

RLJ Lodging Trust
Form 10-K
March 08, 2012

Use these links to rapidly review the document

[TABLE OF CONTENTS1](#)

[TABLE OF CONTENTS2](#)

[Table of Contents](#)

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ý **ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011

OR

o **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**For the transition period from _____ to _____
Commission File Number 001-35169**

RLJ LODGING TRUST

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Maryland
(State or Other Jurisdiction of
Incorporation or Organization)

27-4706509
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

**3 Bethesda Metro Center, Suite 1000
Bethesda, Maryland**
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

20814
(Zip Code)

(301) 280-7777

(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class
Common Shares, \$0.01 par value

Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered
New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: **None**

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes o No ý

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Act. Yes o No ý

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulations S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment of this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
(do not check if a
smaller reporting
company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the 102,465,581 common shares of beneficial interest held by non-affiliates of the Registrant was approximately \$1,779,827,142 based on the closing price of \$17.37 as reported on the New York Stock Exchange for such common shares of beneficial interest on June 30, 2011.

As of March 2, 2012, 106,644,632 common shares of beneficial interest of the Registrant, \$0.01 par value per share, were outstanding.

Documents Incorporated by Reference

Portions of the Definitive Proxy Statement for our 2012 Annual Meeting of Shareholders are incorporated by reference into Part III of this report. We expect to file our proxy statement within 120 days after December 31, 2011.

Table of Contents**RLJ Lodging Trust****Index**

Item No.		Form 10-K Report Page
PART I		
<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>Business</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Item 1A.</u>	<u>Risk Factors</u>	<u>11</u>
<u>Item 1B.</u>	<u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	<u>42</u>
<u>Item 2.</u>	<u>Properties</u>	<u>43</u>
<u>Item 3.</u>	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>53</u>
<u>Item 4.</u>	<u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	<u>53</u>
PART II		
<u>Item 5.</u>	<u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	<u>54</u>
<u>Item 6.</u>	<u>Selected Financial Data</u>	<u>58</u>
<u>Item 7.</u>	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>60</u>
<u>Item 7A.</u>	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	<u>83</u>
<u>Item 8.</u>	<u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	<u>84</u>
<u>Item 9.</u>	<u>Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	<u>84</u>
<u>Item 9A.</u>	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>84</u>
<u>Item 9B.</u>	<u>Other Information</u>	<u>85</u>
PART III		
<u>Item 10.</u>	<u>Directors, Executive Officers of the Registrant and Corporate Governance</u>	<u>85</u>
<u>Item 11.</u>	<u>Executive Compensation</u>	<u>85</u>
<u>Item 12.</u>	<u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	<u>86</u>
<u>Item 13.</u>	<u>Certain Relationships, Related Transactions and Director Independence</u>	<u>86</u>
<u>Item 14.</u>	<u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u>	<u>86</u>
PART IV		
<u>Item 15.</u>	<u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u>	<u>86</u>

Table of Contents

SPECIAL NOTE ABOUT FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Certain statements in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, other than purely historical information, including estimates, projections, statements relating to our business plans, objectives and expected operating results, and the assumptions upon which those statements are based, are "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. These forward-looking statements generally are identified by the words "believes," "project," "expects," "anticipates," "estimates," "intends," "strategy," "plan," "may," "will," "would," "will be," "will continue," "will likely result," and similar expressions. Forward-looking statements are based on current expectations and assumptions that are subject to risks and uncertainties which may cause actual results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements. A discussion of these and other risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results and events to differ materially from such forward-looking statements is included in "Risk Factors" and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Except as required by law, we undertake no obligation to update or revise publicly any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

Except where the context suggests otherwise, we define certain terms in this Annual Report on Form 10-K as follows:

"our company," "we," "us" and "our" refer to RLJ Lodging Trust, a Maryland real estate investment trust, together with its consolidated subsidiaries, including RLJ Lodging Trust, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership, which we refer to as "our operating partnership";

"our predecessor" collectively refers to RLJ Development, LLC, or RLJ Development, and two lodging-focused private equity funds that were sponsored and managed by RLJ Development, RLJ Lodging Fund II, L.P. (and its parallel fund), or collectively, Fund II, and RLJ Real Estate Fund III, L.P. (and its parallel fund), or collectively, Fund III, all of which were entities under the common control of Robert L. Johnson, our Executive Chairman;

"our hotels" refers to the 141 hotels owned by us as of December 31, 2011;

"our formation transactions" refers to a series of transactions in which, among other things, (1) our company was formed, (2) our operating partnership was formed, (3) each of Fund II and Fund III were merged with and into our company, with investors in each of Fund II and Fund III receiving common shares as consideration, and (4) RLJ Development contributed substantially all of its assets and liabilities to our operating partnership in exchange for units of limited partnership interest in our operating partnership, or OP units;

a "compact full-service hotel" typically refers to any hotel with (1) less than 300 guestrooms and less than 12,000 square feet of meeting space or (2) more than 300 guestrooms where, unlike traditional full-service hotels, the operations focus primarily on the rental of guestrooms such that a significant majority of its total revenue is generated from room rentals rather than other sources, such as food and beverage;

a "focused-service hotel" typically refers to any hotel where the operations focus primarily on the rental of guestrooms and that offers services and amenities to a lesser extent than a typical full-service or compact full-service hotel. For example, a focused-service hotel may have a restaurant, but, unlike a restaurant in a typical full-service or compact full-service hotel, it may not offer three meals per day and may not offer room service. In addition, a focused-service hotel differs from a compact full-service hotel in that it typically has less than 2,000 square feet of meeting space, if any at all; and

"TRSs" refers to our taxable REIT subsidiaries that are wholly-owned, directly or indirectly, by our operating partnership and any disregarded subsidiaries of our TRSs.

Table of Contents

We refer to the "RevPAR penetration index" of our hotels to measure each hotel's revenue per available room, or RevPAR, in relation to the average RevPAR of that hotel's competitive set. Each hotel's competitive set consists of a small group of hotels in the relevant market that we and the third-party hotel management company that manages the hotel believe are comparable for purposes of benchmarking the performance of such hotel.

Item 1. Business

Our Company

We are a self-advised and self-administered Maryland REIT that invests primarily in premium-branded, focused-service and compact full-service hotels. We are one of the largest U.S. publicly-traded lodging REITs in terms of both number of hotels and number of rooms. Our hotels are concentrated in urban and dense suburban markets that we believe exhibit multiple demand generators and high barriers to entry. We believe focused-service and compact full-service hotels with these characteristics generate high levels of RevPAR, strong operating margins and attractive returns.

As of December 31, 2011, we, through wholly-owned subsidiaries, owned 100% of the interests in 140 hotels and a 95% interest in one hotel. Our 141 hotels are made up of 20,646 suites/rooms and are located in 20 states and the District of Columbia.

We intend to elect and qualify to be taxed as a REIT, for U.S. federal income tax purposes, commencing with the portion of our taxable year ending December 31, 2011. Substantially all of our assets are held by, and all of our operations are conducted through, our operating partnership. We are the sole general partner of our operating partnership. As of December 31, 2011, we owned, through a combination of direct and indirect interests, 99.2% of the OP units in our operating partnership.

Our Investment and Growth Strategies

Our objective is to generate strong returns for our shareholders by continuing to invest primarily in premium-branded, focused-service hotels and compact full-service hotels at prices where we believe we can generate attractive returns on investment and generate long-term value appreciation through aggressive asset management. We intend to pursue this objective through the following investment and growth strategies:

Investment Strategies

Targeted ownership of premium-branded, focused-service and compact full-service hotels. We believe that premium-branded, focused-service hotels have the potential to generate attractive returns relative to other types of hotels due to their ability to achieve RevPAR levels at or close to those generated by traditional full-service hotels, while achieving higher profit margins due to their more efficient operating model and less volatile cash flows. We also may invest in compact full-service hotels which have operating characteristics that resemble those of focused-service hotels.

Use of premium hotel brands. We believe in affiliating our hotels with premium brands owned by leading international franchisors such as Marriott, Hilton and Hyatt. Within the focused-service category, we target hotels affiliated with premium brands such as Courtyard by Marriott, Residence Inn by Marriott, Hilton Garden Inn, Homewood Suites by Hilton and Hyatt Place. We believe that utilizing premium brands provides significant advantages because of their guest loyalty programs, worldwide reservation systems, effective product segmentation, global distribution and strong customer awareness.

Table of Contents

Focus on urban and dense suburban markets. We focus on owning and acquiring hotels in both urban and dense suburban markets that we believe have multiple demand generators and high barriers to entry. As a result, we believe that these hotels generate higher returns on investment.

Growth Strategies

Maximize returns from our hotels. We believe that our hotels have the potential to generate significant improvements in RevPAR and earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization, or EBITDA as a result of our aggressive asset management and the ongoing economic recovery in the United States. We actively monitor and advise our third-party hotel management companies on most aspects of our hotels' operations, including property positioning, physical design, capital planning and investment, guest experience and overall strategic direction. We regularly review opportunities to invest in our hotels in an effort to enhance the quality and attractiveness of our hotels, increase their long-term value and generate attractive returns on investment.

Pursue a disciplined hotel acquisition strategy. We seek to acquire additional hotels at prices below replacement cost where we believe we can generate attractive returns on investment. We intend to target acquisition opportunities where we can enhance value by pursuing proactive investment strategies such as renovation, repositioning or rebranding.

Pursue a disciplined capital recycling program. We intend to continue to pursue a disciplined capital allocation strategy designed to maximize the value of our investments by selectively selling hotels that are no longer consistent with our investment strategy or whose returns appear to have been maximized. To the extent that we sell hotels, we intend to redeploy the capital into acquisition and investment opportunities that we believe will achieve higher returns.

Our Hotels

Overview

As of December 31, 2011, we owned a high-quality portfolio of 141 hotels located in 20 states and the District of Columbia comprised of over 20,600 rooms. For the year ended December 31, 2011, the average occupancy rate for our hotels was 71.4%, and the average daily rate, or ADR, and RevPAR of our hotels were \$124.60 and \$88.99, respectively. No single hotel accounted for more than 8.8% of our total revenue for the year ended December 31, 2011.

We believe that the quality of our portfolio is evidenced by the RevPAR penetration index of 112.6 for our hotels for the year ended December 31, 2011 and portfolio-wide guest satisfaction scores that are consistently higher than the average industry scores for their respective brands.

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth certain operating information for our hotels as of and for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 (excluding hotels that were not open at the end of the applicable period):

	Year Ended or as of December 31,		
	2011(2)	2010(2)	2009(3)
Statistical data(1):			
Number of hotels	140	140	139
Number of rooms	20,514	20,531	20,251
Occupancy(4)(5)	71.4%	69.5%	65.2%
ADR(5)(6)	\$ 124.60	\$ 118.64	\$ 117.84
RevPAR(5)(7)(8)	\$ 88.99	\$ 82.42	\$ 76.88

- (1) The 132-room Garden District Hotel was closed for substantially all of the periods presented and, therefore, is not reflected in the statistical data. The 176-room Courtyard Charleston Historic District, which was acquired on October 27, 2011, is reflected in the statistical data.
- (2) Excludes the 132-room Garden District Hotel, which was not open at the end of the period.
- (3) Excludes the 132-room Garden District Hotel and the 280-room Hilton New York / Fashion District, neither of which were open at the end of the period.
- (4) For the years ending December 31, 2011 and 2010, the average occupancy rate for the 137 hotels that were open for all of each period was 71.0% and 69.0%, respectively.
- (5) For a more detailed explanation of the terms occupancy, ADR and RevPAR and a discussion of how we use these metrics to evaluate the operating performance of our business, see "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Key Indicators of Our Operating Performance."
- (6) For the years ending December 31, 2011 and 2010, the ADR for the 137 hotels that were open for all of each period was \$121.58 and \$116.16, respectively.
- (7) For the years ending December 31, 2011 and 2010, the RevPAR for the 137 hotels that were open for all of each period was \$86.27 and \$80.19, respectively.
- (8) The RevPAR for the 136 hotels that were open for all of the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, was \$84.13, \$79.19 and \$75.12, respectively.

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Brand Affiliations

Our hotels operate under strong, premium brands, with approximately 95% of our hotels operating under existing relationships with Marriott, Hilton or Hyatt. The following table sets forth the brand affiliations of our hotels as of December 31, 2011:

Brand Affiliations	Number of hotels	Percentage of total	Number of rooms	Percentage of total
Marriott				
Courtyard by Marriott	33	23.4%	4,399	21.3%
Fairfield Inn & Suites by Marriott	14	9.9%	1,433	6.9%
Marriott	6	4.3%	1,834	8.9%
Renaissance	3	2.1%	782	3.8%
Residence Inn by Marriott	33	23.4%	3,607	17.6%
SpringHill Suites by Marriott	11	7.8%	1,354	6.6%
Subtotal	100	70.9%	13,409	65.1%
Hilton				
Doubletree	2	1.4%	911	4.4%
Embassy Suites(1)	5	3.5%	1,144	5.5%
Hampton Inn/Hampton Inn & Suites	9	6.4%	1,115	5.4%
Hilton	2	1.4%	462	2.3%
Hilton Garden Inn(2)	8	5.7%	1,555	7.5%
Homewood Suites	2	1.4%	301	1.5%
Subtotal	28	19.8%	5,488	26.6%
Hyatt				
Hyatt House	6	4.3%	828	4.0%
Subtotal	6	4.3%	828	4.0%
Other Brand Affiliation/Independent(3)	7	5.0%	921	4.3%
Total	141	100.0%	20,646	100.0%

(1) The 194 all-suite Embassy Suites West Palm Beach-Central was converted from a 219-room Crowne Plaza on January 9, 2012.

(2) The 202-room Hilton Garden Inn Pittsburgh University Place was converted from a 198-room Wyndham hotel on December 30, 2011. The 177-room Hilton Garden Inn Raleigh-Durham/Research Triangle Park was converted from a 175-room Wyndham hotel on December 30, 2011.

(3) We intend to convert two of the seven other franchise affiliation / independent hotels into premium-branded hotels consistent with our investment strategy.

Asset Management

We have a dedicated team of asset management professionals that proactively work with our third-party hotel management companies to maximize profitability at each of our hotels. Our asset management team monitors the performance of our hotels on a daily basis and holds frequent ownership meetings with personnel at the hotels. Our asset management team works closely with our third-party hotel management companies on key aspects of each hotel's operation, including, among others, revenue management, market positioning, cost structure, capital and operational budgeting as well as the identification of return on investment initiatives and overall business strategy. In addition, we retain approval rights on key staffing positions at many of our hotels, such as the hotel's general manager and director of sales. We believe that our strong asset management process helps to ensure that each hotel is being operated to our and our franchisors' standards, that our hotels are being

Table of Contents

adequately maintained in order to preserve the value of the asset and the safety of the hotel to customers, and that our hotel management companies are maximizing revenue and enhancing operating margins.

Competition

The U.S. lodging industry is highly competitive. Our hotels compete with other hotels for guests in each of their markets on the basis of several factors, including, among others, location, quality of accommodations, convenience, brand affiliation, room rates, service levels and amenities, and level of customer service. Competition is often specific to the individual markets in which our hotels are located and includes competition from existing and new hotels operated under premium brands in the focused-service and full-service segments. We believe that hotels, such as our hotels, that are affiliated with leading national brands, such as the Marriott, Hilton or Hyatt brands, will enjoy the competitive advantages associated with operating under such brands. Increased competition could harm our occupancy and revenues and may require us to provide additional amenities or make capital improvements that we otherwise would not have to make, which may materially and adversely affect our operating results and liquidity.

We face competition for the acquisition of hotels from institutional pension funds, private equity funds, REITs, hotel companies and others who are engaged in the acquisition of hotels. Some of these competitors have substantially greater financial and operational resources and access to capital than we have and may have greater knowledge of the markets in which we seek to invest. This competition may reduce the number of suitable investment opportunities offered to us and decrease the attractiveness of the terms on which we may acquire our targeted hotel investments, including the cost thereof.

Seasonality

The lodging industry is seasonal in nature, which can be expected to cause quarterly fluctuations in our revenues. Our quarterly earnings may be adversely affected by factors outside our control, including weather conditions and poor economic factors in certain markets in which we operate. For example, our hotels in the Chicago, Illinois metropolitan area experience lower revenues and profits during the winter months of December through March while our hotels in Florida generally have higher revenues in the months of January through April. This seasonality can be expected to cause periodic fluctuations in a hotel's room revenues, occupancy levels, room rates, operating expenses and cash flows

Our Financing Strategy

We expect to continue to maintain a prudent capital structure by limiting our net debt-to-EBITDA to a ratio of 5.0x or below. We define net debt as total indebtedness minus cash and cash equivalents. Over time, we intend to finance our long-term growth with equity issuances and debt financing having staggered maturities. Our debt currently is comprised solely of mortgage debt secured by our hotels. We have a mix of fixed and floating rate debt, however, the majority of our debt will either bear interest at fixed rates or effectively bear interest at fixed rates due to interest rate hedges on the debt. Over time, we will seek to primarily utilize unsecured debt (with the goal of achieving an investment grade credit rating) and a greater percentage of fixed rate and hedged floating rate debt relative to unhedged floating rate debt.

Our Indebtedness

As of December 31, 2011, we had approximately \$1.3 billion of outstanding debt. In addition, on June 20, 2011, our company, through our operating partnership, entered into a three-year, \$300.0 million unsecured revolving credit facility to fund future acquisitions, as well as for hotel redevelopments, capital expenditures and general corporate purposes. As of the date of this Annual

Table of Contents

Report on Form 10-K, we have not drawn any amounts on our credit facility. For more information regarding our indebtedness, see "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Our Outstanding Mortgage Indebtedness."

Organizational Structure

We were formed as a Maryland real estate investment trust in January 2011. We conduct our business through a traditional umbrella partnership real estate investment trust, or UPREIT, in which our hotels are indirectly owned by our operating partnership, RLJ Lodging Trust, L.P., through limited partnerships, limited liability companies or other subsidiaries. We are the sole general partner of our operating partnership and as of the date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, we own approximately 99.2% of the OP units in our operating partnership. In the future, we may issue OP units from time to time in connection with acquisitions of hotels or for financing, compensation or other reasons.

In order for the income from our hotel operations to constitute "rents from real property" for purposes of the gross income tests required for REIT qualification, we cannot directly or indirectly operate any of our hotels. Accordingly, we lease each of our hotels, and intend to lease any hotels we acquire in the future, to subsidiaries of our TRSs, or TRS lessees, which are wholly-owned by us, and our TRS lessees have engaged, or will engage, third-party hotel management companies to manage our hotels, and any hotels we acquire in the future, on market terms. Our TRS lessees pay rent to us that we intend to treat as "rents from real property," provided that the third-party hotel management companies engaged by our TRS lessees to manage our hotels are deemed to be "eligible independent contractors" and certain other requirements are met. Our TRSs are subject to U.S. federal, state and local income taxes applicable to corporations.

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

The following chart generally depicts our corporate structure as of the date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K:

-
- (1) Reflects OP units issued to RLJ Development, an entity in which each of Messrs. Johnson, Baltimore and Bierkan hold an equity interest, as consideration for substantially all of RLJ Development's assets and liabilities, which were contributed to us in connection with our formation transactions.

Regulation

General

Our hotels are subject to various U.S. federal, state and local laws, ordinances and regulations, including regulations relating to common areas and fire and safety requirements. We believe that each of our hotels has the necessary permits and approvals to operate its business.

Americans with Disabilities Act

Our hotels must comply with applicable provisions of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, or ADA, to the extent that such hotels are "public accommodations" as defined by the ADA. The ADA may require removal of structural barriers to access by persons with disabilities in certain public areas of our hotels where such removal is readily achievable. We believe that our hotels are in substantial compliance with the

ADA and that we will not be required to make substantial capital

Table of Contents

expenditures to address the requirements of the ADA. However, non-compliance with the ADA could result in imposition of fines or an award of damages to private litigants. The obligation to make readily achievable accommodations is an ongoing one, and we will continue to assess our hotels and to make alterations as appropriate in this respect.

Environmental Matters

Under various laws relating to the protection of the environment, a current or previous owner or operator (including tenants) of real estate may be liable for contamination resulting from the presence or discharge of hazardous or toxic substances at that property and may be required to investigate and clean up such contamination at that property or emanating from that property. These costs could be substantial and liability under these laws may attach without regard to whether the owner or operator knew of, or was responsible for, the presence of the contaminants, and the liability may be joint and several. The presence of contamination or the failure to remediate contamination at our hotels may expose us to third-party liability or materially and adversely affect our ability to sell, lease or develop the real estate or to incur debt using the real estate as collateral.

Our hotels are subject to various federal, state, and local environmental, health and safety laws and regulations that address a wide variety of issues, including, but not limited to, storage tanks, air emissions from emergency generators, storm water and wastewater discharges, lead-based paint, mold and mildew and waste management. Our hotels incur costs to comply with these laws and regulations and could be subject to fines and penalties for non-compliance.

Some of our hotels contain asbestos-containing building materials. We believe that the asbestos is appropriately contained, in accordance with current environmental regulations and that we have no need for any immediate remediation or current plans to remove the asbestos. Environmental laws require that owners or operators of buildings with asbestos-containing building materials properly manage and maintain these materials, adequately inform or train those who may come into contact with asbestos and undertake special precautions, including removal or other abatement, in the event that asbestos is disturbed during building renovation or demolition. These laws may impose fines and penalties on building owners or operators for failure to comply with these requirements. In addition, third parties may seek recovery from owners or operators for personal injury associated with exposure to asbestos-containing building materials.

Some of our hotels may contain or develop harmful mold or suffer from other adverse conditions, which could lead to liability for adverse health effects and costs of remediation. The presence of significant mold or other airborne contaminants at any of our hotels could require us to undertake a costly remediation program to contain or remove the mold or other airborne contaminants from the affected hotel or increase indoor ventilation. In addition, the presence of significant mold or other airborne contaminants could expose us to liability from guests or employees at our hotels and others if property damage or health concerns arise.

Insurance

We carry comprehensive general liability, fire, extended coverage, business interruption, rental loss coverage and umbrella liability coverage on all of our hotels and earthquake, wind, flood and hurricane coverage on hotels in areas where we believe such coverage is warranted, in each case with limits of liability that we deem adequate. Similarly, we are insured against the risk of direct physical damage in amounts we believe to be adequate to reimburse us, on a replacement basis, for costs incurred to repair or rebuild each hotel, including loss of rental income during the reconstruction period. We have selected policy specifications and insured limits which we believe to be appropriate given the relative risk of loss, the cost of the coverage and industry practice. We do not carry insurance for generally uninsured losses, including, but not limited to losses caused by riots, war or acts of God. In the opinion of our management, our hotels are adequately insured.

Table of Contents

Employees

As of the date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, we have 51 employees.

Corporate Information

Our principal executive offices are located at 3 Bethesda Metro Center, Suite 1000, Bethesda, Maryland 20814. Our telephone number is (301) 280-7777. Our website is located at www.rljlodgingtrust.com. The information that is found on or accessible through our website is not incorporated into, and does not form a part of, this Annual Report on Form 10-K or any other report or document that we file with or furnish to the SEC. We have included our website address in this Annual Report on Form 10-K as an inactive textual reference and do not intend it to be an active link to our website.

We make available on our website our Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material with, or furnish it to, the SEC. We also make our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics for our trustees, officers and employees, available on our website on the Corporate Governance page under the Investor Relations section of our website.

This Annual Report on Form 10-K and other reports filed with the SEC can be read or copied at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20549. Information on the operation of the Public Reference Room can be obtained by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC maintains a website that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC; the website address is www.sec.gov.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Set forth below are the risks that we believe are material to our shareholders. You should carefully consider the following risks in evaluating our Company and our business. The occurrence of any of the following risks could materially adversely impact our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, the market price of our common shares and our ability to, among other things, satisfy our debt service obligations and to make distributions to our shareholders, which in turn could cause our shareholders to lose all or a part of their investment. Some statements in this report including statements in the following risk factors constitute forward-looking statements. Please refer to the section entitled "Special Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements" at the beginning of our Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Risks Related to Our Business and Properties

We will continue to be significantly influenced by the economies and other conditions in the specific markets in which we operate, particularly in the metropolitan areas where we have high concentrations of hotels.

Our hotels located in the New York, New York, Chicago, Illinois, Austin, Texas, Denver-Boulder, Colorado, Louisville, Kentucky, and the Baltimore, Maryland-Washington, D.C. metropolitan areas accounted for approximately 16.4%, 12.9%, 10.7%, 9.3%, 6.8%, and 5.3%, respectively, of our total revenue for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011. As a result, we are particularly susceptible to adverse market conditions in these areas, including industry downturns, relocation of businesses and any oversupply of hotel rooms or a reduction in lodging demand. Adverse economic developments in the markets in which we have a concentration of hotels, or in any of the other markets in which we operate, or any increase in hotel supply or decrease in lodging demand resulting from the local, regional or national business climate, could materially and adversely affect us.

Table of Contents

We are dependent on the performance of the third-party hotel management companies that manage the operations of each of our hotels and could be materially and adversely affected if such third-party managers do not manage our hotels in our best interests.

Since federal income tax laws restrict REITs and their subsidiaries from operating or managing hotels, we do not operate or manage our hotels. Instead, we lease all of our hotels to subsidiaries of our TRSs, and our TRS lessees retain third-party managers to operate our hotels pursuant to management agreements. We have entered into individual hotel management agreements for our hotels, 104 of which are with White Lodging Services (or "WLS"). We could be materially and adversely affected if any of our third-party managers fail to provide quality services and amenities, fail to maintain a quality brand name or otherwise fail to manage our hotels in our best interest. In addition, from time to time, disputes may arise between us and our third-party managers regarding their performance or compliance with the terms of the hotel management agreements, which in turn could adversely affect our results of operations. We generally will attempt to resolve any such disputes through discussions and negotiations; however, if we are unable to reach satisfactory results through discussions and negotiations, we may choose to terminate our management agreement, litigate the dispute or submit the matter to third-party dispute resolution, the outcome of which may be unfavorable to us.

Under the terms of the hotel management agreements, our ability to participate in operating decisions regarding our hotels is limited to certain matters, including approval of the annual operating budget, and we do not have the authority to require any hotel to be operated in a particular manner (for instance, setting room rates). While our TRS lessees closely monitor the performance of our third-party managers, our general recourse under the hotel management agreements is limited to termination upon sixty days notice if we believe our third-party managers are not performing adequately. For example, we have a right to terminate a management agreement with WLS, our largest provider of management services, if WLS fails to achieve certain hotel performance criteria measured over any two consecutive fiscal years, as outlined in each WLS management agreement. However, even if WLS fails to perform under the terms of a management agreement, it has the option (exercisable a maximum of three times per hotel) to avoid a performance termination by paying a performance deficit fee as specified in the management agreement.

In the event that we terminate any of our management agreements, we can provide no assurances that we could find a replacement manager or that our franchisors will consent to a replacement manager in a timely manner, or at all, or that any replacement manager will be successful in operating our hotels. Furthermore, if WLS, as our largest provider of management services, is financially unable or unwilling to perform its obligations pursuant to our management agreements, our ability to find a replacement manager or managers for our WLS-managed hotels could be challenging and time consuming, depending on the number of WLS-managed hotels affected, and could cause us to incur significant costs to obtain new management agreements for the affected hotels. Accordingly, if we lose a significant number of our WLS management agreements, we could be materially and adversely affected. In addition, many of our existing franchise agreements provide the franchisor with a right of first offer in the event of certain sales or transfers of a hotel and provide that the franchisor has the right to approve any change in the hotel management company engaged to manage the hotel. If any of the foregoing were to occur, it could have a material adverse effect on us.

Restrictive covenants in certain of our hotel management and franchise agreements contain provisions limiting or restricting the sale or financing of our hotels, which could have a material adverse effect on us.

Hotel management and franchise agreements typically contain restrictive covenants that limit or restrict our ability to sell or refinance a hotel without the consent of the hotel management company or franchisor. Many of our franchise agreements provide the franchisor with a right of first offer in the event of certain sales or transfers of a hotel and provide that the franchisor has the right to approve

Table of Contents

any change in the hotel management company engaged to manage the hotel. Generally, we may not agree to sell, lease or otherwise transfer particular hotels unless the transferee is not a competitor of the hotel management company or franchisor and the transferee assumes the related hotel management and franchise agreements. For example, substantially all of our management agreements with WLS provide that any sale of a hotel to a purchaser who does not meet all of the requirements under the applicable franchise agreement associated with such hotel must be first approved by WLS. If the hotel management company or franchisor does not consent to the sale or financing of our hotels, we may be prohibited from taking actions that would otherwise be in our and our shareholders' best interests.

Substantially all of our hotels operate under either Marriott or Hilton brands; therefore, we are subject to risks associated with concentrating our portfolio in just two brand families.

128 of the 141 hotels that we owned as of December 31, 2011 utilize brands owned by Marriott or Hilton. As a result, our success is dependent in part on the continued success of Marriott and Hilton and their respective brands. We believe that building brand value is critical to increase demand and build customer loyalty. Consequently, if market recognition or the positive perception of Marriott and/or Hilton is reduced or compromised, the goodwill associated with the Marriott- and Hilton-branded hotels in our portfolio may be adversely affected. Furthermore, if our relationship with Marriott or Hilton were to deteriorate or terminate as a result of disputes regarding the management of our hotels or for other reasons, Marriott and/or Hilton could, under certain circumstances, terminate our current franchise licenses with them or decline to provide franchise licenses for hotels that we may acquire in the future. If any of the foregoing were to occur, it could have a material adverse effect on us.

Our long-term growth depends in part on successfully identifying and consummating acquisitions of additional hotels and the failure to make such acquisitions could materially impede our growth.

We can provide no assurances that we will be successful in identifying attractive hotels or that, once identified, we will be successful in consummating an acquisition. We face significant competition for attractive investment opportunities from other well-capitalized investors, some of which have greater financial resources and a greater access to debt and equity capital to acquire hotels than we do. This competition increases as investments in real estate become increasingly attractive relative to other forms of investment. As a result of such competition, we may be unable to acquire certain hotels that we deem attractive or the purchase price may be significantly elevated or other terms may be substantially more onerous. In addition, we expect to finance future acquisitions through a combination of borrowings under our three-year, \$300.0 million unsecured revolving credit facility, the use of retained cash flows, and offerings of equity and debt securities, which may not be available on advantageous terms, or at all. Any delay or failure on our part to identify, negotiate, finance on favorable terms, consummate and integrate such acquisitions could materially impede our growth.

The departure of any of our key personnel who have significant experience and relationships in the lodging industry, including Robert L. Johnson, Thomas J. Baltimore, Jr. and Ross H. Bierkan, could materially and adversely affect us.

We depend on the experience and relationships of our senior management team, especially Robert L. Johnson, Executive Chairman of our board of trustees, Thomas J. Baltimore, Jr., our President and Chief Executive Officer and a member of our board of trustees, and Ross H. Bierkan, our Chief Investment Officer, to manage our day-to-day operations and strategic business direction. Messrs. Johnson, Baltimore and Bierkan have 18, 23 and 26 years of experience in the lodging industry, respectively, during which time they have established an extensive network of lodging industry contacts and relationships, including relationships with global and national hotel brands, hotel owners, financiers, operators, commercial real estate brokers, developers and management companies. We can

Table of Contents

provide no assurances that any of our key personnel will continue their employment with us, even though all of the members of our senior management team have entered employment agreements with us. The loss of services of Messrs. Johnson, Baltimore or Bierkan, or of the services of other members of our senior management team, or any difficulty attracting and retaining other talented and experienced personnel, could adversely affect our ability to source potential investment opportunities, our relationship with global and national hotel brands and other industry participants and the execution of our business strategy. Further, such a loss could be negatively perceived in the capital markets, which could reduce the market value of our common shares.

Our business strategy depends on achieving revenue and net income growth from anticipated increases in demand for hotel rooms; accordingly, any delay or a weaker than anticipated economic recovery could materially and adversely affect us and our growth prospects.

Our hotels experienced declining operating performance across various U.S. markets during the most recent economic recession. Our business strategy depends on achieving revenue and net income growth from anticipated improvement in demand for hotel rooms as part of the continued economic recovery. As a result, any delay or a weaker than anticipated continued economic recovery could materially and adversely affect us and our growth prospects. Furthermore, even if the economy continues to recover, we cannot provide any assurances that demand for hotel rooms will increase from current levels. If demand does not increase in the near future, or if demand weakens, our future results of operations and our growth prospects could be materially and adversely affected.

The ongoing need for capital expenditures at our hotels could have a material adverse effect on us.

Our hotels have an ongoing need for renovations and other capital improvements, including replacements, from time to time, of furniture, fixtures and equipment. The franchisors of our hotels also require periodic capital improvements as a condition of maintaining the franchise licenses. In addition, our lenders will likely require that we set aside annual amounts for capital improvements to our hotels. The costs of these capital improvements could materially and adversely affect us.

Any difficulties in obtaining capital necessary to make required periodic capital expenditures and renovation of our hotels could materially and adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

Our hotels require periodic capital expenditures and renovation to remain competitive. In addition, acquisitions or redevelopment of additional hotels will require significant capital expenditures. We may not be able to fund capital improvements on our hotels or acquisitions of new hotels solely from cash provided from our operating activities because we must distribute annually at least 90% of our REIT taxable income, determined without regard to the deductions for dividends paid and excluding net capital gains, to maintain our qualification as a REIT, and we are subject to tax on any retained income and gains. As a result, our ability to fund capital expenditures, acquisitions or hotel redevelopment through retained earnings is very limited. Consequently, we expect to rely upon the availability of debt or equity capital to fund capital improvements and acquisitions. If we are unable to obtain the capital necessary to make required periodic capital expenditures and renovate our hotels on favorable terms, or at all, our financial condition, liquidity and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected.

Adverse global market and economic conditions and dislocations in the markets could cause us to recognize impairment charges, which could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We continually monitor events and changes in circumstances, including those resulting from the recent economic downturn that could indicate that the carrying value of the real estate and related intangible assets in which we have an ownership interest may not be recoverable. When circumstances

Table of Contents

indicate that the carrying value of real estate and related intangible assets may not be recoverable, we assess the recoverability of these assets by determining whether the carrying value will be recovered through the undiscounted future operating cash flows expected from the use of the asset and its eventual disposition. In the event that such expected undiscounted future cash flows do not exceed the carrying value, we adjust the real estate and related intangible assets to the fair value and recognize an impairment loss. Because our predecessor acquired many of our hotels in the last five years, when prices for hotels in many markets were at or near their peaks, we may be particularly susceptible to future non-cash impairment charges as compared to companies that have carrying values well below current market values, which could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. During 2009, our predecessor recognized impairment charges on certain of our hotels of \$98.4 million, which includes \$36.9 million of impairment related to a hotel property that we no longer own and which has accordingly been reclassified into discontinued operations.

Projections of expected future cash flows require management to make assumptions to estimate future occupancy, hotel operating expenses, and the number of years the hotel is held for investment, among other factors. The subjectivity of assumptions used in the future cash flow analysis, including discount rates, could result in an incorrect assessment of the hotel's fair value and, therefore, could result in the misstatement of the carrying value of our real estate and related intangible assets on our balance sheet and our results of operations. Ongoing adverse market and economic conditions and market volatility will likely continue to make it difficult to value the hotels owned by us, as well as the value of our intangible assets. As a result of adverse market and economic conditions, there may be significant uncertainty in the valuation, or in the stability of, the cash flows, discount rates and other factors related to such assets that could result in a substantial decrease in their value.

Competition from other hotels in the markets in which we operate could adversely affect occupancy levels and/or ADRs, which could have a material adverse effect on us.

We face significant competition from owners and operators of other hotels. These competitors may have an operating model that enables them to offer rooms at lower rates than we can, which could result in those competitors increasing their occupancy at our expense and adversely affecting our ADRs. Given the importance of occupancy and ADR at focused-service and compact full-service hotels, this competition could adversely affect our ability to attract prospective guests, which could materially and adversely affect our results of operations.

Our organizational documents have no limitation on the amount of indebtedness we may incur. As a result, we may become highly leveraged in the future, which could materially and adversely affect us.

Our business strategy contemplates the use of both non-recourse secured and unsecured debt to finance long-term growth. In addition, our organizational documents contain no limitations on the amount of debt that we may incur, and our board of trustees may change our financing policy at any time without shareholder notice or approval. As a result, we may be able to incur substantial additional debt, including secured debt, in the future. Incurring debt could subject us to many risks, including the risks that:

our cash flows from operations may be insufficient to make required payments of principal and interest;

our debt may increase our vulnerability to adverse economic and industry conditions;

we may be required to dedicate a substantial portion of our cash flows from operations to payments on our debt, thereby reducing cash available for distribution to our shareholders, funds available for operations and capital expenditures, future business opportunities or other purposes;

Table of Contents

the terms of any refinancing may not be in the same amount or on terms as favorable as the terms of the existing debt being refinanced; and

the use of leverage could adversely affect our ability to raise capital from other sources or to make distributions to our shareholders and could adversely affect the market price of our common shares.

If we violate covenants in future agreements relating to indebtedness that we may incur, we could be required to repay all or a portion of our indebtedness before maturity at a time when we might be unable to arrange financing for such repayment on attractive terms, if at all. In addition, future indebtedness agreements may require that we meet certain covenant tests in order to make distributions to our shareholders.

Disruptions in the financial markets could adversely affect our ability to obtain sufficient third-party financing for our capital needs, including expansion, acquisition and other activities, on favorable terms or at all, which could materially and adversely affect us.

In recent years, the U.S. stock and credit markets have experienced significant price volatility, dislocations and liquidity disruptions, which have caused market prices of many stocks to fluctuate substantially and the spreads on prospective debt financings to widen considerably. These circumstances have materially impacted liquidity in the financial markets, making terms for certain financings less attractive, and in some cases have resulted in the unavailability of financing, even for companies which otherwise are qualified to obtain financing. In addition, several banks and other institutions that historically have been reliable sources of financing have gone out of business, which has reduced significantly the number of lending institutions and the availability of credit. Continued volatility and uncertainty in the stock and credit markets may negatively impact our ability to access additional financing for our capital needs, including expansion, acquisition activities and other purposes, on favorable terms or at all, which may negatively affect our business. Additionally, due to this uncertainty, we may in the future be unable to refinance or extend our debt, or the terms of any refinancing may not be as favorable as the terms of our existing debt. If we are not successful in refinancing our debt when it becomes due, we may be forced to dispose of hotels on disadvantageous terms, which might adversely affect our ability to service other debt and to meet our other obligations. A prolonged downturn in the financial markets may cause us to seek alternative sources of potentially less attractive financing and may require us to further adjust our business plan accordingly. These events also may make it more difficult or costly for us to raise capital through the issuance of new equity capital or the incurrence of additional secured or unsecured debt, which could materially and adversely affect us.

At December 31, 2011, we had approximately \$1.3 billion of debt outstanding, which may materially and adversely affect our operating performance and put us at a competitive disadvantage.

Required repayments of debt and related interest may materially and adversely affect our operating performance. At December 31, 2011, we had approximately \$1.3 billion of outstanding debt, approximately \$558.0 million of which bears interest at variable rates. Increases in interest rates on our existing or future variable rate debt would increase our interest expense, which could adversely affect our cash flows and our ability to pay distributions to shareholders.

Because we anticipate that our internally generated cash will be adequate to repay only a portion of our debt at maturity, we expect that we will be required to repay debt through debt refinancings and/or offerings of our securities. The amount of our outstanding debt may adversely affect our ability to refinance our debt.

If we are unable to refinance our debt on acceptable terms, or at all, we may be forced to dispose of one or more of our hotels on disadvantageous terms, which may result in losses to us and may adversely affect cash available for distributions to our shareholders. In addition, if then-prevailing

Table of Contents

interest rates or other factors at the time of refinancing result in higher interest rates upon refinancing, our interest expense would increase, which would adversely affect our future operating results and liquidity.

Our substantial outstanding debt may harm our business, financial condition, liquidity, EBITDA, Funds from Operations, or FFO, and results of operations, including:

requiring us to use a substantial portion of our cash flows to pay principal and interest, which would reduce the cash available for distributions to our shareholders;

placing us at a competitive disadvantage compared to our competitors that have less debt;

making us vulnerable to the current economic recession, particularly if it continues for the foreseeable future and reduces our flexibility to respond to difficult economic conditions; and

limiting our ability to borrow more money for operations, capital or finance future acquisitions.

The use of debt to finance future acquisitions could restrict operations, inhibit our ability to grow our business and revenues, and negatively affect our business and financial results.

We may incur additional debt in connection with future hotel acquisitions. We may, in some instances, borrow under our \$300.0 million unsecured revolving credit facility or borrow new funds to acquire hotels. In addition, we may incur mortgage debt by obtaining loans secured by a portfolio of some or all of the hotels that we own or acquire. If necessary or advisable, we also may borrow funds to make distributions to our shareholders in order to maintain our qualification as a REIT for U.S. federal income tax purposes. To the extent that we incur debt in the future and do not have sufficient funds to repay such debt at maturity, it may be necessary to refinance the debt through debt or equity financings, which may not be available on acceptable terms or at all and which could be dilutive to our shareholders. If we are unable to refinance our debt on acceptable terms or at all, we may be forced to dispose of hotels at inopportune times or on disadvantageous terms, which could result in losses. To the extent we cannot meet our future debt service obligations, we will risk losing to foreclosure some or all of our hotels that may be pledged to secure our obligations.

For tax purposes, a foreclosure of any of our hotels would be treated as a sale of the hotel for a purchase price equal to the outstanding balance of the debt secured by the mortgage. If the outstanding balance of the debt secured by the mortgage exceeds our tax basis in the hotel, we would recognize taxable income on foreclosure, but we would not receive any cash proceeds, which could hinder our ability to meet the REIT distribution requirements imposed by the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"). In addition, we may give full or partial guarantees to lenders of mortgage debt on behalf of the entities that own our hotels. When we give a guarantee on behalf of an entity that owns one of our hotels, we will be responsible to the lender for satisfaction of the debt if it is not paid by such entity. If any of our hotels are foreclosed on due to a default, our ability to pay cash distributions to our shareholders will be limited.

Hedging against interest rate exposure may adversely affect us.

Subject to maintaining our qualification as a REIT, we may manage our exposure to interest rate volatility by using interest rate hedging arrangements, such as cap agreements and swap agreements. These agreements involve the risks that these arrangements may fail to protect or adversely affect us because, among other things:

interest rate hedging can be expensive, particularly during periods of rising and volatile interest rates;

available interest rate hedges may not correspond directly with the interest rate risk for which protection is sought;

Table of Contents

the duration of the hedge may not match the duration of the related liability;

the credit quality of the hedging counterparty owing money on the hedge may be downgraded to such an extent that it impairs our ability to sell or assign our side of the hedging transaction; and

the hedging counterparty owing money in the hedging transaction may default on its obligation to pay.

As a result of any of the foregoing, our hedging transactions, which are intended to limit losses, could have a material adverse effect on us.

Our failure to comply with all covenants in our existing or future debt agreements could materially and adversely affect us.

The mortgages on our hotels, and hotels that we may acquire in the future likely will, contain customary covenants such as those that limit our ability, without the prior consent of the lender, to further mortgage the applicable hotel or to discontinue insurance coverage. In addition, our continued ability to borrow under our revolving credit facility is subject to compliance with our financial and other covenants, including covenants relating to debt service coverage ratios and leverage ratios, and our ability to meet these covenants will be adversely affected if U.S. lodging fundamentals do not continue to improve to the extent that we expect. In addition, any credit facility or secured loans that we enter into in the future likely will contain customary financial covenants, restrictions, requirements and other limitations with which we must comply. Our failure to comply with covenants in our existing or future indebtedness, as well as our inability to make required payments, could cause a default under the applicable debt agreement, which could result in the acceleration of the debt and require us to repay such debt with capital obtained from other sources, which may not be available to us or may be available only on unattractive terms. Furthermore, if we default on secured debt, lenders can take possession of the hotel or hotels securing such debt. In addition, debt agreements may contain specific cross-default provisions with respect to specified other indebtedness, giving the lenders the right to declare a default on its debt and to enforce remedies, including acceleration of the maturity of such debt upon the occurrence of a default under such other indebtedness. If we default on several of our debt agreements or any significant debt agreement, we could be materially and adversely affected.

