cash flow of the property on a monthly basis and verified that the cash flows had not changed. An independent appraisal was ordered to provide the “as is” value of the property. The Bank obtained the appraisal in February 2013, and the appraised value of the property had decreased to \$910,000 from \$997,000 in February 2011. The loans were refinanced into two loans, again using the Note A/B split note strategy. The first loan (a Note A loan) had a balance of \$519,000 with a market interest rate of 5.50%, for a 30- year term and a three year balloon payment. This loan was put on accrual (because of its sufficient payment history), classified as substandard, and reported as a TDR. The second loan (a Note B loan) had a balance of \$507,000 and was charged-off. This charged-off amount equaled the amount of the Note B loan originated in March 2011. In the quarter ended December 31, 2013, the borrower sold one of the four nonresidential properties securing the Note A loan and the Note B loan. The Bank received the net proceeds of \$227,000 from this sale and applied these net proceeds to the balance of the Note A loan.

Loan Relationship F. At March 31, 2014 and June 30, 2013, Loan Relationship F was comprised of two loans (a Note A and a Note B) having an aggregate carrying value of \$439,000 and \$444,000, respectively. These loans are secured by a multi-family residential real estate property and a single-family real estate property. The borrower is a corporate entity, with three principals, each of whom is a co-borrower of the loan. At March 31, 2014, the Note A loan is included in the above table as “Accruing restructured loans” at March 31, 2014 and June 30, 2013. In the “Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade” table on page 40, the Note A loan is classified as “Multi-family real estate, Substandard” at March 31, 2014 and June 30, 2013. The Note A loan in Loan Relationship F was performing in accordance with its restructured terms at March 31, 2014. A more detailed history of Loan Relationship F follows.

The original loan was initially restructured using the Note A/B split note strategy in June 2010 based on an 80% loan-to-value ratio derived from an April 2010 independent appraisal. The first loan (Note A) had a balance of \$631,000 with a market interest rate of 5.50%, for a 25-year term, based on a 3/1 ARM. This loan was put on nonaccrual and classified as substandard. The second loan (a Note B loan) had a balance of \$216,800 and there was a specific reserve established for the entire amount of the loan. The borrower was a corporate entity, with two principals, each of whom individually was a co-borrower of the loans. At December 31, 2010, the first loan was 160 days delinquent. The delinquency was a result of personal problems between the borrowers affecting their ability to manage the multi-family residential real estate and the single-family real estate. The personal problems between the borrowers also resulted in the borrowers' inability to make the required personal cash infusions. In the latter part of 2010 and into early 2011, one of the borrowers effectively took control of the multi-family residential real estate and the single-family real estate, and brought the business current with respect to property taxes, deposit refunds to former tenants, and made required monthly loan payments in January and February 2011. Other than the January and February 2011 loan payments, the borrowers were unable to make payments to bring the loan current. Based upon those developments, management completed a detailed analysis of the total lending relationship with the borrowers. As a result of this analysis, these loans were again restructured, using the Note A/B split note strategy in March 2011. The terms of first loan (a Note A loan) were calculated using the borrowers' then current financial information to yield a payment having a debt service coverage ratio of approximately 1.5x, which was more stringent than the Bank's normal underwriting standards. A restructuring fee of \$7,000 was charged and included in the second loan (a Note B loan) at March 31, 2011. After the restructuring in March 2011, the Note A loan had a balance of \$475,000, was put on nonaccrual, classified as substandard and reported as a TDR. The Note B loan had balance of \$405,000. The full amount of the Note B loan was charged-off in the quarter ended March 31, 2011, inclusive of the previous specific reserve of \$216,800 from December 31, 2010. A two year balloon payment was due in March 31, 2013 on the loans unless the borrower refinanced the loans to a market rate loan at that time. During the quarter ended December 31, 2012, as a result of the continued personal problems of the co-borrowers, the two loans were modified with one of the borrowers who had taken control of the two properties in early 2011. The other borrower relinquished all of its interest in the two properties. However, in addition to the one borrower retained on the loan, two other borrowers were added to the loans to provide managerial strength to the relationship and increase property's income potential. The Bank had been reviewing the cash flow of the property on a monthly basis and determined that the cash flows had improved due to the borrowers' enhanced managerial ability. An independent appraisal was ordered to provide the "as is" value of the properties. The Bank obtained the appraisal in December 2012, and the appraised value of the properties had decreased to \$730,000 from \$774,000 in February 2011. During the quarter ended December 31, 2012, the two loans were modified, again using the Note A/B split note strategy, with both loans having three year balloon payments. The Note A loan was modified to a market interest rate of 5.50%, with no increase in the principal balance (\$453,000). The term of the loan was also reduced to 324 months from the remaining term of 339 months. Even with the higher market interest rate and the shorter term of the loan, the debt service coverage ratio is above 1.20x, which complied with the Bank's current loan underwriting standards. This loan was put on accrual (because of its sufficient payment history), classified as substandard, and reported as a TDR. There was no increase in the principal balance (\$405,000) of the Note B loan from that loan's prior restructuring in March 2011, and therefore, the charge off amount (\$405,000) remained the same as in March 2011. However, the interest rate was reduced to 0%, as the loan had been charged-off.

· Loan Relationship G. At March 31, 2014, the loan in Loan Relationship G had a carrying value of \$1.7 million. At June 30, 2013, the loan in Loan Relationship G had a carrying value of \$1.9 million. This loan is secured by a 93-pad mobile home park and an 87-pad mobile home park. The borrowers are two limited liability corporations and the two co-borrowers are the principals of the corporations. This loan is a participation loan with another financial institution. The Bank is the lead lender and has a 79% interest in the loan. This loan is included in the above table in "Nonaccrual Loans, Multi-family real estate" at March 31, 2014 and June 30, 2013. In the "Credit Risk Profile by Internally

Assigned Grade” table on page 40, this loan is classified as “Multi-family residential real estate, Substandard,” at March 31, 2014 and June 30, 2013. At March 31, 2014, the loan was performing in accordance with its original terms. A more detailed history of Loan Relationship G follows.

