

YUM BRANDS INC
Form 10-Q
July 25, 2006

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D. C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 for the quarterly period ended June 17, 2006

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 1-13163

YUM! BRANDS, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

North Carolina

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

13-3951308

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

1441 Gardiner Lane, Louisville, Kentucky

(Address of principal executive offices)

40213

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (502) 874-8300

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of Exchange Act. (Check one): Large accelerated filer: Accelerated filer: Non-accelerated filer:

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The number of shares outstanding of the Registrant's Common Stock as of July 18, 2006 was 270,724,838 shares.

YUM! BRANDS, INC.

INDEX

	Page No.
Part I. Financial Information	
Item 1 - Financial Statements	
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income - Quarters and Years to date ended June 17, 2006 and June 11, 2005	3
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows - Years to date ended June 17, 2006 and June 11, 2005	4
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets - June 17, 2006 and December 31, 2005	5
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	6
Item 2 - Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	16
Item 3 - Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	31
Item 4 - Controls and Procedures	31
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	32
Part II. Other Information and Signatures	
Item 1 - Legal Proceedings	33
Item 1A - Risk Factors	33
Item 2 - Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	34
Item 4 - Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	34
Item 6 - Exhibits	35
Signatures	36

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION**Item 1. Financial Statements****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (Unaudited)**

YUM! BRANDS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

(in millions, except per share data)

	Quarter 6/17/06	6/11/05	Year to date 6/17/06	6/11/05
Revenues				
Company sales	\$1,912	\$1,902	\$3,731	\$3,712
Franchise and license fees	270	251	536	495
Total revenues	2,182	2,153	4,267	4,207
Costs and Expenses, Net				
Company restaurants				
Food and paper	583	600	1,140	1,174
Payroll and employee benefits	492	506	969	992
Occupancy and other operating expenses	536	530	1,037	1,021
	1,611	1,636	3,146	3,187
General and administrative expenses	264	273	518	520
Franchise and license expenses	9	4	17	12
Closures and impairment expenses	18	17	24	26
Refranchising (gain) loss	(15)	(13)	(11)	(11)
Other (income) expense	(12)	(25)	(16)	(39)
Wrench litigation (income) expense				
AmeriServe and other charges (credits)				
Total costs and expenses, net	1,875	1,892	3,678	3,695
Operating Profit	307	261	589	512
Interest expense, net	36	30	71	58
Income Before Income Taxes	271	231	518	454
Income tax provision	79	53	156	123
Net Income	\$192	\$178	\$362	\$331
Basic Earnings Per Common Share	\$0.71	\$0.62	\$1.32	\$1.14
Diluted Earnings Per Common Share	\$0.68	\$0.59	\$1.28	\$1.09
Dividends Declared Per Common Share	\$0.15	\$0.115	\$0.265	\$0.215

See accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited)

YUM! BRANDS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

(in millions)

	Year to date	
	6/17/06	6/11/05
Cash Flows Operating Activities		
Net income	\$ 362	\$ 331
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	210	208
Closures and impairment expenses	24	26
Refranchising (gain) loss	(11)	(11)
Contributions to defined benefit pension plans	(18)	
Other liabilities and deferred credits	67	29
Deferred income taxes	(58)	(32)
Equity income from investments in unconsolidated affiliates	(21)	(23)
Distributions of income received from unconsolidated affiliates	15	17
Excess tax benefits from share-based compensation	(37)	(51)
Share-based compensation expense	30	27
Other non-cash charges and credits, net	39	18
Changes in operating working capital, excluding effects of acquisitions and dispositions:		
Accounts and notes receivable	25	(4)
Inventories	1	4
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	(24)	57
Accounts payable and other current liabilities	(66)	(25)
Income taxes payable	20	
Net change in operating working capital	(44)	32
Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities	558	571
Cash Flows Investing Activities		
Capital spending	(186)	(210)
Proceeds from refranchising of restaurants	48	41
Acquisition of restaurants from franchisees		
Short-term investments	(31)	(31)
Sales of property, plant and equipment	26	22
Other, net	(16)	21
Net Cash Used in Investing Activities	(159)	(157)
Cash Flows Financing Activities		
Proceeds from Senior Unsecured Notes	300	
Revolving Credit Facility activity		
Three months or less, net	77	46
Repayments of long-term debt	(203)	(9)
Short-term borrowings-three months or less, net	4	(23)
Repurchase shares of common stock	(529)	(489)
Excess tax benefits from share-based compensation	37	51
Employee stock option proceeds	84	89
Dividends paid on common shares	(63)	(58)
Net Cash Used in Financing Activities	(293)	(393)
Effect of Exchange Rates on Cash and Cash Equivalents	3	(4)
Net Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents	109	17
Net Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents of Mainland China for December 2004		34
Cash and Cash Equivalents - Beginning of Period	158	62
Cash and Cash Equivalents - End of Period	\$ 267	\$ 113
Significant Non-Cash Investing and Financing Activities:		
Additional capital lease obligations	\$ 5	\$ 1

See accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

YUM! BRANDS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

(in millions)

	(Unaudited) 6/17/06	12/31/05
ASSETS		
Current Assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$267	\$158
Short-term investments	74	43
Accounts and notes receivable, less allowance: \$20 in 2006 and \$23 in 2005	223	236
Inventories	84	85
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	100	75
Deferred income taxes	158	163
Advertising cooperative assets, restricted	97	77
Total Current Assets	1,003	837
Property, plant and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation and amortization of \$2,945 in 2006 and \$2,830 in 2005	3,300	3,356
Goodwill	540	538
Intangible assets, net	341	330
Investments in unconsolidated affiliates	173	173
Other assets	507	464
Total Assets	\$5,864	\$5,698
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY		
Current Liabilities		
Accounts payable and other current liabilities	\$1,185	\$1,238
Income taxes payable	64	79
Short-term borrowings	13	211
Advertising cooperative liabilities	97	77
Total Current Liabilities	1,359	1,605
Long-term debt	2,027	1,649
Other liabilities and deferred credits	1,073	995
Total Liabilities	4,459	4,249
Shareholders Equity		
Preferred stock, no par value, 250 shares authorized; no shares issued		
Common stock, no par value, 750 shares authorized; 272 shares and 278 shares issued in 2006 and 2005, respectively		
Retained earnings	1,548	1,619
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(143)	(170)
Total Shareholders Equity	1,405	1,449
Total Liabilities and Shareholders Equity	\$5,864	\$5,698

See accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

(Tabular amounts in millions, except per share data)

1. Financial Statement Presentation

We have prepared our accompanying unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Financial Statements) in accordance with the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) for interim financial information. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by United States generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. Therefore, we suggest that the accompanying Financial Statements be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and notes thereto included in our annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005 (2005 Form 10-K). Except as disclosed herein, there has been no material change in the information disclosed in the notes to our Consolidated Financial Statements included in the 2005 Form 10-K.

Our Financial Statements include YUM! Brands, Inc. and its wholly-owned subsidiaries (collectively referred to as YUM or the Company). The Financial Statements include the worldwide operations of KFC, Pizza Hut, Taco Bell, Long John Silver s (LJS) and A&W All-American Food Restaurants (A&W) (collectively the Concepts). References to YUM throughout these notes to our Financial Statements are made using the first person notations of we, us or our.

Our preparation of the accompanying Financial Statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect reported amounts of assets and liabilities, disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the Financial Statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from the estimates.

In our opinion, the accompanying Financial Statements include all normal and recurring adjustments considered necessary to present fairly, when read in conjunction with our 2005 Form 10-K, our financial position as of June 17, 2006, the results of our operations for the quarters and years to date ended June 17, 2006 and June 11, 2005 and cash flows for the years to date ended June 17, 2006 and June 11, 2005. Our results of operations for these interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year.

Our significant interim accounting policies include the recognition of certain advertising and marketing costs, generally in proportion to revenue, and the recognition of income taxes using an estimated annual effective tax rate.

We have reclassified certain items in the accompanying Financial Statements and Notes to the Financial Statements in order to be comparable with the current classifications. These reclassifications had no effect on previously reported net income.

2. Share-Based Compensation

Edgar Filing: YUM BRANDS INC - Form 10-Q

In the fourth quarter 2005, we adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123 (Revised 2004), Share-Based Payment (SFAS 123R), which replaced SFAS No. 123 Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation (SFAS 123), superseded APB 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees and related interpretations and amended SFAS No. 95, Statement of Cash Flows. We adopted SFAS 123R using the modified retrospective application transition method effective September 4, 2005, the beginning of our fourth quarter in 2005. As permitted by SFAS 123R, we applied the modified retrospective application transition method to the beginning of the fiscal year of adoption (our fiscal year 2005). As such, the results for the first three quarters of 2005, including the quarter and year to date ended June 11, 2005, were adjusted to recognize the compensation cost previously reported in the pro forma footnote disclosures under the provisions of SFAS 123.