Covenants applicable to future debt could restrict our ability to make distributions to our shareholders and, as a result, we may be unable to make distributions necessary to qualify as a REIT, which could materially and adversely affect us and the market price of our common shares.

We intend to continue to operate in a manner so as to qualify as a REIT for U.S. federal income tax purposes. In order to qualify as a REIT, we generally are required to distribute at least 90% of our REIT taxable income, determined without regard to the dividends paid deduction and excluding net capital gain, each year to our shareholders. To the extent that we satisfy this distribution requirement, but distribute less than 100% of our REIT taxable income, we will be subject to U.S. federal corporate income tax on our undistributed taxable income. In addition, we will be subject to a 4% nondeductible excise tax if the actual amount that we distribute to our shareholders in a calendar year is less than a minimum amount specified under the Code. In order to meet the REIT requirements, we may be required to issue common shares of beneficial interest in lieu of cash distributions. If, as a result of covenants applicable to our future debt, we are restricted from making distributions to our shareholders, we may be unable to make distributions necessary for us to avoid U.S. federal corporate income and excise taxes and maintain our qualification as a REIT, which could materially and adversely affect us and the market price of our shares.

Table of Contents

Costs associated with, or failure to maintain, franchisor operating standards may materially and adversely affect us.

Under the terms of our franchise license agreements, we are required to meet specified operating standards and other terms and conditions. We expect that our franchisors will periodically inspect our hotels to ensure that we and the hotel management companies follow brand standards. Failure by us, or any hotel management company that we engage, to maintain these standards or other terms and conditions could result in a franchise license being canceled or the franchisor requiring us to undertake a costly property improvement program. If a franchise license is terminated due to our failure to make required improvements or to otherwise comply with its terms, we also may be liable to the franchisor for a termination payment, which will vary by franchisor and by hotel. Furthermore, under certain circumstances, a franchisor may require us to make capital expenditures, even if we do not believe the capital improvements are necessary or desirable or will result in an acceptable return on our investment. If the funds required to maintain franchisor operating standards are significant, or if a franchise license is terminated, we could be materially and adversely affected.

If we were to lose a franchise license at one or more of our hotels, the value of the affected hotels could decline significantly and we could incur significant costs to obtain new franchise licenses, which could have a material adverse effect on us.

If we were to lose a franchise license, we would be required to re-brand the affected hotel(s). As a result, the underlying value of a particular hotel could decline significantly from the loss of associated name recognition, marketing support, participation in guest loyalty programs and the centralized system provided by the franchisor, which could require us to recognize an impairment on the hotel. Furthermore, the loss of a franchise license at a particular hotel could harm our relationship with the franchisor, which could impede our ability to operate other hotels under the same brand, limit our ability to obtain new franchise licenses from the franchisor in the future on favorable terms, or at all, and cause us to incur significant costs to obtain a new franchise license for the particular hotel. Accordingly, if we lose one or more franchise licenses, we could be materially and adversely affected.

Applicable REIT laws may restrict certain business activities.

As a REIT, we are subject to various restrictions on our income, assets and activities. Business activities that could be impacted by applicable REIT laws include, but are not limited to, activities such as developing alternative uses of real estate, including the development and/or sale of timeshare or condominium units. Due to these restrictions, we anticipate that we will continue to conduct certain business activities, including those mentioned above, in one or more of our TRSs. Our TRSs are taxable as regular C corporations and are subject to federal, state, local, and, if applicable, foreign taxation on their taxable income. In addition, neither we, nor our TRSs can directly manage or operate hotels, making us entirely dependent on unrelated third-party operators/managers.

Federal income tax provisions applicable to REITs may restrict our business decisions regarding the potential sale of a hotel.

The federal income tax provisions applicable to REITs provide that any gain realized by a REIT on the sale of property held as inventory or other property held primarily for sale to customers in the ordinary course of business is treated as income from a "prohibited transaction" that is subject to a 100% excise tax. Under existing law, whether property, including hotels, is held as inventory or primarily for sale to customers in the ordinary course of business is a question of fact that depends upon all of the facts and circumstances with respect to the particular transaction. We intend to hold our hotels for investment with a view to long-term appreciation, to engage in the business of acquiring and owning hotels and to make occasional sales of hotels consistent with our investment objectives. There can be no assurance, however, that the Internal Revenue Service, or the IRS, might not contend

Table of Contents

that one or more of these sales are subject to the 100% excise tax. Moreover, the potential application of this penalty tax could deter us from selling one or more hotels even though it otherwise would be in the best interests of us and our shareholders for us to do so. There is a statutory safe harbor available for a limited number of sales in a single taxable year of properties that have been owned by a REIT for at least two years, but that safe harbor likely would not apply to all sales transactions that we might otherwise consider. As a result, we may not be able to vary our portfolio promptly in response to economic or other conditions or on favorable terms, which may adversely affect us.

The RevPAR penetration index may not accurately reflect our hotels' respective market shares.

We use the RevPAR penetration index, which measures a hotel's RevPAR in relation to the average RevPAR of that hotel's competitive set, as an indicator of a hotel's market share in relation to its competitive set. However, as a particular hotel's competitive set is selected by us and the hotel management company that manages such hotel, no assurance can be given that a competitive set consisting of different hotels would not lead to a more accurate measure of such hotel's market share. As such, the RevPAR penetration index may not accurately reflect our hotels' respective market shares.

Joint venture investments that we make could be adversely affected by our lack of sole decision-making authority, our reliance on joint venture partners' financial condition and liquidity and disputes between us and our joint venture partners.

We own the Doubletree Metropolitan Hotel New York City through a joint venture with an affiliate of the hotel's property manager. In addition, we may enter into joint ventures in the future to acquire, develop, improve or partially dispose of hotels, thereby reducing the amount of capital required by us to make investments and diversifying our capital sources for growth. Such joint venture investments involve risks not otherwise present in a wholly-owned hotel or a redevelopment project, including the following:

we may not have exclusive control over the development, financing, leasing, management and other aspects of the hotel or joint venture, which may prevent us from taking actions that are in our best interest but opposed by our partners;

joint venture agreements often restrict the transfer of a partner's interest or may otherwise restrict our ability to sell the interest when we desire or on advantageous terms;

joint venture agreements may contain buy-sell provisions pursuant to which one partner may initiate procedures requiring the other partner to choose between buying the other partner's interest or selling its interest to that partner;

we may not be in a position to exercise sole decision-making authority regarding the hotel or joint venture, which could create the potential risk of creating impasses on decisions, such as acquisitions or sales;

a partner may, at any time, have economic or business interests or goals that are, or that may become, inconsistent with our business interests or goals;

a partner may be in a position to take action contrary to our instructions, requests, policies or objectives, including our current policy with respect to maintaining our qualification as a REIT;

a partner may fail to fund its share of required capital contributions or may become bankrupt, which would mean that we and any other remaining partners generally would remain liable for the joint venture's liabilities;

relationships with joint-venture partners are contractual in nature and may be terminated or dissolved under the terms of the applicable joint venture agreements and, in such event, we may

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

not continue to own or operate the interests or assets underlying such relationship or may need to purchase such interests or assets at a premium to the market price to continue ownership;

disputes between us and a partner may result in litigation or arbitration that would increase our expenses and prevent our officers and trustees from focusing their time and efforts on our business and could result in subjecting the hotels owned by the joint venture to additional risk; or

we may, in certain circumstances, be liable for the actions of a partner, and the activities of a partner could adversely affect our ability to qualify as a REIT, even though we do not control the joint venture.

Any of the above might subject a hotel to liabilities in excess of those contemplated and adversely affect the value of our current and future joint venture investments.

Risks Related to the Lodging Industry

Our ability to make distributions to our shareholders may be adversely affected by various operating risks common to the lodging industry, including competition, over-building and dependence on business travel and tourism.

The hotels that we own have different economic characteristics than many other real estate assets. A typical office property, for example, has long-term leases with third-party tenants, which provides a relatively stable long-term stream of revenue. Hotels, on the other hand, generate revenue from guests that typically stay at the hotel for only a few nights, which causes the room rate and occupancy levels at each of our hotels to change every day, and results in earnings that can be highly volatile.

In addition, our hotels are subject to various operating risks common to the lodging industry, many of which are beyond our control, including, among others, the following:

competition from other hotels in the markets in which we operate;

over-building of hotels in the markets in which we operate, which results in increased supply and will adversely affect occupancy and revenues at our hotels;

dependence on business and commercial travelers and tourism;

increases in energy costs and other expenses affecting travel, which may affect travel patterns and reduce the number of business and commercial travelers and tourists;

requirements for periodic capital reinvestment to repair and upgrade hotels;

increases in operating costs due to inflation and other factors that may not be offset by increased room rates;

changes in interest rates;

changes in the availability, cost and terms of financing;

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

changes in governmental laws and regulations, fiscal policies and zoning ordinances and the related costs of compliance with laws and regulations, fiscal policies and ordinances;

adverse effects of international, national, regional and local economic and market conditions;

unforeseen events beyond our control, such as terrorist attacks, travel-related health concerns, including pandemics and epidemics such as the H1N1 influenza, the avian bird influenza and SARS, imposition of taxes or surcharges by regulatory authorities, travel-related accidents and unusual weather patterns, including natural disasters such as hurricanes, tsunamis or earthquakes;

Table of Contents

adverse effects of worsening conditions in the lodging industry; and

risks generally associated with the ownership of hotels and real estate, as we discuss in detail below.

The occurrence of any of the foregoing could materially and adversely affect us.

The seasonality of the lodging industry could have a material adverse effect on us.

The lodging industry is seasonal in nature, which can be expected to cause quarterly fluctuations in our revenues. Our quarterly earnings may be adversely affected by factors outside our control, including weather conditions and poor economic factors in certain markets in which we operate. For example, our hotels in the Chicago, Illinois metropolitan area experience lower revenues and profits during the winter months of December through March while our hotels in Florida generally have higher revenues in the months of January through April. This seasonality can be expected to cause periodic fluctuations in room revenues, occupancy levels, room rates and operating expenses in particular hotels. We can provide no assurances that our cash flows will be sufficient to offset any shortfalls that occur as a result of these fluctuations. As a result, we may have to enter into short-term borrowings in certain quarters in order to make distributions to our shareholders, and we can provide no assurances that such borrowings will be available on favorable terms, if at all. Consequently, volatility in our financial performance resulting from the seasonality of the lodging industry could have a material adverse effect on us.

The cyclical nature of the lodging industry may cause fluctuations in our operating performance, which could have a material adverse effect on us.

The lodging industry historically has been highly cyclical in nature. Fluctuations in lodging demand and, therefore, operating performance, are caused largely by general economic and local market conditions, which subsequently affect levels of business and leisure travel. In addition to general economic conditions, new hotel room supply is an important factor that can affect the lodging industry's performance, and overbuilding has the potential to further exacerbate the negative impact of an economic recession. Room rates and occupancy, and thus RevPAR, tend to increase when demand growth exceeds supply growth. We can provide no assurances regarding whether, or the extent to which, lodging demand will rebound or whether any such rebound will be sustained. An adverse change in lodging fundamentals could result in returns that are substantially below our expectations or result in losses, which could have a material adverse effect on us.

Our acquisition, redevelopment, repositioning, renovation and re-branding activities are subject to various risks, any of which could, among other things, result in disruptions to our hotel operations, strain management resources and materially and adversely affect our business.

We intend to continue to acquire, redevelop, reposition, renovate and re-brand hotels, subject to the availability of attractive hotels or projects and our ability to undertake such activities on satisfactory terms. In deciding whether to undertake such activities, we will make certain assumptions regarding the expected future performance of the hotel or project. However, newly acquired, redeveloped, renovated, repositioned or re-branded hotels may fail to perform as expected and the costs necessary to bring such hotels up to franchise standards may exceed our expectations, which may result in the hotels' failure to achieve projected returns.

In particular, to the extent that we engage in the activities described above, they could pose the following risks to our ongoing operations:

we may abandon such activities and may be unable to recover expenses already incurred in connection with exploring such opportunities;

Table of Contents

acquired, redeveloped, renovated or re-branded hotels may not initially be accretive to our results of operations, and we and the hotel management companies may not successfully manage newly acquired, renovated, redeveloped, repositioned or re-branded hotels to meet our expectations;

we may be unable to quickly, effectively and efficiently integrate new acquisitions, particularly acquisitions of portfolios of hotels, into our existing operations;

our redevelopment, repositioning, renovation or re-branding activities may not be completed on schedule, which could result in increased debt service and other costs and lower revenues; and

management attention may be diverted by our acquisition, redevelopment, repositioning or rebranding activities, which in some cases may turn out to be less compatible with our growth strategy than originally anticipated.

The occurrence of any of the foregoing events, among others, could materially and adversely affect our business.

If we are found to be in breach of a ground lease or are unable to renew a ground lease, we could be materially and adversely affected.

As of the date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, seven of our hotels are on land subject to ground leases. Accordingly, we only own a long-term leasehold or similar interest in those seven hotels. If we are found to be in breach of a ground lease, we could lose the right to use the hotel. In addition, unless we can purchase a fee interest in the underlying land and improvements or extend the terms of these leases before their expiration, as to which no assurance can be given, we will lose our right to operate these properties and our interest in the improvements upon expiration of the leases. Our ability to exercise any extension options relating to our ground leases is subject to the condition that we are not in default under the terms of the ground lease at the time that we exercise such options, and we can provide no assurances that we will be able to exercise any available options at such time. Furthermore, we can provide no assurances that we will be able to renew any ground lease upon its expiration. If we were to lose the right to use a hotel due to a breach or non-renewal of the ground lease, we would be unable to derive income from such hotel and would be required to purchase an interest in another hotel to attempt to replace that income, which could materially and adversely affect us.

We will not recognize any increase in the value of the land or improvements subject to our ground leases and may only receive a portion of compensation paid in any eminent domain proceeding with respect to the hotel.

Unless we purchase a fee interest in the land and improvements subject to our ground leases, we will not have any economic interest in the land or improvements at the expiration of our ground leases and therefore we will not share in any increase in value of the land or improvements beyond the term of a ground lease, notwithstanding our capital outlay to purchase our interest in the hotel or fund improvements thereon, and will lose our right to use the hotel. Furthermore, if the state or federal government seizes a hotel subject to a ground lease under its eminent domain power, we may only be entitled to a portion of any compensation awarded for the seizure.

The increasing use of Internet travel intermediaries by consumers may materially and adversely affect our profitability.

Although a majority of rooms sold on the Internet are sold through websites maintained by the hotel franchisors and managers, including Marriott and Hilton, some of our hotel rooms will be booked through Internet travel intermediaries. Typically, these Internet travel intermediaries purchase rooms at a negotiated discount from participating hotels, which could result in lower room rates than the

Table of Contents

franchisor or manager otherwise could have obtained. As these Internet bookings increase, these intermediaries may be able to obtain higher commissions, reduced room rates or other significant contract concessions from us and any hotel management companies that we engage. Moreover, some of these Internet travel intermediaries are attempting to offer hotel rooms as a commodity, by increasing the importance of price and general indicators of quality, such as "three-star downtown hotel," at the expense of brand identification or quality of product or service. If consumers develop brand loyalties to Internet reservations systems rather than to the brands under which our hotels are franchised, the value of our hotels could deteriorate and our business could be materially and adversely affected. Although most of the business for our hotels is expected to be derived from traditional channels, if the amount of sales made through Internet intermediaries increases significantly, room revenues may flatten or decrease and our profitability may be materially and adversely affected.

The need for business-related travel and, thus, demand for rooms in our hotels may be materially and adversely affected by the increased use of business-related technology.

The increased use of teleconference and video-conference technology by businesses could result in decreased business travel as companies increase the use of technologies that allow multiple parties from different locations to participate at meetings without traveling to a centralized meeting location, such as our hotels. To the extent that such technologies play an increased role in day-to-day business and the necessity for business-related travel decreases, demand for our hotel rooms may decrease and we could be materially and adversely affected.

Future terrorist attacks or changes in terror alert levels could materially and adversely affect us.

Previous terrorist attacks and subsequent terrorist alerts have adversely affected the U.S. travel and hospitality industries over the past several years, often disproportionately to the effect on the overall economy. The extent of the impact that actual or threatened terrorist attacks in the U.S. or elsewhere could have on domestic and international travel and our business in particular cannot be determined, but any such attacks or the threat of such attacks could have a material adverse effect on travel and hotel demand and our ability to insure our hotels, which could materially and adversely affect us.

The outbreak of influenza or other widespread contagious disease could reduce travel and adversely affect hotel demand, which would have a material adverse effect on us.

The widespread outbreak of an infectious or contagious disease in the U.S., such as the H1N1 virus, could reduce travel and adversely affect demand within the lodging industry. If demand at our hotels decreases significantly or for a prolonged period of time as a result of an outbreak of an infectious or contagious disease, our revenue would be adversely affected, which could have a material adverse effect on us.

Risks Related to Our Organization and Structure

Our management has limited experience operating a public company, which may impede their ability to successfully manage our business.

Our management has limited experience operating a public company. We have developed and implemented control systems and procedures to assist us in qualifying and maintaining our qualification as a public REIT, satisfying our periodic and current reporting requirements under applicable SEC regulations and complying with NYSE listing standards. Substantial work on our part will be required to continue to implement and execute appropriate reporting and compliance processes and assess their design, remediate any deficiencies identified and test the operation of such processes. We have limited experience implementing and executing such processes in a public company, and this ongoing process is expected to be both costly and challenging. We cannot assure shareholders that the systems and

Table of Contents

procedures that our management developed and implemented will be effective to operate our company successfully. Failure to effectively develop and implement such systems, policies and procedures could hinder our ability to operate as a public company and adversely affect our results of operations, cash flows and ability to make distributions to our shareholders.

The share ownership limits imposed by the Code for REITs and our declaration of trust may restrict share transfers and/or business combination opportunities, particularly if our management and board of trustees do not favor a combination proposal.

In order for us to maintain our qualification as a REIT under the Code, not more than 50% in value of our outstanding shares may be owned, directly or indirectly, by five or fewer individuals (as defined in the Code to include certain entities) at any time during the last half of each taxable year following our first year. Our declaration of trust, with certain exceptions, authorizes our board of trustees to take the actions that are necessary and desirable to preserve our qualification as a REIT. Unless exempted by our board of trustees, no person or entity (other than a person or entity who has been granted an exception) may directly or indirectly, beneficially or constructively, own more than 9.8% of the aggregate of our outstanding common shares, by value or by number of shares, whichever is more restrictive, or 9.8% of the aggregate of the outstanding preferred shares of any class or series, by value or by number of shares, whichever is more restrictive.

Our board may, in its sole discretion, grant an exemption to the share ownership limits, subject to certain conditions and the receipt by our board of certain representations and undertakings. Our board of trustees has granted an exemption from our ownership limits to certain shareholders who received common shares in our formation transactions. During the time that such waiver is effective, the excepted holders will be subject to an increased ownership limit. As a condition to granting such excepted holder limit, the excepted holders were required to make representations and warranties to us, which are intended to ensure that we will continue to meet the REIT ownership requirements. The excepted holders must inform us if any of these representations becomes untrue or is violated, in which case such excepted holder will lose its exemption from the ownership limit.

In addition, our board of trustees may change the share ownership limits. Our declaration of trust also prohibits any person from (1) beneficially or constructively owning, as determined by applying certain attribution rules of the Code, our shares if that would result in us being "closely held" under Section 856(h) of the Code or otherwise cause us to fail to qualify as a REIT, including, but not limited to, as a result of any "eligible independent contractor" that operates a "qualified lodging facility" (each as defined in the Code) on behalf of a TRS failing to qualify as such, or us having significant non-qualifying income from "related" parties, or (2) transferring shares if such transfer would result in our shares being owned by fewer than 100 persons. The share ownership limits contained in our declaration of trust key off the ownership at any time by any "person," which term includes entities, and take into account direct and indirect ownership as determined under various ownership attribution rules in the Code. The share ownership limits also might delay or prevent a transaction or a change in our control that might involve a premium price for our common shares or otherwise be in the best interests of our shareholders.

Our authorized but unissued common shares and preferred shares may prevent a change in our control that might involve a premium price for our common shares or otherwise be in the best interests of our shareholders.

Our declaration of trust authorizes us to issue additional authorized but unissued common or preferred shares. In addition, our board of trustees may, without shareholder approval, amend our declaration of trust to increase the aggregate number of our common shares or the number of shares of any class or series of preferred shares that we have authority to issue and classify or reclassify any unissued common shares or preferred shares and set the preferences, rights and other terms of the

Table of Contents

classified or reclassified shares. As a result, our board of trustees may establish a series of common shares or preferred shares that could delay or prevent a transaction or a change in our control that might involve a premium price for our common shares or otherwise be in the best interests of our shareholders.

Certain provisions of Maryland law could inhibit changes in control.

Certain provisions of the Maryland General Corporation Law, or MGCL, that are applicable to Maryland real estate investment trusts may have the effect of deterring a third party from making a proposal to acquire us or of impeding a change in our control under circumstances that otherwise could provide the holders of our common shares with the opportunity to realize a premium over the then-prevailing market price of our common shares, including:

"business combination" provisions that, subject to limitations, prohibit certain business combinations between us and an "interested shareholder" (defined generally as any person who beneficially owns, directly or indirectly, 10% or more of the voting power of our voting shares or an affiliate or associate of ours who was the beneficial owner, directly or indirectly, of 10% or more of the voting power of our then outstanding voting shares at any time within the two-year period immediately prior to the date in question) for five years after the most recent date on which the shareholder becomes an interested shareholder, and thereafter impose fair price and/or supermajority and shareholder voting requirements on these combinations; and

"control share" provisions that provide that "control shares" of our company (defined as voting shares that, when aggregated with other shares controlled by the shareholder, entitle the shareholder to exercise one of three increasing ranges of voting power in electing trustees) acquired in a "control share acquisition" (defined as the direct or indirect acquisition of ownership or control of issued and outstanding "control shares") have no voting rights except to the extent approved by our shareholders by the affirmative vote of at least two-thirds of all the votes entitled to be cast on the matter, excluding all interested shares.

As permitted by Maryland law, we have elected, by resolution of our board of trustees, to opt out of the business combination provisions of the MGCL and, pursuant to a provision in our bylaws, to exempt any acquisition of our shares from the control share provisions of the MGCL. However, our board of trustees may by resolution elect to repeal the exemption from the business combination provisions of the MGCL and may by amendment to our bylaws opt into the control share provisions of the MGCL at any time in the future.

Certain provisions of the MGCL applicable to Maryland real estate investment trusts permit our board of trustees, without shareholder approval and regardless of what is currently provided in our declaration of trust or bylaws, to adopt certain mechanisms, some of which (for example, a classified board) we do not have. These provisions may have the effect of limiting or precluding a third party from making an acquisition proposal for us or of delaying, deferring or preventing a change in our control under circumstances that otherwise could provide the holders of our common shares with the opportunity to realize a premium over the then current market price. Our declaration of trust contains a provision whereby we will elect, at such time as we become eligible to do so, to be subject to the provisions of Title 3, Subtitle 8 of the MGCL relating to the filling of vacancies on our board of trustees.

Table of Contents

Conflicts of interest could arise between the interests of our shareholders and the interests of holders of OP units in our operating partnership, which may impede business decisions that could benefit our shareholders.

Conflicts of interest could arise as a result of the relationships between us, on the one hand, and our operating partnership or any limited partner thereof, on the other. Our trustees and officers have duties to us and our shareholders under applicable Maryland law in connection with their management of our company. At the same time, we, as general partner of our operating partnership, have fiduciary duties and obligations to our operating partnership and its limited partners under Delaware law and the partnership agreement of our operating partnership in connection with the management of our operating partnership. Our duties as general partner to our operating partnership and its partners may come into conflict with the duties of our trustees and officers to our company and our shareholders. These conflicts may be resolved in a manner that is not in the best interests of our shareholders.

Our conflict of interest policy may not be successful in eliminating the influence of future conflicts of interest that may arise between us and our trustees, officers and employees.

We have adopted a policy that any transaction, agreement or relationship in which any of our trustees, officers or employees has a material direct or indirect pecuniary interest must be approved by a majority of our disinterested trustees. Other than this policy, however, we may not adopt additional formal procedures for the review and approval of conflict of interest transactions generally. As such, our policies and procedures may not be successful in eliminating the influence of conflicts of interest.

We may pursue less vigorous enforcement of terms of the merger and other agreements entered into in connection with our formation transactions because of conflicts of interest with certain of our officers and related parties.

Pursuant to the merger and other agreements entered into in connection with our formation transactions, Fund II, Fund III, the general partners of each of Fund II and Fund III and RLJ Development made limited representations and warranties to us regarding potential material adverse impacts on the hotels and other assets that were acquired by us in our formation transactions and agreed to a holdback of the total consideration paid in the form of common shares or OP units to such parties in our formation transactions with an initial aggregate value of approximately \$22.5 million to indemnify us for breaches of such representations and warranties (approximately \$10.5 million of which remains available as of the date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K to satisfy indemnification claims). In addition, we entered into an employment agreement with each of our executive officers. Because of our desire to maintain ongoing relationships with our executive officers and other contributors, we may choose not to enforce, or to enforce less vigorously, our rights under these agreements.

RLJ Acquisition, Inc., a special purpose acquisition company founded by Robert L. Johnson, our Executive Chairman, could acquire operating companies that may compete with us, which could have a material adverse effect on us.

Robert L. Johnson, our Executive Chairman, founded and serves as chairman of the board of directors of RLJ Acquisition, Inc., a special purpose acquisition company. In February 2011, RLJ Acquisition, Inc. raised approximately \$143.8 million of equity proceeds in a blank-check public offering to acquire one or more operating businesses. As of December 31, 2011, RLJ Acquisition, Inc. had not invested any of the proceeds from the public offering. Although RLJ Acquisition, Inc. was not formed with the specific intent to acquire assets in the lodging industry, its organizational documents and investment guidelines do not preclude it from acquiring operating businesses that own and operate hotels, including premium-branded, focused-service and compact full-service hotels. As a result, until RLJ Acquisition, Inc. has fully invested the proceeds of its offering, it could acquire operating businesses that compete with us for investment opportunities. RLJ Acquisition, Inc. will be liquidated if it fails to consummate a business acquisition prior to November 22, 2013. Furthermore, if and to the

Table of Contents

extent that RLJ Acquisition, Inc. acquires one or more operating companies that compete with us, there could be conflicts of interest due to Mr. Johnson's roles with both RLJ Acquisition, Inc. and us, which could, among other things, result in us not being presented with certain investment opportunities and the diversion of Mr. Johnson's attention away from our business, either of which could have a material adverse effect on us.

Certain provisions in the partnership agreement for our operating partnership may delay or prevent unsolicited acquisitions of us.

Provisions in the partnership agreement for our operating partnership may delay or make more difficult unsolicited acquisitions of us or changes in our control. These provisions could discourage third parties from making proposals involving an unsolicited acquisition of us or a change in our control, although some shareholders might consider such proposals, if made, desirable.

Our operating partnership may issue OP units to third parties without the consent of our shareholders, which would reduce our ownership percentage in our operating partnership and would have a dilutive effect on the amount of distributions made to us by our operating partnership and, therefore, the amount of distributions we can make to our shareholders.

As of the date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, we own approximately 99.2% of the outstanding OP units in our operating partnership. We may, in connection with our acquisition of hotels or otherwise, issue OP units to third parties in the future. Such issuances would reduce our ownership percentage in our operating partnership and affect the amount of distributions made to us by our operating partnership and, therefore, the amount of distributions we can make to our shareholders. Because shareholders will not directly own OP units, shareholders will not have any voting rights with respect to any such issuances or other partnership level activities of our operating partnership.

Termination of the employment agreements with our executive officers could be costly and prevent a change in our control.

The employment agreements that we entered into with each of our executive officers provide that, if their employment with us terminates under certain circumstances (including upon a change in our control), we are required to pay them significant amounts of severance compensation, including accelerated vesting of equity awards, thereby making it costly to terminate their employment. Furthermore, these provisions could delay or prevent a transaction or a change in our control that might involve a premium paid for our common shares or otherwise be in the best interests of our shareholders.

Our declaration of trust contains provisions that make removal of our trustees difficult, which could make it difficult for our shareholders to effect changes to our management.

Our declaration of trust provides that, subject to the rights of holders of one or more classes or series of preferred shares to elect or remove one or more trustees, a trustee may be removed only for cause and only by the affirmative vote of holders of at least two-thirds of the votes entitled to be cast in the election of trustees and that our board of trustees has the exclusive power to fill vacant trusteeships, even if the remaining trustees do not constitute a quorum. These provisions make it more difficult to change our management by removing and replacing trustees and may delay or prevent a change in our control that is in the best interests of our shareholders.

Table of Contents

We may change our operational policies, investment guidelines and our investment and growth strategies without shareholder consent, which may subject us to different and more significant risks in the future, which could materially and adversely affect us.

Our board of trustees determines our operational policies, investment guidelines and our investment and growth strategies. Our board of trustees may make changes to, or approve transactions that deviate from, those policies, guidelines and strategies without a vote of, or notice to, our shareholders. This could result in us conducting operational matters, making investments or pursuing different investment or growth strategies than those contemplated in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Under any of these circumstances, we may expose ourselves to different and more significant risks in the future, which could materially and adversely affect us.

Our rights and the rights of our shareholders to take action against our trustees and officers are limited, which could limit our shareholders' recourse in the event of actions not in our shareholders' best interests.

Under Maryland law generally, a trustee is required to perform his or her duties in good faith, in a manner he or she reasonably believes to be in our best interest and with the care that an ordinarily prudent person in a like position would use under similar circumstances. Under Maryland law, trustees are presumed to have acted with this standard of care. In addition, our declaration of trust limits the liability of our trustees and officers to us and our shareholders for money damages, except for liability resulting from:

actual receipt of an improper benefit or profit in money, property or services; or

active and deliberate dishonesty by the trustee or officer that was established by a final judgment as being material to the cause of action adjudicated.

Our declaration of trust and bylaws obligate us, to the fullest extent permitted by Maryland law in effect from time to time, to indemnify and to pay or reimburse reasonable expenses in advance of final disposition of a proceeding to any present or former trustee or officer who is made or threatened to be made a party to the proceeding by reason of his or her service to us in that capacity. In addition, we may be obligated to advance the defense costs incurred by our trustees and officers. As a result, we and our shareholders may have more limited rights against our trustees and officers than might otherwise exist absent the current provisions in our declaration of trust and bylaws or that might exist with other companies.

If we fail to maintain an effective system of integrated internal controls, we may not be able to accurately report our financial results.

In connection with operating as a public company, we are required to provide reliable financial statements and reports to our shareholders. To monitor the accuracy and reliability of our financial reporting, we have established an internal audit function that oversees our internal controls. We can provide no assurances that such procedures will be adequate to provide reasonable assurance to our shareholders regarding the reliability of our financial reporting and the preparation of our financial statements. In addition, we have developed policies and procedures with respect to company-wide business processes and cycles in order to implement effective internal control over financial reporting. We have established, or caused our third-party hotel management companies to establish, controls and procedures designed to ensure that hotel revenues and expenses are properly recorded at our hotels. While we have undertaken substantial work to comply with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, we cannot be certain that we will be successful in maintaining effective internal control over our financial reporting and may determine in the future that our existing internal controls need improvement. If we fail to comply with proper overall controls, we could be materially harmed or we could fail to meet our reporting obligations. In addition, the existence of a material weakness or significant deficiency in our internal controls could result in errors in our financial statements that

Table of Contents

could require a restatement, cause us to fail to meet our reporting obligations, result in increased costs to remediate any deficiencies, attract regulatory scrutiny or lawsuits and cause investors to lose confidence in our reported financial information, leading to a substantial decline in the market price of our common shares.

Risks Related to the Real Estate Industry

The illiquidity of real estate investments could significantly impede our ability to respond to changing economic, financial, and investment conditions or changes in the operating performance of our properties, which could adversely affect our cash flows and results of operations.

Real estate investments, including the focused-service and compact full-service hotels in our portfolio, are relatively illiquid. As a result, we may not be able to sell a hotel or hotels quickly or on favorable terms in response to changing economic, financial and investment conditions or changes in the hotel's operating performance when it otherwise may be prudent to do so. Current conditions in the U.S. economy and stock and credit markets have made it difficult to sell hotels at attractive prices. We cannot predict whether we will be able to sell any hotel we desire to sell for the price or on the terms set by us or whether any price or other terms offered by a prospective purchaser would be acceptable to us. We also cannot predict the length of time needed to find a willing purchaser and to close the sale of a hotel. We may be required to expend funds to correct defects or to make improvements before a hotel can be sold, and we cannot provide any assurances that we will have funds available to correct such defects or to make such improvements. Our inability to dispose of assets at opportune times or on favorable terms could adversely affect our cash flows and results of operations.

Moreover, the Code imposes restrictions on a REIT's ability to dispose of properties that are not applicable to other types of real estate companies. In particular, the tax laws applicable to REITs require that we hold our hotels for investment, rather than primarily for sale in the ordinary course of business, which may cause us to forego or defer sales of hotels that otherwise would be in our best interests. Therefore, we may not be able to vary our portfolio promptly in response to economic or other conditions or on favorable terms, which may adversely affect our cash flows, our ability to make distributions to shareholders and the market price of our common shares.

In addition, our ability to dispose of some of our hotels could be constrained by their tax attributes. Hotels that we own for a significant period of time or that we acquire through tax deferred contribution transactions in exchange for OP units in our operating partnership may have low tax bases. If we dispose of these hotels outright in taxable transactions, we may be required to distribute the taxable gain to our shareholders under the requirements of the Code applicable to REITs or to pay tax on that gain, either of which, in turn, would impact our cash flow and increase our leverage. In some cases, we may be restricted from disposing of properties contributed to us in the future in exchange for our OP units under tax protection agreements with contributors unless we incur additional costs related to indemnifying those contributors. To dispose of low basis or tax-protected hotels efficiently, we may from time to time use like-kind exchanges, which qualify for non-recognition of taxable gain, but can be difficult to consummate and result in the hotel for which the disposed assets are exchanged inheriting their low tax bases and other tax attributes.

Many real estate costs are fixed, even if revenue from our hotels decreases.

Many costs, such as real estate taxes, insurance premiums and maintenance costs, generally are not reduced even when a hotel is not fully occupied, room rates decrease or other circumstances cause a reduction in revenues. In addition, newly acquired hotels may not produce the revenues we anticipate immediately, or at all, and the hotel's operating cash flow may be insufficient to pay the operating expenses and debt service associated with these new hotels. If we are unable to offset real estate costs

Table of Contents

with sufficient revenues across our portfolio, our financial performance and liquidity could be materially and adversely affected.

Uninsured and underinsured losses at our hotels could materially and adversely affect us.

We maintain comprehensive insurance on each of our hotels and intend to maintain comprehensive insurance on any hotels that we acquire, including liability, fire and extended coverage, of the type and amount we believe are customarily obtained for or by hotel owners. There are no assurances that coverage will be available at reasonable rates. Various types of catastrophic losses, like windstorms, earthquakes and floods, losses from foreign terrorist activities such as those on September 11, 2001, or losses from domestic terrorist activities such as the Oklahoma City bombing on April 19, 1995, may not be insurable or may not be economically insurable. Even when insurable, these policies may have high deductibles and/or high premiums. Lenders may require such insurance and our failure to obtain such insurance could constitute a default under loan agreements, which could have a material adverse effect on us.

In the event of a substantial loss, our insurance coverage may not be sufficient to cover the full current market value or replacement cost of our lost investment. Should an uninsured loss or a loss in excess of insured limits occur, we could lose all or a portion of the capital we have invested in a hotel, as well as the anticipated future revenue from the hotel. In that event, we might nevertheless remain obligated for any mortgage debt or other financial obligations related to the hotel. Inflation, changes in building codes and ordinances, environmental considerations and other factors might also keep us from using insurance proceeds to replace or renovate a hotel after it has been damaged or destroyed. Under those circumstances, the insurance proceeds we receive might be inadequate to restore our economic position on the damaged or destroyed hotel, which could have a material adverse effect on us.

In addition, insurance risks associated with potential terrorism acts could sharply increase the premiums we pay for coverage against property and casualty claims. With the enactment of the Terrorism Risk Insurance Program Reauthorization Act of 2007, United States insurers cannot exclude conventional chemical, biological, nuclear and radiation terrorism losses. These insurers must make terrorism insurance available under their property and casualty insurance policies; however, this legislation does not regulate the pricing of such insurance. In many cases, mortgage lenders have begun to insist that commercial property owners purchase coverage against terrorism as a condition of providing mortgage loans. Such insurance policies may not be available at a reasonable cost, which could inhibit our ability to finance or refinance our hotels. In such instances, we may be required to provide other financial support, either through financial assurances or self-insurance, to cover potential losses. We may not have adequate coverage for such losses, which could have a material adverse effect on us.

We may be subject to unknown or contingent liabilities related to recently acquired hotels and the hotels that we may acquire in the future, which could have a material adverse effect on us.

Our recently acquired hotels, and the hotels that we may acquire in the future, may be subject to unknown or contingent liabilities for which we may have no recourse, or only limited recourse, against the sellers. In general, the representations and warranties provided under the transaction agreements related to purchase of the hotels we acquire may not survive the completion of the transactions. Furthermore, indemnification under such agreements may be limited and subject to various materiality thresholds, a significant deductible or an aggregate cap on losses. As a result, there is no guarantee that we will recover any amounts with respect to losses due to breaches by the sellers of their representations and warranties. In addition, the total amount of costs and expenses that may be incurred with respect to liabilities associated with these hotels may exceed our expectations, and we may experience other unanticipated adverse effects, all of which may materially and adversely affect us.

Table of Contents

Compliance or failure to comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act and other safety regulations and requirements could result in substantial costs.

Under the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 and the Accessibility Guidelines promulgated thereunder, which we refer to collectively as the ADA, all public accommodations must meet various federal requirements related to access and use by disabled persons. Compliance with the ADA's requirements could require removal of access barriers, and non-compliance could result in the U.S. government imposing fines or in private litigants winning damages. In July 2010, the Department of Justice proposed a substantial number of changes to the ADA, which were published in September 2010. The new guidelines could cause some of our hotels to incur costly measures to become fully compliant. If we are required to make substantial modifications to the hotels that we acquire, whether to comply with the ADA or other changes in governmental rules and regulations, we could be materially and adversely affected.

Our hotels also are subject to various federal, state and local regulatory requirements, such as state and local fire and life safety requirements. If we fail to comply with these requirements, we could incur fines or private damage awards. We do not know whether existing requirements will change or whether compliance with future requirements would require significant unanticipated expenditures that would affect our cash flow and results of operations. If we incur substantial costs to comply with the ADA or other safety regulations and requirements, our financial condition, results of operations, the market price of our common shares, cash flows and our ability to satisfy our debt obligations and to make distributions to our shareholders could be adversely affected.

We could incur significant, material costs related to government regulation and litigation with respect to environmental matters, which could have a material adverse effect on us.

Our hotels are subject to various U.S. federal, state and local environmental laws that impose liability for contamination. Under these laws, governmental entities have the authority to require us, as the current owner of a hotel, to perform or pay for the clean up of contamination (including hazardous substances, asbestos and asbestos-containing materials, waste or petroleum products) at, on, under or emanating from the hotel and to pay for natural resource damages arising from such contamination. Such laws often impose liability without regard to whether the owner or operator or other responsible party knew of, or caused such contamination, and the liability may be joint and several. Because these laws also impose liability on persons who owned a property at the time it became contaminated, it is possible we could incur cleanup costs or other environmental liabilities even after we sell hotels. Contamination at, on, under or emanating from our hotels also may expose us to liability to private parties for costs of remediation and/or personal injury or property damage. In addition, environmental laws may create liens on contaminated sites in favor of the government for damages and costs it incurs to address such contamination. If contamination is discovered on our properties, environmental laws also may impose restrictions on the manner in which the properties may be used or businesses may be operated, and these restrictions may require substantial expenditures. Moreover, environmental contamination can affect the value of a property and, therefore, an owner's ability to borrow funds using the property as collateral or to sell the property on favorable terms or at all. Furthermore, persons who sent waste to a waste disposal facility, such as a landfill or an incinerator, may be liable for costs associated with cleanup of that facility.

In addition, our hotels are subject to various federal, state, and local environmental, health and safety laws and regulations that address a wide variety of issues, including, but not limited to, storage tanks, air emissions from emergency generators, storm water and wastewater discharges, lead-based paint, mold and mildew, and waste management. Some of our hotels routinely handle and use hazardous or regulated substances and wastes as part of their operations, which substances and wastes are subject to regulation (e.g., swimming pool chemicals). Our hotels incur costs to comply with these

Table of Contents

environmental, health and safety laws and regulations and could be subject to fines and penalties for non-compliance with applicable requirements.

Certain of our hotels contain, and those that we acquire in the future may contain, or may have contained, asbestos-containing material, or ACM. Federal, state and local environmental, health and safety laws require that ACM be properly managed and maintained, and include requirements to undertake special precautions, such as removal or abatement, if ACM would be disturbed during maintenance, renovation or demolition of a building. Such laws regarding ACM may impose fines and penalties on building owners, employers and operators for failure to comply with these requirements. In addition, third parties may seek recovery from owners or operators for personal injury associated with exposure to asbestos-containing building materials.

When excessive moisture accumulates in buildings or on building materials, mold growth may occur, particularly if the moisture problem remains undiscovered or is not addressed over a period of time. Some molds may produce airborne toxins or irritants. Indoor air quality issues can also stem from inadequate ventilation, chemical contamination from indoor or outdoor sources, and other biological contaminants such as pollen, viruses and bacteria. Indoor exposure to airborne toxins or irritants above certain levels can be alleged to cause a variety of adverse health effects and symptoms, including allergic or other reactions. As a result, the presence of significant mold or other airborne contaminants at any of our hotels could require us to undertake a costly remediation program to contain or remove the mold or other airborne contaminants from the affected property or increase indoor ventilation. In addition, the presence of significant mold or other airborne contaminants could expose us to liability to third parties if property damage or personal injury occurs.

Liabilities and costs associated with environmental contamination at, on, under or emanating from our properties, defending against claims related to alleged or actual environmental issues, or complying with environmental, health and safety laws could be material and could materially and adversely affect us. We can make no assurances that changes in current laws or regulations or future laws or regulations will not impose additional or new material environmental liabilities or that the current environmental condition of our hotels will not be affected by our operations, the condition of the properties in the vicinity of our hotels, or by third parties unrelated to us. The discovery of material environmental liabilities at our properties could subject us to unanticipated significant costs, which could significantly reduce or eliminate our profitability and the cash available for distribution to our shareholders.

We face possible risks associated with the physical effects of climate change.

We cannot predict with certainty whether climate change is occurring and, if so, at what rate. However, the physical effects of climate change could have a material adverse effect on us. For example, many of our properties are located along the Gulf and East coasts. To the extent climate change causes changes in weather patterns, our markets could experience increases in storm intensity and rising sea-levels. Over time, these conditions could result in declining hotel demand or our inability to operate the affected hotels at all. Climate change also may have indirect effects on our business by increasing the cost of (or making unavailable) property insurance on terms we find acceptable, increasing the cost of energy and increasing the cost of snow removal at our properties. There can be no assurance that climate change will not have a material adverse effect on us.

We may incur significant costs complying with various regulatory requirements, which could materially and adversely affect us.