The borrowers approached the Bank and advised it in May 2011 that they were having cash flow problems even though the loan was current. The Bank received updated financial information from the borrowers after being advised of these cash flow problems. The financial information showed there were cash flow problems, but that the co-borrowers had been infusing their personal funds. An independent appraisal was ordered to provide the “as is” value of the properties. The Bank obtained the appraisal in July 2011, and the appraised value of the properties had decreased to \$2.13 million from \$3.6 million in September 2010. Based on the cash flow of the properties, the Bank established impairment in the amount of \$400,000, effective June 30, 2011, based on the information available when the June 30, 2011 financial statements were issued. At June 30, 2011, the Bank’s portion of the loan balance was \$2.1 million. At January 31, 2012, the loan was 39 days delinquent and the Bank was not receiving current financial information. Accordingly, new appraisals were ordered and received in March 2012, reflecting an aggregate appraised value of \$2.8 million which was an increase from the \$2.13 million appraised value from July 2011. The borrower brought the loan current by June 30, 2012. The borrower has recently hired a management company and the Bank has been receiving the borrower’s financial statements on a timely basis. New appraisals were received in December, 2013 on the two mobile home parks that secure this loan reflecting an aggregate value of \$2.75 million, the same aggregate value from the March 2012 appraisals. In March, 2014, the borrowers approached the Bank and again stated they were having cash flow issues. The loan was current as of March 31, 2014. However, the Bank continues to monitor this loan relationship closely.

Loan Relationship H. At March 31, 2014 and June 30, 2013, Loan Relationship H was comprised of three loans having an aggregate carrying value of \$1.0 million. At March 31, 2014, Loan H-1, which as described in further detail below, was previously restructured using the Note A/B split note strategy, had an aggregate carrying value of \$726,000. At June 30, 2013, Loan H-1 had an aggregate carrying value of \$734,000. Loan H-1 is secured by a first lien on an 18-unit apartment complex, a single-family dwelling, a 6.3 acre tract of land, and a second lien on a single-family owner occupied dwelling on 11.36 acres. The borrower is a limited liability corporation and the two co-borrowers are the principals of the limited liability corporation. Loan H-1 is included in the above table as “Accruing restructured loans,” at March 31, 2014 and June 30, 2013. In the “Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade” table on page 40, Loan H-1 is classified as “Multi-family residential real estate, Substandard” at March 31, 2014 and June 30, 2013. Additionally, during the quarter ended June 30, 2013 the Bank refinanced the principal residence of the co-borrowers (the single-family owner occupied dwelling on 11.36 acres mentioned above). This loan, Loan H-2, had an original balance of \$280,000 at a market rate of interest for a ten year term. At March 31, 2014, the balance of Loan H-2 was \$266,000. At June 30, 2013, the balance for Loan H-2 was \$276,000. Loan H-2 is not included in the above table as “Accruing restructured loans” at March 31, 2014 and June 30, 2013. At March 31, 2014 and June 30, 2013, Loan H-2 was classified as “One- to Four-Family Owner-Occupied Mortgage, Watch” in the “Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade” table on page 40. At March 31, 2014, Loan H-1 was performing in accordance with its restructured terms and Loan H-2 was performing in accordance with its original terms. A more detailed history of Loan Relationship H follows.

Originally, Loan Relationship H was comprised of one loan. The interest rate was to reset to 5.75% on June 1, 2012. The borrowers approached the Bank and advised it that the property’s cash flow of the property could not sustain the increase in interest rate. Independent appraisals received in June 2012 reflected that the properties on which the Bank maintained a first lien interest were valued at \$978,000. As of June 30, 2012, the Bank recorded a charge-off of \$481,000 to reflect the carrying value of the loan at \$744,000. Prior to the establishment of the \$481,000 charge-off, management had established a specific allocation on this loan through a charge-off to the general allowance beginning in the June 30, 2009 quarter. The amount of the specific allocation as of March 31, 2012 was \$639,000. The one loan was performing in accordance with its restructured terms at June 30, 2012. In the September 30, 2012 quarter, the borrowers again approached the Bank and advised it that the property’s cash flow could not sustain the loan. Therefore, the one loan was restructured, using the Note A/B split note strategy. The first loan (a Note A loan) was for \$748,000, with a market rate of interest of 5.00%, for a 30-year term and a three year balloon payment. The carrying value of this loan was placed on nonaccrual, classified as substandard, and reported as a TDR. The second loan (a Note B loan) was for \$515,000 (inclusive of the \$481,000 that was charged-off in the June 30, 2012 quarter) and was charged-off. The interest rate was reduced to 0% as the loan had been charged-off.