Edgar Filing: YUM BRANDS INC - Form 10-Q

The following table details the impact of adopting SFAS 123R by financial statement line item.

	Quarter		Year to date	
	6/17/06	6/11/05	6/17/06	6/11/05
Payroll and employee benefits	\$2	\$2	\$4	\$5
General and administrative	11	11	24	21
Operating profit	13	13	28	26
Income tax benefit	(4)	(4)	(9)	(9)
Net income	\$9	\$9	\$19	\$17

During the year to date ended June 17, 2006, we granted 4.0 million stock appreciation rights to employees to redeem shares of our Common Stock at an exercise price equal to the average market price on the date of grant. The weighted-average exercise price of these stock appreciation rights was approximately \$49.

3. Earnings Per Common Share (EPS)

	Quarter		Year to date	
	6/17/06	6/11/05	6/17/06	6/11/05
Net income	\$192	\$ 178	\$362	\$331
Basic EPS:				
Weighted-average common shares outstanding	272	288	274	290
Basic EPS	\$0.71	\$ 0.62	\$1.32	\$1.14
Diluted EPS:				
Weighted-average common shares outstanding	272	288	274	290
Shares assumed issued on exercise of dilutive share equivalents	31	40	32	40
Shares assumed purchased with proceeds of dilutive share equivalents	(22)	(27)	(22)	(27)
Shares applicable to diluted earnings	281	301	284	303
Diluted EPS	\$0.68	\$ 0.59	\$1.28	\$1.09
Unexercised employee stock options and stock appreciation rights (in millions) excluded from the diluted EPS computation ^(a)	0.8	0.4	0.8	0.2

(a) These unexercised employee stock options and stock appreciation rights were not included in the computation of diluted EPS because their exercise prices were greater than the average market price of our Common Stock during the respective quarter and year to dates ended.

4. Shareholders Equity

On May 18, 2006, we declared a cash dividend of \$0.15 per share of Common Stock to be distributed on August 4, 2006 to shareholders of record at the close of business on July 14, 2006. We had dividends payable of \$41 million and \$32 million at June 17, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively.

Edgar Filing: YUM BRANDS INC - Form 10-Q

We repurchased shares of our Common Stock under the following share repurchase programs during the years to date ended June 17, 2006 and June 11, 2005. All amounts exclude applicable transaction fees.

7

Program Authorization Date	Shares Repurchased		Dollar Value of Shares Repurchased	
	(thousands)			
March 2006	2006	2005	2006	2005
November 2005	1,178		\$60	\$
January 2005	9,564		469	
May 2004		9,282		464
Total		534		25
	10,742	9,816	\$529	\$ 489

As of June 17, 2006, we have \$440 million available for future repurchases under our March 2006 share repurchase program. Based on market conditions and other factors, repurchases may be made from time to time in the open market or through privately negotiated transactions at the discretion of the Company.

Comprehensive income was as follows:

	Quarter		Year to date	
	6/17/06	6/11/05	6/17/06	6/11/05
Net income	\$192	\$178	\$362	\$331
Foreign currency translation adjustment arising during the period	9	(15)	23	(9)
Changes in fair value of derivatives, net of tax	2	1	4	1
Reclassification of derivative (gains) losses to net income, net of tax		(1)		(1)
Total comprehensive income	\$203	\$163	\$389	\$322

5. New Accounting Pronouncements Not Yet Recognized

In July 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued FASB Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, (FIN 48) an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes . FIN 48 requires that a position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return be recognized in the financial statements when it is more likely than not (i.e. a likelihood of more than fifty percent) that the position would be sustained upon examination by tax authorities. A recognized tax position is then measured at the largest amount of benefit that is greater than fifty percent likely of being realized upon ultimate settlement. We have not yet determined the impact of the recognition and measurement requirements of FIN 48 on our existing tax positions. Upon adoption, the cumulative effect of applying the recognition and measurement provisions of FIN 48, if any, shall be reflected as an adjustment to the opening balance of retained earnings.