Our properties are subject to various federal, state and local regulatory requirements, such as state and local fire and life safety requirements. If we fail to comply with these various requirements, we could incur governmental fines or private damage awards. In addition, existing requirements could

Table of Contents

change and future requirements might require us to make significant unanticipated expenditures, which could materially and adversely affect us.

Risks Related to Our Status as a REIT

Qualifying as a REIT involves highly technical and complex provisions of the Code.

Our qualification as a REIT involves the application of highly technical and complex Code provisions for which only limited judicial and administrative authorities exist. Even a technical or inadvertent violation could jeopardize our REIT qualification. Moreover, new legislation, court decisions or administrative guidance, in each case possibly with retroactive effect, may make it more difficult or impossible for us to qualify as a REIT. Our qualification as a REIT will depend on our satisfaction of certain asset, income, organizational, distribution, shareholder ownership and other requirements on a continuing basis. Our ability to satisfy the REIT income and asset tests depends upon our analysis of the characterization and fair market values of our assets, some of which are not susceptible to a precise determination and for which we will not obtain independent appraisals, and upon our ability to successfully manage the composition of our income and assets on an ongoing basis. In addition, our ability to satisfy the requirements to qualify as a REIT depends in part on the actions of third parties over which we have no control or only limited influence, including in cases where we own an equity interest in an entity that is classified as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

Legislative or regulatory tax changes related to REITs could materially and adversely affect us.

There are a number of issues associated with an investment in a REIT that are related to the federal income tax laws, including, but not limited to, the consequences of a company's failing to qualify or to continue to qualify as a REIT and the tax rates applicable to REITs and their shareholders. At any time, the federal income tax laws governing REITs or the administrative interpretations of those laws may be amended or modified. Any new laws or interpretations may take effect retroactively and could materially and adversely affect us.

If we do not qualify as a REIT or if we fail to remain qualified as a REIT, we will be subject to U.S. federal income tax and potentially state and local taxes, which would reduce our earnings and the amount of cash available for distribution to our shareholders.

We have been organized, operate, and intend to continue to operate, in a manner that will enable us to qualify as a REIT for U.S. federal income tax purposes commencing with the taxable year ending December 31, 2011. Although we do not intend to request a ruling from the IRS as to our REIT qualification, we have received an opinion of Hogan Lovells US LLP that our current organization, and current and intended method of operation (as described herein and in a letter that we have provided to Hogan Lovells US LLP), will enable us to meet the requirements for qualification and taxation as a REIT under the Code for our taxable year ending December 31, 2011, and thereafter. Investors should be aware, however, that opinions of counsel are not binding on the IRS or any court. The opinion of Hogan Lovells US LLP represents only the view of our counsel based on our counsel's review and analysis of existing law and on certain representations as to factual matters and covenants made by us, including representations relating to the values of our assets and the sources of our income. The opinion is expressed as of the date issued. Hogan Lovells US LLP will have no obligation to advise us or our common shareholders of any subsequent change in the matters stated, represented or assumed, or of any subsequent change in applicable law. Furthermore, both the validity of the opinion of Hogan Lovells US LLP and our qualification as a REIT depend on our satisfaction of the requirements described above under "Qualifying as a REIT involves highly technical and complex provisions of the Code," the results of which will not be monitored by Hogan Lovells US LLP.

Table of Contents

If we were to fail to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year and any available relief provisions do not apply, we would be subject to U.S. federal and state corporate income tax, including any applicable alternative minimum tax, on our taxable income at regular corporate rates, and dividends paid to our shareholders would not be deductible by us in computing our taxable income. Unless we were entitled to statutory relief under certain Code provisions, we also would be disqualified from taxation as a REIT for the four taxable years following the year in which we failed to qualify as a REIT.

Any determination that we do not qualify as a REIT would have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and could materially reduce the value of our common shares. Our additional tax liability could be substantial and would reduce our net earnings available for investment, debt service or distributions to shareholders. Furthermore, we would no longer be required to make any distributions to shareholders as a condition to REIT qualification and all of our distributions to shareholders would be taxable as ordinary C corporation dividends to the extent of our current and accumulated earnings and profits. This means that our shareholders currently taxed as individuals would be taxed on those dividends at capital gain rates (through 2012, in the absence of legislative action) and our corporate shareholders generally would be entitled to the dividends received deduction with respect to such dividends, subject in each case, to applicable limitations under the Code. Our failure to qualify as a REIT also could cause an event of default under loan documents governing our debt.

REIT distribution requirements could adversely affect our ability to execute our business plan or cause us to finance our needs during unfavorable market conditions.

We generally must distribute annually at least 90% of our REIT taxable income, subject to certain adjustments and excluding any net capital gain, in order for U.S. federal corporate income tax not to apply to earnings that we distribute. To the extent that we satisfy this distribution requirement but distribute less than 100% of our taxable income, we will be subject to U.S. federal corporate income tax on our undistributed taxable income. In addition, we will be subject to a 4% nondeductible excise tax if the actual amount that we pay out to our shareholders in a calendar year is less than a minimum amount specified under U.S. federal tax laws. We intend to make distributions to our shareholders to comply with the REIT requirements of the Code.

From time to time, we may generate taxable income greater than our income for financial reporting purposes prepared in accordance with GAAP. In addition, differences in timing between the recognition of taxable income and the actual receipt of cash may occur. As a result, we may find it difficult or impossible to meet distribution requirements in certain circumstances. In particular, where we experience differences in timing between the recognition of taxable income and the actual receipt of cash, the requirement to distribute a substantial portion of our taxable income could cause us to: (1) sell assets in adverse market conditions; (2) incur debt or issue additional equity on unfavorable terms; (3) distribute amounts that would otherwise be invested in future acquisitions, capital expenditures or repayment of debt; or (4) make a taxable distribution of our common shares as part of a distribution in which shareholders may elect to receive our common shares or (subject to a limit measured as a percentage of the total distribution) cash, in order to comply with REIT requirements. These alternatives could increase our costs or dilute our equity. In addition, because the REIT distribution requirement prevents us from retaining earnings, we generally will be required to refinance debt at its maturity with additional debt or equity. Thus, compliance with the REIT requirements may hinder our ability to grow, which could adversely affect the market price of our common shares.

We may in the future choose to pay dividends in the form of our own common shares, in which case shareholders may be required to pay income taxes in excess of the cash dividends they receive.

We may seek in the future to distribute taxable dividends that are payable in cash and our common shares, at the election of each shareholder. Taxable shareholders receiving such dividends will be required to include the full amount of the dividend as ordinary income to the extent of our current

Table of Contents

and accumulated earnings and profits for U.S. federal income tax purposes. As a result, shareholders may be required to pay income taxes with respect to such dividends in excess of the cash dividends received. If a U.S. shareholder sells the common shares that it receives as a dividend in order to pay this tax, the sales proceeds may be less than the amount included in income with respect to the dividend, depending on the market price of our common shares at the time of the sale. In addition, in such case, a U.S. shareholder could have a capital loss with respect to the common shares sold that could not be used to offset such dividend income. Furthermore, with respect to certain non-U.S. shareholders, we may be required to withhold U.S. federal income tax with respect to such dividends, including in respect of all or a portion of such dividend that is payable in common shares. In addition, such a taxable share dividend could be viewed as equivalent to a reduction in our cash distributions, and that factor, as well as the possibility that a significant number of our shareholders determine to sell our common shares in order to pay taxes owed on dividends, may put downward pressure on the market price of our common shares.

Dividends payable by REITs do not qualify for the reduced tax rates available for some dividends.

The maximum tax rate applicable to income from "qualified dividends" payable to U.S. shareholders that are individuals, trusts and estates has been reduced by legislation to 15% (through 2012, after which time, in the absence of legislative action, they will be taxed at ordinary income rates). Dividends payable by REITs, however, generally are not eligible for the reduced rates and will continue to be subject to tax at rates applicable to ordinary income, which will be as high as 35% through 2012 (and in the absence of legislative action, as high as 39.6% starting in 2013). Although this legislation does not adversely affect the taxation of REITs or dividends payable by REITs, the more favorable rates applicable to regular corporate qualified dividends could cause investors who are individuals, trusts and estates to perceive investments in REITs to be relatively less attractive than investments in the shares of non-REIT corporations that pay dividends, which could adversely affect the value of the shares of REITs, including our common shares.

Even if we qualify as a REIT, we may face other tax liabilities that reduce our cash flow.

Even if we qualify for taxation as a REIT, we may be subject to certain U.S. federal, state and local taxes, including payroll taxes, taxes on any undistributed income, taxes on income from some activities conducted as a result of a foreclosure, a 100% excise tax on any transactions with a TRS that are not conducted on an arm's-length basis, and state or local income, property and transfer taxes. In addition, we could, in certain circumstances, be required to pay an excise or penalty tax (which could be significant in amount) in order to utilize one or more relief provisions under the Code to maintain our qualification as a REIT. In addition, our TRSs will be subject to U.S. federal, state and local corporate income taxes on their net taxable income, if any. To the extent that we conduct operations outside of the United States, our operations would subject us to applicable foreign taxes, as well. Any of these taxes would decrease cash available for the payment of our debt obligations and distributions to shareholders.

If our leases are not respected as true leases for federal income tax purposes, we would likely fail to qualify as a REIT.

To qualify as a REIT, we must satisfy two gross income tests, pursuant to which specified percentages of our gross income must be passive income, such as rent. For the rent paid pursuant to the hotel leases with our TRSs, which we currently expect will continue to constitute substantially all of our gross income, to qualify for purposes of the gross income tests, the leases must be respected as true leases for federal income tax purposes and must not be treated as service contracts, joint ventures or some other type of arrangement. We believe that the leases will be respected as true leases for federal income tax purposes. There can be no assurance, however, that the IRS will agree with this

Table of Contents

characterization. If the leases were not respected as true leases for federal income tax purposes, we would not be able to satisfy either of the two gross income tests applicable to REITs and would likely lose our REIT status.

Rents paid to us by each of our TRSs may not be based on the net income or profits of any person, or they would not be treated as "rents from real property," in which case we would likely fail to qualify for taxation as a REIT. We receive "percentage rents" calculated based on the gross revenues of the hotels subject to leases with our TRSs, but not on net income or profits. We believe our leases have customary terms and rents, reflect normal business practices and do not provide for rent based on net income or profits, but there can be no assurance the IRS will agree.

The IRS is conducting audits of other lodging REITs, and in at least one case is focusing on intercompany hotel leases between the REIT and its TRSs which purportedly reflect market terms. The IRS has proposed transfer pricing adjustments in connection with this audit. We believe our leases have customary terms and rents and reflect normal business practices in this regard and comply with the arms-length requirement, but there can be no assurance that the IRS will agree. While it would not affect our REIT status, the IRS could adjust rents related to our leases causing the REIT to incur a 100% excise tax on the potential adjustment.

If our TRSs fail to qualify as "taxable REIT subsidiaries" under the Code, we would likely fail to qualify as a REIT.

Rent paid by a lessee that is a "related party tenant" will not be qualifying income for purposes of the two gross income tests applicable to REITs. We lease and expect to continue to lease substantially all of our hotels to our TRSs, which will not be treated as "related party tenants" so long as they qualify as "taxable REIT subsidiaries" under the Code. To qualify as such, most significantly, a taxable REIT subsidiary cannot engage in the operation or management of hotels or health care properties. We believe that our TRSs will qualify to be treated as taxable REIT subsidiaries for federal income tax purposes. There can be no assurance, however, that the IRS will not challenge the status of a TRS for federal income tax purposes or that a court would not sustain such a challenge. If the IRS were successful in disqualifying any of our TRSs from treatment as a taxable REIT subsidiary, it is likely that we would fail to meet the asset tests applicable to REITs and substantially all of our income would fail to qualify for the gross income tests. If we failed to meet either the asset tests or the gross income tests, we would likely lose our REIT status.

If any hotel management companies that we engage do not qualify as "eligible independent contractors," or if our hotels are not "qualified lodging facilities," we would likely fail to qualify as a REIT.

Rent paid by a lessee that is a "related party tenant" of ours generally will not be qualifying income for purposes of the two gross income tests applicable to REITs. An exception is provided, however, for leases of "qualified lodging facilities" to a TRS so long as the hotels are managed by an "eligible independent contractor" and certain other requirements are satisfied. We intend to take advantage of this exception. We lease and expect to lease all or substantially all of our hotels to TRS lessees, which are disregarded subsidiaries of the TRSs, and to engage hotel management companies that are intended to qualify as "eligible independent contractors." Among other requirements, in order to qualify as an eligible independent contractor, the hotel management company must not own, directly or through its shareholders, more than 35% of our outstanding shares, and no person or group of persons can own more than 35% of our outstanding shares and the shares (or ownership interest) of the hotel management company (taking into account certain ownership attribution rules and, with respect to our shares and the outstanding shares of any publicly traded hotel management company, only the shares owned by persons who own, directly or indirectly, more than 5% of a publicly traded class of shares). The ownership attribution rules that apply for purposes of these 35% thresholds are complex, and monitoring actual and constructive ownership of our shares by the hotel management

Table of Contents

companies and their owners may not be practical. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that these ownership levels will not be exceeded.

In addition, for a hotel management company to qualify as an eligible independent contractor, such company or a related person must be actively engaged in the trade or business of operating "qualified lodging facilities" (as defined below) for one or more persons not related to the REIT or its TRSs at each time that such company enters into a hotel management contract with a TRS or its TRS lessee. As of the date hereof, we believe the hotel management companies operate qualified lodging facilities for certain persons who are not related to us or our TRS. However, no assurances can be provided that this will continue to be the case or that any other hotel management companies that we may engage in the future will in fact comply with this requirement in the future. Failure to comply with this requirement would require us to find other managers for future contracts, and, if we hired a management company without knowledge of the failure, it could jeopardize our status as a REIT.

Finally, each hotel with respect to which our TRS lessees pay rent must be a "qualified lodging facility." A "qualified lodging facility" is a hotel, motel, or other establishment more than one-half of the dwelling units in which are used on a transient basis, including customary amenities and facilities, provided that no wagering activities are conducted at or in connection with such facility by any person who is engaged in the business of accepting wagers and who is legally authorized to engage in such business at or in connection with such facility. As of the date hereof, we believe that all of the hotels leased to our TRS lessees will be qualified lodging facilities. Although we intend to monitor future acquisitions and improvements of hotels, the REIT provisions of the Code provide only limited guidance for making determinations under the requirements for qualified lodging facilities, and there can be no assurance that these requirements will be satisfied in all cases.

Our ownership of taxable REIT subsidiaries is limited, and our transactions with our taxable REIT subsidiaries will cause us to be subject to a 100% penalty tax on certain income or deductions if those transactions are not conducted on arm's length terms.

A REIT may own up to 100% of the stock of one or more taxable REIT subsidiaries. A taxable REIT subsidiary may hold assets and earn income that would not be qualifying assets or income if held or earned directly by a REIT. Both the subsidiary and the REIT must jointly elect to treat the subsidiary as a taxable REIT subsidiary. A corporation of which a taxable REIT subsidiary directly or indirectly owns more than 35% of the voting power or value of the stock will automatically be treated as a taxable REIT subsidiary. Overall, no more than 25% of the value of a REIT's assets may consist of stock or securities of one or more taxable REIT subsidiaries. In addition, the rules applicable to taxable REIT subsidiaries limit the deductibility of interest paid or accrued by a taxable REIT subsidiary to its parent REIT to assure that the taxable REIT subsidiary is subject to an appropriate level of corporate taxation. The rules also impose a 100% excise tax on "redetermined rent" or "redetermined deductions" to the extent rent paid by a taxable REIT subsidiary exceeds an arm's-length amount.

Our TRSs will pay U.S. federal, state and local income taxes on their net taxable income, and their after-tax net income will be available for distribution to us but is not required to be distributed. We anticipate that the aggregate value of the stock and securities of our TRSs will be less than 25% of the value of our total assets (including the stock and securities of our TRSs). Furthermore, we will monitor the value of our respective investments in our TRSs for the purpose of ensuring compliance with the ownership limitations applicable to taxable REIT subsidiaries. In addition, we will scrutinize all of our transactions with our TRSs to ensure that they are entered into on arm's-length terms to avoid incurring the 100% excise tax described above. There can be no assurance, however, that we will be able to comply with the 25% limitation discussed above or to avoid application of the 100% excise tax discussed above. While we believe our leases have customary terms and reflect normal business

Table of Contents

practices and that the rents paid thereto reflect market terms, there can be no assurance that the IRS will agree.

Complying with REIT requirements may force us to forgo and/or liquidate otherwise attractive investment opportunities.

To qualify as a REIT, we must ensure that we meet the REIT gross income tests annually and that at the end of each calendar quarter, at least 75% of the value of our assets consists of cash, cash items, government securities and qualified real estate assets. The remainder of our investment in securities (other than government securities and qualified real estate assets) generally cannot include more than 10% of the outstanding voting securities of any one issuer or more than 10% of the total value of the outstanding securities of any one issuer. In addition, in general, no more than 5% of the value of our assets (other than government securities and qualified real estate assets) can consist of the securities of any one issuer, and no more than 25% of the value of our total assets can be represented by securities of one or more taxable REIT subsidiaries. If we fail to comply with these requirements at the end of any calendar quarter, we must correct the failure within 30 days after the end of the calendar quarter or qualify for certain statutory relief provisions to avoid losing our REIT qualification and suffering adverse tax consequences. As a result, we may be required to liquidate from our portfolio, or contribute to a taxable REIT subsidiary, otherwise attractive investments in order to maintain our qualification as a REIT. These actions could have the effect of reducing our income and amounts available for distribution to our shareholders. In addition, we may be required to make distributions to shareholders at disadvantageous times or when we do not have funds readily available for distribution, and may be unable to pursue investments that would otherwise be advantageous to us in order to satisfy the source of income or asset diversification requirements for qualifying as a REIT. Thus, compliance with the REIT requirements may hinder our ability to make, and, in certain cases, maintain ownership of, certain attractive investments.

If the IRS were to challenge successfully our operating partnership's status as a partnership for federal income tax purposes, we would cease to qualify as a REIT and suffer other adverse consequences.

Our operating partnership will be treated as a separate entity for federal income tax purposes, rather than as an entity that is disregarded as separate from us. We believe, and will take steps to structure any such ownership of OP units so that, our operating partnership will be treated as a partnership for federal income tax purposes, rather than as a corporation. As a partnership, it will not be subject to federal income tax on its income. Instead, each of its partners, including our company, will be required to pay tax on such partner's allocable share of its income. No assurance can be provided, however, that the IRS will not challenge our operating partnership's status as a partnership for federal income tax purposes, or that a court would not sustain such a challenge. If the IRS were successful in treating our operating partnership as a corporation for federal income tax purposes, our company would fail to meet the gross income tests and certain of the asset tests applicable to REITs and, accordingly, would cease to qualify as a REIT.

As a result of our formation transactions, our TRSs may be limited in using certain tax benefits.

If a corporation undergoes an "ownership change" within the meaning of Section 382 of the Code and the Treasury Regulations thereunder, such corporation's ability to use net operating losses, or NOLs, generated prior to the time of that ownership change may be limited. To the extent the affected corporation's ability to use NOLs is limited, such corporation's taxable income may increase. As of December 31, 2011, we had approximately \$42.1 million of NOLs (all of which are attributable to our TRSs) which will begin to expire in 2026 for federal tax purposes and 2016 - 2026 for state tax purposes if not utilized. In general, an ownership change occurs if one or more large stockholders, known as "5% stockholders," including groups of stockholders that may be aggregated and treated as a

Table of Contents

single 5% stockholder, increase their aggregate percentage interest in a corporation by more than 50% over their lowest ownership percentage during the preceding three-year period. The formation transactions caused an ownership change within the meaning of Section 382 of the Code with respect to the TRSs of the REITs of Funds II and III. Accordingly, to the extent such TRSs have taxable income in future years, their ability to use NOLs incurred prior to our formation transactions in future years will be limited, and they may have greater taxable income as a result of such limitation.

Risks Related to Our Common Shares

Our cash available for distribution to shareholders may not be sufficient to pay distributions at expected or required levels, and we may need to borrow funds or rely on other external sources in order to make such distributions, or we may not be able to make such distributions at all, which could cause the market price of our common shares to decline significantly.

We intend to continue to pay regular quarterly distributions to holders of our common shares. All distributions will be made at the discretion of our board of trustees and will depend on our historical and projected results of operations, EBITDA, FFO, liquidity and financial condition, REIT qualification, debt service requirements, capital expenditures and operating expenses, prohibitions and other restrictions under financing arrangements and applicable law and other factors as our board of trustees may deem relevant from time to time. No assurance can be given that our projections will prove accurate or that any level of distributions or particular yield will be made or sustained. We may not be able to make distributions in the future or may need to fund such distributions through borrowings or other external financing sources, which may be available only at commercially unattractive terms, if at all. Any of the foregoing could cause the market price of our common shares to decline significantly.

Future issuances of debt securities, which would rank senior to our common shares upon our liquidation, and future issuances of equity securities (including OP units), which would dilute the holdings of our existing common shareholders and may be senior to our common shares for the purposes of making distributions, periodically or upon liquidation, may negatively affect the market price of our common shares.

In the future, we may issue debt or equity securities or incur other borrowings. Upon our liquidation, holders of our debt securities and other loans and preferred shares will receive a distribution of our available assets before common shareholders. If we incur debt in the future, our future interest costs could increase, and adversely affect our liquidity, FFO and results of operations. We are not required to offer any additional equity securities to existing common shareholders on a preemptive basis. Therefore, additional common share issuances, directly or through convertible or exchangeable securities (including OP units), warrants or options, will dilute the holdings of our existing common shareholders and such issuances or the perception of such issuances may reduce the market price of our common shares. Our preferred shares, if issued, would likely have a preference on distribution payments, periodically or upon liquidation, which could eliminate or otherwise limit our ability to make distributions to common shareholders. Because our decision to issue debt or equity securities or incur other borrowings in the future will depend on market conditions and other factors beyond our control, we cannot predict or estimate the amount, timing, nature or success of our future capital raising efforts. Thus, common shareholders bear the risk that our future issuances of debt or equity securities or our incurrence of other borrowings will negatively affect the market price of our common shares.

The number of common shares available for future issuance or sale could adversely affect the per share trading price of our common shares.

As of March 2, 2012, we have 106,644,632 common shares outstanding. In addition, as of the date of this report, 894,000 OP units in our operating partnership are outstanding, which are redeemable for

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

cash or, at our option, for a like number of our common shares beginning in May 2012. We cannot predict the effect, if any, of future resales of our common shares or OP units, or the perception of such resales, on the market price of our common shares. Any such future resales, or the perception that such resales might occur, could adversely affect the market price of our common shares and may also make it more difficult for us to sell equity or equity-related securities in the future at times and upon terms that we deem appropriate.

In addition, subject to applicable law, our board of trustees has the authority, without further shareholder approval, to issue additional common shares and preferred shares on the terms and for the consideration it deems appropriate. We may issue from time to time additional common shares or OP units in connection with hotel acquisitions and may grant registration rights in connection with such issuances, pursuant to which we would agree to register the resale of such securities under the Securities Act. Furthermore, in the future we may issue common shares and securities convertible into, or exchangeable or exercisable for, our common shares under our equity incentive plan. The market price of our common shares may decline significantly upon future issuances of equity under our equity incentive plan or in connection with hotel acquisitions.

The trading volume and market price of our common shares may be volatile and could decline substantially in the future.

The market price of our common shares may be volatile in the future. In addition, the trading volume in our common shares may fluctuate and cause significant price variations to occur. We cannot assure shareholders that the market price of our common shares will not fluctuate or decline significantly in the future, including as a result of factors unrelated to our operating performance or prospects. In particular, the market price of our common shares could be subject to wide fluctuations in response to a number of factors, including, among others, the following:

actual or anticipated differences in our operating results, liquidity, or financial condition;

changes in our revenues, EBITDA, FFO or earnings estimates;

publication of research reports about us, our hotels or the lodging or overall real estate industry;

additions and departures of key personnel;

the performance and market valuations of other similar companies;

the passage of legislation or other regulatory developments that adversely affect us or our industry;

the realization of any of the other risk factors presented in this Annual Report on Form 10-K;

speculation in the press or investment community;

changes in accounting principles;

terrorist acts; and

general market and economic conditions, including factors unrelated to our operating performance.

In the past, securities class action litigation has often been instituted against companies following periods of volatility in the market price of their common shares. If the market price of our common shares is volatile and this type of litigation is brought against us, it could result in substantial costs and divert our management's attention and resources, which could have a material adverse effect on us.

Table of Contents

Increases in market interest rates may reduce demand for our common shares and result in a decline in the market price of our common shares.

The market price of our common shares may be influenced by the distribution yield on our common shares (i.e., the amount of our annual distributions as a percentage of the market price of our common shares) relative to market interest rates. An increase in market interest rates, which are currently low compared to historical levels, may lead prospective purchasers of our common shares to expect a higher distribution yield, which we may not be able, or may choose not, to provide. Higher interest rates would also likely increase our borrowing costs and decrease our operating results and cash available for distribution. Thus, higher market interest rates could cause the market price of our common shares to decline.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Item 2. Properties

Our Hotels

The following table provides a comprehensive list of our hotels as of the date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The table includes key metrics such as each hotel's brand, franchise affiliation, service level and geographic region:

Hotel	State	Region	Year Opened(1)	Rooms	Franchise Parent company	Brand	Service Level
Courtyard Chicago Downtown/Magnificent Mile	IL	Midwest	2003	306	Marriott	Courtyard	Focused Service
Courtyard Austin Downtown/Convention Center(2)	TX	South	2006	270	Marriott	Courtyard	Focused Service
Courtyard Austin-University Area	TX	South	1987	198	Marriott	Courtyard	Focused Service
Courtyard Houston by The Galleria	TX	South	2007	190	Marriott	Courtyard	Focused Service
Courtyard Atlanta Buckhead	GA	South	1996	181	Marriott	Courtyard	Focused Service
Courtyard Chicago Midway Airport	IL	Midwest	1997	174	Marriott	Courtyard	Focused Service
Courtyard Chicago Schaumburg	IL	Midwest	2005	162	Marriott	Courtyard	Focused Service
Courtyard Boulder Louisville	CO	West	1996	154	Marriott	Courtyard	Focused Service
Courtyard Salt Lake City Airport	UT	West	1999	154	Marriott	Courtyard	Focused Service
Courtyard Austin Airport	TX	South	2006	150	Marriott	Courtyard	Focused Service
Courtyard Fort Wayne	IN	Midwest	1989	142	Marriott	Courtyard	Focused Service
Courtyard Grand Junction	CO	West	2007	136	Marriott	Courtyard	Focused Service
Courtyard Fort Lauderdale SW/Miramar	FL	South	2006	128	Marriott	Courtyard	Focused Service
Courtyard Indianapolis at the Capitol	IN	Midwest	1997	124	Marriott	Courtyard	Focused Service
Courtyard Louisville Northeast	KY	South	2004	114	Marriott	Courtyard	Focused Service
Courtyard Merrillville	IN	Midwest	1987	112	Marriott	Courtyard	Focused Service
Courtyard Houston Sugar Land	TX	South	1997	112	Marriott	Courtyard	Focused Service
Courtyard Valparaiso	IN	Midwest	1985	111	Marriott	Courtyard	Focused Service
Courtyard Austin South	TX	South	1996	110	Marriott	Courtyard	Focused Service
Courtyard Denver West/Golden	CO	West	2000	110	Marriott	Courtyard	Focused Service
Courtyard Detroit Pontiac/Bloomfield	MI	Midwest	1998	110	Marriott	Courtyard	Focused Service
Courtyard Austin Northwest/Arboretum	TX	South	1996	102	Marriott	Courtyard	Focused Service
Courtyard Dallas Mesquite	TX	South	1998	101	Marriott	Courtyard	Focused Service
Courtyard Benton Harbor St. Joseph	MI	Midwest	1988	98	Marriott	Courtyard	Focused Service
Courtyard Goshen	IN	Midwest	1989	91	Marriott	Courtyard	Focused Service
Courtyard Tampa Brandon	FL	South	1997	90	Marriott	Courtyard	Focused Service
Courtyard Denver Southwest/Lakewood	CO	West	1999	90	Marriott	Courtyard	Focused Service

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Hotel	State	Region	Year Opened(1)	Rooms	Franchise Parent company	Brand	Service Level
Courtyard Chicago Southeast/Hammond, IN	IN	Midwest	1997	85	Marriott	Courtyard	Focused Service
Courtyard Grand Rapids Airport	MI	Midwest	1997	84	Marriott	Courtyard	Focused Service
Courtyard Boulder Longmont	CO	West	2002	78	Marriott	Courtyard	Focused Service
Courtyard South Bend Mishawaka	IN	Midwest	1995	78	Marriott	Courtyard	Focused Service
Courtyard San Antonio Airport/North Star Mall	TX	South	1996	78	Marriott	Courtyard	Focused Service
Courtyard Charleston Historic District(2)	SC	South	1961	176	Marriott	Courtyard	Focused Service
Fairfield Inn & Suites Washington, DC/Downtown	DC	South	1986	198	Marriott	Fairfield Inn & Suites	Focused Service
Fairfield Inn & Suites Denver Cherry Creek	CO	West	1986	134	Marriott	Fairfield Inn & Suites	Focused Service
Fairfield Inn & Suites San Antonio Airport/North Star Mall	TX	South	1996	120	Marriott	Fairfield Inn & Suites	Focused Service
Fairfield Inn & Suites Chicago Midway Airport	IL	Midwest	1997	114	Marriott	Fairfield Inn & Suites	Focused Service
Fairfield Inn & Suites Merrillville	IN	Midwest	1990	112	Marriott	Fairfield Inn & Suites	Focused Service
Fairfield Inn & Suites San Antonio Downtown/Market Square	TX	South	1995	110	Marriott	Fairfield Inn & Suites	Focused Service
Fairfield Inn & Suites Tampa Brandon	FL	South	1997	107	Marriott	Fairfield Inn & Suites	Focused Service
Fairfield Inn & Suites Key West	FL	South	1986	106	Marriott	Fairfield Inn & Suites	Focused Service
Fairfield Inn & Suites Chicago Southeast/Hammond, IN	IN	Midwest	1997	94	Marriott	Fairfield Inn & Suites	Focused Service
Fairfield Inn & Suites Indianapolis Airport	IN	Midwest	1994	86	Marriott	Fairfield Inn & Suites	Focused Service
Fairfield Inn & Suites Austin South	TX	South	1995	63	Marriott	Fairfield Inn & Suites	Focused Service
Fairfield Inn & Suites Austin-University Area	TX	South	1995	63	Marriott	Fairfield Inn & Suites	Focused Service
Fairfield Inn & Suites Memphis	TN	South	1995	63	Marriott	Fairfield Inn & Suites	Focused Service
Fairfield Inn & Suites Valparaiso	IN	Midwest	1996	63	Marriott	Fairfield Inn & Suites	Focused Service
Louisville Marriott Downtown(2)	KY	South	2005	616	Marriott	Marriott	Full Service
Auburn Hills Marriott Pontiac at Centerpoint	MI	Midwest	2000	290	Marriott	Marriott	Compact Full Service
Denver Marriott South at Park Meadows	CO	West	2003	279	Marriott	Marriott	Compact Full Service
Denver Airport Marriott at Gateway Park	CO	West	1998	238	Marriott	Marriott	Compact Full Service
Austin Marriott South	TX	South	2001	211	Marriott	Marriott	Compact Full Service
Chicago Marriott Midway	IL	Midwest	2002	200	Marriott	Marriott	Compact Full Service
Renaissance Pittsburgh Hotel	PA	Northeast	2001	300	Marriott	Renaissance	Compact Full Service

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Hotel	State	Region	Year Opened(1)	Rooms	Franchise Parent company	Brand	Service Level
Renaissance Fort Lauderdale-Plantation Hotel	FL	South	2002	250	Marriott	Renaissance	Compact Full Service
Renaissance Boulder Flatiron Hotel	CO	West	2002	232	Marriott	Renaissance	Compact Full Service
Residence Inn Austin Downtown/Convention Center(2)	TX	South	2006	179	Marriott	Residence Inn	Focused Service
Residence Inn National Harbor Washington, DC	MD	South	2008	162	Marriott	Residence Inn	Focused Service
Residence Inn Chicago Oak Brook(2)	IL	Midwest	2003	156	Marriott	Residence Inn	Focused Service
Residence Inn Houston by The Galleria	TX	South	1994	146	Marriott	Residence Inn	Focused Service
Residence Inn Louisville Downtown	KY	South	2005	140	Marriott	Residence Inn	Focused Service
Residence Inn Fort Lauderdale Plantation	FL	South	1996	138	Marriott	Residence Inn	Focused Service
Residence Inn Indianapolis Downtown on the Canal	IN	Midwest	1997	134	Marriott	Residence Inn	Focused Service
Residence Inn Chicago Naperville/Warrenville	IL	Midwest	2003	130	Marriott	Residence Inn	Focused Service
Residence Inn Fort Lauderdale SW/Miramar	FL	South	2006	130	Marriott	Residence Inn	Focused Service
Residence Inn Silver Spring	MD	South	2005	130	Marriott	Residence Inn	Focused Service
Residence Inn Chicago Schaumburg	IL	Midwest	2001	125	Marriott	Residence Inn	Focused Service
Residence Inn Indianapolis Carmel	IN	Midwest	2002	120	Marriott	Residence Inn	Focused Service
Residence Inn Detroit Pontiac/Auburn Hills	MI	Midwest	1998	114	Marriott	Residence Inn	Focused Service
Residence Inn Columbia	MD	South	1998	108	Marriott	Residence Inn	Focused Service
Residence Inn Detroit Novi	MI	Midwest	2003	107	Marriott	Residence Inn	Focused Service
Residence Inn Grand Junction	CO	West	2007	104	Marriott	Residence Inn	Focused Service
Residence Inn Salt Lake City Airport	UT	West	1999	104	Marriott	Residence Inn	Focused Service
Residence Inn Denver Southwest/Lakewood	CO	West	1998	102	Marriott	Residence Inn	Focused Service
Residence Inn Louisville Northeast	KY	South	2000	102	Marriott	Residence Inn	Focused Service
Residence Inn Austin Round Rock	TX	South	1999	96	Marriott	Residence Inn	Focused Service
Residence Inn Indianapolis Airport	IN	Midwest	1994	95	Marriott	Residence Inn	Focused Service
Residence Inn San Antonio Downtown/Market Square	TX	South	1995	95	Marriott	Residence Inn	Focused Service
Residence Inn Austin North/Parmer Lane	TX	South	2000	88	Marriott	Residence Inn	Focused Service
Residence Inn Denver West/Golden	CO	West	2000	88	Marriott	Residence Inn	Focused Service

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Hotel	State	Region	Year Opened(1)	Rooms	Franchise Parent company	Brand	Service Level
Residence Inn Boulder Louisville	CO	West	2000	88	Marriott	Residence Inn	Focused Service
Residence Inn Austin Northwest/Arboretum	TX	South	1996	84	Marriott	Residence Inn	Focused Service
Residence Inn Boulder Longmont	CO	West	2002	84	Marriott	Residence Inn	Focused Service
Residence Inn South Bend	IN	Midwest	1988	80	Marriott	Residence Inn	Focused Service
Residence Inn Indianapolis Fishers	IN	Midwest	1996	78	Marriott	Residence Inn	Focused Service
Residence Inn Chicago Southeast/Hammond, IN	IN	Midwest	1998	78	Marriott	Residence Inn	Focused Service
Residence Inn Merrillville	IN	Midwest	1996	78	Marriott	Residence Inn	Focused Service
Residence Inn Houston Sugar Land	TX	South	1997	78	Marriott	Residence Inn	Focused Service
Residence Inn Austin South	TX	South	1996	66	Marriott	Residence Inn	Focused Service
SpringHill Suites Denver North/Westminster	CO	West	2002	164	Marriott	SpringHill Suites	Focused Service
SpringHill Suites Austin South	TX	South	2000	152	Marriott	SpringHill Suites	Focused Service
SpringHill Suites Louisville Hurstbourne/North	KY	South	2001	142	Marriott	SpringHill Suites	Focused Service
SpringHill Suites Austin North/Parmer Lane	TX	South	2002	132	Marriott	SpringHill Suites	Focused Service
SpringHill Suites Chicago Schaumburg	IL	Midwest	2001	132	Marriott	SpringHill Suites	Focused Service
SpringHill Suites Indianapolis Carmel	IN	Midwest	2002	126	Marriott	SpringHill Suites	Focused Service
SpringHill Suites Gainesville	FL	South	2007	126	Marriott	SpringHill Suites	Focused Service
SpringHill Suites Bakersfield	CA	West	2007	119	Marriott	SpringHill Suites	Focused Service
SpringHill Suites Boulder Longmont	CO	West	2005	90	Marriott	SpringHill Suites	Focused Service
SpringHill Suites South Bend Mishawaka	IN	Midwest	2001	87	Marriott	SpringHill Suites	Focused Service
SpringHill Suites Detroit Southfield	MI	Midwest	2003	84	Marriott	SpringHill Suites	Focused Service
Doubletree Metropolitan Hotel New York City(3)	NY	Northeast	1962	759	Hilton	Doubletree	Compact Full Service
Doubletree Hotel Columbia	MD	South	1982	152	Hilton	Doubletree	Compact Full Service
Embassy Suites Tampa Downtown Convention Center	FL	South	2006	360	Hilton	Embassy Suites	Compact Full Service
Embassy Suites Columbus	OH	Midwest	1984	221	Hilton	Embassy Suites	Compact Full Service
Embassy Suites Los Angeles Downey	CA	West	1985	219	Hilton	Embassy Suites	Compact Full Service
Embassy Suites Fort Myers Estero	FL	South	2006	150	Hilton	Embassy Suites	Compact Full Service
Embassy Suites West Palm Beach Central	FL	South	1983	194	Hilton	Embassy Suites	Compact Full Service
Hampton Inn Houston-Near the Galleria	TX	South	1995	176	Hilton	Hampton Inn	Focused Service

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Hotel	State	Region	Year Opened(1)	Rooms	Franchise Parent company	Brand	Service Level
Hampton Inn Chicago-Midway Airport	IL	Midwest	1990	170	Hilton	Hampton Inn	Focused Service
Hampton Inn Garden City(2)	NY	Northeast	2006	143	Hilton	Hampton Inn	Focused Service
Hampton Inn West Palm Beach Central Airport	FL	South	2004	105	Hilton	Hampton Inn	Focused Service
Hampton Inn Ft. Walton Beach	FL	South	2000	100	Hilton	Hampton Inn	Focused Service
Hampton Inn Merrillville	IN	Midwest	1995	64	Hilton	Hampton Inn	Focused Service
Hampton Inn & Suites Clearwater/St. Petersburg-Ulmerton Road, FL	FL	South	2007	128	Hilton	Hampton Inn & Suites	Focused Service
Hampton Inn & Suites Denver Tech Center	CO	West	1999	123	Hilton	Hampton Inn & Suites	Focused Service
Hampton Inn & Suites Las Vegas-Red Rock/Summerlin	NV	West	2007	106	Hilton	Hampton Inn & Suites	Focused Service
Hilton New York/Fashion District	NY	Northeast	2010	280	Hilton	Hilton	Compact Full Service
Hilton Mystic	CT	Northeast	1986	182	Hilton	Hilton	Compact Full Service
Hilton Garden Inn New York/West 35th Street	NY	Northeast	2009	298	Hilton	Hilton Garden Inn	Focused Service
Hilton Garden Inn New Orleans Convention Center	LA	South	2000	286	Hilton	Hilton Garden Inn	Focused Service
Hilton Garden Inn Chicago/Midway Airport	IL	Midwest	2005	174	Hilton	Hilton Garden Inn	Focused Service
Hilton Garden Inn Bloomington(2)	IN	Midwest	2006	168	Hilton	Hilton Garden Inn	Focused Service
Hilton Garden Inn St. George	UT	West	2005	150	Hilton	Hilton Garden Inn	Focused Service
Hilton Garden Inn West Palm Beach Airport	FL	South	2007	100	Hilton	Hilton Garden Inn	Focused Service
Hilton Garden Inn Pittsburgh University Place	PA	Northeast	1970	202	Hilton	Hilton Garden Inn	Focused Service
Hilton Garden Inn Raleigh-Durham/Research Triangle Park	NC	South	1989	177	Hilton	Hilton Garden Inn	Focused Service
Homewood Suites by Hilton Washington	DC	South	2001	175	Hilton	Homewood Suites	Focused Service
Homewood Suites by Hilton Tampa-Brandon	FL	South	2006	126	Hilton	Homewood Suites	Focused Service
Hyatt House Dallas/Lincoln Park(5)	TX	South	2000	155	Hyatt	Hyatt House	Focused Service
Hyatt House Houston/Galleria(5)	TX	South	2000	147	Hyatt	Hyatt House	Focused Service
Hyatt House Dallas/Uptown(5)	TX	South	2000	141	Hyatt	Hyatt House	Focused Service
Hyatt House Austin/Arboretum(5)	TX	South	1999	130	Hyatt	Hyatt House	Focused Service
Hyatt House Dallas/Richardson(5)	TX	South	1997	130	Hyatt	Hyatt House	Focused Service
Hyatt House Colorado Springs(5)	CO	West	2000	125	Hyatt	Hyatt House	Focused Service

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Hotel	State	Region	Year Opened(1)	Rooms	Franchise Parent company	Brand	Service Level
Holiday Inn Austin-NW Plaza/Arboretum Area	TX	South	1984	194	InterContinental	Holiday Inn	Compact Full Service
Holiday Inn Grand Rapids Airport	MI	Midwest	2003	148	InterContinental	Holiday Inn	Compact Full Service
Holiday Inn Express Chicago Midway Airport	IL	Midwest	1999	104	InterContinental	Express	Focused Service
Holiday Inn Express Merrillville	IN	Midwest	1995	62	InterContinental	Express	Focused Service
Hollywood Heights Hotel	CA	West	1975	160	Independent	Independent	Focused Service
Garden District Hotel(4)	LA	South	1955	132	Independent	Independent	Focused Service
Sleep Inn Midway Airport	IL	Midwest	1995	121	Choice Hotels	Sleep Inn	Focused Service

- (1) Represents the year that each hotel was initially constructed and opened.
- (2) This hotel is subject to a ground lease.
- (3) This hotel is owned through a joint venture in which we own a 95% economic interest. We are the managing member of this joint venture and control all material decisions related to this hotel. Our joint venture partner is affiliated with the hotel's property manager.
- (4) This hotel is currently closed and under renovation. It is currently scheduled to re-open in the second half of 2012.
- (5) During the first quarter of 2012, Hyatt Summerfield Suites changed the brand name to Hyatt House

Our Hotel Management Agreements

In order to qualify as a REIT, we cannot directly or indirectly operate any of our hotels. We lease our hotels to TRS lessees, which in turn engage property managers to manage our hotels. Each of our hotels is operated pursuant to a hotel management agreement with one of 15 independent hotel management companies. Each hotel management company receives a base management fee and is also eligible to receive an incentive management fee upon the achievement of certain financial benchmarks set forth in each applicable management agreement. The incentive management fee is generally calculated as a percentage of hotel operating profit after we have received a priority return on our investment in the hotel. WLS, a fully-integrated owner, developer and manager of premium-brand hotels, is the management company for 104 of our hotels and the remaining hotels are managed by 14 other hotel management companies located in the United States. Below is a summary of the principal terms of the hotel management agreements with WLS and a general overview of our non-WLS hotel management agreements.

WLS Hotel Management Agreements

Our TRS lessees, as lessees of the respective hotels, have entered into hotel management agreements with WLS for 104 of our hotels. This summary is qualified in its entirety by reference to the form of the WLS hotel management agreement filed as an exhibit to this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Term

Ninety-nine of our WLS hotel management agreements contain initial terms of 20 years (with an average remaining term of approximately 15 years) and are subject to two automatic renewal terms of 10 years each, while the remaining five WLS hotel management agreements contain initial terms of 10 years (with an average remaining term of approximately seven years) and are subject to two automatic renewal terms of five years each.