Loan Relationship J. At March 31, 2014, this relationship was comprised of four loans having an aggregate carrying value of \$1.8 million. At June 30, 2013, the aggregate carrying value of the loans was \$1.9 million. Loan J-1 is secured by a first mortgage on a nonresidential real estate property located on 2.17 acres of land and an additional 1.753 acre tract of land that is contiguous to the nonresidential real estate and is zoned for commercial development. Loan J-2 is secured by a first mortgage on six one-to four-family non owner-occupied residential properties and an 80 acre tract of land. Loan J-3 is secured by a first mortgage on the principal residence of the co-borrower who has co-signed on each of the loans in loan relationship J. Loan J-4 is a home equity line of credit secured by a second mortgage on the principal residence of the co-borrower who is signed on each of the loans in Loan Relationship J. Two of the Loan J-1 borrowers are corporate entities and each of whose principals individually signed as co-borrowers. One of the Loan J-2 borrowers is a corporate entity whose principal individually signed as a co-borrower. The Loan J-3 and Loan J-4 borrower is an individual borrower on each of the loans in Loan Relationship J. At March 31, 2014 and June 30, 2013, Loan J-1 is included in the above table in "Nonaccrual, Nonresidential Real Estate". At March 31, 2014 and June 30, 2013, Loans J-2, J-3, and J-4, are not included in the Nonaccrual table. At March 31, 2014 and June 30, 2013, Loan J-1 was classified as "Nonresidential Real Estate, Substandard" in the "Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade" table on page 40. At March 31, 2014 and June 30, 2013, Loan J-2 was classified as "One-to Four-Family Non Owner-Occupied Mortgage, Watch" in the "Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade" table on page 40. At March 31, 2014 and June 30, 2013, Loans J-3 and J-4 were classified as "One-to Four-Family Owner-Occupied Mortgage, Watch" in the "Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade" table on page 40. At March 31, 2014, Loan J-1 was performing in accordance with its restructured terms, and J-2, J-3, and J-4 were performing in accordance with their original terms. A more detailed history of Loan Relationship J follows.

During the quarter ended June 30, 2013, the co-borrowers of Loan J-1 approached the Bank and advised it that the entity that had been buying the nonresidential real estate portion of this property on land contract, was vacating the premises. The contract buyers also stated they were unable to make the contract loan payments. The co-borrowers had been using the payments from this land contract to make loan payments to the Bank. The Bank ordered an independent appraisal of the nonresidential real estate and the contiguous 1.753 acre tract of land. The appraised value, received in June 2013, totaled \$1.1 million, \$720,000 for the nonresidential real estate property, and \$390,000 for the 1.753 acres tract of land. This was a decrease from the April 2007 appraised value of \$1.6 million. The co-borrowers are able to pay \$1,550 per month for the monthly real estate taxes and \$3,450 per month on Loan J-1. Therefore, in the June 30, 2013 quarter, Loan J-1, with a carrying value of \$869,000, net of the charge off amount of \$161,000, was put on nonaccrual and classified as substandard and was reported as a TDR. The carrying value and the charge off amount were determined by an impairment analysis using 80% of the appraised value of the nonresidential real estate plus 75% of the appraised value of the 1.753 acre tract of land. Subsequent to June 30, 2013, the borrowers signed a purchase agreement with an unrelated third party for the nonresidential real estate property at a sales price that would enable any unpaid principal balance to be fully collateralized by the remaining collateral. During the quarter ended March 31, 2014, the purchase agreement expired and the potential purchaser decided they were no longer interested in the property. At the time of this filing, the borrowers are pursuing other possible sale opportunities.

Loan Relationship K. At March 31, 2014, this Loan Relationship was comprised of seven loans having an aggregate carrying value of \$1.5 million. At June 30, 2013, this Loan Relationship was comprised of eight loans having an aggregate carrying value of \$1.6 million. At March 31, 2014 Loan K-1 had an aggregate carrying value of \$739,000 and secured by 11 one-to four-family non-owner occupied properties and one multi-family property. In June 30, 2013, Loan K-1 had an aggregate carrying value of \$813,000 and secured by 12 one- to four-family non-owner

occupied properties and one multi-family property. As further described below, Loan K-1 was previously restructured using the Note A/B strategy. During the quarter ended March 31, 2014, one of Loan K-1's one- to four-family non-owner occupied properties was sold. Loan K-2 is secured by a first mortgage on the principal residence of two of the individual co-borrowers. Loan K-3 is a home equity line of credit secured by a second mortgage on the principal residence of two of the individual co-borrowers. Loan K-5 is secured by a first mortgage on the principal residence of the two individual co-borrowers. Loan K-6 is secured by a UCC-1 filing and a second mortgage on the principal residence the two individual co-borrowers. Loan K-7 is secured by a first mortgage on a nonresidential property and a third mortgage on the principal residence the two individual co-borrowers. One of the Loan K-1 co-borrowers is a corporate entity, each of whose principals, together with their respective spouses, is a co-borrower. Two of the Loan K-2 and K-3 co-borrowers are individual co-signors. Loan K-5 co-borrowers are individually signed. One of the Loan K-6 and K-7 co-borrowers is a corporate entity whose principal, together with their spouse, is co-borrower.

At March 31, 2014 and June 30, 2013, Note A of Loan K-1 is included in the above table in “Accruing Restructured Loans.” At March 31, 2014 and June 30, 2013, Loans K-2, K-3, K-5, K-6, and K-7, are not included in the above nonaccrual table because these loans were performing in accordance with their original terms. At March 31, 2014 and June 30, 2013, the Note A loan of Loan K-1 was classified as “Multi-Family, Substandard” in the “Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade” table on page 40. At March 31, 2014 and June 30, 2013, Loan K-2 was classified as “One-to-Four-Family Owner-Occupied Mortgage, Watch” in the “Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade” table on page 40. At March 31, 2014 and June 30, 2013, Loan K-3 was classified as “Consumer, Pass” in the “Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade” table on page 40. At March 31, 2014 and June 30, 2013, Loan K-5 was classified as “One-to-Four-Family Owner-Occupied Mortgage, Pass” in the “Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade” table on page 40. At March 31, 2014 and June 30, 2013, Loan K-6 was classified as “Commercial and Agricultural, Pass” in the “Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade” table on page 40. At March 31, 2014 and June 30, 2013, Loan K-7 was classified as “Nonresidential Real Estate, Pass” in the “Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade” table on page 40. At March 31, 2014, the Note A loan of Loan K-1 was performing in accordance with its restructured terms, and Loan K-2, K-3, K-5, K-6, and K-7, were performing in accordance with their original terms. A more detailed history of Loan K-1 follows.