FIN 48 requires that subsequent to initial adoption a change in judgment that results in subsequent recognition, derecognition or change in a measurement of a tax position taken in a prior annual period (including any related interest and penalties) be recognized as a discrete item in the period in which the change occurs. Currently, we record such changes in judgment, including audit settlements, as a component of our annual effective rate. Thus, our reported quarterly income tax rate may become more volatile upon adoption of FIN 48. This change will not impact the manner in which we record income tax expense on an annual basis.

Edgar Filing: YUM BRANDS INC - Form 10-Q

FIN 48 also requires expanded disclosures including identification of tax positions for which it is reasonably possible that total amounts of unrecognized tax benefits will significantly change in the next twelve months, a description of tax years that remain subject to examination by major tax jurisdiction, a tabular reconciliation of the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits at the beginning and end of each annual reporting period, the total

Edgar Filing: YUM BRANDS INC - Form 10-Q

amount of unrecognized tax benefits that, if recognized, would affect the effective tax rate and the total amounts of interest and penalties recognized in the statements of operations and financial position. FIN 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006, the year beginning December 31, 2006 for the Company.

6. Facility Actions

Refranchising (gain) loss, store closure costs and store impairment charges by reportable segment are as follows:

	Quarter ended June 17, 2006			
	U.S.	International	China	Worldwide
Refranchising net (gain) loss ^(a)	Division \$(13)	Division \$(2)	Division \$	Worldwide \$(15)
Store closure costs	\$2	\$	\$	\$2
Store impairment charges	12	3	1	16
Closure and impairment expenses	\$14	\$3	\$1	\$18

	Quarter ended June 11, 2005			
	U.S.	International	China	Worldwide
Refranchising net (gain) loss ^(a)	Division \$(13)	Division \$	Division \$	Worldwide \$(13)
Store closure costs	\$(1)	\$	\$	\$(1)
Store impairment charges	15	1	2	18
Closure and impairment expenses	\$14	\$1	\$2	\$17

	Year to date ended June 17, 2006			
	U.S.	International	China	Worldwide
Refranchising net (gain) loss ^(a)	Division \$(10)	Division \$(1)	Division \$	Worldwide \$(11)
Store closure costs	\$2	\$1	\$	\$3
Store impairment charges	13	6	2	21
Closure and impairment expenses	\$15	\$7	\$2	\$24

	Year to date ended June 11, 2005			
	U.S.	International	China	Worldwide
Refranchising net (gain) loss ^(a)	Division \$(12)	Division \$1	Division \$	Worldwide \$(11)
Store closure costs	\$3	\$	\$	\$3
Store impairment charges	19	2	2	23
Closure and impairment expenses	\$22	\$2	\$2	\$26

Edgar Filing: YUM BRANDS INC - Form 10-Q

(a) Refranchising (gain) loss is not allocated to segments for performance reporting purposes.

9

7. Other (Income) Expense

	Quarter		Year to date	
	6/17/06	6/11/05	6/17/06	6/11/05
Gain upon sale of investment in unconsolidated affiliate ^(a)	\$	\$(17)	\$	\$(17)
Equity income from investments in unconsolidated affiliates	(10)	(8)	(21)	(23)
Foreign exchange net (gain) loss	(2)		(3)	1
Contract termination charge ^(b)			8	
Other (income) expense	\$(12)	\$(25)	\$(16)	\$(39)

Dividends received from unconsolidated affiliates were approximately \$15 million and \$17 million for the years to date ended June 17, 2006 and June 11, 2005, respectively.

- (a) Reflects a \$17 million gain related to the 2005 sale of our fifty percent interest in the entity that operated almost all KFCs and Pizza Huts in Poland and the Czech Republic to our then partner in the entity, principally for cash. In the fourth quarter of 2005, we recorded a \$6 million reduction to correct the gain associated with this transaction.
- (b) Reflects an \$8 million charge associated with the termination of a beverage agreement in the United States segment during the quarter ended March 25, 2006.