Table of Contents

Amounts Payable under our WLS Hotel Management Agreements

Under the WLS hotel management agreements, WLS receives a base management fee and, if certain financial thresholds are met or exceeded, an incentive management fee. The base management fee ranges between 3.0% and 3.5% of gross hotel revenues for the applicable hotel. Gross hotel revenue is calculated as all hotel revenue before subtracting expenses. The incentive management fee, which is calculated on a per hotel basis, is 15% of operating profit (as defined in the applicable management agreements) remaining after we receive an annual payment equal to 11% of our total capital investment, including debt, in the applicable hotels. We also pay certain computer support and accounting service fees to WLS, as reflected in each hotel management agreement.

Termination Events

Performance Termination. We have structured our WLS management agreements to align our interests with those of WLS by providing us with a right to terminate a WLS management agreement if WLS fails to achieve certain criteria relating to the performance of a hotel under WLS management, as measured with respect to any two consecutive fiscal years. We may initiate a performance termination if, during any two consecutive year period, (1) an independent hotel consulting expert, agreed to by both WLS and us, determines that the operating profit of the affected hotel is less than the operating profit of comparable hotels as determined by the independent hotel consulting expert, and (2) the RevPAR penetration index fails to exceed a specified RevPAR penetration index threshold, as set forth in the applicable management agreement. WLS has the right, which can be exercised no more than three times per hotel, to avoid a performance termination by paying an amount equal to the amount that the operating profit fell below the annual operating budgets for the relevant performance termination period, as reflected in each WLS management agreement, or by agreeing to offset the operating budget difference against future management fees due to WLS.

Early Termination for Casualty/Condemnation or Cause. Subject to certain qualifications and applicable cure periods, the hotel management agreements are generally terminable by either party upon material casualty or condemnation of the hotel or the occurrence of certain customary events of default, including, among others: the bankruptcy or insolvency of either party; the failure of either party to make a payment when due, and failure to cure such non-payment after due notice; failure by us to provide WLS with sufficient working capital to operate the hotel after due notice; breach by either party of covenants or obligations under a WLS hotel management agreement; and failure by us to complete work approved or required under the terms of the hotel's franchise agreement and the applicable WLS management agreement.

If an event of default occurs and continues beyond the grace period set forth in the WLS hotel management agreement, the non-defaulting party generally has, among other remedies, the option of terminating the applicable hotel management agreement, upon at least 30 days' written notice to the other party.

Early Termination by WLS Liquidated Damages. In the event that WLS elects to terminate a WLS hotel management agreement due to an event of default by us, WLS may elect to recover a termination fee, as liquidated damages, equal to 2.5 times the actual base management fee and incentive management fee earned by WLS under that hotel management agreement in the fiscal year immediately preceding the fiscal year in which such termination occurred.

Sale of a Hotel

Each WLS hotel management agreement provides that we cannot sell the applicable hotel to any unrelated third party or engage in certain change of control actions (1) if we are in default under the hotel management agreement or (2) with or to a person or entity that is known in the community as being of bad moral character or has been convicted of a felony or is in control of or controlled by

Table of Contents

persons convicted of a felony or would be in violation of any franchise agreement requirements applicable to us. Each WLS hotel management agreement further requires that any future owner of the applicable hotel, at the option of WLS, assume the WLS hotel management agreement or enter into a new WLS hotel management agreement for such hotel.

Other Hotel Management Agreements

As of the date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, 36 of our hotels are managed by 14 hotel management companies other than WLS. Each of these hotels is subject to a hotel management agreement that contains customary terms and conditions that generally are similar to the provisions found in the WLS hotel management agreements described above. The hotel management agreements generally have initial terms that range from one to ten years, and some provide for one or two automatic extension periods ranging from five to ten years. In addition, each hotel management company receives a base management fee ranging from 2.0% to 7% of gross hotel revenues and an incentive management fee ranging from 10% to 25% of available cash flow (or other similar metric) as set forth in the applicable management agreement, calculated on a per hotel basis, generally equal to the operating profit of the hotel after deducting a priority return to us based upon a percentage of our total capital investment in the hotels. Each of the non-WLS hotel management agreements also provides us with a right to terminate such management agreement if the hotel management company fails to reach certain performance targets (as provided in the applicable management agreement) or provides us with a right to terminate the management agreement in our sole and absolute discretion. In addition, certain management agreements give us the right to terminate the management agreement upon the sale of the hotel or for any reason upon payment of a stipulated termination fee. The performance targets vary, but generally provide us with the right to terminate the applicable hotel management agreement if the operating profit of the hotel is less than 90% to 95% of the budget targets set forth pursuant to such management agreement and/or the RevPAR is less than 90% to 115% of comparable hotels. The hotel management agreements are also generally terminable by either party upon material casualty or condemnation of the hotel or the occurrence of certain customary events of default.

Franchise Agreements

As of the date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, 100, 28 and six of our hotels operate under franchise agreements with Marriott, Hilton and Hyatt, respectively. Four of these hotels receive the benefits of a franchise agreement pursuant to management agreements with Marriott. Of the remaining seven hotels that we own as the date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, five hotels operate under existing franchise agreements with brands other than Marriott, Hilton or Hyatt and two hotels are not subject to a franchise agreement. We are in the process of branding or rebranding the two hotels that are not subject to a franchise agreement into brands affiliated with Hilton or InterContinental.

Franchisors provide a variety of benefits to franchisees, including centralized reservation systems, national advertising, marketing programs and publicity designed to increase brand awareness, training of personnel and maintenance of operational quality at hotels across the brand system. The franchise agreements generally specify management, operational, record-keeping, accounting, reporting and marketing standards and procedures with which our TRS lessees, as the franchisees, must comply. The franchise agreements obligate our TRS lessees to comply with the franchisors' standards and requirements, including training of operational personnel, safety, maintaining specified insurance, the types of services and products ancillary to guest room services that may be provided by the TRS lessee, display of signage and the type, quality and age of furniture, fixtures and equipment included in guest rooms, lobbies and other common areas. Each of the existing franchise agreements for our hotels require that we pay a royalty fee of between 3% and 6% of the gross room revenue of the hotels and, for certain full service hotels, on food and beverage revenue. We also must pay marketing, reservation

Table of Contents

or other program fees ranging between 0.4% and 4.3% of gross room revenue. In addition, under certain of our franchise agreements, the franchisor may require that we renovate guest rooms and public facilities from time to time to comply with then-current brand standards.

The franchise agreements also provide for termination at the applicable franchisor's option upon the occurrence of certain events, including failure to pay royalties and fees or to perform other obligations under the franchise license, bankruptcy and abandonment of the franchise or a change in control. The TRS lessee that is the franchisee is responsible for making all payments under the applicable franchise agreement to the franchisor; however we are required to guarantee the obligations under each of the franchise agreements. In addition, many of our existing franchise agreements provide the franchisor with a right of first offer in the event of certain sales or transfers of a hotel and provide that the franchisor has the right to approve any change in the hotel management company engaged to manage the hotel.

TRS Leases

In order for us to qualify as a REIT, neither our company nor any of our subsidiaries, including the operating partnership, may directly or indirectly operate our hotels. Subsidiaries of our operating partnership, as lessors, lease our hotels to our TRS lessees, which, in turn, are parties to the existing hotel management agreements with third-party hotel management companies for each of our hotels. The TRS leases for our hotels contain the provisions described below. We intend that leases with respect to hotels acquired in the future will contain substantially similar provisions to those described below; however, we may, in our discretion, alter any of these provisions with respect to any particular lease.

Lease Terms

Leases have initial terms that range from three to five years and a majority of the leases can be renewed by our TRS lessees for three successive five-year renewal terms unless the lessee is in default at the expiration of the then-current term. In addition, our TRS leases are subject to early termination by us in the event that we sell the hotel to an unaffiliated party, a change in control occurs or applicable provisions of the Code are amended to permit us to operate our hotels. Our leases are also subject to early termination upon the occurrence of certain events of default and/or other contingencies described in the lease.

Amounts Payable under the Leases

During the term of each TRS lease, our TRS lessees are obligated to pay us a fixed annual base rent plus a percentage rent and certain other additional charges that our TRS lessees agree to pay under the terms of the respective TRS lease. Percentage rent is calculated based on revenues generated from guest rooms, food and beverage sales, and certain other sources, including meeting rooms and movie rentals. Base rent is paid to us monthly, any percentage rent is paid to us quarterly, and any additional charges are paid to us when due.

Other than certain capital expenditures for the building and improvements, which are obligations of the lessor, the leases require our TRS lessees to pay rent, all costs and expenses, franchise fees, ground rent (if applicable), property taxes and certain insurance, and all utility and other charges incurred in the operation of the hotels they lease. The leases also provide for rent reductions and abatements in the event of damage to, or destruction or a partial taking of, any hotel.

Maintenance and Modifications

Under each TRS lease, the TRS lessee may, at its expense, make additions, modifications or improvements to the hotel that it deems desirable and that we approve. In addition, our TRS lessees

Table of Contents

are required, at their expense, to maintain the hotels in good order and repair, except for ordinary wear and tear, and to make repairs that may be necessary and appropriate to keep the hotel in good order and repair. Under the TRS lease, we are responsible for maintaining, at our cost, any underground utilities or structural elements, including exterior walls and the roof of the hotel (excluding, among other things, windows and mechanical, electrical and plumbing systems). Each TRS lessee, when and as required to meet the standards of the applicable hotel management agreement, any applicable hotel franchise agreement or to satisfy the requirements of any lender, must establish an FF&E reserve in an amount equal to up to 5% of room revenue for the purpose of periodically repairing, replacing or refurbishing furnishings and equipment.

Events of Default

Events of default under each of the leases include, among others: the failure by a TRS lessee to pay rent when due; the breach by a TRS lessee of a covenant, condition or term under the lease, subject to the applicable cure period; the bankruptcy or insolvency of a TRS lessee; cessation of operations by a TRS lessee on the leased hotel for more than 30 days, except as a result of damage, destruction, or a partial or complete condemnation; or the default by a TRS lessee under a franchise agreement subject to any applicable cure period.

Termination of Leases on Disposition of the Hotels or Change of Control

In the event that we sell a hotel to a non-affiliate or a change of control occurs, we generally have the right to terminate the lease by paying the applicable TRS lessee a termination fee to be governed by the terms and conditions of the lease.

Ground Leases

As of the date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, seven of our hotels are subject to ground leases that cover the land underlying the respective hotels:

The Residence Inn Chicago Oak Brook is subject to a ground lease with an initial term that expires on March 6, 2100. During the initial term of the ground lease, the total rent is \$1.56 million, which was paid in a lump sum upon commencement of the ground lease in 2001. After the initial term, we may extend the ground lease for an additional renewal term of 99 years for \$1. Under certain circumstances set forth in the ground lease, we have the option to acquire the land underlying the Residence Inn Chicago Oak Brook.

The Courtyard Austin Downtown/Convention Center and the Residence Inn Austin Downtown/Convention Center, which are situated on the same parcel of land, are subject to a single ground lease with a term that expires on November 14, 2100. In addition to an aggregate base annual rent of \$0.4 million, we must pay annual percentage rent in the amount by which 3.25% of the total amount of rents for all guest rooms, meeting rooms or conference room exceeds total annual base rent. Under certain circumstances set forth in the ground lease, we will need to obtain the consent of the ground lessor prior to transferring our interest in the ground lease.

The Hilton Garden Inn Bloomington is subject to a ground lease with an initial term that expires on January 30, 2053. During the initial term of the ground lease, the total rent is \$490, payable in 10 equal annual installments of \$49 each, commencing on December 2, 2024. After expiration of the initial term, the ground lease will be automatically extended for five successive 10-year renewal terms unless we give notice of non-renewal or there is an uncured event of default (as defined in the ground lease) at the expiration of the then-current term. Under certain circumstances set forth in the ground lease, we will need to obtain the consent of the ground lessor prior to transferring our interest in the ground lease. The Hilton Garden Inn Bloomington is also subject to an agreement to lease parking spaces with an initial term

Table of Contents

extending out to 2033. The agreement to lease parking spaces may be extended if certain events occur. The agreement provides for a monthly rental payment based on city ordinance rates (at December 31, 2011 the rate was approximately \$2 per month) and the number of parking spaces reserved for the exclusive use of the hotel, plus amounts based on actual usage in excess of the reserved spaces.

The Louisville Marriott Downtown is subject to a ground lease with an initial term that expires on June 25, 2053. The annual rent for the initial term of the ground lease is \$1 plus a profits participation payment equal to 25% of the amount that net income during any year during the lease term exceeds a specified investment return as calculated based on the terms of the ground lease. After expiration of the initial term, the ground lease will be automatically extended for four successive 25-year terms unless we give notice of non-renewal or there is an uncured event of default (as defined in the ground lease) at the expiration of the then-current term. Under certain circumstances set forth in the ground lease, we will need to obtain the consent of the ground lessor prior to transferring our interest in the ground lease.

The Hampton Inn Garden City is subject to a sublease of a ground lease with a term that expires on December 31, 2016. The sublease is associated with an agreement for payment in lieu of taxes and will revert to fee simple ownership at the end of the ground lease. The annual rent for the term of the sublease is \$1. In addition, an annual compliance fee of \$1,000 is required under the terms of the ground lease. Under certain circumstances set forth in the sub-sublease, we will need to obtain the consent of the ground sub-lessor prior to transferring our interest in the sub-sublease.

A portion of the site of the Courtyard Charleston Historic District, South Carolina is subject to a ground lease with a term that expires on October 1, 2096. The current annual base rent of \$0.8 million continues until 2021, after which the annual base rent increases periodically during the term of the ground lease to a maximum of \$1.0 million. In addition to base rent, we are required to pay, as percentage rent, 1.5% of gross quarterly collected room revenue (from no more than 126 rooms per night). We are also responsible for paying all taxes, utilities and other costs associated with the ownership and operation of the property. All FF&E is deemed to be part of the leased premises. The ground lessor has a security interest in FF&E, which will become the property of the ground lessor upon termination or expiration of ground lease. We and the ground lessor each have the right to match any offer to purchase the hotel should the other party desire to sell their interest in the property. We are required to obtain the ground lessor's consent (which may not be unreasonably withheld) in connection with any change in the hotel management company.

The foregoing ground leases and ground subleases generally require us to pay all charges, costs, expenses, assessments and liabilities relating to ownership and operation of the properties, including real property taxes and utilities, and to obtain and maintain insurance covering the subject property.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

The nature of the operations of our hotels exposes our hotels, the Company and the operating partnership to the risk of claims and litigation in the normal course of business. Other than routine litigation arising out of the ordinary course of business, the Company is not presently subject to any material litigation nor, to the Company's knowledge, is any material litigation threatened against the Company.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

Table of Contents**PART II****Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities**

Our common shares are traded on the New York Stock Exchange, or the NYSE, under the symbol "RLJ." Our common shares were initially offered to the public on May 16, 2011 at a price of \$18.00 per share. Below is a summary of the high and low prices of our common shares for each quarterly period since the date of our initial public offering, or the IPO, as reported on the NYSE and distributions paid by us with respect to each quarterly period.

2011	High	Low	Distribution
May 16, 2011 - June 30, 2011	\$ 18.38	\$ 16.65	\$ 0.08
July 1, 2011 - September 30, 2011	\$ 17.89	\$ 11.70	\$ 0.15
October 1, 2011 - December 31, 2011	\$ 17.35	\$ 11.66	\$ 0.15

On December 30, 2011 and March 2, 2012, the closing price of our common shares as reported on the NYSE was \$16.83 and \$17.41, respectively.

Table of Contents

Share Return Performance

The following graph compares the eight-month cumulative total shareholder return on our common shares against the cumulative total returns of the Standard & Poor's Corporation Composite 500 Index and the Morgan Stanley Capital International Index, or the "MSCI US REIT Index. The eight months presented represent the eight-month period beginning with the initial listing of our common shares of beneficial interest on the NYSE on May 11, 2011 and ending on December 31, 2011. The graph assumes an initial investment of \$100 in our common shares and in each of the indexes, and also assumes the reinvestment of dividends.

Name	Initial Investment at May 11, 2011	Value of Initial Investment at December 31, 2011
RLJ Lodging Trust	\$ 100.00	\$ 97.64
S&P 500 Index	\$ 100.00	\$ 95.05
MSCI US REIT Index	\$ 100.00	\$ 97.87

This performance graph shall not be deemed "filed" for the purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or incorporated by reference into any filing by us under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Exchange Act except as shall be expressly set forth by specific reference in such filing.

Shareholder Information

At March 2, 2012, we had approximately 117 holders of record of our common shares. However, because many of our common shares are held by brokers and other institutions on behalf of shareholders, we believe there are substantially more beneficial holders of our common shares than record holders. At March 2, 2012, there were four holders (other than our company) of our OP units. Our OP units are redeemable for cash or, at our election, for our common shares.

In order to comply with certain requirements related to our qualification as a REIT, our declaration of trust provides that, subject to certain exceptions, no person or entity (other than a person or entity who has been granted an exception) may directly or indirectly, beneficially or

Table of Contents

constructively, own more than 9.8% of the aggregate of our outstanding common shares, by value or by number of shares, whichever is more restrictive, or 9.8% of the aggregate of the outstanding preferred shares of any class or series, by value or by number of shares, whichever is more restrictive.

Distribution Information

We intend over time to make regular quarterly distributions to our common shareholders. In order to qualify and maintain our qualification for taxation as a REIT, we intend to make annual distributions to our shareholders of at least 90% of our taxable income, determined without regard to the deduction for dividends paid and excluding any net capital gains.

Any future distributions will be at the sole discretion of our board of trustees, and their form, timing and amount, if any, will depend upon a number of factors, including our actual and projected financial condition, liquidity, EBITDA, FFO and results of operations, the revenue we actually receive from our properties, our operating expenses, our debt service requirements, our capital expenditures, prohibitions and other limitations under our financing arrangements, our REIT taxable income, the annual REIT distribution requirements, applicable law and such other factors as our board of trustees deems relevant. To the extent that our cash available for distribution is less than 90% of our REIT taxable income, we may consider various means to cover any such shortfall, including borrowing under our revolving credit facility or other loans, selling certain of our assets or using a portion of the net proceeds we receive from offerings of equity, equity-related or debt securities or declaring taxable share dividends.

Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities

In connection with our formation and initial capitalization, on January 31, 2011, we issued 500 common shares to our Executive Chairman, Robert L. Johnson, and 500 common shares to our President and Chief Executive Officer, Thomas J. Baltimore, Jr., for an aggregate purchase price of \$1,000. These shares were issued in reliance on the exemption set forth in Section 4(2) of the Securities Act. On August 19, 2011, we repurchased these shares from Messrs. Johnson and Baltimore for a total of \$1,000.

In addition, on May 16, 2011, in connection with our formation transactions, an aggregate of 73,605,951 common shares and 894,000 OP units, with an aggregate value of approximately \$1.3 billion (based on the initial public offering price of \$18.00 per share) were issued to certain persons transferring interests and other assets to us in consideration of the transfer of such interests and assets. All of such persons were "accredited investors" as defined under Regulation D of the Securities Act. The issuance of such shares was effected in reliance upon exemptions from registration provided by Section 4(2) of the Securities Act and pursuant to Rule 506 of Regulation D of the Securities Act.

Use of Proceeds from Registered Securities

Our registration statement on Form S-11, as amended (Registration No. 333-172011) with respect to the IPO, registered up to \$495.0 million of our common shares, par value \$0.01 per share, and was declared effective by the SEC on May 10, 2011. We sold a total of 27,500,000 common shares in the IPO for gross proceeds of \$495.0 million. The IPO was completed on May 16, 2011.

The proceeds to us of the IPO were approximately \$464.1 million, net of the underwriters' discount of approximately \$30.9 million. The net proceeds of the IPO were contributed to our operating partnership in exchange for 99.1% of the OP units in our operating partnership. We used all of the net proceeds from the IPO and cash on hand to repay approximately \$472.6 million of secured indebtedness.

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

On June 3, 2011, we sold 4,095,000 common shares in connection with the exercise of the underwriters' overallotment option, or the overallotment, for gross proceeds of approximately \$73.7 million. The proceeds to us from the overallotment were approximately \$69.1 million, net of the underwriters' discount of approximately \$4.6 million. We hold the net proceeds from the overallotment as cash, to be used for working capital purposes or to invest in short-term, interest-bearing, investment-grade securities, and money market accounts that are consistent with our intention to qualify as a REIT.

All of the foregoing underwriting discounts and expenses were direct or indirect payments to persons other than: (i) our trustees, officers or any of their associates; (ii) persons owning ten percent (10%) or more of our common shares; or (iii) our affiliates.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

During the quarter ended December 31, 2011, certain of our employees surrendered common shares owned by them to satisfy their statutory minimum federal income tax obligations associated with the vesting of restricted common shares of beneficial interest issued under our 2011 Equity Incentive Plan.

The following table summarizes all of these repurchases during the fourth quarter of 2011.

Period	Total number of shares purchased	Average price paid per share	Total number of shares purchased as part of publicly announced plans or programs	Maximum number of shares that may yet be purchased under the plans or programs
October 1, 2011 through October 31, 2011				
November 1, 2011 through November 30, 2011	22,858	15.51(1)	N/A	N/A
December 1, 2011 through December 31, 2011	536	17.21(2)	N/A	N/A
Total during quarter ended December 31, 2011	23,394		N/A	N/A

(1)

The number of shares purchased represents common shares surrendered by certain of our employees to satisfy their statutory minimum federal and state tax obligations associated with the vesting of restricted common shares of beneficial interest issued under our 2011 Equity Incentive Plan. With respect to these shares, the price paid per share is based on the closing price of our common shares as of the date of the determination of the statutory minimum federal income tax.

Share Repurchase Plan

On November 28, 2011, our Board of Trustees authorized a share repurchase plan to acquire up to \$100.0 million of our common shares. Under this plan, we may repurchase our own common shares from time to time, in amounts and prices, as we deem appropriate, all subject to market conditions and other considerations, including available investment alternatives and capital availability. Under the terms of the share repurchase program, repurchases can be made in the open market or in privately negotiated transactions, including from our legacy investors. The program does not obligate us to acquire any specified amount of common shares and, may be modified or suspended at any time at our discretion. As of the date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, we have not elected to repurchase any shares under the share repurchase plan.

Table of Contents

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The following selected historical combined financial information should be read in conjunction with "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and the historical combined consolidated financial statements as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 and for the three years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, and the related notes included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

We completed our IPO, on May 16, 2011. Due to the timing of the IPO, we present herein certain combined consolidated historical financial data for us and our predecessor. Our predecessor was not a legal entity, but rather a combination of the real estate hospitality assets, liabilities and operations of Fund II and Fund III and substantially all of the assets, liabilities and operations of RLJ Development. The historical combined consolidated financial data for our predecessor is not necessarily indicative of our results of operations, cash flows or financial position following the completion of the IPO.

The selected historical combined financial information as of and for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, 2009, 2008 and 2007 has been derived from our audited historical financial statements. Due to the timing of the IPO, the results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, 2008 and 2007 reflect the financial condition and results of operations of our predecessor. The results of

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

operations for the year ended December 31, 2011 reflect the financial condition and results of operations of our predecessor together with our company.

	Year Ended December 31,				
	Historical Combined Consolidated				
	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007
(In thousands, except share and per share data)					
Statement of Operations Data:					
Revenues:					
Room revenue	\$ 656,997	\$ 445,630	\$ 389,622	\$ 438,388	\$ 374,833
Other hotel revenue	101,955	71,036	66,139	79,114	70,335
Total revenue	758,952	516,666	455,761	517,502	445,168
Expenses:					
Room expense	147,039	96,389	84,131	90,475	78,427
Other hotel expense	314,264	215,438	195,268	215,290	185,349
Total hotel operating expense	461,303	311,827	279,399	305,765	263,776
Depreciation and amortization	128,112	96,940	91,503	80,105	56,833
Property tax, insurance and other	46,605	32,500	33,191	32,002	28,686
Impairment loss			61,426	21,472	
General and administrative(1)	24,253	19,542	18,208	18,784	9,780
Transaction, pursuit and organization costs	3,996	14,345	8,665	2,100	500
IPO Costs	10,733				
Total operating expenses	675,002	475,154	492,392	460,228	359,575
Operating income / (loss)	83,950	41,512	(36,631)	57,274	85,593
Interest and other income	2,683	3,981	1,573	2,303	2,950
Interest expense	(96,020)	(86,735)	(87,849)	(88,656)	(74,917)
Income (loss) before provision for income tax (expense)/ benefit	(9,387)	(41,242)	(122,907)	(29,079)	13,626
Income tax (expense) / benefit	(740)	(945)	(1,801)	945	(1,317)
Net income (loss) from continuing operations	(10,127)	(42,187)	(124,708)	(28,134)	12,309
Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests	(302)	213			
Distributions to preferred shareholders	(61)	(62)	(62)	(61)	(31)
Net income (loss) from continuing operations available to shareholders / owners	\$ (10,490)	\$ (42,036)	\$ (124,770)	\$ (28,195)	\$ 12,278
Balance Sheet Data:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 310,231	\$ 267,454	\$ 151,382	\$ 156,181	\$ 83,897
Investment in hotels, net	2,820,457	2,626,690	1,877,583	1,905,653	1,801,189
Total assets	3,290,018	3,045,824	2,202,865	2,213,108	2,032,470
Total debt	1,341,735	1,747,077	1,598,991	1,448,872	1,340,574
Total other liabilities	114,295	75,014	118,127	143,504	129,677
Total owners' equity	1,833,988	1,223,733	485,747	620,732	562,219
Per Share Data:					
Basic and diluted loss from continuing operations per share(2)	\$ (0.11)				
Weighted average shares outstanding basic and diluted(2)	95,340,666				

(1)

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

The general and administrative expense includes non-cash share compensation expense amortization for restricted share grants of \$3,284 for the year ended December 31, 2011.

(2)

Income (loss) allocated to noncontrolling interest in our operating partnership has been excluded from the numerator, and OP units of our operating partnership have been omitted from the denominator, for the purpose of calculating diluted earnings per share since the effect of including these amounts in the numerator and denominator would have no impact.

Table of Contents

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations and Financial Condition.

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the accompanying consolidated financial statements, related notes included thereto and Item 1.A., "Risk Factors", appearing elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Overview

We are a self-advised and self-administered Maryland real estate investment trust, which invests primarily in premium-branded, focused-service and compact full-service hotels. As of December 31, 2011, we owned 141 hotels in 20 states and the District of Columbia comprising 20,646 rooms. We are one of the largest U.S. publicly-traded lodging REITs in terms of both number of hotels and number of rooms. Our hotels are concentrated in urban and dense suburban markets that we believe generally exhibit multiple demand generators and high barriers to entry.

Our strategy is to invest primarily in premium-branded, focused-service and compact full-service hotels. Focused-service hotels typically generate most of their revenue from room rentals, have limited food and beverage outlets and meeting space and require fewer employees than traditional full-service hotels. We believe premium-branded, focused-service hotels have the potential to generate attractive returns relative to other types of hotels due to their ability to achieve RevPAR levels at or close to those achieved by traditional full-service hotels while achieving higher profit margins due to their more efficient operating model and less volatile cash flows.

We recognize the challenging geopolitical environment and the possibility that the current economic recovery might not be as robust as anticipated or that economic conditions could deteriorate. However, with expected growth in lodging supply expected to be below historical averages for the next few years and corporate profits rising, we currently do not anticipate any significant slowdown in lodging fundamentals. Accordingly, we remain cautiously optimistic that we are in the midst of a multiyear lodging recovery.

Furthermore, we believe that attractive acquisition opportunities that meet our investment profile remain available in the market. We believe our cash on hand and expected access to capital (including availability under our revolving credit facility) along with our senior management team's experience, extensive industry relationships and asset management expertise, will enable us to compete effectively for such acquisitions and enable us to generate additional internal and external growth.

Our Customers

Substantially all of our hotels consist of premium-branded focused-service and compact full-service hotels. As a result of this property profile, the majority of our customers are transient in nature. Transient business typically represents individual business or leisure travelers. The majority of our hotels are located in the business districts and suburban markets of major metropolitan areas. Accordingly, business travelers represent the majority of the transient demand at our hotels. As a result, macroeconomic factors impacting business travel have a greater effect on our business than factors impacting leisure travel.

Group business is typically defined as a minimum of 10 guestrooms booked together as part of the same piece of business. Group business may or may not use the meeting space at any given hotel. Given the limited meeting space at the majority of our hotels, group business represents a smaller component of our customer base.

A number of our hotels are affiliated with brands marketed toward extended-stay customers. Extended-stay customers are generally defined as those staying five nights or longer. Reasons for extended-stays may include, but are not limited to, training and/or special project business, relocation, litigation and insurance claims.

Table of Contents

Our Revenues and Expenses

Our revenue is derived from hotel operations, including the sale of rooms, food and beverage revenue and other operating department revenue, which consist of telephone, parking and other guest services.

Our operating costs and expenses consist of the costs to provide hotel services, including room expense, food and beverage expense, management fees and other hotel expenses. Room expense includes housekeeping, reservation systems, room supplies, laundry services and front desk costs. Food and beverage expense primarily includes food, beverage and associated labor costs. Other hotel expenses include labor and other costs associated with the other operating department revenue, as well as labor and other costs associated with administrative departments, franchise fees, sales and marketing, repairs and maintenance and utility costs. Our hotels are managed by independent, third-party management companies under long-term agreements under which the management companies typically earn base and incentive management fees based on the levels of revenues and profitability of each individual hotel. We generally receive a cash distribution from the hotel management companies on a monthly basis, which reflects hotel-level sales less hotel-level operating expenses.

Key Indicators of Operating Performance

We use a variety of operating and other information to evaluate the operating performance of our business. These key indicators include financial information that is prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, or GAAP, as well as other financial measures that are non-GAAP measures. In addition, we use other information that may not be financial in nature, including industry standard statistical information and comparative data. We use this information to measure the operating performance of our individual hotels, groups of hotels and/or business as a whole. We also use these metrics to evaluate the hotels in our portfolio and potential acquisitions to determine each hotel's contribution to cash flow and its potential to provide attractive long-term total returns. These key indicators include:

Occupancy Occupancy represents the total number of hotel rooms sold in a given period divided by the total number of rooms available. Occupancy measures the utilization of our hotels' available capacity. We use occupancy to measure demand at a specific hotel or group of hotels in a given period. Additionally, occupancy levels help us determine achievable ADR levels.

Average Daily Rate (ADR) ADR represents total hotel room revenues divided by total number of rooms sold in a given period. ADR measures average room price attained by a hotel and ADR trends provide useful information concerning the pricing environment and the nature of the customer base of a hotel or group of hotels. We use ADR to assess the pricing levels that we are able to generate, as changes in rates have a greater impact on operating margins and profitability than changes in occupancy.

Revenue Per Available Room (RevPAR) RevPAR is the product of ADR and occupancy. RevPAR does not include non-room revenues such as food and beverage revenue or other operating department revenues. We use RevPAR to identify trend information with respect to room revenues from comparable properties and to evaluate hotel performance on a regional basis.

RevPAR changes that are primarily driven by changes in occupancy have different implications for overall revenues and profitability than changes that are driven primarily by changes in ADR. For example, an increase in occupancy at a hotel would lead to additional variable operating costs (including housekeeping services, utilities and room supplies) and could also result in increased other operating department revenue and expense. Changes in ADR typically have a greater impact

Table of Contents

on operating margins and profitability as they only have a limited effect on variable operating costs.

Occupancy, ADR and RevPAR are commonly used measures within the lodging industry to evaluate operating performance. RevPAR is an important statistic for monitoring operating performance at the individual hotel level and across our entire business. We evaluate individual hotel RevPAR performance on an absolute basis with comparisons to budget and prior periods, as well as on a regional and company-wide basis. ADR and RevPAR include only room revenue. Room revenue comprised approximately 86.6% of our total revenue for the year ended December 31, 2011 and is dictated by demand (as measured by occupancy), pricing (as measured by ADR) and our available supply of hotel rooms.

Another commonly used measure in the lodging industry is the RevPAR penetration index, which measures a hotel's RevPAR in relation to the average RevPAR of that hotel's competitive set. Like other lodging companies, we use the RevPAR penetration index as an indicator of a hotel's market share in relation to its competitive set. However, the RevPAR penetration index for a particular hotel is not necessarily reflective of that hotel's relative share of any particular lodging market. The RevPAR penetration index for a particular hotel is calculated as the quotient of (1) the subject hotel's RevPAR divided by (2) the average RevPAR of the hotels in the subject hotel's competitive set, multiplied by 100. For example, if a hotel's RevPAR is \$90 and the average RevPAR of the hotels in its competitive set is \$90, the RevPAR penetration index would be 100, which would indicate that the subject hotel is capturing its fair market share in relation to its competitive set (i.e., the hotel's RevPAR is, on average, the same as its competitors). If, however, a hotel's RevPAR is \$110 and the average RevPAR of the hotels in its competitive set is \$90, the RevPAR penetration index of the subject hotel would be 122.2, which would indicate that the subject hotel maintains a RevPAR premium of approximately 22.2% (and, therefore, a market share premium) in relation to its competitive set.

One critical component in this calculation is the determination of a hotel's competitive set, which consists of a small group of hotels in the relevant market that we and the third-party hotel management company that manages the hotel believe are comparable for purposes of benchmarking the performance of such hotel. A hotel's competitive set is mutually agreed upon by us and the hotel's management company. Factors that we consider when establishing a competitive set include geographic proximity, brand affiliations and rate structure, as well as the level of service provided at the hotel. Competitive set determinations are highly subjective, however, and our methodology for determining a hotel's competitive set may differ materially from those used by other hotel owners and/or management companies.

For the year ended December 31, 2011, the portfolio wide RevPAR penetration index of our hotels was 112.6, which indicates that, on average, our hotels maintained a market share premium of approximately 12.6% in relation to their competitive set.

We also use FFO, Adjusted FFO, EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA as non-GAAP measures of the operating performance of our business. See " Non-GAAP Financial Measures."

Principal Factors Affecting Our Results of Operations

The principal factors affecting our operating results include overall demand for hotel rooms compared to the supply of available hotel rooms, and the ability of our third-party management companies to increase or maintain revenues while controlling expenses.

Demand The demand for lodging, especially business travel, generally fluctuates with the overall economy. Historically, periods of declining demand are followed by extended periods of relatively strong demand, which typically occurs during the growth phase of the lodging cycle.

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Supply The development of new hotels is driven largely by construction costs, the availability of financing and expected performance of existing hotels.

We expect that our ADR, occupancy and RevPAR performance will be impacted by macroeconomic factors such as regional and local employment growth, personal income and corporate earnings, office vacancy rates and business relocation decisions, airport and other business and leisure travel, new hotel construction and the pricing strategies of competitors. In addition, our ADR, occupancy and RevPAR performance are dependent on the continued success of the Marriott, Hilton and Hyatt brands.

Revenue Substantially all of our revenue is derived from the operation of hotels. Specifically, our revenue is comprised of:

Room revenue Occupancy and ADR are the major drivers of room revenue. Room revenue accounts for the substantial majority of our total revenue.

Food and beverage revenue Occupancy and the type of customer staying at the hotel are the major drivers of food and beverage revenue (i.e., group business typically generates more food and beverage business through catering functions when compared to transient business, which may or may not utilize the hotel's food and beverage outlets).

Other operating department revenue Occupancy and the nature of the property are the main drivers of other ancillary revenue, such as telephone, parking and other guest services. Some hotels, due to the limited focus of the services offered and size or space limitations, may not have facilities that generate other operating department revenue.

Hotel Operating Expenses The following presents the components of our hotel operating expenses:

Room expense These costs include housekeeping wages and payroll taxes, reservation systems, room supplies, laundry services and front desk costs. Like room revenue, occupancy is the major driver of room expense and, therefore, room expense has a significant correlation to room revenue. These costs can increase based on increases in salaries and wages, as well as the level of service and amenities that are provided.

Food and beverage expense These expenses primarily include food, beverage and labor costs. Occupancy and the type of customer staying at the hotel (i.e., catered functions generally are more profitable than restaurant, bar or other on-property food and beverage outlets) are the major drivers of food and beverage expense, which correlates closely with food and beverage revenue.

Management fees Base management fees are computed as a percentage of gross revenue. Incentive management fees generally are paid when operating profits exceed certain threshold levels. See "Our Principal Agreements - Hotel Management Agreements."

Other hotel expenses These expenses include labor and other costs associated with the other operating department revenues, as well as labor and other costs associated with administrative departments, franchise fees, sales and marketing, repairs and maintenance and utility costs.

Most categories of variable operating expenses, including labor costs such as housekeeping, fluctuate with changes in occupancy. Increases in occupancy are accompanied by increases in most categories of variable operating expenses, while increases in ADR typically only result in increases in limited categories of operating costs and expenses, such as franchise fees, management fees and credit card processing fee expenses which are based on hotel revenues. Thus, changes in ADR have a more significant impact on operating margins than changes in occupancy.

Table of Contents

Results of Operations

At December 31, 2011 and 2010, we owned 141 and 131 hotels respectively (excluding one hotel carried as discontinued operations for the year ended December 31, 2011 and seven hotels carried as discontinued operations for the year ended December 31, 2010). All hotels owned during these periods, excluding discontinued operations, have been included in our results of operations during those respective periods or since their date of acquisition.

Comparison of the Year Ended December 31, 2011 to the Year Ended December 31, 2010

Net loss from continuing operations for the year ended December 31, 2011 was \$10.1 million compared to a net loss from continuing operations of \$42.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2010, representing a decrease of \$32.1 million. This improved performance was primarily due to a \$242.3 million, or 46.9%, increase in total revenue (including \$210.3 million arising from the net impact of acquisitions), partially offset by the net impact of a \$149.5 million, or 47.9%, increase in hotel

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

operating expenses, an increase of \$50.4 million or 30.1% in other operating expenses, an increase in other income of \$0.4 million and an increase in interest expense of \$9.3 million, or 10.7%.

	For the year ended December 31,			
	2011	2010	\$ change	% change
Revenue				
Hotel operating revenue				
Room revenue	\$ 656,997	\$ 445,630	\$ 211,367	47.4%
Food and beverage revenue	81,781	57,710	24,071	41.7%
Other operating department revenue	20,174	13,326	6,848	51.4%
Total revenue	758,952	516,666	242,286	46.9%
Expense				
Hotel operating expense				
Room	147,039	96,389	50,650	52.5%
Food and beverage	56,606	37,798	18,808	49.8%
Management fees	26,056	18,373	7,683	41.8%
Other hotel expenses	231,602	159,267	72,335	45.4%
Total hotel operating expense	461,303	311,827	149,476	47.9%
Depreciation and amortization	128,112	96,940	31,172	32.2%
Property tax, insurance and other	46,605	32,500	14,105	43.4%
General and administrative	24,253	19,542	4,711	24.1%
Transaction and pursuit costs	3,996	14,345	(10,349)	(72.1)%
IPO Costs	10,733		10,733	
Total operating expense	675,002	475,154	199,848	42.1%
Operating income	83,950	41,512	42,438	102.2%
Other income	1,001	629	372	59.1%
Interest income	1,682	3,352	(1,670)	(49.8)%
Interest expense	(96,020)	(86,735)	(9,285)	10.7%
Loss from continuing operations before income taxes	(9,387)	(41,242)	31,855	(77.2)%
Income tax expense	(740)	(945)	205	(21.7)%
Loss from continuing operations	(10,127)	(42,187)	32,060	(76.0)%
Income from discontinued operations	21,836	19,571	2,265	11.6%
Net income (loss)	11,709	(22,616)	34,325	(151.8)%
Net loss (income) attributable to non-controlling interests				
Noncontrolling interest in joint venture	(47)	213	(260)	(122.1)%
Noncontrolling interest in common units of Operating Partnership	(255)		(255)	
Net income (loss) attributable to the Company	11,407	(22,403)	33,810	(150.9)%
Distributions to preferred unitholders	(61)	(62)	1	(1.6)%
Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders	\$ 11,346	\$ (22,465)	\$ 33,811	(150.5)%

Table of Contents

Revenue

Total revenue increased \$242.3 million, or 46.9%, to \$759.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 from \$516.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. The increase was a result of \$210.3 million in revenue attributable to ten hotels acquired in 2011 and fifteen hotels acquired in 2010 and the effects of improving economic conditions as demonstrated by a 7.4% increase in RevPAR for properties held for the entirety of both periods.

The following are the key hotel operating statistics for hotels owned at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, for our ownership period:

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	% Change
Number of hotels (at end of period)	141	131	7.6%
Occupancy %	71.5%	68.0%	5.2%
ADR	\$ 124.50	\$ 110.98	12.2%
RevPAR	\$ 88.99	\$ 75.43	18.0%

Portfolio RevPAR increased to \$88.99 from \$75.43, representing an 18.0% increase. For the 118 properties owned for the entirety of both periods, RevPAR increased 7.4% and was driven by a 3.4% increase in occupancy and a 3.9% increase in ADR. The addition of new hotels to the portfolio drove occupancy up by 1.1% and ADR by \$11.27 for a total RevPAR impact of \$9.35.

Room Revenue Our portfolio consists primarily of premium-branded focused-service and compact full-service hotels that generate the majority of their revenues through room sales. Room revenue increased \$211.4 million, or 47.4%, to \$657.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 from \$445.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. The increase was primarily due to \$130.6 million of room revenue from hotels acquired during the periods. The remaining increase was the result of a 7.4% RevPAR growth at properties owned for the entirety of both periods.

Food and Beverage Revenue Food and beverage revenue increased \$24.1 million, or 41.7%, to \$81.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 from \$57.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. The increase includes \$21.9 million in food and beverage revenue arising from hotels acquired during the periods.

Other Operating Department Revenue Other operating department revenue, which includes revenue derived from ancillary sources, increased \$6.8 million, or 51.4%, to \$20.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 from \$13.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. The increase is the result of \$7.0 million in other operating revenue from hotels acquired during the periods.

Hotel Operating Expense

Hotel operating expense increased \$149.5 million, or 47.9%, to \$461.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 from \$311.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. The increase includes \$131.5 million in hotel operating expense attributed to hotels acquired during the periods. The remaining increase was primarily attributable to variable costs associated with increases in business activity, as reflected by our increase in occupancy of 3.4%.

Depreciation and Amortization

Depreciation expense increased \$31.2 million, or 32.2%, to \$128.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 from \$96.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. The increase reflects a \$26.3 million increase in depreciation and amortization expense attributable to hotels acquired during the period, a \$10.1 million increase in depreciation on building and furniture, fixtures and equipment

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

for property improvement capital expenditures made during 2011, and an increase of \$7.6 million related to the accelerated depreciation of furniture, fixtures and equipment at certain hotels that underwent renovations during the year. The increase was partially offset primarily due to approximately \$124.7 million of furniture, fixtures and equipment that were fully depreciated during 2011.

Property Tax, Insurance and other

Property tax, insurance and other expense increased \$14.1 million, or 43.4%, to \$46.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 from \$32.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. The increase includes \$12.6 million in property tax, insurance and other expense attributable to hotels acquired during the periods. The remaining increase of \$1.5 million is the net impact of increasing property tax assessments offset by favorable resolution of property tax appeals.

General and Administrative

General and administrative expense increased \$4.7 million, or 24.1%, to \$24.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 from \$19.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. The majority of the increase in general and administrative expense is the result of our IPO and is attributable to amortization of restricted share awards of \$3.3 million related to restricted shares issued in 2011, an increase in salaries and associated payroll taxes of \$0.9 million, filing fees and other expenses of being a publicly traded company of \$0.5 million and an increase in professional fees of \$0.4 million. This increase was partially offset by a decrease in legal fees of \$0.6 million related to the settlement and reinstatement of our investment in loans in 2010.