In November 2011, a charge-off in the amount of \$406,000 was established for Loan K-1 because of cash flow issues of the rental properties securing this loan. At that time independent appraisals were ordered. The new appraisals, received in December 2011, reflected that the values of the properties had decreased to \$1,262,000 from \$1,998,500 as of May 2007. The Bank determined to restructure the loan utilizing the Note A/B split note strategy. The first loan (Loan K-1, a Note A loan) was for \$1,128,000 with the market rate of interest of 5.50% and a two year balloon payment. This loan was put on nonaccrual, classified as substandard, and reported as a TDR. The second loan (a Note B loan) had a balance of \$415,000 and was charged-off and the interest rate reduced to 0%. This charge-off amount was \$9,000 more than the charge-off amount established in November 2011. In July 2012, the borrowers sold four of the rental properties and the net proceeds of \$301,000 were applied to Loan K-1, reducing the principal to \$823,000 from \$1,125,000. A fifth rental property was released because of the condition of the property.

Loan K-1 was restructured again utilizing the Note A/B split note strategy during the quarter ended December 31, 2013 due to the balloon payment described above. The first loan (a Note A loan) was for \$809,000 with the market rate of interest of 5.50% and a three year balloon payment. This loan was put on accrual (because of its sufficient payment history), classified as substandard, and reported as a TDR. The second loan (a Note B loan) had a balance of \$415,000 and was charged-off and the interest rate reduced to 0%. In March, 2014, the borrowers sold one of the rental properties and the net proceeds of \$65,000 were applied to Loan K-1, reducing the principal to \$739,000. Therefore, at March 31, 2014, there were a total of 12 rental properties remaining as collateral for this loan. In the December 31, 2013 quarter, Loan K-4 was paid in full.

· Loan Relationship L. At March 31, 2014, this Loan Relationship was comprised of one loan having an aggregate carrying value of \$313,000. At June 30, 2013, this loan had a carrying value of \$547,000. This loan is secured by a first mortgage on two one-to four-family non-owner occupied properties and two nonresidential properties. The borrowers are husband and wife who jointly own these properties. Each of the borrowers is also a co-borrower on the loan. The loan is included in the above table in “Nonaccrual restructured loans, Nonresidential real estate” as of March 31, 2014 and June 30, 2013. In the “Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade” table on page 40, this loan is

classified as “Nonresidential real estate, Substandard” at March 31, 2014 and June 30, 2013, and is reported as a TDR. This loan was performing in accordance with its restructured terms at March 31, 2014. A more detailed history of Loan Relationship L follows.

This Loan Relationship was originally comprised of two loans originated in the first quarter of 2008 and had an aggregate net carrying value of \$743,000 at March 31, 2008. In early 2011, the borrowers began to experience cash flow problems because a major tenant in one of the nonresidential properties was making sporadic rental payments. At June 30, 2011, the two loans were not 30 days delinquent; nevertheless, the Bank ordered independent appraisals on the properties securing the loan due to the underlying cash flow problems. The appraisals were received in June 2011 and reflected a total value of \$676,000 compared to the original November 2007 appraised value of \$1.2 million. At September 30, 2011, one of the loans was 30 days delinquent at which time management determined to establish an impairment of \$93,000. Based on the then most recent appraisal indicating a known loss and the borrowers’ cash flow problems, in the quarter ending December 31, 2011, management determined to refinance the two loans into one loan at a below market interest rate. A charge off of \$124,000, inclusive of the impairment established in the September 30, 2011 quarter, was also recorded. As part of the Bank’s ongoing monitoring and impairment analysis, the Bank obtained new appraisals on all five properties relating to this loan relationship in the quarter ended June 30, 2013. The total value of these new appraisals was \$680,000, reflecting an increase of \$4,000 from the appraisals completed in June 2011. In the quarter ended September 30, 2013, the borrowers received an offer from a qualified buyer to purchase one of the nonresidential properties for \$182,000. This property had appraised for \$185,000 in June 2013. Based on the anticipated net proceeds from the sale to be applied to the loan’s principal balance, the Bank increased the charge off amount on this loan to \$154,000 as of December 31, 2013. In January 2014, the sale occurred and net proceeds of \$65,000 were applied to the principal balance of the loan.

Loan Relationship M. At March 31, 2014, Loan Relationship M was comprised of two loans having an aggregate carrying value of \$2.4 million. At June 30, 2013, Loan Relationship M was comprised of two loans having an aggregate carrying value of \$2.5 million. The loans are secured by a first mortgage on two golf courses, including a club house on each, in the Greater Cincinnati area, an approximately 25 acre tract land, and a second mortgage on the principal residence of two of the individual co-borrowers. The borrower of Loans M-1 and M-2 is a corporate entity, each of whose principals, a husband and wife, has individually signed as a co-borrower, as have the father and step mother of one of the co-borrowers. At March 31, 2014, Loans M-1 and M-2 are included in the above table in “Nonaccrual, Nonresidential Real Estate”. At June 30, 2013, Loans M-1 and M-2 are not included in the Nonaccrual table. At March 31, 2014, Loans M-1 and M-2 were classified as “Nonresidential Real Estate, Substandard” in the “Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade” table on page 40. At June 30, 2013, Loan M-1 was classified as “Nonresidential Real Estate, Special Mention” in the “Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade” table on page 40. At June 30, 2013, Loan M-2 was classified as “Nonresidential Real Estate, Watch” in the “Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade” table on page 40. At March 31, 2014, the Bank had entered into payment plan agreement with the borrowers to bring current loan payments that were not paid in November and December 2013, and real estate taxes during the period ended March 31, 2014. A more detailed history of Loan Relationship M follows.

Loan M-1 originated in December 2007 and Loan M-2 originated in July 2009 each with a 20 year term. Under each loan’s terms, payments are due from April through December of each year; no payments are required in January, February and March of each year. Due to reduced cash flows resulting from inclement weather, in December 2013, the co-borrowers advised the Bank that they would pay the amounts due for November and December 2013 in February and March 2014, respectively. Due to the continuation of the severe winter weather and resultant reduced cash flows, borrowers were unable to make the payment due in February 2014 and were unable to make the tax payment due during the period ended March 31, 2014. As a result of the failure to make the November payment, the decrease in cash flow and the borrowers’ failure to pay real estate taxes, the Bank had both properties appraised. The appraisals were received in March 2014 and reflected an aggregate decrease in value of approximately \$500,000 as compared to their March 2009 appraised value. Based on the new appraised value, there was no known loss to the Bank. The Bank also performed an impairment analysis on each loan in March 2014 resulting in an aggregate impairment of \$41,000. The Bank and the co-borrowers agreed to a revised repayment plan to bring all payments, and real estate taxes, due but not paid during the period ended March 31, 2014, current by July 31, 2014. At March 31, 2014, management established a specific allocation of \$41,000. In April 2014, the co-borrowers paid the payments that were due in April for each loan under the revised plan.

The following table summarizes all Note A/B format loans at March 31, 2014:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Loan Balances | | | Number of Loans | |
|---|---------------|---------|----------|-----------------|--------|
| | Note A | Note B | Total | Note A | Note B |
| Nonresidential real estate | \$2,756 | \$3,476 | \$6,232 | 5 | 5 |
| Multi-family residential real estate | 3,233 | 1,645 | 4,878 | 4 | 4 |
| One- to four-family residential real estate | 200 | 61 | 261 | 1 | 1 |
| Total (1) | \$6,189 | \$5,182 | \$11,371 | 10 | 10 |

(1) Included in this total are an aggregate of \$4.9 million comprised of Note A loans and \$4.6 million comprised of Note B loans that are included in the discussion of Loan Relationships B, D, E, F, H and K.

The following table provides information with respect to all of our loans that are classified as troubled debt restructurings. For additional information regarding troubled debt restructurings on nonaccrual status, see the table of nonperforming assets above.

| (in thousands) | At March 31, 2014 | | Total Unpaid Principal Balance | Related Allowance | Recorded Investment | Number of Loans | Average Recorded Investment |
|---|-------------------|------------|---|----------------------|------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | Accrual | Nonaccrual | | | | | |
| One- to four-family residential real estate | \$1,271 | \$ 1,578 | \$ 2,849 | \$ - | \$ 2,849 | 23 | \$ 3,068 |
| Multi-family residential real estate | 4,115 | 1,604 | 5,719 | 172 | 5,547 | 11 | 5,664 |
| Nonresidential real estate | 3,384 | 1,673 | 5,057 | 120 | 4,937 | 12 | 5,042 |
| Total | \$8,770 | \$ 4,855 | \$ 13,625 | \$ 292 | \$ 13,333 | 46 | \$ 13,774 |

The following table is a roll forward of activity in our TDRs:

| | Three Months Ended March 31, 2014 | | Nine Months Ended March 31, 2014 | |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------|
| | Recorded Investment | Number of Loans | Recorded Investment | Number of Loans |
| (Dollar amounts in thousands) | | | | |
| Beginning balance | \$ 14,213 | 47 | \$ 18,915 | 52 |
| Additions to TDR | - | - | 20 | - |
| Charge-offs | (152) | - | (206) | - |
| Removal of TDRs ⁽¹⁾ | (102) | (1) | (4,304) | (6) |
| Payments | (626) | - | (1,092) | - |
| Ending balance | \$ 13,333 | 46 | \$ 13,333 | 46 |

⁽¹⁾ The removal of these loans from TDR was due to the payoff of the loans during the nine months ended March 31, 2014.

Loans that were included in TDRs at March 31, 2014 were generally given concessions of interest rate reductions of between 25 and 300 basis points, and/or structured as interest only payment loans for periods of one to three years. Many of these loans also have balloon payments due at the end of their lowered rate period, requiring the borrower to refinance at market rates at that time. At March 31, 2014, there were 42 loans that required payments of principal and interest, and four loans that required interest payments only.

The following table shows the aggregate amounts of our classified assets at the dates indicated.

| | At March 31, | |
|-------------------------|----------------|----------|
| | 2014 | 2013 |
| | (In thousands) | |
| Special mention assets | \$5,485 | \$5,145 |
| Substandard assets | 21,431 | 27,541 |
| Total classified assets | \$26,916 | \$32,686 |

The following tables illustrate certain disclosures required by ASC 310-10-50-29(b) at March 31, 2014 and at June 30, 2013.

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-Q

At March 31, 2014:

| Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------|---|--|---------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|
| Grade: | One- to Four- Family Owner- Occupied Mortgage | Consumer | One- to Four- Family Non- Owner Occupied Mortgage | Multi- family Non- Owner- Occupied Mortgage | Non- Residential Real estate | Construction | Land | Commercial and Agricultural | Total |
| (In thousands) | | | | | | | | | |
| Pass | \$101,635 | \$32,321 | \$8,948 | \$16,535 | \$30,643 | \$3,147 | \$899 | \$5,843 | \$199,971 |
| Watch | 5,736 | 961 | 4,256 | 2,729 | 8,560 | — | 845 | 1,767 | 24,854 |
| Special mention | 750 | 132 | 759 | 343 | 2,444 | — | 1,057 | — | 5,485 |
| Substandard | 3,919 | 739 | 882 | 7,450 | 8,420 | — | 21 | — | 21,431 |
| Total | \$112,040 | \$34,153 | \$14,845 | \$27,057 | \$50,067 | \$3,147 | \$2,822 | \$7,610 | \$251,741 |