Transaction and Pursuit costs

Transaction and pursuit costs decreased \$10.3 million, or 72.1%, to \$4.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 from \$14.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. There were 24 acquisitions in 2010 and the first quarter of 2011, which resulted in transaction costs of \$13.2 million in 2010. The ten acquisitions in 2011 resulted in transaction costs of \$3.8 million incurred in 2011. Additionally, there was a net decrease of \$1.0 million of costs associated with unsuccessful acquisition efforts during the periods. Costs associated with unsuccessful acquisitions totaled \$0.2 million in 2011 compared to \$1.2 million in 2010.

IPO Costs

Non-recurring IPO and related formation transaction costs totaled \$10.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. Such costs primarily arose as a result of the transfer and assumption of indebtedness and other contractual obligations of our Predecessor in connection with the IPO and our formation transactions. There were no such costs for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Interest Income

Interest income decreased \$1.7 million, or 49.8%, to \$1.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 from \$3.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. This decrease was primarily due to a \$1.4 million decline in interest income recognized for the year ended December 31, 2011 arising from interest on our investment in loans in 2010, including \$0.8 million of default interest.

Interest Expense

Interest expense increased \$9.3 million, or 10.7%, to \$96.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 from \$86.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. Interest expense increased as a result of \$14.9 million in additional interest expense arising from the net impact of debt incurred related to hotel acquisitions during the periods. In addition, interest expense increased year

Table of Contents

over year as a result of an increase in amortization of deferred financing fees of \$1.7 million, interest on the term loan of \$4.5 million, unused fee incurred on the \$300.0 million line of credit of \$0.5 million and \$3.3 million of expenses related to the payoff of variable rate indebtedness. The partially offsetting decrease was primarily due to the expiration of unfavorable interest rate hedges resulting in a decrease in hedge driven interest expense of \$7.9 million and a decrease in interest expense of \$7.7 million due to the payoff of variable rate indebtedness.

Income Tax Expense

Income tax expense decreased \$0.2 million, or 21.7%, to \$0.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 from \$0.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. Tax expense incurred for 2011 was less than 2010 due to the reversal of acquired deferred tax liabilities for which the relevant assets were disposed of in the fourth quarter. As part of our structure, we own TRSs that are subject to federal and state income taxes. The TRSs' 2011 and 2010 income tax expense were calculated using an effective tax rate of 37.4% and 37.8%, respectively.

Income from Discontinued Operations

Net income from discontinued operations increased \$2.3 million to \$21.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 from \$19.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. Net income from discontinued operations for 2011 consists of \$21.8 million in net income, including a \$23.5 million gain on extinguishment of indebtedness, from the New York LaGuardia Airport Marriott. Included in net income from discontinued operations for 2010 is net income of \$19.6 million, including \$23.7 million gain on sale of the six hotels sold in 2010 which was offset by a net loss of \$1.6 million from the six hotels sold in 2010 and a net loss of \$2.5 million from the New York LaGuardia Airport Marriott.

Comparison of the Year Ended December 31, 2010 to the Year Ended December 31, 2009

Net loss from continuing operations for the year ended December 31, 2010 was \$42.2 million compared to a net loss from continuing operations of \$124.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, representing a decrease of \$82.5 million. This improved performance was primarily due to a \$60.9 million, or 13.4%, increase in total revenue (including \$39.9 million arising from the net impact of acquisitions) and a decrease in impairment charges of \$61.4 million, partially offset by a \$32.4 million,

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

or 11.6%, increase in hotel operating expenses and an increase in transaction and pursuit costs of \$5.7 million.

	For the year ended December 31,		\$ change	% change
	2010	2009		
Revenue				
Hotel operating revenue				
Room revenue	\$ 445,630	\$ 389,622	\$ 56,008	14.4%
Food and beverage revenue	57,710	54,806	2,904	5.3%
Other operating department revenue	13,326	11,333	1,993	17.6%
Total revenue	516,666	455,761	60,905	13.4%
Expense				
Hotel operating expense				
Room	96,389	84,131	12,258	14.6%
Food and beverage	37,798	35,379	2,419	6.8%
Management fees	18,373	16,510	1,863	11.3%
Other hotel expenses	159,267	143,379	15,888	11.1%
Total hotel operating expense	311,827	279,399	32,428	11.6%
Depreciation and amortization	96,940	91,503	5,437	5.9%
Impairment loss		61,426	(61,426)	(100.0)%
Property tax, insurance and other	32,500	33,191	(691)	(2.1)%
General and administrative	19,542	18,208	1,334	7.3%
Transaction and pursuit costs	14,345	8,665	5,680	65.6%
Total operating expense	475,154	492,392	(17,238)	(3.5)%
Operating income (loss)	41,512	(36,631)	78,143	(213.3)%
Other income	629	955	(326)	(34.1)%
Interest income	3,352	618	2,734	442.4%
Interest expense	(86,735)	(87,849)	1,114	(1.3)%
Loss from continuing operations before income taxes	(41,242)	(122,907)	81,665	(66.4)%
Income tax expense	(945)	(1,801)	856	(47.5)%
Loss from continuing operations	(42,187)	(124,708)	82,521	(66.2)%
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	19,571	(43,290)	62,861	(145.2)%
Net loss	(22,616)	(167,998)	145,382	(86.5)%
Net loss ttributable to non-controlling interests				
Noncontrolling interest in joint venture	213		213	0.0%
Net loss attributable to the Company	(22,403)	(167,998)	145,595	(86.7)%
Distributions to preferred unitholders	(62)	(62)		0.0%
Net loss attributable to common shareholders	\$ (22,465)	\$ (168,060)	\$ 145,595	(86.6)%

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Revenue

Total revenue increased \$60.9 million, or 13.4%, to \$516.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 from \$455.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, reflecting improvement in U.S. lodging fundamentals. Comparability of the annual periods was impacted by an increase of \$39.9 million in revenue arising from the net impact of acquisitions during the periods.

The following are the key hotel operating statistics for hotels owned at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively:

	For the Year Ended		
	December 31,		
	2010	2009	% Change
Number of hotels (at end of period)	131	116	12.9%
Occupancy %	68.0%	63.5%	7.1%
ADR	\$ 110.98	\$ 109.52	1.3%
RevPAR	\$ 75.43	\$ 69.53	8.5%

Portfolio RevPAR increased to \$75.43 from \$69.53, representing an 8.5% increase. Comparability of the annual periods is impacted by increases of 0.5%, \$5.08 and \$4.03 in occupancy, ADR and RevPAR, respectively, arising from the net impact of acquisitions during the periods. For the 116 properties owned for the entirety of both periods, RevPAR growth of 2.4% was driven by a 6.2% increase in occupancy offset by a 3.6% decline in ADR.

Room Revenue Room revenue increased \$56.0 million, or 14.4%, to \$445.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 from \$389.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. The increase in room revenue was primarily due to an 8.5% increase in RevPAR, driven by a 7.1% increase in occupancy and a 1.4% increase in ADR. Comparability of the annual periods is impacted by an increase of \$35.7 million in room revenue arising from the net impact of acquisitions during the periods.

Food and Beverage Revenue Food and beverage revenue increased \$2.9 million, or 5.3%, to \$57.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 from \$54.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. However, comparability of the annual periods was impacted by an increase of \$2.6 million in food and beverage revenue arising from the net impact of acquisitions during the periods.

Other Operating Department Revenue Other operating department revenue, which includes revenue derived from ancillary sources, increased \$2.0 million, or 17.6%, to \$13.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 from \$11.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. Comparability of the annual periods is impacted by an increase of \$1.7 million in other operating department revenue arising from the net impact of acquisitions during the periods. The majority of the remaining increase was a result of portfolio-wide increase in parking revenue of \$0.4 million, which was partially offset by continuing declines in telephone revenue of \$0.3 million as guests reduced their usage of in-room telephone equipment.

Hotel Operating Expense

Hotel operating expense increased \$32.4 million, or 11.6%, to \$311.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 from \$279.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. Comparability of the annual periods was impacted by an increase of \$23.7 million in hotel operating expense arising from the net impact of acquisitions during the periods. The remaining increase was primarily attributable to increases in occupancy as a result of the improving economy.

Table of Contents

Depreciation and Amortization

Depreciation expense increased \$5.4 million, or 5.9%, to \$96.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 from \$91.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. Comparability of the annual periods was impacted by a \$6.1 million increase in depreciation expense arising from the net impact of acquisitions during the periods and a \$1.7 million increase in depreciation on building and furniture, fixtures and equipment for capital expenditures made during 2010. The partially offsetting decrease was primarily due to a reduction in fixed asset bases at certain hotels due to impairment charges recognized in prior years.

Impairment Loss

No impairment losses were recognized during 2010. During the year ended December 31, 2009, 16 of our hotels were deemed to have carrying values that were not fully recoverable based on changes in the capital markets and the overall decline in lodging demand, and, as a result, we recognized an impairment loss of \$61.4 million. In addition, an additional \$36.9 million impairment charge was recorded in 2009 related to a hotel property that we no longer own and which has accordingly been reclassified into discontinued operations.

Property Tax, Insurance and Other

Property tax, insurance and other expense decreased \$0.7 million, or 2.1%, to \$32.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 from \$33.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. Comparability of the annual periods was impacted by an increase of \$3.4 million in property tax, insurance and other expense arising from the net impact of acquisitions during the periods. Property tax, insurance and other expense for the remainder of the portfolio decreased \$4.1 million due to a combination of declines in assessed property values due to the recession and our efforts to aggressively challenge real estate tax assessments and manage our insurance premiums.

General and Administrative

General and administrative expense increased \$1.3 million, or 7.3%, to \$19.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 from \$18.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. The majority of the increase in general and administrative expense is attributable to an increase in legal fees of \$0.9 million, primarily related to our investment in loans and an increase in management advisory services of \$0.5 million.

Transaction and Pursuit costs

Transaction and pursuit costs increased \$5.7 million to \$14.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 from \$8.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. Comparability of the annual periods was impacted by an increase of \$10.3 million in transaction costs arising from the net impact of acquisitions during the periods. There were 24 acquisitions in 2010 and the first quarter of 2011, which resulted in transaction costs of \$13.2 million in 2010, compared to two acquisitions in 2009 resulting in transaction costs of \$2.9 million. The period-over-period increase in transaction costs was partially offset by a net decrease of \$4.6 million of costs associated with unsuccessful acquisition efforts during the periods. Unsuccessful acquisition costs totaled \$1.2 million in 2010 and \$5.8 million in 2009, with the 2009 charge arising primarily from a \$5.6 million fee paid in 2009 in order to terminate an obligation to purchase two hotels under a purchase and sale agreement. The purchase and sale agreement was terminated due to an overall decline in the economy, which resulted in our deciding not to continue to pursue this acquisition opportunity.

Table of Contents

Interest Income

Interest income increased \$2.7 million to \$3.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 from \$0.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. This increase was primarily due to \$3.1 million of interest income recognized for the year ended December 31, 2010 arising from our investment in loans that were acquired at the end of 2009. This was partially offset by a decrease in interest income earned on escrowed monies received in 2009 of \$0.2 million.

Interest Expense

Interest expense decreased \$1.1 million, or 1.3%, to \$86.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 from \$87.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. Comparability of the annual periods was impacted by an increase of \$3.5 million in interest expense arising from the net impact of debt incurred related to acquisitions during the periods. The decrease was primarily due to the expiration of unfavorable interest rate hedges resulting in a decrease in hedge driven interest expense of \$9.7 million and a \$0.5 million decrease in amortization of deferred financing fees, partially offset by an increase in interest expense of \$5.9 million from rising interest rates as well as higher interest rates on \$311.1 million of debt obligations that were modified and extended.

Income Tax Expense

Income tax expense decreased \$0.9 million, or 47.5%, to \$0.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 from \$1.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. Tax expense incurred for 2010 was less than 2009 due to expense incurred in 2009 for an immaterial out-of-period adjustment for previously unrecorded state taxes. As part of our structure, we own TRSs that are subject to federal and state income taxes. The TRSs' 2010 and 2009 income tax expense were calculated using an effective tax rate of 37.8% for both years.

Income from Discontinued Operations

Net income from discontinued operations increased \$62.9 million to \$19.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 from a net loss of \$43.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. The increase in net income from discontinued operations is primarily the result of the increase in net income of \$21.7 million from the six hotels sold in 2010 (including \$23.7 million gain on sale), and an increase in net income of \$41.1 million from the New York LaGuardia Airport Marriott. Included in the 2009 net loss from discontinued operations is a \$36.9 million impairment charge for the New York LaGuardia Airport Marriott.

Non-GAAP Financial Measures

We consider the following non-GAAP financial measures useful to investors as key supplemental measures of our performance: (1) FFO, (2) Adjusted FFO, (3) EBITDA, and (4) Adjusted EBITDA. These non-GAAP financial measures should be considered along with, but not as alternatives to, net income or loss as a measure of our operating performance. FFO, Adjusted FFO, EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA, as calculated by us, may not be comparable to FFO, Adjusted FFO, EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA as reported by other companies that do not define such terms exactly as we define such terms.

Funds From Operations

We calculate FFO in accordance with standards established by the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts, or NAREIT, which defines FFO as net income or loss (calculated in accordance with GAAP), excluding gains or losses from sales of real estate, items classified by GAAP as extraordinary, the cumulative effect of changes in accounting principles, plus depreciation and

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

amortization, and adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures. Historical cost accounting for real estate assets implicitly assumes that the value of real estate assets diminishes predictably over time. Since real estate values instead have historically risen or fallen with market conditions, most real estate industry investors consider FFO to be helpful in evaluating a real estate company's operations. We believe that the presentation of FFO provides useful information to investors regarding our operating performance by excluding the effect of depreciation and amortization, gains or losses from sales for real estate, extraordinary items and the portion of items related to unconsolidated entities, all of which are based on historical cost accounting, and that FFO can facilitate comparisons of operating performance between periods and between REITs, even though FFO does not represent an amount that accrues directly to common shareholders. Our calculation of FFO may not be comparable to measures calculated by other companies who do not use the NAREIT definition of FFO or do not calculate FFO per diluted share in accordance with NAREIT guidance. Additionally, FFO may not be helpful when comparing us to non-REITs. We present FFO attributable to common shareholders, which includes our OP units, because our OP units are redeemable for common shares of beneficial interest. We believe it is meaningful for the investor to understand FFO attributable to all common shares of beneficial interest and OP units.

We further adjust FFO for certain additional items that are not in NAREIT's definition of FFO, such as hotel transaction and pursuit costs, the amortization of share based compensation and other nonrecurring expenses that were the result of the IPO and related formation transactions. We believe that Adjusted FFO provides investors with another financial measure that may facilitate comparisons of operating performance between periods and between REITs.

The following is a reconciliation of our GAAP net income (loss) to FFO and Adjusted FFO for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 (in thousands):

	For the year ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Net income (loss)(1)	\$ 11,709	\$ (22,616)	\$ (167,998)
Depreciation and amortization	128,112	96,940	91,503
Depreciation and amortization, discontinued operations	2,602	3,853	7,270
Distributions to preferred unitholders	(61)	(62)	(62)
Gain on sale of properties		(23,710)	
Gain on extinguishment of indebtedness	(23,515)		
Impairment loss			61,426
Impairment loss, discontinued operations			36,946
Noncontrolling interest in joint venture	(47)	213	
Adjustments related to joint venture(2)	(308)	(30)	
FFO attributable to common shareholders	118,492	54,588	29,085
Transaction and pursuit costs	3,996	14,345	8,665
IPO costs(3)	10,733		
Amortization of share based compensation	3,284		
Other expenses(4)(5)	5,665	3,126	2,626
Adjusted FFO	\$ 142,170	\$ 72,059	\$ 40,376

(1) Includes net income (loss) of discontinued operations.

(2) Includes depreciation and amortization expense allocated to the noncontrolling interest in joint venture.

(3) Includes expenses related to the transfer and assumption of indebtedness and other contractual obligations of our predecessor in connection with the IPO and our formation transactions.

(4) Includes \$4.3 million, for the year ended December 31, 2011, of incremental interest expense related to the accelerated payoff of mortgage indebtedness.

(5)

Includes certain compensation obligations of our predecessor not continued.

Table of Contents***Earnings Before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation and Amortization***

EBITDA is defined as net income or loss excluding: (1) interest expense; (2) provision for income taxes, including income taxes applicable to sale of assets; and (3) depreciation and amortization. We consider EBITDA useful to an investor in evaluating and facilitating comparisons of our operating performance between periods and between REITs by removing the impact of our capital structure (primarily interest expense) and asset base (primarily depreciation and amortization) from our operating results. In addition, EBITDA is used as one measure in determining the value of hotel acquisitions and dispositions.

We further adjust EBITDA for certain additional items such as discontinued operations, hotel transaction and pursuit costs, the amortization of share based compensation, disposal of assets and other nonrecurring expenses that were the result of the IPO and related formation transactions. We believe that Adjusted EBITDA provides investors with another financial measure that can facilitate comparisons of operating performance between periods and between REITs. We present EBITDA attributable to common shareholders, which includes our OP units, because our OP units are redeemable for common shares of beneficial interest. We believe it is meaningful for the investor to understand EBITDA attributable to all common shares of beneficial interest and OP units.

The following is a reconciliation of our GAAP net income (loss) to EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 (in thousands):

	For the year ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Net income (loss)(1)	\$ 11,709	\$ (22,616)	\$ (167,998)
Depreciation and amortization	128,112	96,940	91,503
Depreciation and amortization, discontinued operations	2,602	3,853	7,270
Distributions to preferred unitholders	(61)	(62)	(62)
Interest expense, net(2)	95,966	84,970	87,287
Interest expense, net, discontinued operations	488	5,646	7,898
Income tax expense	740	945	1,801
Income tax expense, discontinued operations		270	
Noncontrolling interest in joint venture	(47)	213	
Adjustments related to joint venture(3)	(1,007)	(45)	
EBITDA	238,502	170,114	27,699
Transaction and pursuit costs	3,996	14,345	8,665
IPO Costs(4)	10,733		
Gain on sale of properties		(23,710)	
Gain on extinguishment of indebtedness	(23,515)		
Amortization of share based compensation	3,284		
Other expenses(5)	1,363	3,126	2,626
Impairment loss			61,426
Impairment loss, discontinued operations			36,946
Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 234,363	\$ 163,875	\$ 137,362

(1) Includes net income (loss) of discontinued operations.

(2) Excludes amounts attributable to investment in loans of \$1.6 million, \$1.6 million and \$56,000 for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

(3) Includes depreciation, amortization and interest expense allocated to the noncontrolling interest in joint venture.

Table of Contents

- (4) Includes expenses related to the transfer and assumption of indebtedness and other contractual obligations of our predecessor in connection with the IPO and our formation transactions.
- (5) Includes certain compensation obligations of our predecessor not continued.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our short-term liquidity requirements consist primarily of funds necessary to pay for operating expenses and other expenditures directly associated with our hotels, including:

recurring maintenance and capital expenditures necessary to maintain our hotels in accordance with brand standards;

interest expense and scheduled principal payments on outstanding indebtedness;

distributions necessary to qualify for taxation as a REIT; and

capital expenditures to improve our hotels, including capital expenditures required by our franchisors in connection with our formation transactions, recent hotel acquisitions and the rebranding of five of our hotels.

We expect to meet our short-term liquidity requirements generally through net cash provided by operations, existing cash balances and, if necessary, short-term borrowings under our credit facility.

Our long-term liquidity requirements consist primarily of funds necessary to pay for the costs of acquiring additional hotels and redevelopments, renovations, expansions and other capital expenditures that need to be made periodically with respect to our hotels and scheduled debt payments. We expect to meet our long-term liquidity requirements through various sources of capital, including our credit facility and future equity (including OP units) or debt offerings, existing working capital, net cash provided by operations, long-term hotel mortgage indebtedness and other secured and unsecured borrowings. However, there are a number of factors that may have a material adverse effect on our ability to access these capital sources, including the current state of overall equity and credit markets, our degree of leverage, the value of our unencumbered assets and borrowing restrictions imposed by lenders, general market conditions for REITs, our operating performance and liquidity and market perceptions about us. The success of our business strategy will depend, in part, on our ability to access these various capital sources.

Our hotels will require periodic capital expenditures and renovation to remain competitive. In addition, acquisitions, redevelopments or expansions of hotels will require significant capital outlays. We may not be able to fund such capital improvements solely from net cash provided by operations because we must distribute annually at least 90% of our REIT taxable income, determined without regard to the deductions for dividends paid and excluding net capital gains, to qualify and maintain our qualification as a REIT, and we are subject to tax on any retained income and gains. As a result, our ability to fund capital expenditures, acquisitions or hotel redevelopment through retained earnings is very limited. Consequently, we expect to rely heavily upon the availability of debt or equity capital for these purposes. If we are unable to obtain the necessary capital on favorable terms, or at all, our financial condition, liquidity, results of operations and prospects could be materially and adversely affected.

Credit Facility

We have an unsecured revolving credit facility that provides for maximum borrowings of up to \$300.0 million. The credit facility requires that a group of no less than fifteen of our hotel properties remain unencumbered by indebtedness. The credit facility contains certain financial covenants relating to maximum leverage ratio, minimum fixed charge coverage ratio, minimum tangible net worth and maximum secured indebtedness. We were in compliance with all such covenants at December 31, 2011.

Table of Contents

If an event of default exists, under the terms of the credit facility, we are not permitted to make distributions to shareholders, other than those required to qualify for and maintain REIT status. The credit facility matures on June 20, 2014 and may be extended for an additional year, at our option.

Borrowings under the credit facility bear interest at variable rates equal to the LIBOR plus an applicable margin. The margin ranges from 2.25% to 3.25%, depending on our leverage ratio, as calculated under the terms of the credit facility. We incur an unused facility fee of between 0.30% and 0.40%, based on the amount by which the maximum borrowing amount exceeds the total principal balance of outstanding borrowings.

We did not incur any interest expense on the credit facility for the year ended December 31, 2011. For the year ended December 31, 2011, we incurred an unused commitment fee of approximately \$0.7 million. There were no borrowings outstanding under the revolving credit facility at December 31, 2011, and no borrowings are outstanding as of the date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Term Loan

On January 14, 2011, we entered into a \$140.0 million unsecured term loan. We agreed to maintain an unencumbered asset pool of ten hotel properties during the term of the term loan. The term loan contained certain financial covenants related to maximum leverage ratio, minimum fixed charge coverage ratio and minimum net worth. The term loan had an original maturity date of September 30, 2011 and bore interest at LIBOR plus 4.25%, with a LIBOR floor of 1.00%. We had the option to extend the term loan for two additional six-month periods subject to our prior satisfaction of certain conditions, including compliance with certain financial covenants and advance notice of our intention to exercise the extension. On September 30, 2011, we amended the term loan to extend the maturity date to November 15, 2011, with one extension to December 31, 2011, at our option. On October 21, 2011, the term loan was fully repaid with proceeds from five separate mortgage loans entered into on that date.

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Our Outstanding Mortgage Indebtedness

As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, we were subject to the following mortgage loans (in thousands):

Lender	Number of Assets Encumbered	Interest rate at December 31, 2011(1)	Maturity Date	Principal balance at,	
				December 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
Keybank(2)	6	1.54%(3)	April 2012(4)	\$ 48,000	\$ 48,000
State Street Bank(2)		3.05%(3)	April 2012(4)	37,000	37,000
Wells Fargo	1	4.30%(5)	June 2013(6)	60,000	60,000
Wells Fargo	1	5.50%(5)	Oct 2013(6)	40,000	40,000
Wells Fargo	1	5.50%(5)	Oct 2013(6)	31,000	31,000
Wells Fargo(7)	1	4.90%(8)	Dec 2013(6)	150,000	150,000
Blackstone(7)		10.75%(8)	Dec 2013(6)	50,000	50,000
Wells Fargo	1	3.90%(5)	Oct 2014(6)	68,500	
Wells Fargo	1	3.90%(5)	Oct 2014(6)	17,500	
Wells Fargo	1	3.90%(5)	Oct 2014(6)	21,000	
Wells Fargo	1	3.90%(5)	Oct 2014(6)	11,000	
Wells Fargo	1	3.90%(5)	Oct 2014(6)	24,000	
Capmark Financial Group	1	6.12%	April 2015	4,327	4,446
Capmark Financial Group	1	5.50%	May 2015	5,014	5,123
Capmark Financial Group	1	5.55%	May 2015	11,656	11,997
Capmark Financial Group	1	5.55%	June 2015	5,057	5,205
Barclay's Bank	1	5.55%	June 2015	2,642	2,718
Barclay's Bank	1	5.55%	June 2015	4,336	4,462
Barclay's Bank	1	5.55%	June 2015	10,107	10,400
Barclay's Bank	1	5.55%	June 2015	9,020	9,282
Barclay's Bank	1	5.55%	June 2015	8,084	8,317
Barclay's Bank	1	5.60%	June 2015	5,603	5,751
Barclay's Bank	1	5.60%	June 2015	8,707	8,956
Barclay's Bank	1	5.55%	June 2015	5,296	5,450
Barclay's Bank	1	5.55%	June 2015	35,115	36,135
Barclay's Bank	1	5.60%	June 2015	6,669	6,861
Barclay's Bank	1	5.55%	June 2015	5,943	6,116
Barclay's Bank	1	5.55%	June 2015	6,830	7,028
Barclay's Bank	1	5.60%	June 2015	8,701	8,952

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

Barclay's Bank	1	5.55%	June 2015	6,821	7,018
Barclay's Bank	1	5.55%	June 2015	7,508	7,724
Barclay's Bank	1	5.55%	June 2015	6,830	7,028
Barclay's Bank	1	5.55%	June 2015	7,796	8,023
Barclay's Bank	1	5.55%	June 2015	9,783	10,068
Capmark Financial Group	1	5.50%	July 2015	6,883	7,083
Barclay's Bank	1	5.44%	Sept 2015	11,223	11,547
Merrill Lynch	1	6.29%	July 2016	9,294	9,403
Merrill Lynch	1	6.29%	July 2016	5,552	5,605
Merrill Lynch	1	6.29%	July 2016	7,780	7,871
Merrill Lynch	1	6.29%	July 2016	9,307	9,416
Wachovia Securities(9)	43	6.29%	July 2016	493,358	499,132
Wachovia Securities	1	6.29%	July 2016	6,664	6,742
Wells Fargo / Morgan Stanley	2	6.29%	July 2016	35,256	35,669
Wells Fargo / Morgan Stanley	1	6.29%	July 2016	6,837	6,916
Wells Fargo / Morgan Stanley	1	6.29%	July 2016	9,736	9,845
Capmark Financial Group				(10)	72,246
Merrill Lynch				(10)	92,000
Wells Fargo				(10)	23,967
Wells Fargo / GE				(10)	186,392

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Lender	Number of Assets Encumbered	Interest rate at December 31, 2011(1)	Maturity Date	Principal balance at,	
				December 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
Capmark Financial Group				(10)	10,818
Capmark Financial Group				(10)	9,975
Capmark Financial Group				(10)	12,350
Capmark Financial Group				(10)	10,334
Capmark Financial Group				(10)	22,934
Capmark Financial Group				(10)	11,078
Capmark Financial Group				(10)	11,355
Capmark Financial Group				(10)	13,339
Capmark Financial Group				(11)	58,000
	91			\$ 1,341,735	\$ 1,747,077

-
- (1) Interest rate at December 31, 2011 gives effect to interest rate swaps and LIBOR floors, where applicable.
- (2) The Keybank and State Street Bank loans are cross-collateralized senior and mezzanine loans, respectively, which, as of December 31, 2011 had outstanding balances of \$48.0 million and \$37.0 million, respectively.
- (3) Requires payments of interest only.
- (4) We intend to repay these loans with proceeds from a refinancing or proceeds from the credit facility.
- (5) Requires payments of interest only until the commencement of the extension periods.
- (6) Maturity date may be extended for up to two additional one-year terms at the Company's option (subject to the Company's prior satisfaction of certain conditions and advance notice of the exercise of the Company's option).
- (7) The Wells Fargo and Blackstone loans are a senior and a mezzanine loan, respectively, which, as of December 31, 2011, had outstanding balances of \$150.0 million and \$50.0 million, respectively.
- (8) Requires payments of interest only until the commencement of the second extension period.
- (9) The 43 Wachovia Securities loans are cross-collateralized.
- (10)

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

Loan(s) was/(were) paid off in conjunction with the IPO on May 16, 2011.

(11)

Loan was terminated in conjunction with a deed in lieu of foreclosure arrangement.

Sources and Uses of Cash

As of December 31, 2011, we had \$310.2 million of cash and cash equivalents compared to \$267.5 million at December 31, 2010 and \$151.4 million at December 31, 2009.

Cash flows from Operating Activities

Net cash flow provided by operating activities totaled \$134.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. Net income of \$11.7 million included significant non-cash expenses, including \$130.7 million of depreciation, \$5.8 million of amortization of deferred financing costs, \$1.0 million of amortization of deferred management fees and \$3.3 million of amortization of share based compensation. In addition, there was a gain on the extinguishment of indebtedness of \$23.5 million and changes in operating assets and liabilities due to the timing of cash receipts and payments from our hotels, which resulted in net cash inflow of \$5.5 million.

Net cash flow provided by operating activities totaled \$64.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. Net loss of \$22.6 million was due in significant part to non-cash expenses, including \$100.8 million of depreciation and \$3.1 million of amortization of deferred financing costs,

Table of Contents

partially offset by a \$23.7 million gain on the sale of six hotels. In addition, changes in operating assets and liabilities due to the timing of cash receipts and payments from our hotels resulted in net cash inflow of \$5.6 million.

Net cash flow provided by operating activities totaled \$28.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. Net loss of \$168.0 million was due in significant part to non-cash expenses, including \$98.9 million of depreciation, \$98.4 million of impairment charges and \$3.8 million of amortization. In addition, changes in operating assets and liabilities due to the timing of cash receipts and payments from our hotels resulted in net cash outflow of \$5.0 million.

Cash flows from Investing Activities

Net cash flow used in investing activities totaled \$347.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 primarily due to \$236.9 million used for the purchase of nine hotels, \$93.5 million in improvements and additions to hotels, and the net funding of restricted cash reserves of \$16.8 million.

Net cash flow used in investing activities totaled \$786.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 primarily due to \$828.9 million used for the purchase of 15 hotels, \$15.9 million in improvements and additions to hotels, a purchase deposit paid of \$8.5 million and the net funding of restricted cash reserves of \$16.1 million, partially offset by \$72.7 million from the sale of six hotels.

Net cash flow used in investing activities totaled \$198.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 primarily due to \$145.3 million used for the purchase of two hotels, \$12.9 million used to purchase two loans, \$20.6 million in improvements and additions to hotels and \$8.6 million of net funding of restricted cash reserves.

Cash flows from Financing Activities

Net cash flow provided by financing activities totaled \$255.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 primarily due to \$567.7 of proceeds from the issuance and sale of common shares of beneficial interest, \$142.0 million in proceeds from mortgage loans, \$140.0 million in proceeds from the term loan and \$126.7 million in net contributions from partners. This was offset by \$489.3 million of mortgage loan repayments, \$140.0 million repayment of the term loan, \$39.4 million paid for offering costs related to the issuance and sale of common shares of beneficial interest, \$16.3 million of partners' distributions, \$24.7 million in payment of distributions to shareholders and unitholders, \$6.2 million paid for deferred financing fees, \$3.1 million in payment of member distributions, \$0.5 million of payments for the redemption of preferred units, a \$0.5 million distribution related to the joint venture noncontrolling interest and \$0.7 million of shares acquired to satisfy the statutory minimum federal and state tax obligations of certain employees in connection with the vesting of restricted common shares of beneficial interest issued to such employees under our 2011 Equity Incentive Plan.

Net cash flow provided by financing activities totaled \$838.6 million for year ended December 31, 2010 primarily due to \$589.1 million of borrowing under our predecessor's credit facility, \$331.0 million in proceeds from mortgage loans, \$801.8 million in net contributions from partners, offset by \$735.1 million of repayments under our predecessor's credit facility, \$79.7 million of mortgage loan repayments, \$29.0 million in payment of member distributions, \$31.0 million in payment of partners distributions and \$8.4 million paid for deferred financing fees.

Net cash flow provided by financing activities totaled \$164.4 million for year ended December 31, 2009 primarily due to \$151.0 million of borrowing under our predecessor's credit facility, \$14.8 million in proceeds from mortgage loans, \$48.9 million in net contributions from partners, offset by \$6.0 million of repayments under our predecessor's credit facility, \$10.8 million of mortgage loan repayments and \$33.0 million in payment of partners distributions.

Table of Contents

Capital Expenditures and Reserve Funds

We maintain each of our hotels in good repair and condition and in conformity with applicable laws and regulations, franchise agreements and management agreements. The cost of all such routine improvements and alterations are paid out of furniture, fixture and equipment, or FF&E, reserves, which are funded by a portion of each hotel's gross revenues. Routine capital expenditures are administered by the hotel management companies. However, we have approval rights over the capital expenditures as part of the annual budget process for each of our hotels.

From time to time, certain of our hotels may be undergoing renovations as a result of our decision to upgrade portions of the hotels, such as guestrooms, public space, meeting space, and/or restaurants, in order to better compete with other hotels in our markets. In addition, upon acquisition of a hotel we often are required to complete a property improvement plan in order to bring the hotel up to the respective franchisor's standards. If permitted by the terms of the management agreement, funding for a renovation will first come from the FF&E reserves. To the extent that the FF&E reserves are not available or adequate to cover the cost of the renovation, we will fund all or the remaining portion of the renovation with cash and cash equivalents on hand, our credit facility and/or other sources of available liquidity.

In 2011, we initiated renovation projects at 48 hotels, which included 24 hotels acquired in 2010 and 2011. We authorized \$115.0 million of capital improvements primarily to upgrade and/or reposition the 24 hotels acquired in 2010 and 2011, including seven brand conversions. The balance of the renovations included brand related upgrades at other select hotels.

As of the date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, the Company had completed six of the seven planned conversions. The Fairfield Inn & Suites Washington DC/Downtown was converted from a Red Roof Inn hotel, the Embassy Suites West Palm Beach-Central was converted from a Crowne Plaza hotel, the Hilton Garden Inn Los Angeles/Hollywood was converted from an independent brand hotel, and the Hilton New York Fashion District, the Hilton Garden Inn Pittsburgh University Place, and the Hilton Garden Inn Durham were each converted from a Wyndham hotel.

With respect to some of our hotels that are operated under franchise agreements with major national hotel brands and for some of our hotels subject to first mortgage liens, we are obligated to maintain FF&E reserve accounts for future capital expenditures at these hotels. The amount funded into each of these reserve accounts is generally determined pursuant to the management agreements, franchise agreements and/or mortgage loan documents for each of the respective hotels, and typically ranges between 2.0% and 5.0% of the respective hotel's total gross revenue. As of December 31, 2011, approximately \$49.9 million was held in FF&E reserve accounts for future capital expenditures.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of December 31, 2011, we had no off-balance sheet arrangements.

Contractual Obligations

The following table sets forth our contractual obligations as of December 31, 2011 (in thousands):

Obligations and Commitments	Amount of commitment expiration per period						Total
	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Thereafter	
Mortgage loans and interest(1)	\$ 160,233	\$ 403,673	\$ 196,485	\$ 243,405	\$ 604,171	\$	\$ 1,607,967
Ground rent	1,150	1,150	1,150	1,150	1,150	103,861	109,611
Operating lease obligations	845	864	887	914	138		3,648
	\$ 162,228	\$ 405,687	\$ 198,522	\$ 245,469	\$ 605,459	\$ 103,861	\$ 1,721,226

(1) Amounts include principal and interest payments. Interest payments have been included in the long-term debt obligations based on the interest rate at December 31, 2011

Table of Contents

Critical Accounting Policies

Our discussion and analysis of the historical financial condition and results of operations is based on our combined consolidated financial statements. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amount of assets and liabilities at the date of our financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual amounts may differ significantly from these estimates and assumptions. We have provided a summary of our significant accounting policies in the notes to the historical combined consolidated financial statements. We have set forth below those accounting policies that we believe require material subjective or complex judgments and have the most significant impact on our financial condition and results of operations. We evaluate our estimates, assumptions and judgments on an ongoing basis, based on information that is then available to us, our experience and various matters that we believe are reasonable and appropriate for consideration under the circumstances.

Investment in Hotel Properties

Hotel acquisitions consist almost exclusively of land, land improvements, buildings, building improvements, furniture, fixtures and equipment and inventory. We record the purchase price among these asset classes based on their respective fair values. When we acquire hotels, we acquire them for use. Generally, we do not acquire any significant in-place leases or other intangible assets (e.g., management agreements, franchise agreements or trademarks) when hotels are acquired. The only intangible assets acquired through December 31, 2011 consist of favorable lease agreements and miscellaneous operating agreements, which are short-term in nature. In conjunction with the acquisition of a hotel, we typically negotiate new franchise and management agreements with the selected brand and manager.

Our investments in hotels are carried at cost and are depreciated using the straight-line method over estimated useful lives of 15 years for land improvements, 15 years for building improvements, 40 years for buildings and three to five years for furniture, fixtures and equipment. Intangible assets arising from favorable or unfavorable leases are amortized using the straight-line method over the term of the non-cancelable term of the agreement. Maintenance and repairs are expensed and major renewals or improvements are capitalized. Upon the sale or disposition of a fixed asset, the asset and related accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts and the related gain or loss is included in discontinued operations.

We assess the carrying values of each hotel whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amounts of these hotels may not be fully recoverable. Recoverability of the hotel is measured by comparison of the carrying amount of the hotel to the estimated future undiscounted cash flows, which take into account current market conditions and our intent with respect to holding or disposing of the hotel. If our analysis indicates that the carrying value of the hotel is not recoverable on an undiscounted cash flow basis, we recognize an impairment charge for the amount by which the carrying value exceeds the fair value of the hotel. Fair value is determined through various valuation techniques, including internally developed discounted cash flow models, comparable market transactions and third-party appraisals, where considered necessary.

The use of projected future cash flows is based on assumptions that are consistent with a market participant's future expectations for the travel industry and economy in general and our strategic plans to manage the underlying hotels. However, assumptions and estimates about future cash flows and capitalization rates are complex and subjective. Changes in economic and operating conditions and our ultimate investment intent that occur subsequent to a current impairment analysis could impact these assumptions and result in future impairment charges of the hotels.

Table of Contents

Revenue Recognition

Our revenue comprises hotel operating revenue, such as room revenue, food and beverage revenue and revenue from other hotel operating departments (such as telephone, parking and business centers). These revenues are recorded net of any sales and occupancy taxes collected from guests. All rebates or discounts are recorded as a reduction in revenue, and there are no material contingent obligations with respect to rebates and discounts offered by the hotels. All revenues are recorded on an accrual basis as earned. Appropriate allowances are made for doubtful accounts and are recorded as bad debt expense. The allowances are calculated as a percentage of aged accounts receivable, based on individual hotel management company policy. Cash received prior to guest arrival is recorded as an advance from the guest and recognized as revenue at the time of occupancy.

Income Taxes

We have elected to be taxed as a real estate investment trust under Sections 856 through 860 of the Internal Revenue Code, as amended commencing with the taxable year ended December 31, 2011. To qualify as a REIT, we must meet a number of organizational and operational requirements, including a requirement that we currently distribute at least 90% of our REIT taxable income, subject to certain adjustments and excluding any net capital gain. Our current intention is to adhere to these requirements and maintain the qualification for taxation as a REIT. As a REIT, we generally are not subject to federal corporate income tax on that portion of net income that is currently distributed. If we fail to qualify for taxation as a REIT in any taxable year, we will be subject to federal income taxes at regular corporate rates (including any applicable alternative minimum tax) and may not be able to qualify as a REIT for four subsequent taxable years. Even if we qualify for taxation as a REIT, we may be subject to certain state and local taxes on our income and property, and to federal income and excise taxes on undistributed taxable income.

Taxable income from non-REIT activities managed through taxable REIT subsidiaries is subject to federal, state and local income taxes. As a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Operating Partnership, the taxable REIT subsidiary is required to pay income taxes at the applicable rates. Our consolidated income tax provision or benefit includes the income tax provision or benefit related to the operations of the taxable REIT subsidiary as well as state income taxes incurred.

Where required, deferred income taxes are accounted for using the asset and liability method. Under this method, deferred income taxes are recognized for temporary differences between the financial reporting bases of assets and liabilities and their respective income tax bases and for operating loss, capital loss and tax credit carryforwards based on enacted income tax rates expected to be in effect when such amounts are realized or settled. However, deferred tax assets are recognized only to the extent that is more likely than not they will be realized based on consideration of available evidence, including future reversals of existing taxable temporary differences, future projected taxable income and tax planning strategies.

We perform an annual review for any uncertain tax positions and, if necessary, will record expected future tax consequences of uncertain tax positions in the financial statements. At December 31, 2011 and 2010, we did not identify any uncertain tax positions.

Share-Based Compensation

We may issue equity-based awards to officers, employees, non-employee trustees and other eligible persons under the 2011 Equity Incentive Plan (the "2011 Plan"). The 2011 Plan provides for a maximum of 5,000,000 common shares of beneficial interest to be issued in the form of share options, share appreciation rights, restricted share awards, unrestricted share awards, share units, dividend equivalent rights, long-term incentive units, other equity-based awards and cash bonus awards. In addition, the maximum number of common shares subject to awards of any combination that may be

Table of Contents

granted under the 2011 Plan during any calendar year to any one individual is limited to 1,000,000 shares. The exercise price of share options is determined by the Board of Trustees, but may not be less than 100% of the fair market value of the common shares on the date of grant. For grantees that own greater than ten percent of the total combined voting power of all classes of outstanding voting securities of our shares, the exercise price of share options may not be less than 110% of the fair market value of the common shares on the date of grant. The fair market value for all other types of share awards is determined by the closing price on the date of grant.

Inflation

We rely entirely on the performance of the hotels and their ability to increase revenues to keep pace with inflation. Increases in the costs of operating our hotels due to inflation would adversely affect the operating performance of our TRS, which in turn, could inhibit the ability of our TRS to make required rent payments to us. Hotel management companies, in general, possess the ability to adjust room rates daily to reflect the effects of inflation. However, competitive pressures may limit the ability of our hotel management companies to raise room rates.

Seasonality

Depending on a hotel's location and market, operations for the hotel may be seasonal in nature. This seasonality can be expected to cause fluctuations in our quarterly operating performance. For hotels located in non-resort markets, demand is generally lower in the winter months due to decreased travel and higher in the spring and summer months during the peak travel season. Accordingly, we expect that we will have lower revenue, operating income and cash flow in the first and fourth quarters and higher revenue, operating income and cash flow in the second and third quarters.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Market risk includes risks that arise from changes in interest rates, equity prices and other market changes that affect market sensitive instruments. Our primary market risk exposure is to changes in interest rates on our variable rate debt. As of December 31, 2011, we had approximately \$558.0 million of total variable debt outstanding (or 41.6% of total indebtedness) with a weighted average interest rate of 4.77% per annum. If market rates of interest on our variable rate debt outstanding as of December 31, 2011 were to increase by 1.00%, or 100 basis points, interest expense would decrease future earnings and cash flows by approximately \$2.4 million annually, taking into account our existing contractual hedging arrangements.

Our interest rate risk objectives are to limit the impact of interest rate fluctuations on earnings and cash flows and to lower our overall borrowing costs. To achieve these objectives, we manage our exposure to fluctuations in market interest rates through the use of fixed rate debt instruments to the extent that reasonably favorable rates are obtainable. We have entered into derivative financial instruments such as interest rate swaps or caps to mitigate our interest rate risk or to effectively lock the interest rate on a portion of our variable rate debt. We do not enter into derivative or interest rate transactions for speculative purposes.

The following table provides information about our financial instruments that are sensitive to changes in interest rates. For debt obligations outstanding as of December 31, 2011, the following table

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

presents principal repayments and related weighted average interest rates by contractual maturity dates (in thousands):

	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Thereafter	Total
Fixed rate debt	\$	\$	\$	\$ 199,951	\$ 583,784	\$	\$ 783,735
Weighted average interest rate				5.56%	6.29%		6.10%
Variable rate debt	\$ 85,000	\$ 331,000	\$ 142,000	\$	\$	\$	\$ 558,000
Weighted average interest rate	2.20%	5.80%	3.90%				4.77%
Total	\$ 85,000	\$ 331,000	\$ 142,000	\$ 199,951	\$ 583,784	\$	\$ 1,341,735

The foregoing table reflects indebtedness outstanding as of December 31, 2011 and does not consider indebtedness, if any, incurred or repaid after that date. Our ultimate realized gain or loss with respect to interest rate fluctuations will depend on the exposures that arise during future periods, prevailing interest rates, and our hedging strategies at that time.

Changes in market interest rates on our fixed rate debt impact the fair value of the debt, but such changes have no impact on our combined consolidated financial statements. If interest rates rise, and our fixed rate debt balance remains constant, we expect the fair value of our debt to decrease. As of December 31, 2011, the estimated fair value of our fixed rate debt was \$771.5 million, which is based on having the same debt service requirements that could have been borrowed at the date presented, at prevailing current market interest rates.

Item 8. Financial Statements

See Index to the Financial Statements on page F-1.

Item 9. Changes In and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosures

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

In accordance with Rule 13a-15(b) of the Exchange Act, the Company's management, with the participation of the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, carried out an evaluation of the effectiveness of the Company's "disclosure controls and procedures", as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Exchange Act, as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on that evaluation, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of December 31, 2011.

Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

This Annual Report does not include a report of management's assessment regarding internal control over financial reporting or an attestation report of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm due to a transition period established by rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission for newly public companies.

Table of Contents

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) of the Exchange Act) during the quarter ended December 31, 2011 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9B. Other Information

On March 2, 2012, the Compensation Committee of the Board of Trustees, or the Compensation Committee, approved the payment of cash bonuses to the Company's four named executive officers for 2011 performance based on certain performance objectives established by the Compensation Committee for that year. The Compensation Committee approved the award of the cash bonuses to each of the following officers, as set forth below:

Robert L. Johnson, the Company's Executive Chairman \$454,805

Thomas J. Baltimore, Jr., the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer \$1,162,749

Leslie D. Hale, the Company's Chief Financial Officer \$367,795

Ross H. Bierkan, the Company's Chief Investment Officer \$543,690

The Compensation Committee considered the following performance objectives in determining the amounts of the cash bonuses for our executive officers:

Total hotel EBITDA, which is calculated by deducting corporate general and administrative expenses from EBITDA;

Hotel acquisitions, which measures the dollar value of hotel acquisitions during the measurement period against a pre-determined goal;

Net debt to EBITDA, which is calculated as the ratio of total indebtedness (minus cash and cash equivalents) to EBITDA; and

RevPAR increase, which measures the increase in RevPAR during the measurement period relative to RevPAR during the same period in the prior fiscal year.

The amounts payable to our named executive officers were determined, in significant part, upon whether Company performance met a "threshold," "target" or "maximum" level for the performance measures established by the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee considered all relevant facts and circumstances when evaluating performance, including changing market conditions and broad corporate strategic initiatives, along with overall responsibilities and contributions, and retained the ability to exercise its judgment and discretion to adjust an award up or down.

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers of the Registrant and Corporate Governance

The information called for by this Item is contained in our definitive Proxy Statement for our 2012 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

The information called for by this Item is contained in our definitive Proxy Statement for our 2012 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, and is incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

The information called for by this Item is contained in our definitive Proxy Statement for our 2012 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, or in Item 5 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 13. Certain Relationships, Related Transactions and Director Independence

The information called for by this Item is contained in our definitive Proxy Statement for our 2012 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

The information called for by this Item is contained in our definitive Proxy Statement for our 2012 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, and is incorporated herein by reference.

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

The following is a list of documents filed as a part of this report:

- (1)
Financial Statements

Included herein at pages F-1 through F-45.

- (2)
Financial Statement Schedules

The following financial statement schedule is included herein at pages F-46 through F-51:

Schedule III Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation for RLJ Lodging Trust Incorporated

All other schedules for which provision is made in Regulation S-X are either not required to be included herein under the related instructions, are inapplicable or the related information is included in the footnotes to the applicable financial statement and, therefore, have been omitted.

- (3)
Exhibits

The exhibits required to be filed by Item 601 of Regulation S-K are listed in the Exhibit Index on pages 89, 90 and 91 of this report, which is incorporated by reference herein.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized on March 8, 2012.

RLJ LODGING TRUST

By: /s/ THOMAS J. BALTIMORE, JR.

Thomas J. Baltimore, Jr.

President, Chief Executive Officer and Trustee

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signature	Title	Date
<u> /s/ ROBERT L. JOHNSON</u> Robert L. Johnson	Executive Chairman and Trustee	March 8, 2012
<u> /s/ THOMAS J. BALTIMORE, JR</u> Thomas J. Baltimore, Jr.	President, Chief Executive Officer and Trustee (principal executive officer)	March 8, 2012
<u> /s/ LESLIE D. HALE</u> Leslie D. Hale	Chief Financial Officer (principal financial officer)	March 8, 2012
<u> /s/ JULIO E. MORALES</u> Julio E. Morales	Chief Accounting Officer (principal accounting officer)	March 8, 2012
<u> /s/ EVAN BAYH</u> Evan Bayh	Trustee	March 8, 2012
<u> /s/ NATHANIAL A. DAVIS</u> Nathaniel A. Davis	Trustee	March 8, 2012
<u> /s/ ROBERT M. LA FORGIA</u> Robert M. La Forgia	Trustee	March 8, 2012

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Signature	Title	Date
<hr/> <i>/s/ GLENDA G. MCNEAL</i>	Trustee	March 8, 2012
Glenda G. McNeal		
<hr/> <i>/s/ JOSEPH RYAN</i>	Trustee	March 8, 2012
Joseph Ryan		

88

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description
2.1(1)	Merger Agreement, dated as of February 1, 2011, by and among RLJ Lodging Fund II, L.P., RLJ Lodging Fund II (PF #1), L.P., RLJ Lodging Trust and RLJ Capital Partners II, LLC
2.2(1)	Merger Agreement, dated as of February 1, 2011, by and among RLJ Real Estate Fund III, L.P., RLJ Real Estate Fund III (PF #1), L.P., RLJ Lodging Trust and RLJ Capital Partners III, LLC
2.3(1)	Contribution Agreement, dated as of February 1, 2011, by and between RLJ Lodging Trust and RLJ Development, LLC
2.4(3)	First Amendment to Contribution Agreement, dated as of April 25, 2011, by and between RLJ Lodging Trust and RLJ Development, LLC
3.1(4)	Articles of Amendment and Restatement of Declaration of Trust of RLJ Lodging Trust
3.2(4)	Amended and Restated Bylaws of RLJ Lodging Trust
4.1(3)	Form of Specimen Common Share Certificate
4.2(5)	Registration Rights Agreement, dated May 16, 2011, by and among RLJ Lodging Trust and the persons listed on Schedule I thereto
4.3(5)	Registration Rights Agreement, dated May 16, 2011, by and among RLJ Lodging Trust and the persons listed on Schedule I thereto
10.1(5)	Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership, dated May 13, 2011
10.2(5)	Indemnification Agreement, dated May 16, 2011, between RLJ Lodging Trust and Thomas J. Baltimore, Jr.
10.3(5)	Indemnification Agreement, dated May 16, 2011, between RLJ Lodging Trust and Evan Bayh
10.4(5)	Indemnification Agreement, dated May 16, 2011, between RLJ Lodging Trust and Ross H. Bierkan
10.5(5)	Indemnification Agreement, dated May 16, 2011, between RLJ Lodging Trust and Nathaniel Davis
10.6(5)	Indemnification Agreement, dated May 16, 2011, between RLJ Lodging Trust and Leslie D. Hale
10.7(5)	Indemnification Agreement, dated May 16, 2011, between RLJ Lodging Trust and Robert L. Johnson
10.8(5)	Indemnification Agreement, dated May 16, 2011, between RLJ Lodging Trust and Robert M. La Forgia
10.9(5)	Indemnification Agreement, dated May 16, 2011, between RLJ Lodging Trust and Glenda McNeal
10.10(5)	Indemnification Agreement, dated May 16, 2011, between RLJ Lodging Trust and Julio E. Morales
10.11(5)	Indemnification Agreement, dated May 16, 2011, between RLJ Lodging Trust and Joseph Ryan

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description
10.12(4)	RLJ Lodging Trust 2011 Equity Incentive Plan
10.13(4)	Form of Restricted Share Agreement
10.14(4)	Form of Restricted Share Agreement for Trustees
10.15(2)	Form of Non-Qualified Option Agreement
10.16(2)	Form of Share Units Agreement
10.17(4)	Employment Agreement dated as of April 27, 2011 by and among RLJ Lodging Trust, RLJ Lodging Trust, L.P. and Robert L. Johnson
10.18(4)	Employment Agreement dated as of April 27, 2011 by and among RLJ Lodging Trust, RLJ Lodging Trust, L.P. and Thomas J. Baltimore, Jr.
10.19(4)	Employment Agreement dated as of April 27, 2011 by and among RLJ Lodging Trust, RLJ Lodging Trust, L.P. and Leslie D. Hale
10.20(4)	Employment Agreement dated as of April 27, 2011 by and among RLJ Lodging Trust, RLJ Lodging Trust, L.P. and Ross H. Bierkan
10.21(2)	Form of the Wachovia Mortgage
10.22(2)	Form of the Wachovia Note
10.23(6)	Credit Agreement, dated as of June 20, 2011, by and among the Operating Partnership, the Company, Wells Fargo Bank National Association, as Administrative Agent, Bank of America, N.A., as Syndication Agent, PNC Bank, National Association, Barclays Bank PLC and Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, as Documentation Agents, Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, as Joint Lead Arrangers and Joint Bookrunners and the other lenders party thereto
10.24(6)	Guaranty, dated as of June 20, 2011, by the Company and certain subsidiaries of the Company party thereto
10.25*	First Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated October 31, 2011, by and among RLJ Lodging Trust, L.P., RLJ Lodging Trust, each of the financial institutions initially signatory thereto and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Administrative Agent.
10.26(2)	Form of WLS Management Agreement
21.1*	List of Subsidiaries of RLJ Lodging Trust
23.1*	Consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP
31.1*	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2*	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1*	Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
	Submitted electronically with this report

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number		Exhibit Description
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document	Submitted electronically with this report
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Calculation Linkbase Document	Submitted electronically with this report
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document	Submitted electronically with this report
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Label Linkbase Document	Submitted electronically with this report
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Presentation Linkbase Document	Submitted electronically with this report

*

Filed herewith

- (1) Previously filed with Registration Statement on Form S-11/A filed by the Registrant with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 15, 2011.
- (2) Previously filed with Registration Statement on Form S-11/A filed by the Registrant with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 13, 2011.
- (3) Previously filed with Registration Statement on Form S-11/A filed by the Registrant with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 29, 2011.
- (4) Previously filed with Registration Statement on Form S-11/A filed by the Registrant with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 5, 2011.
- (5) Previously filed with the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by the Registrant with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 19, 2011.
- (6) Previously filed with the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by the Registrant with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 24, 2011.

Table of Contents

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

RLJ Lodging Trust:

<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	<u>F-2</u>
<u>Combined Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	
<u>Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2011 and 2010</u>	<u>F-3</u>
<u>Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009</u>	<u>F-4</u>
<u>Statements of Changes in Owners' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009</u>	<u>F-5</u>
<u>Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009</u>	<u>F-7</u>
<u>Notes to Combined Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	<u>F-8</u>
<u>Schedule III Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation as of December 31, 2011</u>	<u>F-46</u>

F-1

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Trustees and Shareholders
RLJ Lodging Trust:

In our opinion, the accompanying combined consolidated balance sheets and the related combined consolidated statements of operations, of changes in equity and comprehensive income (loss) and of cash flows present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of RLJ Lodging Trust and its subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In addition, in our opinion, the financial statement schedule listed in the accompanying index presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein when read in conjunction with the related combined consolidated financial statements. These financial statements and financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial statement schedule based on our audits. We conducted our audits of these statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

McLean, Virginia
March 8, 2012

Table of Contents**RLJ Lodging Trust****Combined Consolidated Balance Sheets****(Amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)**

	December 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
Assets		
Investment in hotel properties, net	\$ 2,820,457	\$ 2,626,690
Investment in loans	12,633	12,840
Cash and cash equivalents	310,231	267,454
Restricted cash reserves	87,288	70,520
Hotel receivables, net of allowance of \$150 and \$406, respectively	20,081	19,556
Deferred financing costs, net	9,639	9,298
Deferred income tax asset	1,369	799
Prepaid expense and other assets	28,320	38,667
Total assets	\$ 3,290,018	\$ 3,045,824
Liabilities and Owners' Equity		
Mortgage loans	\$ 1,341,735	\$ 1,747,077
Interest rate swap liability	1,796	3,820
Accounts payable and accrued expense	86,213	60,973
Deferred income tax liability	3,314	799
Advance deposits and deferred revenue	4,781	5,927
Accrued interest	2,115	3,495
Distributions payable	16,076	
Total liabilities	1,456,030	1,822,091
Equity		
Partners' capital		
Fund II general partner		(13,409)
Fund II limited partners		433,013
Fund III general partner		(23,328)
Fund III limited partners		811,918
Members' capital		
Class A members		6,592
Class B members		4,751
Fund II Series A preferred units, no par value, 12.5%, 250 units authorized, issued and outstanding at December 31, 2010		189
Fund III Series A preferred units, no par value, 12.5%, 250 units authorized, issued and outstanding at December 31, 2010		190
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(1,782)	(3,806)
Shareholders' equity:		
Preferred shares of beneficial interest, \$0.01 par value, 50,000,000 shares authorized; zero shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2011		
Common shares of beneficial interest, \$0.01 par value, 450,000,000 shares authorized; 106,279,049 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2011		
Additional paid-in-capital	1,835,011	
Distributions in excess of net earnings	(18,960)	
Total shareholders' equity	1,817,114	
Noncontrolling interest		
Noncontrolling interest in joint venture	7,170	7,623
Noncontrolling interest in Operating Partnership	11,486	

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

Total noncontrolling interest	18,656	7,623
Total equity	1,833,988	1,223,733
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 3,290,018	\$ 3,045,824

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these combined consolidated financial statements.

F-3

Table of Contents**RLJ Lodging Trust****Combined Consolidated Statements of Operations**

(Amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)

	For the year ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Revenue			
Hotel operating revenue			
Room revenue	\$ 656,997	\$ 445,630	\$ 389,622
Food and beverage revenue	81,781	57,710	54,806
Other operating department revenue	20,174	13,326	11,333
Total revenue	758,952	516,666	455,761
Expense			
Hotel operating expense			
Room	147,039	96,389	84,131
Food and beverage	56,606	37,798	35,379
Management fees	26,056	18,373	16,510
Other hotel expenses	231,602	159,267	143,379
Total hotel operating expense	461,303	311,827	279,399
Depreciation and amortization	128,112	96,940	91,503
Impairment loss			61,426
Property tax, insurance and other	46,605	32,500	33,191
General and administrative	24,253	19,542	18,208
Transaction and pursuit costs	3,996	14,345	8,665
IPO Costs	10,733		
Total operating expense	675,002	475,154	492,392
Operating income (loss)	83,950	41,512	(36,631)
Other income	1,001	629	955
Interest income	1,682	3,352	618
Interest expense	(96,020)	(86,735)	(87,849)
Loss from continuing operations before income taxes	(9,387)	(41,242)	(122,907)
Income tax expense	(740)	(945)	(1,801)
Loss from continuing operations	(10,127)	(42,187)	(124,708)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	21,836	19,571	(43,290)
Net income (loss)	11,709	(22,616)	(167,998)
Net loss (income) attributable to non-controlling interests Noncontrolling interest in joint venture	(47)	213	
Noncontrolling interest in common units of Operating Partnership	(255)		
Net income (loss) attributable to the Company	11,407	(22,403)	(167,998)
Distributions to preferred unitholders	(61)	(62)	(62)

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders	\$	11,346	\$ (22,465)	\$ (168,060)
Basic and diluted per common share data:				
Net loss per share attributable to common shareholders before discontinued operations basic and diluted	\$	(0.11)		
Discontinued operations		0.23		
Net income per share attributable to common shareholders basic and diluted	\$	0.12		
Weighted-average number of common shares basic and diluted		95,340,666		

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these combined consolidated financial statements.

F-4

Table of Contents**RLJ Lodging Trust****Combined Consolidated Statement of Changes in Equity and Comprehensive Income (Loss)**

(Amounts in thousands, except share data)

Partners' Capital

	Fund II		Fund III		Members' Capital		Preferred Units		Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Noncontrolling Interest	Total Owners' Equity
	General Partner	Limited Partners	General Partner	Limited Partners	Class A	Class B	Fund II	Fund III			
Balance at December 31, 2008	\$ (9,625)	\$ 617,344	\$ (12,178)	\$ 36,667	\$ 14,217	\$ 5,988	\$ 189	\$ 190	\$ (32,060)		\$ 620,732
Components of comprehensive loss:											
Net loss	(115)	(155,411)	(5)	(11,751)	(537)	(179)					(167,998)
Reclassification adjustment for gains included in net loss									(150)		(150)
Unrealized gain on interest rate derivatives									17,354		17,354
Total comprehensive loss											(150,794)
Partners' contributions	6,946	8,645	8,042	25,278							48,911
Partners' distributions	(8,646)	(10,644)	(13,711)								(33,001)
Members' contributions											
Members' distributions					(37)	(2)					(39)
Distributions to preferred unitholders		(31)		(31)							(62)
Balance at December 31, 2009	\$ (11,440)	\$ 459,903	\$ (17,852)	\$ 50,163	\$ 13,643	\$ 5,807	\$ 189	\$ 190	\$ (14,856)		\$ 485,747
Components of comprehensive loss:											
Net (loss) income	(20)	(26,859)	(8)	(16,379)	15,658	5,205				(213)	(22,616)
Reclassification adjustment for gains included in net loss									(58)		(58)
Unrealized gain on interest rate derivatives									11,108		11,108
Total comprehensive loss											(11,566)
Partners' contributions	6,697	8,646	8,243	778,165							801,751
Partners' distributions	(8,646)	(8,646)	(13,711)								(31,003)
Members' distributions					(22,709)	(6,261)					(28,970)
Noncontrolling interest recorded upon the Doubletree Metropolitan hotel acquisition										7,836	7,836
Distributions to preferred unitholders		(31)		(31)							(62)
Balance at December 31, 2010	\$ (13,409)	\$ 433,013	\$ (23,328)	\$ 811,918	\$ 6,592	\$ 4,751	\$ 189	\$ 190	\$ (3,806)	\$ 7,623	\$ 1,223,733

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these combined consolidated financial statements.

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

RLJ Lodging Trust

Combined Consolidated Statement of Changes in Equity and Comprehensive Income (Loss) (Continued)

(Amounts in thousands, except share data)

	Partners' Capital						Shareholders' Equity								
	Fund II		Fund III		Members' Capital		Preferred Units		Common Stock			Noncontrolling Interests			
	General Partner	Limited Partners	General Partner	Limited Partners	Class A	Class B	Fund I	Fund III	Shares	Par Value	Additional Paid-Capital	Distributions in excess of net earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Operating Partnership	Consolidated Joint Venture Interests
December 31, 2010	\$ (13,409)	\$ 433,013	\$ (23,328)	\$ 811,918	\$ 6,592	\$ 4,751	\$ 189	\$ 190		\$	\$	\$	\$ (3,806)	\$	\$ 7,623
Comprehensive income:															
Interest rate derivatives	(7)	(9,444)		(234)	(256)	(85)						21,433		255	47
Operating income															
Depreciation	4,258	3,291	5,031	114,141											
Amortization	(3,230)	(4,876)	(3,798)	(4,392)											
Provision for doubtful accounts					(2,547)	(557)									
Change in fair value of common stock, net									31,595,000	316	527,977				
Redeemed stock									1,120,830	11	(11)				
Share based compensation											3,284				
Dividends									7,810		120				
Provision to satisfy minimum state tax withholding															
Redeemed stock									(47,542)		(702)				
Redeemed stock									(3,000)						
Partners' equity for common partner	12,388	(421,960)	22,095	(921,396)	(3,789)	(4,109)	61	60	73,605,951	736	1,304,343			11,571	11,571
Preferred unitholders		(24)		(37)											(500)
Preferred units							(250)	(250)							
Common shares and units												(40,393)		(340)	
December 31, 2011	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ (18,960)	\$ (1,782)	\$ 11,486	\$ 7,170

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these combined consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**RLJ Lodging Trust****Combined Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows**

(Amounts in thousands)

	For the year ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net income (loss)	\$ 11,709	\$ (22,616)	\$ (167,998)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to cash flow provided by operating activities:			
Gain on sale of properties		(23,710)	
Gain on extinguishment of indebtedness	(23,515)		
Depreciation and amortization	130,714	100,793	98,884
Amortization of deferred financing costs	5,842	3,083	3,781
Amortization of deferred management fees	1,000	1,000	1,000
Impairment loss			98,372
Share grants to trustees	120		
Amortization of share based compensation	3,284		
Unrealized gain on interest rate swaps		(58)	(149)
Changes in assets and liabilities:			
Hotel receivables, net	31	(7,431)	(890)
Prepaid expense and other assets	(6,723)	2,048	1,006
Accounts payable and accrued expense	12,618	8,247	(6,130)
Advance deposits and deferred revenue	(1,525)	342	350
Accrued interest	(1,380)	2,380	626
Deferred tax liability	1,945		
Net cash flow provided by operating activities	134,120	64,078	28,852
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Acquisition of hotel properties, net of cash acquired	(236,858)	(828,872)	(145,315)
Purchase deposit		(8,500)	
Investment in loans			(12,917)
Proceeds from principal payments on investment in loans	207	68	9
Improvements and additions to hotel properties	(93,477)	(15,984)	(20,028)
Additions to property and equipment	(116)	(80)	(584)
Advances from related parties		10,103	
Repayments to related parties			(10,592)
Proceeds from sale of hotel properties		72,747	
Funding of restricted cash reserves, net	(16,768)	(16,089)	(8,618)
Other investing activities			20
Net cash flow used in investing activities	(347,012)	(786,607)	(198,025)
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Borrowings under credit facility		589,146	150,983
Repayments under credit facility		(735,129)	(6,000)
Proceeds from term loan	140,000		
Repayments of term loan	(140,000)		
Proceeds from mortgage loans	142,000	331,000	14,777
Payment of mortgage principal	(489,342)	(79,706)	(10,808)
Payment of members' distributions	(3,104)	(28,971)	(39)
Proceeds from partners' contributions	126,721	801,750	48,911
Payment of partners' distributions	(16,296)	(31,003)	(33,001)
Redemption of preferred units	(500)		
Proceeds from issuance of common shares	567,672		
Payment of offering costs	(39,379)		
Distributions to noncontrolling interest	(500)		
Repurchase of common stock	(702)		
Distributions on common shares	(24,451)		
Distributions on OP units	(206)		

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

Payment of preferred unitholder distributions	(61)	(62)	(62)
Payment of deferred financing costs	(6,183)	(8,424)	(387)
Net cash flow provided by financing activities	255,669	838,601	164,374
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	42,777	116,072	(4,799)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	267,454	151,382	156,181
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 310,231	\$ 267,454	\$ 151,382

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these combined consolidated financial statements.

F-7

Table of Contents

RLJ Lodging Trust

Notes to the Combined Consolidated Financial Statements

1. Organization

RLJ Lodging Trust (the "Company") was formed as a Maryland real estate investment trust ("REIT") on January 31, 2011. The Company is a self-advised and self-administered REIT that invests primarily in premium-branded, focused-service and compact full-service hotels. The Company completed the initial public offering of its common shares of beneficial interest (the "IPO") on May 16, 2011. The IPO resulted in the sale of 27,500,000 common shares at a price per share of \$18.00 and generated gross proceeds of \$495.0 million. The aggregate proceeds to the Company, net of underwriters' discounts in connection with the IPO, were approximately \$464.1 million. On June 3, 2011, the Company issued and sold an additional 4,095,000 common shares at a price per share of \$18.00 upon exercise of the underwriters' overallotment option (the "Overallotment"), generating gross proceeds of approximately \$73.7 million. The Company received aggregate proceeds, net of underwriters' discounts, in connection with the Overallotment of approximately \$69.1 million. Subsequent to the IPO, the Company contributed the net proceeds from the IPO, including proceeds received from the Overallotment, to the Company's operating partnership, RLJ Lodging Trust, L.P. (the "Operating Partnership"), which was formed as a Delaware limited partnership on January 31, 2011, in exchange for units of limited partnership interest in the Operating Partnership ("OP units"). The Operating Partnership holds substantially all of the Company's assets and conducts substantially all of its business. Upon completion of the IPO, the Company owned approximately 99.1% of the aggregate OP units. The Company intends to elect and qualify to be taxed as a REIT, for U.S. federal income tax purposes, commencing with the portion of its taxable year ending December 31, 2011.

Upon completion of the IPO and related formation transactions, the Company succeeded to the operations and hotel investment and ownership platform of RLJ Development, LLC ("RLJ Development"), and the lodging assets of RLJ Lodging Fund II, L.P. (and its parallel fund) ("Fund II") and RLJ Real Estate Fund III, L.P. (and its parallel fund) ("Fund III"), which collectively comprise the Company's predecessor (the "RLJ Predecessor"). Accordingly, the RLJ Predecessor was not a separate legal entity. RLJ Development, Fund II and Fund III were entities under the common control of Robert L. Johnson, the Company's Executive Chairman, and were formed for the purpose of acquiring and operating hotel properties. Upon completion of the IPO and formation transactions, all of the existing investors in RLJ Development, Fund II and Fund III received common shares or OP units, as applicable, as consideration for their respective interests in RLJ Development, Fund II and Fund III, and as a result became equity owners of the Company and/or the Operating Partnership, as applicable.

Due to the timing of the IPO and the formation transactions, the Company's financial condition as of December 31, 2010 and results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 reflect the financial condition and results of operations of the RLJ Predecessor. The Company's results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2011 reflect the financial condition and results of operations of the RLJ Predecessor together with the Company, while the financial condition as of December 31, 2011 reflects solely the Company.

Substantially all of the Company's assets are held by, and all of its operations are conducted through, the Operating Partnership. The Company is the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership. The Company owned, through a combination of direct and indirect interests, 99.2% of the OP units at December 31, 2011. See Note 9 for additional disclosures on OP units.

As of December 31, 2011, the Company owned interests in 141 hotels with 20,646 rooms located in 20 states and the District of Columbia, interests in land parcels located adjacent to certain hotels and an interest in two mortgage loans secured by hotels. The Company, through wholly-owned subsidiaries,

Table of Contents

RLJ Lodging Trust

Notes to the Combined Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

1. Organization (Continued)

owned a 100% interest in all of its assets, with the exception of the Doubletree Metropolitan Hotel New York City, in which the Company, through wholly-owned subsidiaries, owned a 95% interest in a joint venture, DBT Met Hotel Venture, LP, which was formed to engage in hotel operations related to the Doubletree Metropolitan hotel. An independent hotel operator manages each hotel.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation and Principles of Consolidation

The combined consolidated financial statements have been prepared on the accrual basis of accounting in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP").

The combined consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company, the Operating Partnership and its wholly-owned subsidiaries, including joint ventures. All significant intercompany balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

The combined consolidated financial statements for the periods prior to the May 16, 2011 IPO of RLJ Lodging Trust include the accounts of Fund II, Fund III, and RLJ Development and their respective wholly-owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany balances have been eliminated in consolidation. RLJ Development, Fund II and Fund III were entities under the common control of Robert L. Johnson and were formed for the purpose of acquiring and operating hotel properties. As part of the IPO and related formation transactions, the Company acquired certain of the assets of RLJ Development, including employees, furniture, fixtures and equipment ("FF&E") and leases, which represented substantially all of RLJ Development's business. Since these three entities were under common control and the Company succeeded to their operations and businesses, the combined entities of Fund II, Fund III and RLJ Development, for the periods prior to the May 16, 2011 IPO, are presented as the RLJ Predecessor and referred to as the Company.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of the financial statements and accompanying notes in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of certain assets and liabilities and the amounts of contingent assets and liabilities at the balance sheet date and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Reporting Periods

As of December 31, 2011, the Company owned four hotels that are managed by affiliates of Marriott International ("Marriott"). The Company's hotels managed by Marriott are accounted for on a fiscal year comprised of 52 or 53 weeks ending on the Friday closest to December 31. The Company's results for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 include the results of operations for the Company's Marriott-managed hotels for the 52-week periods ending December 30, 2011, December 31, 2010 and January 1, 2010, respectively.

Table of Contents

RLJ Lodging Trust

Notes to the Combined Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Reclassifications

Certain de minimus prior year amounts in these financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation with no impact to net income, shareholders' equity or cash flows.

Risks and Uncertainties

During the last economic recession, the Company experienced reduced demand for its hotel rooms and services. While uncertainty over the continued economic recovery may impact the lodging industry and the Company's financial results and growth, the Company remains cautiously optimistic that its future financial results and growth will benefit from current economic conditions and favorable lodging fundamentals.

The Company owns four, 23 and 17 hotels located in the New York, New York; Chicago, Illinois; and Austin, Texas metropolitan areas, respectively, that accounted for 16.4%, 12.9% and 10.7% of our total revenue, respectively. As a result, the Company is susceptible to adverse market conditions in these areas, including industry downturns, relocation of businesses and any oversupply of hotel rooms or a reduction in lodging demand.

The Company owns 100 and 28 hotels that operate under Marriott and Hilton brands, respectively. As a result, the Company is subject to the potential risks associated with the concentration of its hotels under a limited number of brands. A negative public image or other adverse event that becomes associated with either of these brands could adversely affect hotels operated under the respective brand. If either of these brands suffers a significant decline in appeal to the traveling public, the revenues and profitability of the Company's branded hotels could be adversely affected.

The Company owns 104 hotels that are managed by White Lodging Services Corporation ("WLS"). As a result, the Company is subject to the potential risks associated with the concentration of the majority of our hotels under a single management company. A negative public image or other adverse event that becomes associated with or impacts WLS could adversely affect the revenues and profitability of hotels that are managed by them.

Revenue Recognition

The Company's revenue comprises hotel operating revenue, such as room revenue, food and beverage revenue and revenue from other hotel operating departments (such as telephone, parking and business centers). These revenues are recorded net of any sales and occupancy taxes collected from guests. All rebates or discounts are recorded as a reduction in revenue, and there are no material contingent obligations with respect to rebates and discounts offered by the hotels. All revenues are recorded on an accrual basis as earned. Appropriate allowances are made for doubtful accounts and are recorded as bad debt expense. The allowances are calculated as a percentage of aged accounts receivable, based on individual hotel management company policy. Cash received prior to guest arrival is recorded as an advance from the guest and recognized as revenue at the time of occupancy.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The estimated fair values of financial instruments have been determined by the Company using available market information and appropriate valuation methods. Considerable judgment is required in

Table of Contents

RLJ Lodging Trust

Notes to the Combined Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

interpreting market data to develop the estimates of fair value. Accordingly, the estimates presented herein are not necessarily indicative of the amounts that the Company could realize in a current market exchange. The use of different market assumptions and/or estimation methods may have a material effect on the estimated fair value amounts. The Company used the following market assumptions and/or estimation methods:

Cash and cash equivalents, hotel receivables, accounts payable and accrued expenses The carrying amounts reported in the combined consolidated balance sheets for these financial instruments approximate fair value because of their short maturities.

Investment in collateralized loans Fair value is determined by discounting the future contractual cash flows to the present value using a current market interest rate. The market rate is determined by giving consideration to one or both of the following criteria, as appropriate: (1) interest rates for loans of comparable quality and maturity, and (2) the value of the underlying collateral. The fair values of the Company's investment in collateralized loans are generally classified within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy. The fair value estimated at December 31, 2011 and 2010 was \$22.6 million and \$22.1 million, respectively.

Interest rate swaps and caps The fair value of interest rate swaps and caps is determined as discussed in Note 12 to these financial statements.

Variable rate mortgage notes payable and borrowings under the credit facility The carrying amounts reported in the combined consolidated balance sheets for these financial instruments approximate fair value. The Company estimates the fair value of its variable rate debt by using quoted market rates for similar loans with similar terms.

Fixed rate mortgage notes payable The fair value estimated at December 31, 2011 and 2010 of \$771.5 million and \$796.5 million, respectively (excluding loans collateralized by properties held for sale), is calculated based on the net present value of payments over the term of the loans using estimated market rates for similar mortgage loans with similar terms

Investment in Hotel Properties

Hotel acquisitions consist almost exclusively of land, land improvements, buildings, building improvements, furniture, fixtures and equipment and inventory. The Company records the purchase price among these asset classes based on their respective fair values. When the Company acquires properties, they are acquired for use. Generally, the Company does not acquire any significant in-place leases or other intangible assets (e.g., management agreements, franchise agreements or trademarks) when hotels are acquired. The only intangible assets acquired through December 31, 2011 consisted of favorable lease agreements and miscellaneous operating agreements, which are short-term in nature. In conjunction with the acquisition of a hotel, the Company typically negotiates new franchise and management agreements with the selected brand and manager.

The Company's investments in hotels are carried at cost and are depreciated using the straight-line method over estimated useful lives of 15 years for land improvements, 15 years for building improvements, 40 years for buildings and three to five years for furniture, fixtures and equipment. Intangible assets arising from favorable or unfavorable leases are amortized using the straight-line method over the non-cancelable portion of the term of the agreement. Maintenance and repairs are expensed and major renewals or improvements are capitalized. Upon the sale or disposition of a fixed

Table of Contents

RLJ Lodging Trust

Notes to the Combined Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

asset, the asset and related accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts and the related gain or loss is included in discontinued operations.

The Company considers each individual hotel to be an identifiable component of the business. In accordance with the impairment or disposal of long-lived assets guidance, the Company does not consider a hotel as "held for sale" until it is probable that the sale will be completed within one year and the other requisite criteria for such classification have been met. Once a hotel is designated as "held for sale" the operations for that hotel are included in discontinued operations. The Company does not depreciate hotel assets so long as they are classified as "held for sale." Upon designation of a hotel as being "held for sale" and quarterly thereafter, the Company reviews the realizability of the carrying value, less cost to sell, in accordance with the guidance. Any such adjustment in the carrying value of a hotel classified as "held for sale" is reflected in discontinued operations. The Company includes in discontinued operations the operating results of those hotels that are classified as "held for sale."

The Company assesses the carrying values of each hotel whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amounts of these hotels may not be fully recoverable. Recoverability of the hotel is measured by comparison of the carrying amount of the hotel to the estimated future undiscounted cash flows which take into account current market conditions and the Company's intent with respect to holding or disposing of the hotel. If the Company's analysis indicates that the carrying value of the hotel is not recoverable on an undiscounted cash flow basis, it recognizes an impairment charge for the amount by which the carrying value exceeds the fair value of the hotel. Fair value is determined through various valuation techniques, including internally developed discounted cash flow models, comparable market transactions and third party appraisals, where considered necessary.

The use of projected future cash flows is based on assumptions that are consistent with a market participant's future expectations for the travel industry and economy in general and the Company's plans to manage the underlying hotels. However, assumptions and estimates about future cash flows and capitalization rates are complex and subjective. Changes in economic and operating conditions and the Company's ultimate investment intent that occur subsequent to a current impairment analysis could impact these assumptions and result in future impairment charges of the hotels.

Investment in Loans

The Company holds investments in two collateralized mortgage loans. The loans are collateralized by the related hotels and were recorded at acquisition at their initial investment, which includes the amount paid to the seller plus any fees paid or less any fees received. The acquired loans were of a deteriorated credit quality as the loans were already in default, as of the date they were acquired by the Company and therefore the amounts paid for the loans reflected the Company's determination that it was probable the Company would be unable to collect all amounts due pursuant to the loan's contractual terms.

When the loans were acquired, the Company commenced foreclosure proceedings. The Company initially acquired the loans for the reward of ownership of the underlying collateral and as a result placed the loans on non-accrual status and recognized income on a cash-basis method. The loans were brought current when the borrower paid all outstanding regular monthly payments and interest accrued.

Table of Contents

RLJ Lodging Trust

Notes to the Combined Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

As the loans are no longer in default, the Company determined that the cash flows can reasonably be estimated and therefore the loans are no longer on non-accrual status.

The Company considers expected prepayments, and estimates the amount and timing of undiscounted expected principal, interest and other cash flows. The Company determines any excess of the loan's scheduled contractual principal and contractual interest payments over all cash flows expected at acquisition as an amount that should not be accreted. The remaining amount, representing the excess of the loan's cash flows expected to be collected over the amount paid to acquire the loan is accreted into interest income over the remaining life of the loan. The Company will regularly re-estimate cash flows expected to be collected over the life of the loan. Any changes in future cash flows expected to be collected will result in a prospective adjustment to the interest yield which will be recognized over the loan's remaining life. A reserve will be established if the present value of payments expected to be received, observable market prices, or the estimated fair value of the collateral (for loans that are dependent on the collateral for repayment) of an impaired loan is lower than the carrying value of that loan. As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, no reserves for loan losses were deemed necessary.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

All highly liquid investments with a maturity of three months or less when purchased are considered to be cash equivalents. The Company maintains cash balances in domestic banks, which, at times, may exceed the limits of amounts insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

Restricted Cash Reserves

All cash that is required to be maintained in a reserve escrow account by a management agreement, franchise agreement and/or a mortgage agreement for replacement of furniture, fixtures and equipment and funding of real estate taxes and insurance is considered to be restricted cash reserves. Net cash from operations from Courtyard Goshen is distributed monthly to the lender as a result of an event of default on its mortgage loan. The cash distributed to the lender is considered to be restricted cash reserves.

Hotel Receivables

Hotel receivables consist mainly of hotel guest and meeting and banquet room rental receivables. The Company does not generally require collateral, as ongoing credit evaluations are performed and an allowance for doubtful accounts is established against any receivable that is estimated to be uncollectible.

Deferred Financing Fees

Deferred financing fees relate to costs incurred to obtain long-term financing of hotel properties. Deferred financing fees are recorded at cost and are amortized using the straight-line method, which approximates the effective interest method, over the respective terms of the mortgage loans that are collateralized by the hotel properties and over the term of the Company's \$300.0 million credit facility, as applicable (see Note 7) and are included as a component of interest expense. For the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, approximately \$5.8 million, \$2.5 million and \$3.0 million (excluding discontinued operations), respectively, of amortization expense was recorded as a component of interest

Table of Contents

RLJ Lodging Trust

Notes to the Combined Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

expense. Accumulated amortization at December 31, 2011 and 2010 was approximately \$16.8 million and \$11.0 million (excluding discontinued operations), respectively.

Deferred Management Fees

In June 2006, in consideration for the agreement of WLS to enter into new management agreements on terms favorable to Fund II, a subsidiary of Fund II made a one-time payment of \$20.0 million to WLS. This payment was recorded at cost, and is being amortized as a component of management fee expense, which is included in management fees, over the 20-year initial term of the management agreement. For the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, \$1.0 million of amortization expense was recorded in each year with respect to deferred management fees. As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, accumulated amortization was approximately \$5.6 million and \$4.6 million, respectively.

Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Comprehensive income (loss) includes net income (loss) and other comprehensive income (loss). Other comprehensive income (loss) is comprised of unrealized gains and losses resulting from hedging activities.

Advertising Costs

The Company expenses advertising costs as incurred. Advertising expense was approximately \$4.0 million, \$2.2 million and \$2.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively, (excluding discontinued operations) and is included in other hotel operating expenses.

Transaction and Pursuit Costs

The Company incurs costs during the review of potential property acquisitions, including legal fees, architectural costs, environmental reviews and market studies. These costs are expensed as incurred.

On February 26, 2009, Fund II terminated its obligation to purchase two additional properties under a purchase and sale agreement. Approximately \$5.6 million was paid as a termination fee, which is included in transaction and pursuit costs for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Derivative Financial Instruments

In the normal course of business, the Company is exposed to the effects of interest rate changes. As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, approximately 25.1% and 24.9%, respectively, of the Company's borrowings were subject to variable rates. The Company limits the risks associated with interest rate changes by following the Company's established risk management policies and procedures, including the use of derivatives. The Company utilizes derivative financial instruments to manage, or hedge, interest rate risk. The Company attempts to require that hedging derivative instruments be effective in reducing the interest rate risk exposure that they are designated to hedge. This effectiveness is essential in order to qualify for hedge accounting. Instruments that meet these hedging criteria are formally designated as hedges at the inception of the derivative contract. When the terms of an underlying transaction are modified, or when the underlying hedged item ceases to exist, all changes in the fair value of the

Table of Contents

RLJ Lodging Trust

Notes to the Combined Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

instrument are marked-to-market with changes in value included in net income each period until the instrument matures.

The Company utilizes a variety of borrowing vehicles including a credit facility and medium and long-term financings. To reduce the Company's susceptibility to interest rate variability, the Company uses interest rate instruments, typically interest rate swaps and caps, to convert a portion of variable rate debt to fixed rate debt.

Interest rate differentials that arise under interest rate swap and cap contracts are recognized in interest expense over the life of the contracts. Interest rate swap and cap agreements contain a credit risk that counterparties may be unable to fulfill the terms of the agreement. The Company has minimized that risk by evaluating the creditworthiness of its counterparties, who are limited to major banks and financial institutions, and it does not anticipate nonperformance by the counterparties.

Gains and losses on swap and cap agreements determined to be effective hedges are reported in other comprehensive income (loss) and are reclassified to earnings in the period in which earnings are affected by the underlying hedged item. The ineffective portion of all hedged items is recognized in earnings in the current period. At December 31, 2011 and 2010, the aggregate fair value of approximately \$1.8 million and \$3.8 million, respectively, of the swap and cap agreements was recorded as a liability in the accompanying combined consolidated financial statements.

Distributions by the RLJ Predecessor

Fund II was required to make quarterly distributions to the Fund II General Partner and Fund II's limited partners in accordance with the Fund II LP Agreements. Distributable proceeds were apportioned among the Fund II General Partner and the Fund II limited partners in proportion to their respective percentage interests and then distributed to each partner (i) first, to partners until each has received a hurdle return of 9%, (ii) second, to partners until each partners' respective unreturned invested equity is reduced to zero, and (iii) thereafter 80% to limited partners and 20% to the Fund II General Partner. As of December 31, 2010 and through the date of the closing of the IPO on May 16, 2011, an aggregate of approximately \$158.8 million (excluding advisory fees, see Note 12) had been distributed to partners.

Fund III was required to make quarterly distributions to the Fund III General Partner and Fund III's limited partners in accordance with the Fund III LP Agreements. Distributable proceeds were apportioned among the Fund III General Partner and the Fund III LP limited partners in proportion to their respective percentage interests and then distributed to each partner (i) first, to partners until each has received a hurdle return of 9%, (ii) second, to partners until each partners' respective unreturned invested equity is reduced to zero, (iii) third, 80% to partners and 20% to the Fund III General Partner, until each partner has received an internal rate of return of 11%, (iv) fourth, 60% to partners and 40% to the Fund III General Partner until the aggregate amount under (i) and (iii) distributed to the Fund III General Partner equals 20% of the aggregate amount distributed to the partners, and (v) thereafter 80% to limited partners and 20% to the Fund III General Partner. As of December 31, 2010 and through the date of the closing of the IPO on May 16, 2011, no distributions had been made to partners (excluding advisory fees, see Note 12).

Fund II, through wholly-owned subsidiaries, made distributions to preferred unitholders semi-annually on June 30 and December 31 each year. As of December 31, 2010 and through the date

Table of Contents

RLJ Lodging Trust

Notes to the Combined Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

of the closing of the IPO on May 16, 2011, an aggregate of approximately \$0.1 million had been distributed to preferred unitholders.