At June 30, 2013:

| Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------|---|--|---------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|
| Grade: | One- to Four- Family Owner- Occupied Mortgage | Consumer | One- to Four- Family Non- Owner Occupied Mortgage | Multi- family Non- Owner- Occupied Mortgage | Non- Residential Real estate | Construction | Land | Commercial and Agricultural | Total |
| (In thousands) | | | | | | | | | |
| Pass | \$99,494 | \$34,506 | \$10,909 | \$16,900 | \$26,340 | \$2,200 | \$2,364 | \$5,691 | \$198,404 |
| Watch | 6,033 | 641 | 3,988 | 5,102 | 14,866 | - | 861 | 1,414 | 32,905 |
| Special mention | 756 | 17 | 388 | 353 | 3,343 | - | 186 | - | 5,043 |
| Substandard | 5,121 | 535 | 1,370 | 9,951 | 7,353 | - | 24 | 10 | 24,364 |
| Total | \$111,404 | \$35,699 | \$16,655 | \$32,306 | \$51,902 | \$2,200 | \$3,435 | \$7,115 | \$260,716 |

The following table illustrates certain disclosures required by ASC 310-10-50-7A for gross loans.

At March 31, 2014 At June 30, 2013

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-Q

| | 30-59 Days Past Due | 60-89 Days Past Due | 30-59 Days Past Due | 60-89 Days Past Due |
|---|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| | (in thousands) | | | |
| One- to four-family mortgage – owner-occupied | \$1,650 | \$ 661 | \$ 1,748 | \$ 706 |
| Consumer | 147 | 210 | 202 | 68 |
| One- to four-family mortgage – nonowner-occupied | 421 | 284 | 54 | 388 |
| Multi-family mortgage | 1,604 | — | 110 | — |
| Nonresidential real estate mortgage – commercial and office buildings | 6 | 108 | 286 | 18 |
| Construction | — | — | — | — |
| Land | — | — | — | — |
| Commercial and agricultural | 6 | — | 7 | — |
| Total | \$3,834 | \$ 1,263 | \$ 2,407 | \$ 1,180 |

The following table illustrates the changes to the allowance for loan losses for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2014:

| | One- to Four- Family Owner- Occupied Mortgage | Consumer | One- to Four- family Non- owner Occupied Mortgage | Multi- family Non- owner Occupied Mortgage | Non- Residential Real estate | Construction | Land | Commercial and Agricultural | Total |
|---|--|-----------|---|---|---------------------------------------|--------------|----------|-----------------------------------|------------|
| Allowance for Credit Losses: Balance, January 1, 2014: | \$1,007 | \$ 638 | \$ 209 | \$ 1,161 | \$ 2,294 | \$ 12 | \$ 17 | \$ 33 | \$ 5,371 |
| Charge offs | (2) | (25) | - | - | - | - | (12) | (4) | (43) |
| Recoveries | 3 | 41 | 1 | 10 | 1 | - | 12 | - | 68 |
| Provision (credit) | 156 | (97) | 6 | 26 | (18) | (1) | (2) | 5 | 75 |
| Ending Balance: | \$1,164 | \$ 557 | \$ 216 | \$ 1,197 | \$ 2,277 | \$ 11 | \$ 15 | \$ 34 | \$ 5,471 |
| Allowance for Credit Losses: Balance, July 1, 2013: | \$ 942 | \$ 553 | \$ 215 | \$ 1,286 | \$ 2,386 | \$ 10 | \$ 17 | \$ 34 | \$ 5,443 |
| Charge offs | (459) | (103) | - | - | (30) | - | (15) | (4) | (611) |
| Recoveries | 370 | 95 | 2 | 411 | 28 | - | 24 | 1 | 931 |
| Provision (credit) | 311 | 12 | (1) | (500) | (107) | 1 | (11) | 3 | (292) |
| Ending Balance: | \$1,164 | \$ 557 | \$ 216 | \$ 1,197 | \$ 2,277 | \$ 11 | \$ 15 | \$ 34 | \$ 5,471 |
| Balance, Individually Evaluated | \$- | \$- | \$ 16 | \$ 357 | \$ 160 | \$ - | \$- | \$ - | \$ 533 |
| Balance, Collectively Evaluated | \$1,164 | \$ 557 | \$ 200 | \$ 840 | \$ 2,117 | \$ 11 | \$ 15 | \$ 34 | \$ 4,938 |
| Financing receivables: Ending balance | \$ 112,040 | \$ 34,153 | \$ 14,845 | \$ 27,057 | \$ 50,067 | \$ 3,147 | \$ 2,822 | \$ 7,610 | \$ 251,741 |
| | \$ 3,919 | \$ 633 | \$ 882 | \$ 7,450 | \$ 8,321 | \$ - | \$ 21 | \$ 3 | \$ 21,226 |

Ending Balance:
individually
evaluated for
impairment

Ending Balance:
collectively
evaluated for
impairment

| | | | | | | | | |
|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|---------|---------|---------|-----------|
| \$98,885 | \$29,305 | \$13,376 | \$19,607 | \$38,549 | \$3,147 | \$2,737 | \$6,486 | \$212,092 |
|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|---------|---------|---------|-----------|

Ending Balance:
loans acquired
with deteriorated
credit quality

| | | | | | | | | |
|---------|---------|-------|-----|---------|-----|------|---------|----------|
| \$9,236 | \$4,215 | \$587 | \$- | \$3,197 | \$- | \$64 | \$1,124 | \$18,423 |
|---------|---------|-------|-----|---------|-----|------|---------|----------|

The following table sets forth the allocation of the allowance for loan losses by loan category at the dates indicated.