Fund III, through wholly-owned subsidiaries, made distributions to preferred unitholders semi-annually on June 30 and December 31 each year. As of December 31, 2010 and through the date of the closing of the IPO on May 16, 2011, an aggregate of approximately \$0.1 million had been distributed to preferred unitholders.

Pursuant to the terms of the RLJ Development's Limited Liability Company Agreement (the "LLC Agreement"), distributions were made at the discretion of the managing member. Distributions were made to Members in the following priority; (i), first to Class A Members who are entitled to receive any unpaid preferred return until the unpaid preferred return is reduced to zero; (ii), next to each Member, an amount equal to the excess of the tax rate percentage (as defined by the LLC Agreement) multiplied by the aggregate amount of net profits allocated to such members; (iii), next to Class A Members in proportion to the respective amounts of their unreturned capital (as defined by the LLC Agreement), until the unreturned capital of all Class A Members is reduced to zero; (iv), thereafter, among the Members in proportion to their respective membership percentage interests.

Distributions by the Company

The Company's Board of Trustees determines the amount of future distributions based upon various factors including operating results, economic conditions, other operating trends, our financial condition and capital requirements, as well as minimum REIT distribution requirements. The Company's ability to make distributions is dependent on our receipt of quarterly distributions from the Operating Partnership and the Operating Partnership's ability to make distributions is dependent upon the results of operations of our hotels.

Allocation of Profits and Losses

Profits and losses of Fund II were allocated to the Fund II General Partner and Fund II's limited partners in accordance with the Fund II LP Agreements. Profits and losses were apportioned among the Fund II General Partner and the Fund II limited partners in proportion to their respective percentage interests (i) first, to partners until each has received a hurdle return of 9%, (ii) second, to partners until each partners' respective unreturned invested equity is reduced to zero, and (iii) thereafter 80% to limited partners and 20% to the Fund II General Partner.

Profits and losses of Fund III were allocated to the Fund III General Partner and Fund III's limited partners in accordance with the Fund III LP Agreements. Profits and losses were apportioned among the Fund III General Partner and the Fund III limited partners in proportion to their respective percentage interests (i) first, to partners until each has received a hurdle return of 9%, (ii) second, to partners until each partners' respective unreturned invested equity is reduced to zero, (iii) third, 80% to partners and 20% to the Fund III General Partner, until each partner has received an internal rate of return of 11%, (iv) fourth, 60% to partners and 40% to the Fund III General Partner until the aggregate amount under (i) and (iii) distributed to the Fund III General Partner equals 20% of the aggregate amount distributed to the partners, and (v) thereafter 80% to limited partners and 20% to the Fund III General Partner.

Table of Contents

RLJ Lodging Trust

Notes to the Combined Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Profits of RLJ Development were allocated in accordance with the LLC Agreement: (i) first, to Members who received allocations of losses for earlier periods in proportion to the cumulative amount of those losses; (ii) next, to Class A Members in proportion to their respective percentage interests, until those Members have received cumulative allocation of profits for the current year and all prior years not offset by losses allocated to them equal to the cumulative amount of their annual preferred return; and (iii) thereafter, to the Members in proportion to their respective membership percentage interests. Losses of RLJ Development were allocated to Members in the following order or priority: (i) first, to Members who received allocations of profits in earlier fiscal years in proportion to the cumulative amount of profits previously allocated to them; (ii) next, to Members who have a positive capital account in proportion to the respective amounts of their positive capital accounts until the accounts are reduced to zero; and (iii) thereafter, to the Members in proportion to their respective percentage interests.

Noncontrolling Interests

As of December 31, 2011, the Company consolidates DBT Met Hotel Venture, LP, a majority-owned partnership that has a third-party, noncontrolling 5.0% ownership interest. The third-party partnership interest is included in noncontrolling interest in joint venture on the balance sheet. Profits and losses are allocated in proportion to each party's respective ownership interest.

As of December 31, 2011, the Company consolidates RLJ Lodging Trust, LP, the Operating Partnership, which is a majority-owned partnership that has a third-party, noncontrolling 0.8% ownership interest. The third-party partnership interest is included in noncontrolling interest in operating partnership on the balance sheet. Profits and losses are allocated in proportion to each party's respective ownership interest.

Income Taxes

The Company has elected to be taxed as a real estate investment trust under Sections 856 through 860 of the Internal Revenue Code, as amended commencing with the taxable year ended December 31, 2011. To qualify as a REIT, the Company must meet a number of organizational and operational requirements, including a requirement that it currently distribute at least 90% of its REIT taxable income, subject to certain adjustments and excluding any net capital gain. The Company's current intention is to adhere to these requirements and maintain the qualification for taxation as a REIT. As a REIT, the Company generally is not subject to federal corporate income tax on that portion of net income that is currently distributed. If the Company fails to qualify for taxation as a REIT in any taxable year, it will be subject to federal income taxes at regular corporate rates (including any applicable alternative minimum tax) and may not be able to qualify as a REIT for four subsequent taxable years. Even if the Company qualifies for taxation as a REIT, it may be subject to certain state and local taxes on income and property, and to federal income and excise taxes on undistributed taxable income.

Taxable income from non-REIT activities managed through taxable REIT subsidiaries is subject to federal, state and local income taxes. The taxable REIT subsidiary is required to pay income taxes at the applicable rates. The consolidated income tax provision or benefit includes the income tax provision or benefit related to the operations of the taxable REIT subsidiary as well as state income taxes incurred.

Table of Contents

RLJ Lodging Trust

Notes to the Combined Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Where required, deferred income taxes are accounted for using the asset and liability method. Under this method, deferred income taxes are recognized for temporary differences between the financial reporting bases of assets and liabilities and their respective income tax bases and for operating loss, capital loss and tax credit carryforwards based on enacted income tax rates expected to be in effect when such amounts are realized or settled. However, deferred tax assets are recognized only to the extent that it is more likely than not they will be realized based on consideration of available evidence, including future reversals of existing taxable temporary differences, future projected taxable income and tax planning strategies.

The Company performs an annual review for any uncertain tax positions and, if necessary, will record expected future tax consequences of uncertain tax positions in the financial statements. At December 31, 2011 and 2010, the Company did not identify any uncertain tax positions.

Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per share is calculated by dividing net income by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period excluding the weighted average number of unvested restricted shares ("participating securities" as defined in Note 11). Diluted earnings per share is calculated by dividing net income by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period plus other potentially dilutive securities such as stock grants or shares that would be issued in the event of conversion of operating partnership units. No adjustment is made for shares that are anti-dilutive during a period.

Share Based Compensation

From time to time, the Company may award non-vested shares under the 2011 Equity Incentive Plan (the "2011 Plan"), as compensation to officers, employees and non-employee trustees (see Note 10). The shares issued to officers and employees vest over a period of time as determined by the Board of Trustees at the date of grant. The Company recognizes compensation expense for non-vested shares on a straight-line basis over the vesting period based upon the fair market value of the shares on the date of grant, adjusted for forfeitures.

Environmental

The Company is subject to various Federal, state and local environmental regulations related to its property ownership and operation. The Company has performed environmental assessments of its properties, the results of which have not revealed any environmental liability that the Company believes would have a materially adverse effect on its financial position, operations or liquidity.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Recently Adopted

In 2010, the Company adopted FASB Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2010-06, "Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (820) Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements" ("ASU 2010-06"), which requires new disclosures for transfers in and out of Levels 1 and 2 and activity in Level 3 fair value measurements. ASU 2010-06 also clarifies existing disclosure requirements for the level of disaggregation for each class of assets and liabilities and for the inputs and valuation

Table of Contents

RLJ Lodging Trust

Notes to the Combined Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

techniques used to measure fair value. In 2011, the Company adopted the deferred provision of ASU 2010-06 relating to disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements in the roll forward of activity in Level 3 fair value measurements. The adoption of this ASU had no impact to the Company's financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

Recently Issued But Not Yet Adopted

In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-04, "Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820): Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure requirements in U.S.GAAP and IFRSs" ("ASU 2011-04"). ASU 2011-04 provides new guidance concerning fair value measurements and disclosure. The new guidance is the result of joint efforts by the FASB and the International Accounting Standards Board ("IASB") to develop a single, converged fair value framework on how to measure fair value and the necessary disclosures concerning fair value measurements. The guidance is to be applied prospectively and is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. Early adoption is not permitted. The Company does not expect this ASU to have a material effect on the Company's financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-05, "Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Presentation of Comprehensive Income" ("ASU 2011-05"). ASU 2011-05 revised guidance over the manner in which entities present comprehensive income in the financial statements. This guidance removes the previous presentation options and provides that entities must report comprehensive income in either a continuous statement of comprehensive income or two separate but consecutive statements. This guidance does not change the items that must be reported in other comprehensive income nor does it require incremental disclosures in addition to those previously required. The guidance is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011. The Company does not expect this ASU to have a material effect on the Company's financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

In December 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-11, "Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities" ("ASU 2011-11"). ASU 2011-11 requires disclosures to allow investors to better compare financial statements prepared under U.S. GAAP with financial statements prepared under IFRS. The guidance is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after January 1, 2013. The Company does not expect this ASU to have a material effect on the Company's financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

[Table of Contents](#)**RLJ Lodging Trust****Notes to the Combined Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****3. Acquisition of Hotel Properties**

During the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company, through wholly-owned subsidiaries, acquired the following hotels, which were funded by capital contributions or with cash on hand:

Hotel	Location	Acquisition Date	Management Company	Rooms	Purchase Price	% Interest
Embassy Suites Columbus	Columbus, OH	January 11, 2011	Crescent Hotels and Resorts	221	\$ 9.5 million	100%
Renaissance Pittsburgh Hotel	Pittsburgh, PA	January 12, 2011	Sage Hospitality	300	47.1 million	100%
Courtyard Atlanta Buckhead	Atlanta, GA	January 18, 2011	Noble	181	27.0 million	100%
Doubletree Hotel Columbia	Columbia, MD	January 18, 2011	Urgo Hotels	152	10.5 million	100%
Denver Airport Marriott at Gateway Park	Denver, CO	January 18, 2011	Sage Hospitality	238	46.0 million	100%
Embassy Suites West Palm Beach Central	West Palm Beach, FL	January 18, 2011	Windsor Capital Group	194	16.0 million	100%
Hilton Garden Inn Raleigh Durham Research Triangle Park	Durham, NC	January 24, 2011	Noble Management Group	177	7.0 million	100%
Hilton Garden Inn Pittsburgh University Place	Pittsburgh, PA	January 24, 2011	Urgo Hotels	202	21.2 million	100%
Hampton Inn Houston Near the Galleria	Houston, TX	March 14, 2011	Interstate Hotels and Resorts	176	20.3 million	100%
Courtyard Charleston Historic District	Charleston, SC	October 27, 2011	Noble	176	42.0 million	100%
				2,017	\$ 246.6 million	

During the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company, through wholly-owned subsidiaries, acquired the following hotels, which were funded by capital contributions:

Hotel	Location	Acquisition Date	Management Company	Rooms	Purchase Price	% Interest
Embassy Suites Tampa	Tampa, FL	April 15, 2010	Embassy Suites Management	360	\$ 76.9 million	100%
Fairfield Inn and Suites Washington, DC	Washington, DC	June 1, 2010	Urgo Hotels	198	40.0 million	100%
Embassy Suites Ft Myers/Estero	Ft Myers, FL	June 23, 2010	Embassy Suites Management	150	13.2 million	100%
Homewood Suites Washington DC	Washington, DC	July 1, 2010	Crestline Hotels and Resorts	175	58.5 million	100%
Hilton New York/Fashion District	New York, NY	September 22, 2010	Highgate Hotels	280	121.8 million	100%
Hampton Inn & Suites Denver Tech Center	Denver, CO	October 14, 2010	K Partners Hospitality Group	123	12.9 million	100%
Garden District Hotel	New Orleans, LA	October 26, 2010	n/a	132	6.4 million	100%
Residence Inn Columbia	Columbia, MD	November 5, 2010	Marriott Hotel Services	108	14.0 million	100%
Residence Inn National Harbor Washington, DC	National Harbor, MD	November 5, 2010	Marriott Hotel Services	162	49.0 million	100%
Residence Inn Silver Spring	Silver Spring, MD	November 5, 2010	Marriott Hotel Services	130	25.0 million	100%
Hilton Garden Inn New Orleans Convention Center	New Orleans, LA	November 16, 2010	Interstate Hotels and Resorts	284	25.2 million	100%
				105	12.8 million	100%

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

Hampton Inn West Palm Beach Central Airport	West Palm Beach, FL	November 18, 2010	Interstate Hotels and Resorts				
Hilton Garden Inn West Palm Beach Airport	West Palm Beach, FL	November 18, 2010	Interstate Hotels and Resorts	100	13.0	million	100%
Hollywood Heights Hotel	Los Angeles, CA	December 17, 2010	Interstate Hotels and Resorts	160	29.4	million	100%
Doubletree Metropolitan Hotel New York City	New York, NY	December 23, 2010	Highgate Hotels	759	335.0	million	95%
				3,226	\$ 833.1	million	

F-20

Table of Contents**RLJ Lodging Trust****Notes to the Combined Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****3. Acquisition of Hotel Properties (Continued)**

The allocation of purchase price for the hotel properties acquired and liabilities assumed was as follows (in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2011	2010
Land and land improvements	\$ 31,845	\$ 250,125
Buildings and improvements	191,823	546,940
Furniture, fixtures and equipment	24,791	34,717
Intangibles	559	1,298
Deferred tax liabilities assumed	(2,438)	
Total Purchase Price	\$ 246,580	\$ 833,080

There were no contingent consideration arrangements associated with these acquisitions nor was any goodwill recognized. See Note 19 for detail of non-cash prorations assumed at acquisition dates.

Total revenues and net income (loss) from the hotels acquired during the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, which are included in the accompanying combined consolidated statements of operations for the year ended December 31, 2011, and 2010, respectively, were as follows (in thousands):

	For the year ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Revenue	\$ 69,353	\$ 33,026	\$ 23,921
Net (loss) income	\$ (3,057)	\$ (8,893)	\$ 1,291

The following unaudited condensed pro forma financial information presents the results of operations as if the 2011 acquisitions had taken place on the latter of January 1, 2010 or the opening date of the hotel. The Hilton New York / Fashion District did not open until April 2010. The Garden District Hotel acquired on October 26, 2010 has been closed since 2008 and accordingly has no operating history and is excluded from the condensed pro forma financial information. The following unaudited condensed pro forma financial information presents the results of operations as if the 2010 acquisitions had taken place on the latter of January 1, 2009 or the opening date of the hotel.

The condensed pro forma financial information excludes discontinued operations and is not necessarily indicative of what actual results of operations of the Company would have been assuming the 2011 and 2010 acquisitions had taken place on January 1, 2010 or 2009, respectively, nor does it purport to represent the results of operations for future periods. The unaudited condensed pro forma

Table of Contents**RLJ Lodging Trust****Notes to the Combined Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****3. Acquisition of Hotel Properties (Continued)**

financial information, excluding discontinued operations, is as follows, (in thousands, except share and per share data):

	(unaudited)		
	For the year ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Revenue	\$ 766,454	\$ 713,969	\$ 587,972
Net loss	\$ (7,165)	\$ (15,703)	\$ (120,482)
Net loss per share attributable to common shareholders basic and diluted	\$ (0.08)		

Weighted average number of shares outstanding basic and diluted 93,340,666

4. Discontinued Operations

On November 16, 2009, RLJ Development, through wholly-owned subsidiaries, entered into a purchase and sale agreement to sell six hotels. The assets were reclassified as held for sale and the operating results for the hotels were reclassified to discontinued operations. On April 23, 2010, RLJ Development completed the sale of the six hotels for a total sale price of \$73.5 million. The sale resulted in a gain of approximately \$23.7 million.

On April 23, 2010, the Company defeased five individual mortgages associated with the aforementioned six hotels sold on April 23, 2010 by replacing the original collateral with government securities. These loans carried an outstanding balance of \$34.0 million at April 23, 2010. On April 28, 2010, the Company fully repaid the remaining outstanding \$8.5 million mortgage loan associated with the six hotels sold on April 23, 2010, including a mortgage prepayment penalty totaling \$0.2 million.

In February 2010, Fund II received a notice of event of default for failure to make the required monthly payment on its mortgage loan secured by the New York LaGuardia Airport Marriott located in New York, NY. The mortgage loan matured in July 2010. In April 2011, Fund II escrowed an executed deed in lieu of foreclosure agreement for the benefit of the lenders. On August 5, 2011, the Company transferred title to the hotel to the lenders pursuant to the deed in lieu of foreclosure arrangement. The Company recorded a gain on extinguishment of indebtedness of approximately \$23.5 million to discontinued operations in August 2011 and removed the hotel's net assets and liabilities from its combined consolidated balance sheet at that time.

Table of Contents**RLJ Lodging Trust****Notes to the Combined Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****4. Discontinued Operations (Continued)**

Operating results of discontinued operations were as follows (in thousands):

	For the year ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Net revenues	\$ 16,917	\$ 35,673	\$ 48,465
Operating expenses	18,109	34,165	46,916
Impairment loss			36,946
Operating income (loss)	(1,192)	1,508	(35,397)
Interest expense	(488)	(5,647)	(7,893)
Net loss from discontinued operations, before gain on sale	(1,680)	(4,139)	(43,290)
Gain on extinguishment of indebtedness	23,516		
Gain on sale of properties		23,710	
Net income (loss) from discontinued operations	\$ 21,836	\$ 19,571	\$ (43,290)

5. Investment in Hotel Properties

Investment in hotel properties as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 consisted of the following (in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2011	2010
Land and land improvements	\$ 515,957	\$ 488,031
Buildings and improvements	2,393,329	2,188,153
Furniture, fixtures and equipment	375,561	310,266
Intangibles	1,712	1,298
	3,286,559	2,987,748
Accumulated depreciation and amortization	(466,102)	(361,058)
Investment in hotel properties, net	\$ 2,820,457	\$ 2,626,690

For the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, depreciation and amortization expense related to investment in hotel properties was approximately \$127.2 million, \$96.0 million and \$90.7 million (excluding discontinued operations), respectively. During the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company accelerated approximately \$7.6 million of depreciation on furniture, fixtures and equipment in conjunction with brand conversions under the 2011 capital improvement program.

Impairment

During each of the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, the Company determined there was no impairment on its investment in hotels. During the year ended December 31, 2009, as a result of the general economic recession and reduced demand for its hotel rooms and services resulting from an overall decline in travel demand, the Company assessed the recoverability of the carrying value for all of the hotel assets in its portfolio. This assessment resulted in the Company determining that 16 hotels had carrying values in excess of their fair values and accordingly recorded an impairment charge totaling \$61.4 million. The impairment charge recorded for the New York LaGuardia Airport Marriott for the year ended December 31, 2009 of \$36.9 million was reclassified to discontinued operations.

Table of Contents**RLJ Lodging Trust****Notes to the Combined Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****6. Investment in Loans**

On November 3, 2009, Fund III purchased two mortgage loans collateralized by the SpringHill Suites Houston Medical Park and the Residence Inn Atlanta Midtown for a total purchase price of \$12.7 million. The loans mature on September 6, 2017 and amortize based on a 30 year term. The acquired loans were of deteriorated credit quality as the loans were already in default at the date of the Company's acquisition of the loans, and therefore the amounts paid for the loans reflected the Company's determination that it was probable the Company would be unable to collect all amounts due pursuant to the loan's contractual terms.

Investment in loans as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 consisted of the following (in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2011	2010
Note secured by SpringHill Suites Houston Medical Park	\$ 14,057	\$ 14,229
Note secured by Residence Inn Atlanta Midtown	10,634	10,771
Face Value	\$ 24,691	\$ 25,000
Carrying amount of loans	\$ 12,633	\$ 12,840

	December 31,	
	2011	2010
Accretable yield balance at beginning of year	\$ 7,169	\$
Reclassification from nonaccretable difference	215	7,212
Accretion	(111)	(43)
Accretable yield balance at end of year	\$ 7,273	\$ 7,169

The SpringHill Suites Houston Medical Park and Residence Inn Atlanta Midtown loans each require monthly payments of principal and interest of \$0.1 million. Subsequent to acquisition, Fund III, through wholly-owned subsidiaries, began the foreclosure process to protect its investment. On July 16, 2010, Fund III settled and reinstated both of the loans purchased and reported as investment in loans on the combined consolidated balance sheets. The loans were brought current when the borrower paid all outstanding regular monthly payments due plus default interest of \$0.8 million and reimbursement of legal fees of \$0.1 million. For the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, interest income from the loans was \$1.6 million, \$2.2 million and \$0.1 million, respectively. For the year ended December 31, 2010, interest income included \$0.8 million of default interest.

7. Long-Term Debt*Credit Facility*

The Company entered into an unsecured revolving credit facility on June 20, 2011, that provides for maximum borrowings of up to \$300.0 million. The credit facility requires that a group of no less than 15 of the Company's hotel properties remain unencumbered by outstanding indebtedness. The credit facility contains certain financial covenants relating to the Company's maximum leverage ratio, minimum fixed charge coverage ratio, minimum tangible net worth and maximum secured indebtedness. If an event of default exists, under the terms of the credit facility, the Company is not permitted to

Table of Contents

RLJ Lodging Trust

Notes to the Combined Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

7. Long-Term Debt (Continued)

make distributions to shareholders, other than those required to qualify for and maintain REIT status. As of December 31, 2011, the Company was in compliance with all financial covenants.

The credit facility matures on June 20, 2014 and may be extended for an additional year at the Company's option. In addition, the Company has the option to increase the revolving loan commitment to \$450.0 million, subject to certain conditions (including consent of the lenders). The Company incurred \$3.0 million in fees related to the credit facility which are being deferred and amortized over the term of the credit facility.

Borrowings under the credit facility bear interest at variable rates equal to the London InterBank Offered Rate ("LIBOR") plus an applicable margin. The margin ranges from 2.25% to 3.25%, depending on the Company's leverage ratio, as calculated under the terms of the credit facility. The Company incurs an unused facility fee of between 0.30% and 0.40%, based on the amount by which the maximum borrowing amount exceeds the total principal balance of outstanding borrowings.

Under the terms of the credit facility, one or more standby letters of credit, up to a maximum aggregate outstanding balance of \$30.0 million, may be issued on behalf of the Company by the lenders holding the credit facility. The Company will incur a fee of 0.125% of the value of each standby letter of credit that is issued on its behalf. Any outstanding standby letters of credit would reduce the available borrowings on the credit facility by a corresponding amount. No standby letters of credit were outstanding at December 31, 2011. The Company also may borrow up to a maximum aggregate outstanding balance of \$40.0 million of swingline loans. Any outstanding swingline loans would reduce the available borrowings on the credit facility by a corresponding amount. No swingline loans were outstanding at December 31, 2011.

The Company did not incur any interest expense on the credit facility for the year ended December 31, 2011. For the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company incurred an unused commitment fee of approximately \$0.7 million. There were no borrowings outstanding at December 31, 2011.

RLJ Predecessor Credit Facility

Fund III, through wholly-owned subsidiaries, maintained a credit facility that provided for maximum borrowings of up to \$200.0 million. The credit facility was collateralized by Fund III's partners' committed and uncalled capital and was guaranteed by Fund III. Borrowings under the credit facility bore interest at variable rates equal to the LIBOR plus a margin of 0.75%. For the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, the weighted average interest rate for borrowings under the credit facility was approximately 1.04% and 1.01%, respectively. There were no borrowings at December 31, 2010. The credit facility matured on January 31, 2011.

Fund III incurred interest expense related to the credit facility of approximately \$1.0 million and \$1.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. No interest expense related to the credit facility was incurred for the year ended December 31, 2011. Additionally, there was an unused commitment fee of 0.15% of the unused portion of the credit facility. For the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, Fund III incurred an unused commitment fee of approximately \$12,000, \$0.2 million and \$0.1 million, respectively.

Table of Contents**RLJ Lodging Trust****Notes to the Combined Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****7. Long-Term Debt (Continued)**

A letter of credit was issued on Fund III's behalf (now on behalf of the Company) with a value of approximately \$1.9 million related to securing a swap agreement on certain variable rate mortgages. No balances were drawn on this letter of credit as of December 31, 2010.

At December 31, 2010, Fund III had approximately \$198.1 million available for borrowing.

Mortgage Loans

As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, the Company was subject to the following mortgage loans (in thousands):

Lender	Number of Assets Encumbered	Interest rate at		Maturity Date	Principal balance at,		
		December 31, 2011(1)	December 31, 2010		December 31, 2011	December 31, 2010	
Keybank(2)	6	1.54%(3)	April 2012(4)	\$	48,000	\$	48,000
State Street Bank(2)		3.05%(3)	April 2012(4)		37,000		37,000
Wells Fargo	1	4.30%(5)	June 2013(6)		60,000		60,000
Wells Fargo	1	5.50%(5)	Oct 2013(6)		40,000		40,000
Wells Fargo	1	5.50%(5)	Oct 2013(6)		31,000		31,000
Wells Fargo(7)	1	4.90%(8)	Dec 2013(6)		150,000		150,000
Blackstone(7)		10.75%(8)	Dec 2013(6)		50,000		50,000
Wells Fargo	1	3.90%(5)	Oct 2014(6)		68,500		
Wells Fargo	1	3.90%(5)	Oct 2014(6)		17,500		
Wells Fargo	1	3.90%(5)	Oct 2014(6)		21,000		
Wells Fargo	1	3.90%(5)	Oct 2014(6)		11,000		
Wells Fargo	1	3.90%(5)	Oct 2014(6)		24,000		
Capmark Financial Group	1	6.12%	April 2015		4,327		4,446
Capmark Financial Group	1	5.50%	May 2015		5,014		5,123
Capmark Financial Group	1	5.55%	May 2015		11,656		11,997
Capmark Financial Group	1	5.55%	June 2015		5,057		5,205
Barclay's Bank	1	5.55%	June 2015		2,642		2,718
Barclay's Bank	1	5.55%	June 2015		4,336		4,462
Barclay's Bank	1	5.55%	June 2015		10,107		10,400
Barclay's Bank	1	5.55%	June 2015		9,020		9,282
Barclay's Bank	1	5.55%	June 2015		8,084		8,317
Barclay's Bank	1	5.60%	June 2015		5,603		5,751
Barclay's Bank	1	5.60%	June 2015		8,707		8,956

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

Barclay's Bank	1	5.55%	June 2015	5,296	5,450
Barclay's Bank	1	5.55%	June 2015	35,115	36,135
Barclay's Bank	1	5.60%	June 2015	6,669	6,861
Barclay's Bank	1	5.55%	June 2015	5,943	6,116
Barclay's Bank	1	5.55%	June 2015	6,830	7,028
Barclay's Bank	1	5.60%	June 2015	8,701	8,952
Barclay's Bank	1	5.55%	June 2015	6,821	7,018
Barclay's Bank	1	5.55%	June 2015	7,508	7,724
Barclay's Bank	1	5.55%	June 2015	6,830	7,028

F-26

Table of Contents

RLJ Lodging Trust

Notes to the Combined Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

7. Long-Term Debt (Continued)

Lender	Number of Assets Encumbered	Interest rate at		Principal balance at,	
		December 31, 2011(1)	Maturity Date	December 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
Barclay's Bank	1	5.55%	June 2015	7,796	8,023
Barclay's Bank	1	5.55%	June 2015	9,783	10,068
Capmark Financial Group	1	5.50%	July 2015	6,883	7,083
Barclay's Bank	1	5.44%	Sept 2015	11,223	11,547
Merrill Lynch	1	6.29%	July 2016	9,294	9,403
Merrill Lynch	1	6.29%	July 2016	5,552	5,605
Merrill Lynch	1	6.29%	July 2016	7,780	7,871
Merrill Lynch	1	6.29%	July 2016	9,307	9,416
Wachovia Securities(9)	43	6.29%	July 2016	493,358	499,132
Wachovia Securities	1	6.29%	July 2016	6,664	6,742
Wells Fargo / Morgan Stanley	2	6.29%	July 2016	35,256	35,669
Wells Fargo / Morgan Stanley	1	6.29%	July 2016	6,837	6,916
Wells Fargo / Morgan Stanley	1	6.29%	July 2016	9,736	9,845
Capmark Financial Group				(10)	72,246
Merrill Lynch				(10)	92,000
Wells Fargo				(10)	23,967
Wells Fargo / GE				(10)	186,392
Capmark Financial Group				(10)	10,818
Capmark Financial Group				(10)	9,975
Capmark Financial Group				(10)	12,350
Capmark Financial Group				(10)	10,334
Capmark Financial Group				(10)	22,934
Capmark Financial Group				(10)	11,078
Capmark Financial Group				(10)	11,355
Capmark Financial Group				(10)	13,339
Capmark Financial Group				(11)	58,000
	91			\$ 1,341,735	\$ 1,747,077

(1) Interest rate at December 31, 2011 gives effect to interest rate swaps and LIBOR floors, where applicable.

(2) The Keybank and State Street Bank loans are cross-collateralized senior and mezzanine loans, respectively, which, as of December 31, 2011 had outstanding balances of \$48.0 million and \$37.0 million, respectively.

(3)

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

Requires payments of interest only.

(4)

We intend to repay these loans with proceeds from a refinancing or proceeds from the credit facility.

(5)

Requires payments of interest only until the commencement of the extension periods.

F-27

Table of Contents

RLJ Lodging Trust

Notes to the Combined Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

7. Long-Term Debt (Continued)

- (6) Maturity date may be extended for up to two additional one-year terms at the Company's option (subject to the Company's prior satisfaction of certain conditions and advance notice of the exercise of the Company's option).
- (7) The Wells Fargo and Blackstone loans are a senior and a mezzanine loan, respectively, which, as of December 31, 2011, had outstanding balances of \$150.0 million and \$50.0 million, respectively.
- (8) Requires payments of interest only until the commencement of the second extension period.
- (9) The 43 Wachovia Securities loans are cross-collateralized.
- (10) Loan(s) was/(were) paid off in conjunction with the IPO on May 16, 2011.
- (11) Loan was terminated in conjunction with a deed in lieu of foreclosure arrangement.

As of December 31, 2011, future minimum principal payments on mortgage loans are as follows (in thousands):

2012	\$	98,258
2013		345,222
2014		157,105
2015		189,345
2016		551,805
Thereafter		
	\$	1,341,735

Some mortgage agreements are subject to customary financial covenants. The Company was in compliance with these covenants at both December 31, 2011 and 2010.

In November, 2011, the Company elected to cease the subsidization of debt service on the mortgage loans secured by the Courtyard Goshen and SpringHill Suites Southfield. In December 2011, the Company received notice of an event of default for failure to make the required monthly payment on its mortgage loan secured by the Courtyard Goshen. In January 2012, the Company received notice of an event of default for failure to make the required monthly payment on its mortgage loan secured by the SpringHill Suites Southfield. As of December 31, 2011, the principal balances outstanding were \$5.6 million and \$5.0 million, for the Courtyard Goshen and SpringHill Suites Southfield, respectively. The loans mature in July 2016 and May 2015, respectively. The Company intends to work with the lenders to transfer the assets in an orderly manner.

Term Loan

On January 14, 2011, Fund III entered into a \$140.0 million unsecured term loan. Fund III agreed to maintain an unencumbered asset pool of ten hotel properties during the term of the term loan. The term loan had an original maturity date of September 30, 2011, with two six month extension options, and bore interest at LIBOR plus 4.25%, with a LIBOR floor of 1.00%. For the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company incurred \$5.1 million of interest expense, related to the term loan. On September 30, 2011, the Company amended the term loan to extend the maturity date to November 15, 2011, with one extension to December 31, 2011, at the Company's option. On October 21, 2011, the term loan was fully repaid.

Table of Contents

RLJ Lodging Trust

Notes to the Combined Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

8. Commitments and Contingencies

Ground Leases

The Residence Inn Chicago Oak Brook is subject to a ground lease with an initial term that expires on March 6, 2100. During the initial term of the ground lease, the total rent is \$1.56 million, which was paid in a lump sum upon commencement of the ground lease in 2001. After the initial term, we may extend the ground lease for an additional renewal term of 99 years for \$1. Under certain circumstances set forth in the ground lease, we have the option to acquire the land underlying the Residence Inn Chicago Oak Brook.

The Louisville Marriott Downtown is subject to a ground lease with an initial term extending out to 2053. The ground lease may be extended for up to four additional twenty-five year terms at the Company's option. The annual ground rent is one dollar; however, the property is subject to an annual profit participation payment based on net income as calculated based on the terms of the ground lease. For the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, no liability was incurred for profit participation.

The Courtyard Austin Downtown/Convention Center and Residence Inn Austin Downtown/Convention Center are subject to a ground lease with a term extending to 2100. The annual ground rent is \$0.4 million; however, the properties are subject to an annual profit participation payment based on gross revenue as calculated based on the terms of the ground lease. For each of the years ended December, 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, approximately \$0.2 million was incurred for contingent rent.

The Hilton Garden Inn Bloomington is subject to a ground lease with an initial term extending to 2053. The ground lease automatically extends for up to five additional ten-year terms unless certain conditions are met. A de minimus minimum rent payment is to be paid in ten equal annual installments commencing with the twentieth anniversary of the leases' inception. No other payments are required under the terms of the ground lease.

The Hilton Garden Inn Bloomington is subject to an agreement to lease parking spaces with an initial term extending to 2033. The agreement to lease parking spaces may be extended if certain events occur. The agreement provides for a monthly rental payment based on city ordinance rates (at December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 the rate was de minimis) and the number of parking spaces reserved for the exclusive use of the hotel, plus amounts based on actual usage in excess of the reserved spaces. For each of the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, approximately \$0.1 million of rent was paid.

The Hampton Inn Garden City is subject to a ground lease with an initial term extending to 2016. The lease is associated with an agreement for payment in lieu of taxes and will revert to fee simple ownership at the end of the ground lease. A de minimus rent payment is to be paid annually. In addition, an annual compliance fee of \$1 is required under the terms of the ground lease.

The Courtyard Charleston Historic District is subject to a ground lease with a term extending to 2096. The annual ground rent is \$0.8 million until 2021, after which the annual base rent increases periodically during the term of the ground lease to a maximum of \$1.0 million. The annual ground rent expense is recognized on a straight-line basis. In addition to base rent, the property is subject to a contingent rent payment based on gross quarterly collected room revenue (for no more than 126 rooms per night), as calculated based on the terms of the ground lease. For the year ended December 31, 2011, approximately \$16,000 was incurred for contingent rent.

Table of Contents**RLJ Lodging Trust****Notes to the Combined Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****8. Commitments and Contingencies (Continued)**

As of December 31, 2011, future minimum ground lease payments are as follows:

	Amount of commitment expiration per period						
	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Thereafter	Total
Minimum ground rent	\$ 1,150	\$ 1,150	\$ 1,150	\$ 1,150	\$ 1,150	\$ 103,861	\$ 109,611

Restricted Cash Reserves

The Company is obligated to maintain reserve funds for capital expenditures at the hotels (including the periodic replacement or refurbishment of furniture, fixtures and equipment) as determined pursuant to the management agreements, franchise agreements and/or mortgage loan documents. The management agreements, franchise agreements and/or mortgage loan documents require the Company to reserve restricted cash ranging from 1.0% to 5.0% of the individual hotel's revenues and maintain the reserves in restricted cash reserve escrows. Amounts will be capitalized as incurred. Any unexpended amounts will remain the property of the Company upon termination of the management agreements, franchise agreements or mortgage loan documents. Additionally, some mortgage agreements require the Company to reserve restricted cash for the periodic payment of real estate taxes and insurance. As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, approximately \$87.3 million and \$61.9 million, respectively, was available in restricted cash reserves for future capital expenditures, real estate taxes and insurance.

As of December 31, 2011, the Courtyard Goshen, Indiana was in default on its mortgage loan. Under the terms of the mortgage loan, the lender receives the monthly net cash from operations from the hotel. As of December 31, 2011, approximately \$47,000 in cash was held by the lender.

As of December 31, 2010, the New York LaGuardia Airport Marriott was in default on its mortgage loan. Under the terms of the mortgage loan, the lender received the monthly net cash from operations from the hotel. As of December 31, 2010, approximately \$4.6 million in cash was held by the lender. The Company transferred title to the New York LaGuardia Airport Marriott to the lenders pursuant to a deed in lieu of foreclosure arrangement on August 5, 2011, and the cash was retained by the lender.

Management Agreements

As of December 31, 2011, with the exception of the Garden District Hotel which was acquired on October 26, 2010 and has been closed since 2008, all of the Company's hotel properties are operated

Table of Contents**RLJ Lodging Trust****Notes to the Combined Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****8. Commitments and Contingencies (Continued)**

pursuant to long-term agreements with terms ranging from 5 to 25 years, with fifteen management companies as follows:

Management Company	Number of Properties
Aimbridge Hospitality	2
Concord Hospitality Enterprises Company	1
Crescent Hotels and Resorts	3
Crestline Hotels and Resorts	1
Highgate Hotels	3
Embassy Suites Management	3
Interstate Hotels and Resorts	6
K Partners Hospitality Group	1
Marriott Hotel Services	4
Noble(1)	4
Sage Hospitality	2
Stonebridge Realty Advisors	1
Urgo Hotels	4
Windsor Capital Group	1
WLS	104

140

(1)

Noble is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Interstate Hotels and Resorts

Each management company receives a base management fee generally between 2.0% and 7.0% of hotel revenues. The management companies are also eligible to receive an incentive management fee if hotel operating income, as defined in the management agreements, exceeds certain thresholds. The incentive management fee is generally calculated as a percentage of hotel operating income after the Company has received a priority return on their investment in the hotel.

For the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, the Company incurred management fee expense, including amortization of deferred management fees, of approximately \$26.1 million, \$18.4 million and \$16.5 million, respectively, (excluding discontinued operations).

Franchise Agreements

As of December 31, 2011, 136 of the Company's hotel properties are operated under franchise agreements with terms ranging from 1 to 25 years. The franchise agreements for these hotels allow the properties to operate under the respective brands. Pursuant to the franchise agreements, the Company pays a royalty fee, generally between 2.5% and 6.0% of room revenue, plus additional fees for marketing, central reservation systems and other franchisor costs that amount to between 1.0% and 4.3% of room revenue. Certain hotels are also charged a royalty fee of between 2.0% and 3.0% of food and beverage revenues. For the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, the Company incurred franchise fee expense of approximately \$48.6 million, \$33.0 million and \$28.8 million, respectively, (excluding discontinued operations).

Table of Contents

RLJ Lodging Trust

Notes to the Combined Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

8. Commitments and Contingencies (Continued)

Litigation

Neither the Company nor any of its subsidiaries are currently involved in any regulatory or legal proceedings that management believes will have a material adverse effect on the financial position, operations or liquidity of the Company.

9. Equity

Common Shares of Beneficial Interest

Under the declaration of trust of the Company, the total number of shares initially authorized for issuance was 100,000 common shares. At formation, the Company issued to each of its two initial shareholders 500 common shares at \$1 per share, which shares were subsequently redeemed. On May 5, 2011, the declaration of trust was amended to authorize the issuance of up to 450,000,000 common shares.

On May 16, 2011, RLJ Lodging Trust completed its IPO and formation transactions. The IPO resulted in the sale of 27,500,000 common shares of beneficial interest, \$0.01 par value per share, at \$18.00 per share, for total gross proceeds of \$495.0 million. The aggregate proceeds to the Company, net of underwriters' discounts, were approximately \$464.1 million. The Company used the net proceeds from the IPO and cash on hand to repay approximately \$472.6 million of secured indebtedness. The Company recorded costs incurred in connection with the IPO as a reduction of additional paid-in capital in shareholders' equity. Costs incurred in connection with the formation transactions for the transfer and assumption of indebtedness and other contractual obligations of the RLJ Predecessor were expensed as incurred and are included in IPO costs. In connection with the formation transactions, the Company issued 73,605,951 common shares of beneficial interest as consideration to certain entities for their interest in certain entities that merged with and into the Company or the Company's subsidiaries in the formation transactions.

On June 3, 2011, the Company issued and sold an additional 4,095,000 common shares of beneficial interest, \$0.01 par value per share, at a price per share of \$18.00 upon exercise of the Overallotment, for total gross proceeds of \$73.7 million. The Company received aggregate net proceeds of approximately \$69.1 million in connection with the Overallotment. The Company holds the net proceeds from the Overallotment as cash to be used for working capital purposes or to invest in short-term, interest-bearing, investment-grade securities, and money market accounts that are consistent with its intention to qualify as a REIT.

Table of Contents**RLJ Lodging Trust****Notes to the Combined Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****9. Equity (Continued)**

A summary of the Company's activity for the year ended December 31, 2011 related to its common shares of beneficial interest, including non-vested restricted shares, is as follows:

		Common Shares		Restricted Share Awards					
		Issuance	Board of Trustee compensation share vesting	Grants	Withheld(1)	Forfeitures	Total	Grand Total	
1/31/2011	Issued to initial shareholders	1,000						1,000	
5/16/2011	Issued in conjunction with formation transactions	73,605,951						73,605,951	
5/16/2011	Initial Public Offering	27,500,000						27,500,000	
5/16/2011	Grants to executives and employees			1,075,000			1,075,000	1,075,000	
5/16/2011	Grants to members of the Board of Trustees			20,830			20,830	20,830	
6/3/2011	Initial Public Offering Overallotment	4,095,000						4,095,000	
6/17/2011	Grants to executives and employees			25,000			25,000	25,000	
6/30/2011	Grants to members of the Board of Trustees(2)		2,302					2,302	
8/16/2011	Vesting of restricted shares			48,779	(48,779)	(23,614)	(72,393)	(23,614)	
8/19/2011	Redemption of initial share purchase	(1,000)						(1,000)	
9/14/2011	Forfeiture of grant to executives and employees					(3,000)	(3,000)	(3,000)	
9/17/2011	Vesting of restricted shares			844	(844)	(534)	(1,378)	(534)	
9/30/2011	Grants to members of the Board of Trustees(2)		3,132					3,132	
11/16/2011	Vesting of restricted shares			49,535	(49,535)	(22,858)	(72,393)	(22,858)	
12/17/2011	Vesting of restricted shares			842	(842)	(536)	(1,378)	(536)	
12/30/2011	Grants to members of the Board of Trustees(2)		2,376					2,376	
		105,200,951	7,810	100,000	1,020,830	(47,542)	(3,000)	970,288	106,279,049

(1) Shares surrendered to the Company by grantees to satisfy federal and state tax withholding obligations of such grantees

(2) Shares granted to certain members of the Board of Trustees as part of their compensation based on fair market value on the date of grant.

Preferred Shares of Beneficial Interest

Under the declaration of trust of the Company, the total number of shares initially authorized for issuance was 10,000 preferred shares. On May 5, 2011, the declaration of trust was amended to authorize the issuance of up to 50,000,000 preferred shares. As of both December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, there were no preferred shares of beneficial interest outstanding.

Noncontrolling Interest in Joint Venture

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

As of December 31, 2011, the Company consolidates DBT Met Hotel Venture, LP, a majority-owned limited partnership that has a third-party partner that owns a noncontrolling 5.0% ownership interest. The third-party partnership interest is included in noncontrolling interest in joint venture on the balance sheet.

Noncontrolling Interest in Operating Partnership

The Company consolidates its Operating Partnership, a majority owned limited partnership that has a noncontrolling ownership interest and is included in noncontrolling interest in Operating

F-33

Table of Contents

RLJ Lodging Trust

Notes to the Combined Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

9. Equity (Continued)

Partnership on the balance sheet. As of December 31, 2011, the Operating Partnership had 107,173,049 OP units outstanding, of which 99.2% were owned by the Company and its subsidiaries and 0.8% were owned by other limited partners.

The outstanding OP units held by limited partners are redeemable for cash, or at the option of the Company, for a like number of common shares of beneficial interest of the Company.

Partners' Capital

As of May 16, 2011, the Fund II partners had made aggregate capital contributions of approximately \$726.2 million. In addition, \$16.9 million of advisory fees, which reduced limited partner capital commitments, had been paid by the limited partners to the Fund II General Partner. Accordingly, 100.0% of total capital commitments had been committed as of May 16, 2011. As of May 16, 2011, Fund II had made distributions of approximately \$162.0 million, in aggregate, including approximately \$26.5 million of advisory fees distributed to the Fund II General Partner on behalf of the limited partners. On May 16, 2011, Fund II was merged into the Company.

As of May 16, 2011, the Fund III partners had made aggregate capital contributions of approximately \$917.3 million. In addition, \$50.1 million of advisory fees, which reduced limited partner capital commitments, had been paid by the limited partners to the Fund III General Partner. Accordingly, 81.2% of total capital commitments had been deployed as of May 16, 2011. As of May 16, 2011, Fund III had made no distributions, except for advisory fees (see Note 14). On May 16, 2011, Fund III was merged into the Company.

On May 16, 2011, in conjunction with the IPO, the partners of Fund II and Fund III received 73,605,951 common shares of beneficial interest, \$0.01 par value per share, at \$18.00 per share, for a total gross amount of \$1,324.9 million, in exchange for their partnership interests in Fund II and Fund III.

Members' Capital

The rights and obligations of the equity holders of RLJ Development (the "Members") were governed by the limited liability company agreement of RLJ Development, dated December 19, 2000 and amended January 1, 2002 (the "Operating Agreement"). Each Member's interest in the Company is equal to the percentage of capital initially contributed by that Member. The Class A Member held a 75% ownership interest and the two Class B Members held a total interest of 25%. The Class A Member made capital contributions totaling \$115.3 million, none of which were contributed during the period from January 1, 2011 through May 16, 2011 or the year ended December 31, 2010. The Class B Members were not required to make, nor did they make any, capital contributions during the period from January 1, 2011 through May 16, 2011 or the year ended December 31, 2010.

On May 16, 2011, in conjunction with the IPO, the Members of RLJ Development received 894,000 OP Units, at \$18.00 per unit, for a total gross amount of \$16.1 million, in exchange for their contribution to the Operating Partnership of substantially all of the assets and liabilities of RLJ Development.

Table of Contents

RLJ Lodging Trust

Notes to the Combined Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

9. Equity (Continued)

Preferred Distributions

Fund II, through wholly-owned subsidiaries, made distributions to preferred unitholders semi-annually on June 30 and December 31 each year. As of May 16, 2011, an aggregate of approximately \$0.1 million had been distributed or was payable to preferred unitholders. On May 16, 2011, in conjunction with the IPO, the Fund II unitholders interests in Fund II were redeemed. The Company paid the unitholders the liquidation value of \$0.3 million plus accumulated and unpaid dividends of approximately \$12,000 and a redemption premium of approximately \$12,000.

Fund III, through wholly-owned subsidiaries, made distributions to preferred unitholders semi-annually on June 30 and December 31 each year. As of May 16, 2011, an aggregate of approximately \$0.1 million had been distributed or was payable to preferred unitholders. On May 16, 2011, in conjunction with the IPO, the Fund III unitholders interests in Fund III were redeemed. The Company paid the unitholders the liquidation value of \$0.3 million plus accumulated and unpaid dividends of approximately \$12,000 and a redemption premium of approximately \$24,000.

10. Equity Incentive Plan

The Company may issue equity-based awards to officers, employees, non-employee trustees and other eligible persons under the 2011 Plan. The 2011 Plan provides for a maximum of 5,000,000 common shares of beneficial interest to be issued in the form of share options, share appreciation rights, restricted share awards, unrestricted share awards, share units, dividend equivalent rights, long-term incentive units, other equity-based awards and cash bonus awards. In addition, the maximum number of common shares subject to awards of any combination that may be granted under the 2011 Plan during any calendar year to any one individual is limited to 1,000,000 shares. The exercise price of share options is determined by the Board of Trustees, but may not be less than 100% of the fair market value of the common shares on the date of grant. For grantees that own greater than ten percent of the total combined voting power of all classes of outstanding voting securities of the Company, the exercise price of share options may not be less than 110% of the fair market value of the common shares on the date of grant. The fair market value for all other types of share awards is determined by the closing price on the date of grant. As of December 31, 2011, there were 3,874,360 common shares available for future grant under the 2011 Plan.

Non-vested Restricted Share Awards

From time to time, the Company may award non-vested shares under the 2011 Plan, as compensation to officers, employees and non-employee trustees. The shares issued to officers and employees vest over a period of time as determined by the Board of Trustees at the date of grant. The Company recognizes compensation expense for non-vested shares on a straight-line basis over the vesting period based upon the fair market value of the shares on the date of issuance, adjusted for forfeitures.

Table of Contents**RLJ Lodging Trust****Notes to the Combined Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****10. Equity Incentive Plan (Continued)**

A summary of the non-vested shares as of December 31, 2011 is as follows:

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
Unvested at January 1, 2011		\$
Granted	1,120,830	\$ 17.93
Vested	(147,542)	\$ 17.93
Forfeited	(3,000)	\$ 17.53
Unvested at December 31, 2011	970,288	\$ 17.93

For the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company recognized approximately \$3.3 million of share-based compensation expense related to these restricted share awards. As of December 31, 2011, there was \$16.8 million of total unrecognized compensation costs related to non-vested share awards. As of December 31, 2011, these costs were expected to be primarily recognized over a weighted-average period of 3.4 years. The total fair value of shares vested (calculated as number of shares multiplied by vesting date share price) during the year ended December 31, 2011 was approximately \$2.6 million.

11. Earnings per Common Share

The limited partners' outstanding limited partnership units in the Operating Partnership (which may be redeemed for common shares of beneficial interest under certain circumstances) have been excluded from the diluted earnings per share calculation as there was no effect on the amounts for the year ended December 31, 2011, since the limited partners' share of income would also be added back to net income. Any anti-dilutive shares have been excluded from the diluted earnings per share calculation. Unvested share-based payment awards that contain non-forfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents (whether paid or unpaid) are participating securities and are included in the computation of earnings per share pursuant to the two-class method. Accordingly, distributed and undistributed earnings attributable to unvested restricted shares (participating securities) have been excluded, as applicable, from net income or loss attributable to common shareholders utilized in the basic and diluted earnings per share calculations. Net income or loss figures are presented net of noncontrolling interests in the earnings per share calculations. The weighted average number of OP units held by the noncontrolling interest was 894,000 for the year ended December 31, 2011.

For the year ended December 31, 2011, diluted weighted average common shares do not include the impact of unvested compensation-related shares because the effect of these items on diluted earnings per share would be anti-dilutive. For the year ended December 31, 2011 there were 970,288 anti-dilutive compensation-related shares outstanding.

Table of Contents**RLJ Lodging Trust****Notes to the Combined Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****11. Earnings per Common Share (Continued)**

The computation of basic and diluted earnings per common share is as follows (in thousands, except share and per share data):

	For the year ended, December 31, 2011
Numerator:	
Net loss attributable to common shareholders before discontinued operations	\$ (10,490)
Add: Income from discontinued operations	21,836
Net income attributable to common shareholders	11,346
Less: Dividends paid on unvested restricted shares	(392)
Net income attributable to common shareholders excluding amounts attributable to unvested restricted shares	\$ 10,954
Denominator:	
Weighted-average number of common shares basic	93,340,666
Unvested restricted shares	
Weighted-average number of common shares diluted	93,340,666
Net loss per share attributable to common shareholders before discontinued operations basic and diluted	\$ (0.11)
Discontinued operations	0.23
Net income per share attributable to common shareholders basic and diluted	\$ 0.12

Unvested restricted shares are considered participating securities which require the use of the two-class method for the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share.

12. Financial Instruments: Derivatives and Hedging

The Company employs interest rate swaps and caps to hedge against interest rate fluctuations. Unrealized gains and losses are reported in other comprehensive loss with no effect recognized in earnings as long as the characteristics of the swap and the hedged item are closely matched. The ineffective portion of all hedges is recognized in earnings in the current period. As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, approximately 25.1% and 24.9%, respectively, of the Company's borrowings were subject to variable rates, after taking into consideration the effect of interest rate swaps and caps.

Table of Contents

RLJ Lodging Trust

Notes to the Combined Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

12. Financial Instruments: Derivatives and Hedging (Continued)

As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, the Company held the following interest rate swaps and caps (in thousands):

Hedge type	Notional value at		Hedge interest rate	Maturity	Fair value at	
	December 31, 2011	December 31, 2010			December 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
Swap-cash flow	\$	\$ 28,269	3.09%	1/22/2011	\$	\$ (120)
Swap-cash flow		8,732	3.45%	4/30/2011		(114)
Interest rate cap		73,168	5.00%	6/9/2011		
Interest rate cap	(1)	60,000	5.00%	7/15/2011		
Interest rate cap		16,000	5.00%	7/15/2011		
Interest rate cap		16,000	5.00%	7/15/2011		
Swap-cash flow	(1)	11,418	3.33%	9/22/2011		(266)
Swap-cash flow		85,000	3.33%	9/22/2011		(2,095)
Interest rate cap	48,000	48,000	6.00%	4/9/2012		
Interest rate cap	37,000	37,000	6.00%	4/9/2012		
Interest rate cap	60,000	60,000	5.00%	6/29/2012		18
Interest rate cap	50,000	50,000	3.50%	12/23/2012	3	71
Swap-cash flow	150,000	150,000	1.15%	12/23/2012	(1,145)	(1,384)
Swap-cash flow	40,000	40,000	1.00%	10/6/2013	(369)	39
Swap-cash flow	31,000	31,000	1.00%	10/6/2013	(285)	31
	\$ 416,000	\$ 714,587			\$ (1,796)	\$ (3,820)

(1)

Interest rate derivative was terminated in conjunction with the IPO on May 16, 2011.

As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, there was approximately \$1.8 million and \$3.8 million, respectively, in unrealized losses included in accumulated other comprehensive loss, a component of shareholders' equity, related to interest rate hedges that are effective in offsetting the variable cash flows. For the year ended December 31, 2010, approximately \$0.1 million in unrealized gains were recognized in earnings related to hedges that were ineffective in offsetting variable cash flows. There were no ineffective hedges during the year ended December 31, 2011.

13. Fair Value

Fair Value Measurement

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date in the principal or most advantageous market. The fair value hierarchy has three levels of inputs, both observable and unobservable:

Level 1 Inputs include quoted market prices in an active market for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 Inputs are market data, other than Level 1, that are observable either directly or indirectly. Level 2 inputs include quoted market prices for similar assets or liabilities, quoted

Table of Contents**RLJ Lodging Trust****Notes to the Combined Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****13. Fair Value (Continued)**

market prices in an inactive market, and other observable information that can be corroborated by market data.

Level 3 Inputs are unobservable and corroborated by little or no market data.

Recurring Fair Value Measurements: The following table presents the Company's fair value hierarchy for those financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2011 (in thousands).

	Fair Value at December 31, 2011			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Interest rate swap and cap liability	\$	\$ (1,796)	\$	\$ (1,796)
Total	\$	\$ (1,796)	\$	\$ (1,796)

The fair values of the derivative financial instruments are determined using widely accepted valuation techniques including discounted cash flow analysis on the expected cash flows of each derivative. The Company determined that the significant inputs, such as interest yield curves and discount rates, used to value its derivatives fall within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy and that the credit valuation adjustments associated with the Company's counterparties and its own credit risk utilize Level 3 inputs, such as estimates of current credit spreads to evaluate the likelihood of default by itself and its counterparties. As of December 31, 2011, the Company assessed the significance of the impact of the credit valuation adjustments on the overall valuation of its derivative positions and determined that the credit valuation adjustments were not significant to the overall valuation of its derivatives. As a result, the Company's derivative valuations in their entirety are classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

14. Advisory Fees

Pursuant to the terms of the Fund II LP Agreements, the Fund II General Partner was entitled to receive annual advisory fees directly from the limited partners in consideration for the Fund II General Partner providing and managing the day-to-day operations and expenditures of Fund II. Total advisory fees due to the Fund II General Partner from limited partners, including advisory fees due from the limited partners admitted during subsequent closes, for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, were approximately \$3.2 million, \$8.6 million and \$8.6 million, respectively. As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, all advisory fees due had been paid by the limited partners.

Pursuant to the terms of the Fund III LP Agreements, the Fund III General Partner was entitled to receive annual advisory fees directly from the limited partners in consideration for the Fund III General Partner providing and managing the day-to-day operations and expenditures of Fund III. Total advisory fees due to the Fund III General Partner from limited partners, including advisory fees due from the limited partners admitted during subsequent closes, for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, were approximately \$3.8 million, \$13.7 million and \$13.7 million, respectively. As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, all advisory fees due had been paid by the limited partners.

The combined consolidated financial statements of the Company reflect these advisory fees as contributions and distributions within the respective partner accounts. As a result of the combination of RLJ Development with Fund II and Fund III and after elimination entries, the actual expenses

Table of Contents

RLJ Lodging Trust

Notes to the Combined Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

14. Advisory Fees (Continued)

associated with operating Fund II and Fund III have been reflected in these financial statements. Upon completion of the IPO and related formation transactions, the advisory fee obligations of the limited partners ceased to exist.

15. Related Party Transactions

The RLJ Predecessor paid monthly fees for management advisory services to the managing member of RLJ Development, which are included in general and administrative expense. Such fees amounted to \$0.9 million, \$2.3 million and \$1.8 million for years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 respectively. Upon completion of the IPO and related formation transactions, the management fee obligation of the RLJ Predecessor ceased to exist.

RLJ Companies LLC and its affiliates, a related party, periodically provide or receive services or pay or collect certain amounts to or from the Company. At December 31, 2011, there was approximately \$28,000 due from RLJ Companies, LLC which was included in other assets. At December 31, 2010, there was approximately \$0.1 million due to RLJ Companies, LLC, which is included in accounts payable and accrued expenses.

The RLJ Predecessor paid certain costs on behalf of RLJ Development (Mexico), LLC, a related party through common-ownership, and RLJ Development (Mexico), LLC paid for certain amounts on the RLJ Predecessor's behalf. At December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the amounts due from RLJ Development (Mexico), LLC were zero and \$0.7 million, respectively, which are included in prepaid and other assets.

For the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, the Company made charitable contributions to various foundations and charitable organizations totaling \$0.2 million, \$0.3 million and \$0.3 million, respectively, which are included in general and administrative expense. For the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, \$25,000, \$0.1 million and \$0.1 million, respectively, of these charitable contributions were paid to organizations directed by related parties.

Table of Contents

RLJ Lodging Trust

Notes to the Combined Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

16. Income Taxes

For federal income tax purposes, the cash distributions paid for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 are characterized as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,	
	2011	2010
Common distributions		
Ordinary income	100.0%	100.0%
Return of capital		
Capital gains		
	100.0%	100.0%
Preferred distributions		
Ordinary income		100.0%
Return of capital		
Capital gains		
		100.0%

The components of the income tax provision from continuing operations are as follows (in thousands):

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Current:			
Federal	\$ (1)	\$	\$
State	(1,232)	(997)	(1,801)
Deferred:			
Federal	443	52	
State	50		
Total net tax (expense) / benefit	\$ (740)	\$ (945)	\$ (1,801)

Table of Contents**RLJ Lodging Trust****Notes to the Combined Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****16. Income Taxes (Continued)**

The provision for income taxes differs from the amount of income tax determined by applying the applicable U.S. statutory federal income tax rate to pretax income from continuing operations for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 as a result of the following differences (in thousands):

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
"Expected" federal tax benefit at statutory rate	\$ (4,398)	\$ 13,932	\$ 40,363
Tax impact of passthrough entities		(5,850)	(5,690)
Tax impact of REIT election	19,619	1,992	(23,023)
Expected tax benefit at TRS	15,221	10,074	11,650
Change in valuation allowance	(17,045)	(11,291)	(11,981)
State income (expense) benefit, net of federal tax benefit	1,411	143	(556)
Other items	(327)	129	(914)
Income tax (expense) benefit	\$ (740)	\$ (945)	\$ (1,801)

During 2009, the Company identified an unrecorded prior period (2007) state tax expense approximately \$1.0 million which was corrected in the 2009 Statement of Operations as an adjustment to increase current period income tax expense.

Deferred income taxes represent the tax effect from continuing operations of the differences between the book and tax basis of assets and liabilities. Deferred tax assets (liabilities) include the following (in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2011	2010
Property and equipment	\$ (2,319)	\$ (794)
Prepaid expenses	(995)	(794)
Accrued Interest		(5)
Gross deferred tax liabilities	\$ (3,314)	\$ (799)
Property and equipment	\$ 5,853	\$ 2,070
Incentive and vacation accrual	1,554	1,189
Allowance for doubtful accounts	58	158
Other DTA	168	172
Other carryforwards	28	26
Net operating loss carryforwards	42,105	28,507
Valuation allowance	(48,397)	(31,323)
Gross deferred tax assets	\$ 1,369	\$ 799

The Company recorded a valuation allowance of approximately \$48.4 million and \$31.3 million related to its net operating loss, or NOL, carryforwards and other deferred tax assets at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, as the Company believed it was more likely than not that it would not realize the benefits associated with these NOLs and other deferred tax assets. The ability to carry forward the NOLs of approximately \$42.1 million will begin to expire in 2026, if not utilized by then.

Table of Contents**RLJ Lodging Trust****Notes to the Combined Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****16. Income Taxes (Continued)**

If the Company's TRS entities were to experience a change in control as defined in Section 382 of the Code, the TRS's ability to utilize NOLs in the years after the change in control would be limited.

The Company owns subsidiary TRSs which are not part of a consolidated tax return, these deferred tax assets and liabilities are presented on a combined basis and are not subject to a valuation allowance.

The net current and non-current components of deferred income taxes included in the combined consolidated balance sheets are as follows (in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2011	2010
Current net deferred tax assets	\$ 71	\$
Current net deferred tax liabilities	(995)	(799)
Non-current net deferred tax assets	1,298	799
Non-current net deferred tax liabilities	(2,319)	
Net deferred tax liability	\$ (1,945)	\$

The Company had no accruals for tax uncertainties as of December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010. One of our predecessor entities is under examination by the Internal Revenue Service for the period ended December 31, 2009. The examination is scheduled to conclude by September 2012, and at this time no adjustments have been proposed or made as a result of this examination.

17. Comprehensive Income (Loss)

For the year ended December 31, 2011, comprehensive income was approximately \$13.7 million and for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 comprehensive loss was approximately \$11.6 million and \$150.8 million, respectively. As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, the Company's accumulated other comprehensive loss was approximately \$1.8 million and \$3.8 million, respectively. The accumulated other comprehensive loss resulted entirely from the Company's unrealized losses on its interest rate derivative instruments.

18. Segment Information

The Company separately evaluates the performance of each of its hotels. However, because each of the hotels has similar economic characteristics, facilities, and services, the properties have been aggregated into a single operating segment.

Table of Contents**RLJ Lodging Trust****Notes to the Combined Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****19. Supplemental Information to Statements of Cash Flows (in thousands)**

	For the year ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Interest paid	\$ 91,558	\$ 83,670	\$ 87,761
Income taxes paid	\$ 1,392	\$ 1,934	\$ 1,814
Supplemental investing and financing transactions:			
In conjunction with the hotel acquisitions, the Company assumed the following assets and liabilities:			
Purchase of real estate	\$ 249,018	\$ 833,080	\$ 145,519
Accounts receivable	556	878	11
Other assets	1,040	6,942	170
Advance deposits	(379)	(624)	(134)
Non-controlling interest		(7,836)	
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	(2,439)	(3,568)	(251)
Application of purchase deposit	(8,500)		
Deferred tax liabilities assumed	(2,438)		
Acquisition of hotel properties	\$ 236,858	\$ 828,872	\$ 145,315
In conjunction with the hotel disposals, the Company disposed of the following assets and liabilities:			
Sale of real estate	\$ (31,534)	\$ (49,452)	\$
Other assets	(8,007)	(286)	
Other liabilities	5,056	701	
Gain on sale of property		(23,710)	
Gain on extinguishment of indebtedness	(23,515)		
Forgiveness of indebtedness	58,000		
Disposition of hotel properties	\$	\$ (72,747)	\$
Supplemental non-cash transactions:			
Change in fair market value of interest rate swaps	\$ 2,024	\$ 11,109	\$ 17,354
Accrued capital expenditures	\$ 12,801	\$	\$
Distributions payable	\$ 16,076	\$	\$

20. Subsequent Events

On January 13, 2012, the Company paid a dividend of \$0.15 per common share of beneficial interest to shareholders of record at December 31, 2011.

On March 2, 2012, the Company granted 389,711 restricted common shares of beneficial interest to certain executives and employees.

21. Quarterly Operating Results (unaudited)

The Company's unaudited condensed consolidated quarterly operating data for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 follows (in thousands, except share and per share data). In the opinion of

Table of Contents**RLJ Lodging Trust****Notes to the Combined Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****21. Quarterly Operating Results (unaudited) (Continued)**

management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) necessary for a fair presentation of quarterly results have been reflected in the data. It is also management's opinion, however, that quarterly operating data for hotels enterprises are not indicative of results to be achieved in succeeding years or quarters. In order to obtain a more accurate indication of performance, there should be a review of operating results, changes in stockholders' equity and cash flows for a period of several years.

Depreciation expense for the fourth quarter of 2011 includes an out-of-period adjustment to record an increase to depreciation expense of \$3.1 million, of which approximately \$2.8 million related to under reporting of depreciation expense in the first three quarters of 2011 and \$0.3 million related to an underreporting of depreciation in the fourth quarter of 2010. The Company believes that both the actual depreciation expense errors and the correction of those errors out of period in the fourth quarter of 2011 are not material.

	Year ended December 31, 2011			
	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
Total revenue	\$ 168,169	\$ 204,271	\$ 197,251	\$ 189,261
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ (15,304)	\$ (2,301)	\$ 8,677	\$ (1,199)
Discontinued operations	\$ (972)	\$ (160)	\$ 22,970	\$ (2)
Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders	\$ (16,132)	\$ (2,568)	\$ 31,319	\$ (1,273)
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$ (14,936)	\$ (2,952)	\$ 32,001	\$ (743)
Basic per share data:				
Income (loss) from continuing operations	n/a	\$ (0.03)	\$ 0.08	\$ (0.01)
Discontinued operations	n/a	\$ (0.00)	\$ 0.22	\$ (0.00)
Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders	n/a	\$ (0.03)	\$ 0.30	\$ (0.01)
Basic weighted average common shares outstanding	n/a	88,767,570	105,228,305	105,280,964
Diluted weighted average common shares outstanding	n/a	88,767,570	105,228,305	105,280,964

	Year ended December 31, 2010			
	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
Total revenue	\$ 109,899	\$ 132,463	\$ 136,452	\$ 137,852
Loss from continuing operations	\$ (17,157)	\$ (6,083)	\$ (7,911)	\$ (11,036)
Discontinued operations	\$ (1,151)	\$ 20,806	\$ (619)	\$ 535
Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders	\$ (18,324)	\$ 14,707	\$ (8,546)	\$ (10,302)
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$ (14,691)	\$ 19,249	\$ (5,988)	\$ (10,136)

F-45

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

RLJ Lodging Trust
Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation
December 31, 2011
(Dollars in thousands)

Description	Initial Costs		Subsequent Costs Capitalized		Gross Amount at December 31, 2011			Accumulated Depreciation	Date Acquired	Depreciation Life
	Debt	Land & Improvements	Building & Improvements	Land & Improvements	Building & Improvements	Land & Improvements	Buildings & Improvements			
Marriott Airport Austin South	\$ 21,788	\$ 2,253	\$ 16,522	\$ 1,430	\$ 2,253	\$ 17,952	\$ 20,205	\$ 2,413	2006	15 - 40 years
Marriott Denver South @ Park Meadow	38,701	5,385	39,488	548	5,385	40,036	45,421	5,535	2006	15 - 40 years
Marriott Louisville Downtown	68,500		89,541	132		89,673	89,673	12,494	2006	15 - 40 years
Marriott Pontiac	13,646	3,437	25,224	89	3,438	25,312	28,750	3,524	2006	15 - 40 years
Marriott Midway Renaissance Boulder Suites @ Flatiron	27,703	4,464	32,736	755	4,464	33,491	37,955	4,632	2006	15 - 40 years
Renaissance Plantation	18,615	4,440	32,557	348	4,440	32,905	37,345	4,546	2006	15 - 40 years
Holiday Inn Austin NW Plaza	25,067	4,842	35,517	1,526	4,842	37,043	41,885	4,990	2006	15 - 40 years
Courtyard Austin Central		1,546	11,337	462	1,546	11,799	13,345	1,629	2006	15 - 40 years
Courtyard Austin NW Parmer Lane		1,894	13,891	650	1,894	14,541	16,435	1,990	2006	15 - 40 years
Courtyard Austin South	12,402	1,443	10,585	771	1,443	11,356	12,799	1,530	2006	15 - 40 years
Courtyard Benton Harbor	5,296	1,530	11,222	507	1,530	11,729	13,259	1,555	2006	15 - 40 years
Courtyard Brandon	2,565	345	2,534	130	345	2,664	3,009	363	2006	15 - 40 years
Courtyard Chicago Downtown Mag Mile	10,494	1,036	7,599	236	1,036	7,835	8,871	1,383	2006	15 - 40 years
Courtyard Fort Wayne	35,115	8,140	59,696	2,024	8,140	61,720	69,860	8,300	2006	15 - 40 years
Courtyard Golden	9,600	1,143	8,389	303	1,143	8,692	9,835	1,189	2006	15 - 40 years
Courtyard Goshen	6,669	1,325	9,716	45	1,325	9,761	11,086	1,340	2006	15 - 40 years
Courtyard Grand Rapids Airport	5,552	356	2,614	116	356	2,730	3,086	490	2006	15 - 40 years
Courtyard Hammond	4,327	706	5,185	142	706	5,327	6,033	714	2006	15 - 40 years
Courtyard Indy Capital	7,780	1,038	7,616	289	1,038	7,905	8,943	1,075	2006	15 - 40 years
Courtyard Lakewood	17,504	2,482	18,207	1,078	2,482	19,285	21,767	2,566	2006	15 - 40 years
Courtyard Longmont/Boulder	2,642	536	3,931	149	536	4,080	4,616	544	2006	15 - 40 years
Courtyard Louisville	5,943	1,192	8,745	375	1,192	9,120	10,312	1,212	2006	15 - 40 years
Courtyard Louisville NE	9,020	1,640	12,025	272	1,640	12,297	13,937	1,662	2006	15 - 40 years
Courtyard Merrillville	9,339	1,374	10,079	207	1,374	10,286	11,660	1,414	2006	15 - 40 years
Courtyard Mesquite	9,038	537	3,943	332	537	4,275	4,812	874	2006	15 - 40 years
Courtyard Midway	7,152	942	6,915	70	942	6,985	7,927	972	2006	15 - 40 years
Courtyard Mishawaka/South Bend	9,321	2,172	15,927	240	2,172	16,167	18,339	2,845	2006	15 - 40 years
Courtyard Pontiac	6,943	640	4,699	319	640	5,018	5,658	867	2006	15 - 40 years
Courtyard Salt Lake City Airport	6,830	482	3,543	126	482	3,669	4,151	758	2006	15 - 40 years

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Description	Initial Costs		Subsequent Costs Capitalized		Gross Amount at December 31, 2011			Accumulated Depreciation	Date Acquired	Depreciation Life	
	Debt	Land & Improvements	Building & Improvements	Land & Improvements	Building & Improvements	Land & Improvements	Buildings & Improvements				Total
Courtyard San Antonio Airport Northstar	9,735	1,196	8,768	2	599	1,198	9,367	10,565	1,263	2006	15 - 40 years
Courtyard Sugarland	8,156	1,217	8,931		1,084	1,217	10,015	11,232	1,260	2006	15 - 40 years
Courtyard Valparaiso	4,636	248	1,825		151	248	1,976	2,224	437	2006	15 - 40 years
Courtyard Schaumburg		2,078	15,239		18	2,078	15,257	17,335	2,002	2007	15 - 40 years
Courtyard Miramar		1,619	11,872			1,619	11,872	13,491	1,336	2007	15 - 40 years
Courtyard Austin Downtown		6,049	44,361		85	6,049	44,446	50,495	4,716	2007	15 - 40 years
Courtyard Grand Junction		1,576	11,754			1,576	11,754	13,330	1,005	2008	15 - 40 years
Courtyard Austin Airport		1,691	12,404	32	1,179	1,723	13,583	15,306	1,305	2007	15 - 40 years
Residence Inn Austin NW	11,344	1,403	10,290		56	1,403	10,346	11,749	1,440	2006	15 - 40 years
Residence Inn Austin South Airport	6,774	802	5,883		322	802	6,205	7,007	825	2006	15 - 40 years
Residence Inn Austin Parmer Lane	7,796	1,483	10,872		36	1,483	10,908	12,391	1,500	2006	15 - 40 years
Residence Inn Carmel	8,701	1,646	12,076		1,082	1,646	13,158	14,804	1,676	2006	15 - 40 years
Residence Inn Fishers	8,239	998	7,322		101	998	7,423	8,421	1,027	2006	15 - 40 years
Residence Inn Golden	6,821	1,222	8,963		43	1,222	9,006	10,228	1,236	2006	15 - 40 years
Residence Inn Hammond	6,837	980	7,190		495	980	7,685	8,665	1,018	2006	15 - 40 years
Residence Inn Galleria	17,403	2,665	19,549		21	2,665	19,570	22,235	2,731	2006	15 - 40 years
Residence Inn Indianapolis Airport		786	5,772		290	786	6,062	6,848	971	2006	15 - 40 years
Residence Inn Indianapolis Canal	17,752	2,670	19,588		1,290	2,670	20,878	23,548	2,765	2006	15 - 40 years
Residence Inn Lakewood	4,336	986	7,230		24	986	7,254	8,240	996	2006	15 - 40 years
Residence Inn Longmont	6,830	1,407	10,321		159	1,407	10,480	11,887	1,420	2006	15 - 40 years
Residence Inn Louisville	8,334	1,298	9,519		55	1,298	9,574	10,872	1,333	2006	15 - 40 years
Residence Inn Louisville NE	7,508	1,319	9,675		71	1,319	9,746	11,065	1,336	2006	15 - 40 years

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

Residence Inn Merrillville	7,030	595	4,372	432	595	4,804	5,399	927	2006	15 - 40 years
Residence Inn Novi	6,883	1,427	10,445	24	1,427	10,469	11,896	1,440	2006	15 - 40 years
Residence Inn Oakbrook	11,223		20,436	250		20,686	20,686	2,812	2006	15 - 40 years
Residence Inn Plantation	19,712	2,183	16,021	3	2,183	16,024	18,207	2,629	2006	15 - 40 years
Residence Inn Pontiac	10,380	320	2,354	163	320	2,517	2,837	905	2006	15 - 40 years
Residence Inn Round Rock	11,433	1,684	12,349	151	1,684	12,500	14,184	1,729	2006	15 - 40 years
Residence Inn Salt Lake City	9,294	875	6,416	37	875	6,453	7,328	901	2006	15 - 40 years
Residence Inn San Antonio Downtown		1,822	13,360	88	1,822	13,448	15,270	1,868	2006	15 - 40 years
Residence Inn Schaumburg	10,238	1,790	13,124	271	1,790	13,395	15,185	1,851	2006	15 - 40 years
Residence Inn South Bend	3,352	603	4,425	254	603	4,679	5,282	635	2006	15 - 40 years
Residence Inn Sugarland	7,413	1,100	8,073	416	1,100	8,489	9,589	1,132	2006	15 - 40 years
Residence Inn Chicago Naperville	9,783	1,923	14,101	369	1,923	14,470	16,393	1,943	2006	15 - 40 years
Residence Inn Downtown Louisville		1,815	13,308	73	1,815	13,381	15,196	1,665	2007	15 - 40 years
Residence Inn Miramar		1,692	12,409	5	1,692	12,414	14,106	1,397	2007	15 - 40 years
Residence Inn Grand Junction		870	9,197	8	870	9,205	10,075	835	2008	15 - 40 years
Residence Inn Austin Downtown		3,767	27,626	81	3,767	27,707	31,474	2,937	2007	15 - 40 years
SpringHill Suites North Parmer Lane	6,830	1,957	14,351	417	1,957	14,768	16,725	1,977	2006	15 - 40 years
SpringHill Suites Austin South Airport	11,921	1,605	11,768	114	1,605	11,882	13,487	1,651	2006	15 - 40 years
SpringHill Suites Carmel	8,707	1,816	13,320	597	1,816	13,917	15,733	1,875	2006	15 - 40 years

F-47

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Description	Initial Costs		Subsequent Costs Capitalized		Gross Amount at December 31, 2011			Accumulated Depreciation	Date Acquired	Depreciation Life	
	Debt	Land & Improvements	Building & Improvements	Land & Improvements	Building & Improvements	Land & Improvements	Buildings & Improvements				Total
SpringHill Suites Louisville Hurstbourne	8,084	1,890	13,869		542	1,890	14,411	16,301	1,946	2006	15 - 40 years
SpringHill Suites Mishawaka South Bend	5,603	983	7,217		213	983	7,430	8,413	1,149	2006	15 - 40 years
SpringHill Suites Schaumburg	10,007	1,554	11,396		507	1,554	11,903	13,457	1,626	2006	15 - 40 years
SpringHill Suites Southfield	5,014	379	2,782		17	379	2,799	3,178	718	2006	15 - 40 years
SpringHill Suites Westminster	10,107	2,409	17,670		340	2,409	18,010	20,419	2,441	2006	15 - 40 years
SpringHill Suites Longmont		1,144	8,388		11	1,144	8,399	9,543	1,050	2007	15 - 40 years
Fairfield Inn Austin Central		556	4,078		46	556	4,124	4,680	572	2006	15 - 40 years
Fairfield Inn Austin South	4,249	505	3,702		36	505	3,738	4,243	518	2006	15 - 40 years
Fairfield Inn Brandon	9,956	926	6,795		105	926	6,900	7,826	1,215	2006	15 - 40 years
Fairfield Inn & Suites Cherry Creek		1,203	8,823		114	1,203	8,937	10,140	1,241	2006	15 - 40 years
Fairfield Inn Hammond	6,664	722	5,301		274	722	5,575	6,297	766	2006	15 - 40 years
Fairfield Inn Indianapolis Airport		657	4,820		257	657	5,077	5,734	806	2006	15 - 40 years
Fairfield Inn & Suites Key West		1,803	19,325		197	1,803	19,522	21,325	2,547	2006	15 - 40 years
Fairfield Inn Memphis		163	1,199		108	163	1,307	1,470	225	2006	15 - 40 years
Fairfield Inn Merrillville	7,392	768	5,636		239	768	5,875	6,643	796	2006	15 - 40 years
Fairfield Inn Midway	5,057	1,425	10,449		49	1,425	10,498	11,923	1,463	2006	15 - 40 years
Fairfield Inn San Antonio Airport	9,307	1,140	8,363		102	1,140	8,465	9,605	1,176	2006	15 - 40 years
Fairfield Inn San Antonio Downtown		1,378	10,105		79	1,378	10,184	11,562	1,416	2006	15 - 40 years
Fairfield Inn Valparaiso	2,240	157	1,157		39	157	1,196	1,353	242	2006	15 - 40 years
Holiday Inn Select Kentwood	4,008	582	4,274		2	582	4,276	4,858	757	2006	15 - 40 years
Hampton Inn Merrillville	5,716	665	4,879		141	665	5,020	5,685	691	2006	15 - 40 years
Holiday Inn Express Merrillville	5,098	545	4,005		87	545	4,092	4,637	567	2006	15 - 40 years
Hampton Inn Chicago Midway	16,364	2,747	20,143		548	2,747	20,691	23,438	2,839	2006	15 - 40 years

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

Airport Hilton Garden Inn	21,170	2,978	21,842	40	2,978	21,882	24,860	3,050	2006	15 - 40 years
Midway Sleep Inn Midway	10,206	1,189	8,718	162	1,189	8,880	10,069	1,484	2006	15 - 40 years
Airport Holiday Inn Express Hotel & Suites Midway	12,743	1,874	13,742	232	1,874	13,974	15,848	1,939	2006	15 - 40 years
Airport Homewood Suites Brandon		1,377	10,099	31	1,377	10,130	11,507	1,035	2007	15 - 40 years
Hilton Garden Inn Bloomington	17,500		18,945			18,945	18,945	1,421	2009	15 - 40 years
TGIFriday's Marriott LaGuardia	2,335	829	6,139	170	829	6,309	7,138	852	2006	15 - 40 years
Hilton Garden Inn St. George		1,822	13,363	17	1,822	13,380	15,202	1,561	2007	15 - 40 years
SpringHill Suites Bakersfield		1,560	8,838		1,560	8,838	10,398	1,238	2007	15 - 40 years
SpringHill Suites Gainesville		4,018	12,118		4,018	12,118	16,136	1,356	2007	15 - 40 years
Hampton Inn & Suites Clearwater		1,106	12,721	51	1,106	12,772	13,878	1,413	2007	15 - 40 years
Hampton Inn Garden City		5,691	22,764	24	5,691	22,788	28,479	2,560	2007	15 - 40 years
Hampton Inn & Suites Las Vegas / Summerlin		2,341	4,609		2,341	4,609	6,950	635	2007	15 - 40 years

F-48

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Description	Initial Costs		Subsequent Costs Capitalized		Gross Amount at December 31, 2011			Accumulated Depreciation	Date Acquired	Depreciation Life	
	Debt	Land & Improvements	Building & Improvements	Land & Improvements	Building & Improvements	Land & Improvements	Buildings & Improvements				Total
Courtyard Houston Galleria	21,000	3,069	22,508		10	3,069	22,518	25,587	2,486	2007	15 - 40 years
Hampton Inn Fort Walton Beach		8,774	6,109		186	8,774	6,295	15,069	654	2007	15 - 40 years
Hilton Mystic Embassy Suites Downey		6,092	9,111	102	252	6,194	9,363	15,557	956	2007	15 - 40 years
Summerfield Suites Colorado Springs	8,900	1,453	8,234			1,453	8,234	9,687	720	2008	15 - 40 years
Summerfield Suites Austin	13,650	2,813	15,940		54	2,813	15,994	18,807	1,398	2008	15 - 40 years
Summerfield Suites Lincoln Park	20,280	3,169	17,958	13	190	3,182	18,148	21,330	1,575	2008	15 - 40 years
Summerfield Suites Dallas Uptown	15,600	2,241	12,698	11	23	2,251	12,722	14,973	1,112	2008	15 - 40 years
Summerfield Suites Richardson	10,112	1,445	8,186	63	31	1,508	8,217	9,725	720	2008	15 - 40 years
Summerfield Suites Houston Galleria	16,458	2,976	16,866		83	2,976	16,949	19,925	1,481	2008	15 - 40 years
Hilton Garden Inn New York/West 35th Street	60,000	24,244	96,978	5	252	24,249	97,230	121,479	7,078	2009	15 - 40 years
Embassy Suite Tampa Downtown Convention Center	40,000	2,161	71,017	99	334	2,259	71,352	73,611	3,122	2010	15 - 40 years
Fairfield Inn and Suites Washington, DC		16,214	22,265	73	3,479	16,287	25,744	42,031	1,077	2010	15 - 40 years
Embassy Suites Fort Myers Estero		2,816	7,862	12	25	2,828	7,887	10,715	378	2010	15 - 40 years
Homewood Suites Washington DC	31,000	23,139	34,188		149	23,139	34,337	57,476	1,290	2010	15 - 40 years
Hilton New York / Fashion District		35,592	82,392	2	151	35,594	82,543	118,137	2,754	2010	15 - 40 years
Hampton Inn & Suites Denver Tech Center		2,373	9,180	17	514	2,391	9,693	12,084	351	2010	15 - 40 years
Garden District Hotel		1,901	3,865	35	1,456	1,936	5,321	7,257		2010	15 - 40 years
		1,993	11,487		274	1,993	11,761	13,754	373	2010	15 - 40 years

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

Residence Inn Columbia											
Residence Inn National Harbor											
Washington DC		7,457	37,046	3	55	7,459	37,102	44,561	1,096	2010	15 - 40 years
Residence Inn Silver Spring	11,000	3,945	18,896		130	3,945	19,026	22,971	618	2010	15 - 40 years
Hilton Garden Inn New Orleans Convention Center		3,405	20,750		2,658	3,405	23,408	26,813	667	2010	15 - 40 years
Hampton Inn West Palm Beach Central Airport		2,280	9,769		4	2,280	9,773	12,053	316	2010	15 - 40 years
Hilton Garden Inn West Palm Beach Airport		1,206	10,811		9	1,206	10,820	12,026	332	2010	15 - 40 years
Hollywood Heights Hotel		5,303	19,136		2,864	5,303	22,000	27,303	557	2010	15 - 40 years

F-49

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Description	Debt	Initial Costs		Subsequent Costs Capitalized		Gross Amount at December 31, 2011		Total	Accumulated Depreciation	Date Acquired	Depreciation Life
		Land & Improvements	Building & Improvements	Land & Improvements	Building & Improvements	Land & Improvements	Buildings & Improvements				
Doubletree Metropolitan Hotel New York City	200,000	140,332	188,014	83	712	140,415	188,726	329,141	5,106	2010	15 - 40 years
Embassy Suites Columbus Renaissance Pittsburgh Hotel		1,274	6,805	102	160	1,377	6,964	8,341	198	2011	15 - 40 years
Courtyard Atlanta Buckhead Doubletree Hotel Columbia		3,274	39,934	30	613	3,303	40,548	43,851	1,020	2011	15 - 40 years
Marriott Denver Airport at Gateway Park	24,000	2,860	21,121		487	2,860	21,608	24,468	544	2011	15 - 40 years
Embassy Suites West Palm Beach-Central Hilton Garden Inn Raleigh Durham		1,933	6,486	34	827	1,966	7,314	9,280	187	2011	15 - 40 years
Hilton Garden Inn University Place Hampton Inn Houston Near the Galleria		3,083	37,125		404	3,083	37,529	40,612	983	2011	15 - 40 years
Courtyard Charleston Historic District		1,751	4,764	41	3,875	1,792	8,639	10,431	200	2011	15 - 40 years
		1,975	18,491	39	4,199	2,014	22,690	24,704	552	2011	15 - 40 years
		9,325	9,221	68	101	9,394	9,321	18,715	223	2011	15 - 40 years
		2,713	35,829		12	2,713	35,841	38,554	195	2011	15 - 40 years
	\$ 1,341,735	\$ 515,016	\$ 2,332,826	\$ 941	\$ 60,503	\$ 515,957	\$ 2,393,329	\$ 2,909,286	\$ 229,469		

Edgar Filing: RLJ Lodging Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Notes:

A) The change in total cost of properties for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 is as follows:

	2011	2010	2009
Reconciliation of Land and Buildings and Improvements			
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 2,676,184	\$ 1,875,767	\$ 1,825,967
Add: Acquisitions	223,668	797,065	140,137
Add: Improvements	41,848	57,188	8,035
Less: Disposition of properties	(32,414)	(53,836)	
Balance at end of period before impairment charges	2,909,286	2,676,184	1,974,139
Impairment charges on real estate assets owned at end of period			(98,372)
Balance at end of period	\$ 2,909,286	\$ 2,676,184	\$ 1,875,767

B) The change in accumulated depreciation of real estate assets for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 is as follows:

	2011	2010	2009
Reconciliation of Accumulated Depreciation			
Balance at beginning of period	\$ (175,432)	\$ (141,560)	\$ (98,473)
Add: Depreciation for the period	(59,403)	(44,272)	(43,087)
Less: Disposition of properties	5,366	10,400	
Balance at end of period	\$ (229,469)	\$ (175,432)	\$ (141,560)

F-51