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-Q

| | At March 31, 2014 | | | At June 30, 2013 | | |
|---|----------------------|--|--|---------------------|--|--|
| | Amount | % of Allowance to Total Allowance | % of Loans in Category to Total Loans | Amount | % of Allowance to Total Allowance | % of Loans in Category to Total Loans |
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | | |
| One- to four-family residential real estate | \$1,380 | 25.2 | % 50.4 | % \$1,157 | 21.3 | % 49.1 |
| Multi-family real estate | 1,197 | 21.9 | 10.7 | 1,286 | 23.6 | 12.4 |
| Nonresidential real estate | 2,277 | 41.6 | 19.9 | 2,386 | 43.8 | 19.9 |
| Land | 15 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 17 | 0.3 | 1.3 |
| Agricultural | — | - | 1.2 | - | - | 1.4 |
| Commercial | 34 | 0.6 | 1.8 | 34 | 0.6 | 1.4 |
| Consumer | 557 | 10.2 | 13.6 | 553 | 10.2 | 13.7 |
| Construction | 11 | 0.2 | 1.3 | 10 | 0.2 | 0.8 |
| Total allowance for loan losses | \$5,471 | 100.0 | % 100.0 | % \$5,443 | 100.0 | % 100.0 |
| Total loans | \$251,741 | | | \$260,716 | | |

Liquidity Management. Liquidity is the ability to meet current and future financial obligations of a short-term nature. Our primary sources of funds consist of deposit inflows, loan repayments, maturities and sales of securities and borrowings from the Federal Home Loan Bank of Indianapolis. While maturities and scheduled amortization of loans and securities are predictable sources of funds, deposit flows and loan prepayments are greatly influenced by general interest rates, economic conditions and competition.

We regularly adjust our investments in liquid assets based upon our assessment of: (1) expected loan demands; (2) expected deposit flows, in particular municipal deposit flows; (3) yields available on interest-earning deposits and securities; and (4) the objectives of our asset/liability management policy.

Our most liquid assets are cash and cash equivalents. The levels of these assets depend on our operating, financing, lending and investing activities during any given period. Cash and cash equivalents totaled \$27.8 million at March 31, 2014 and \$16.8 million at June 30, 2013. Securities classified as available-for-sale whose market value exceeds our cost, which provide additional sources of liquidity, totaled \$40.1 million at March 31, 2014. Total securities classified as available-for-sale were \$209.8 million at March 31, 2014. In addition, at March 31, 2014, we had the ability to borrow a total of approximately \$117.0 million from the Federal Home Loan Bank of Indianapolis.

At March 31, 2014, we had \$31.1 million in loan commitments outstanding, consisting of \$1.2 million in mortgage loan commitments, \$24.4 million in unused home equity lines of credit and \$5.5 million in commercial lines of credit. Certificates of deposit due within one year of March 31, 2014 totaled \$111.5 million. This represented 63.0% of

certificates of deposit at March 31, 2014. We believe that the large percentage of certificates of deposit that mature within one year reflects customers' hesitancy to invest their funds for longer periods in the current low interest rate environment. If these maturing deposits do not remain with us, we will be required to seek other sources of funding, including other certificates of deposit and borrowings. Depending on market conditions, we may be required to pay higher rates on such deposits or other borrowings than we currently pay on the certificates of deposit due on or before March 31, 2014. However, based on past experience, we believe that a significant portion of our certificates of deposit will remain with us. We have the ability to attract and retain deposits by adjusting the interest rates offered.

Our primary investing activities are the origination and purchase of loans and the purchase of securities. Our primary financing activities consist of activity in deposit accounts and Federal Home Loan Bank advances. Deposit flows are affected by the overall level of interest rates, the interest rates and products offered by us and our local competitors and other factors. We generally manage the pricing of our deposits to be competitive and to increase core deposit relationships. Occasionally, we offer promotional rates on certain deposit products to attract deposits.

Capital Management. United Community Bank is subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the OCC, including a risk-based capital measure. The risk-based capital guidelines include both a definition of capital and a framework for calculating risk-weighted assets by assigning balance sheet assets and off-balance sheet items to broad risk categories. At March 31, 2014, we exceeded all of our regulatory capital requirements. We are considered “well capitalized” under regulatory guidelines. See “*Regulation and Supervision—Regulation of Federal Savings Associations—Capital Requirements*,” and Note 16 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 27, 2013.

The following table summarizes the Bank’s capital amounts and the ratios required at March 31, 2014:

| | Actual | | For capital adequacy purposes | | To be well capitalized under prompt corrective action provisions | |
|---|----------------|--------|-------------------------------|-------|--|-------|
| | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio |
| March 31, 2014 (unaudited) | (in thousands) | | | | | |
| Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets | \$62,336 | 25.59% | \$ 9,744 | 4 % | \$ 14,616 | 6 % |
| Total capital to risk-weighted assets | 65,411 | 26.85% | 19,489 | 8 % | 24,362 | 10 % |
| Tier 1 capital to adjusted total assets | 62,336 | 12.00% | 20,779 | 4 % | 25,973 | 5 % |
| Tangible capital to adjusted total assets | 62,336 | 12.00% | 7,792 | 1.5 % | NA | NA |

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements. In the normal course of operations, we engage in a variety of financial transactions that, in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, are not recorded in our financial statements. These transactions involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit, interest rate and liquidity risk. Such transactions are used primarily to manage customers’ requests for funding and take the form of loan commitments, letters of credit and lines of credit. We currently have no plans to engage in hedging activities in the future.

For the three and nine months ended March 31, 2014, we engaged in no off-balance sheet transactions reasonably likely to have a material effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

For a discussion of the Company’s asset and liability management policies as well as the potential impact of interest rate changes upon the market value of the Company’s portfolio equity, see “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 27, 2013. The main components of market risk for the Company

are interest rate risk and liquidity risk. The Company manages interest rate risk and liquidity risk by establishing and monitoring the volume, maturities, pricing and mix of assets and funding sources with the objective of managing assets and funding sources to provide results that are consistent with liquidity, growth, risk limits and profitability goals. Model simulation is used to measure earnings volatility under both rising and falling rate scenarios.

We use an economic value of equity analysis prepared by a consulting firm to review our level of interest rate risk. This analysis measures interest rate risk by computing changes in net economic value of our cash flows from assets, liabilities and off-balance sheet items in the event of a range of assumed changes in market interest rates. Economic value of equity represents the market value of portfolio equity and is equal to the market value of assets minus the market value of liabilities, with adjustments made for off-balance sheet items. These analyses assess the risk of loss in market risk-sensitive instruments in the event of a sudden and sustained 100 to 300 basis point increase or 100 and 200 basis point decrease in market interest rates with no effect given to any steps that we might take to counter the effect of that interest rate movement. Because of the low level of market interest rates, these analyses are not performed for decreases of more than 200 basis points.

The following table presents the change in our net economic value of equity at December 31, 2013, the most recently completed date, that would occur in the event of an immediate change in interest rates, with no effect given to any steps that we might take to counteract that change.

| Basis Point (“bp”) Change in Rates | Economic Value of Equity (Dollars in Thousands) | | | | Economic Value of Equity as % of Economic Value of Total Assets Economic Value Ratio | |
|---------------------------------------|--|------------|-----------|--|---|---|
| | Amount | Change | % Change | | | |
| 300 | \$55,344 | \$(15,399) | (21.77)% | | 11.57 | % |
| 200 | 61,710 | (9,033) | (12.77)% | | 12.59 | % |
| 100 | 68,522 | (2,221) | (3.14)% | | 13.60 | % |
| 0 | 70,753 | — | — | | 13.72 | % |
| (100) | 76,450 | 5,707 | 8.07 % | | 14.49 | % |
| (400) | 69,680 | (1,063) | (1.50)% | | 14.30 | % |

The model uses various assumptions in assessing interest rate risk. These assumptions relate to interest rates, loan prepayment rates, deposit decay rates and the market values of certain assets under differing interest rate scenarios, among others. As with any method of measuring interest rate risk, certain shortcomings are inherent in the methods of analyses presented in the foregoing tables. For example, although certain assets and liabilities may have similar maturities or periods to repricing, they may react in different degrees to changes in market interest rates. Also, the interest rates on certain types of assets and liabilities may fluctuate in advance of changes in market interest rates, while interest rates on other types may lag behind changes in market rates. Additionally, certain assets, such as adjustable-rate mortgage loans, have features that restrict changes in interest rates on a short-term basis and over the life of the asset. Further, in the event of a change in interest rates, expected rates of prepayments on loans and early withdrawals from certificates could deviate significantly from those assumed in calculating the table. Prepayment rates can have a significant impact on interest income. Because of the large percentage of loans and mortgage-backed securities we hold, rising or falling interest rates have a significant impact on the prepayment speeds of our earning assets that in turn affect the rate sensitivity position. When interest rates rise, prepayments tend to slow. When interest rates fall, prepayments tend to rise. Our asset sensitivity would be reduced if prepayments slow and vice versa. While we believe these assumptions to be reasonable, there can be no assurance that assumed prepayment rates will approximate actual future mortgage-backed security and loan repayment activity.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

The Company’s management, including the Company’s principal executive officer and principal financial officer, have evaluated the effectiveness of the Company’s “disclosure controls and procedures,” as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(e) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, (the “Exchange Act”). Based upon their evaluation, the principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company’s disclosure controls and procedures were effective for the purpose of ensuring that the information required to be disclosed in the reports that the Company files or submits under the Exchange Act with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”) (1) is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC’s rules and forms, and (2) is accumulated and communicated to the Company’s management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, as appropriate to allow timely decisions

regarding required disclosure. During the quarterly period ended March 31, 2014, there were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting which materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal controls over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Periodically, there have been various claims and lawsuits against us, such as claims to enforce liens and contracts, condemnation proceedings on properties in which we hold security interests, claims involving the making and servicing of real property loans and other issues incident to our business. We are not party to any pending legal proceedings that we believe would have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

In addition to the risk factors and other information set forth in this report, you should carefully consider the factors discussed in Part I, “Item 1A. Risk Factors” in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2013 and in the Company’s Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2013, which could materially affect our business, financial condition or future results. The risks described in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K and Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q are not the only risks that we face. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial also may materially affect our business, financial condition and/or operating results.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Repurchases of the Company’s common stock during the quarter ended March 31, 2014 were as follows:

| Period | Total number of shares purchased | Average price paid per share | Total number of shares purchased as part of publicly announced plans or programs | Maximum number of shares that may yet be purchased under the plans or programs |
|----------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|
| 1/1/2014 - 1/31/2014 | - | \$ - | - | 514,956 |
| 2/1/2014 - 2/28/2014 | 108,200 | \$ 11.05 | 108,200 | 406,756 |
| 3/1/2014 - 3/31/2014 | 1,400 | \$ 11.20 | 1,400 | 405,356 |
| Total | 109,600 | \$ 11.10 | 109,600 | |

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

Not applicable

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable

Item 5. Other Information

Not applicable

44

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit 3.1 Articles of Incorporation of United Community Bancorp (1)

Exhibit 3.2 Bylaws of United Community Bancorp (2)

Exhibit 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer

Exhibit 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer

Exhibit 32 Section 1305 Certifications

Exhibit 101.0 The following materials from the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2014, formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language): (i) the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition, (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Income, (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income, (iv) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, and (v) the Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements.

(1) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended, initially filed on March 15, 2011.

(2) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended, initially filed on March 15, 2011.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

UNITED COMMUNITY BANCORP

Date: May 12, 2014 By: /s/ William F. Ritzmann
William F. Ritzmann
President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: May 12, 2014 By: /s/ Vicki A. March
Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer
and Treasurer