8. Reportable Operating Segments

The following tables summarize revenue and operating profit for each of our reportable operating segments:

Revenues	Quarter		Year to date	
	6/17/06	6/11/05	6/17/06	6/11/05
United States	\$1,330	\$1,385	\$2,669	\$2,720
International Division	489	492	958	976
China Division ^(a)	363	276	640	511
	\$2,182	\$2,153	\$4,267	\$4,207

Operating Profit	Quarter		Year to date	
	6/17/06	6/11/05	6/17/06	6/11/05
United States	\$194	\$185	\$382	\$342
International Division	88	87	183	178
China Division	57	25	115	77
Unallocated and corporate expenses	(49)	(66)	(104)	(112)
Unallocated other income (expense) ^(b)	2	17	2	16
Unallocated franchising gain (loss) ^(c)	15	13	11	11
Wrench litigation (income) expense				
AmeriServe and other charges (credits)				
Operating profit	307	261	589	512

Edgar Filing: YUM BRANDS INC - Form 10-Q

Interest expense, net	(36)	(30)	(71)	(58)
Income before income taxes	\$271		\$231		\$518		\$454	

- (a) Includes revenues of approximately \$302 million and \$210 million in mainland China for the quarters ended June 17, 2006 and June 11, 2005, respectively. Includes revenues of approximately \$536 million and \$399 million in mainland China for the years to date ended June 17, 2006 and June 11, 2005, respectively.
- (b) Includes a gain of approximately \$17 million related to the sale of our fifty percent interest in our former unconsolidated affiliate in Poland and the Czech Republic in the quarter and year to date ended June 11, 2005.
- (c) Unallocated refranchising gain (loss) is not allocated to the U.S., International Division or China Division segments for performance reporting purposes.

9. Pension and Postretirement Medical Benefits

We sponsor defined benefit pension plans covering substantially all full-time U.S. salaried employees, certain U.S. hourly employees and certain international employees. The most significant of these plans, the YUM Retirement Plan (the Plan), covers U.S. employees. Our postretirement plan provides health care benefits, principally to U.S. salaried retirees and their dependents, and includes retiree cost sharing provisions. The defined benefit pension plans covering U.S. employees and our postretirement plan were amended during 2001 such that any salaried employee that was hired or rehired after September 30, 2001 is not eligible to participate.

The components of net periodic benefit cost for our defined benefit pension plans covering U.S. employees and our postretirement plan are as follows:

	Pension Benefits		Other Postretirement Benefits	
	Quarter		Quarter	
	6/17/06	6/11/05	6/17/06	6/11/05
Service cost	\$8	\$8	\$ 1	\$
Interest cost	11	10	1	1
Expected return on plan assets	(11)	(11)		
Amortization of prior service cost	1	1		
Recognized actuarial loss	7	5		1
Net periodic benefit cost	\$16	\$13	\$ 2	\$2

	Pension Benefits		Other Postretirement Benefits	
	Year to date		Year to date	
	6/17/06	6/11/05	6/17/06	6/11/05
Service cost	\$16	\$15	\$ 1	\$1
Interest cost	21	20	2	2
Expected return on plan assets	(22)	(21)		
Amortization of prior service cost	2	2		
Recognized actuarial loss	14	10		1
Net periodic benefit cost	\$31	\$26	\$ 3	\$4

As disclosed in our 2005 Form 10-K, based on current funding rules, we are not required to make contributions to the Plan in 2006. No contributions were made to the Plan during the quarter and year to date ended June 17, 2006. While we may make discretionary contributions to the Plan during the year, we do not currently intend to do so. We made a contribution of approximately \$18 million to one of our International plans during the quarter ended June 17, 2006.

10. Debt

In April, 2006, we issued \$300 million aggregate principal amount of 6.25% Senior Unsecured Notes due April 15, 2016 (the "Notes"). The Notes represent senior, unsecured obligations and rank equally in right of payment with all of our existing and future unsecured unsubordinated indebtedness. We used \$200 million of the proceeds from these Notes to repay our 8.5% Senior Unsecured Notes that matured in April 2006 and we will use the remainder for general corporate purposes.

In anticipation of issuing the Notes, we entered into treasury locks during the quarter ended March 25, 2006 with aggregate notional amounts of \$250 million to hedge the risk of changes in future interest payments attributable to changes in United States Treasury rates prior to issuance of the Notes. As these treasury locks were designated and effective in offsetting this variability in cash flows associated with the future interest payments, the resulting gain from settlement of these treasury locks of approximately \$8 million is being amortized over the ten year life of the Notes as a reduction in interest expense.

As of June 17, 2006, the fair values of our interest rate swaps with notional amounts of \$850 million decreased from a liability position of \$5 million at December 31, 2005 to a liability position of \$35 million at June 17, 2006 due to increases in interest rates.

11. Russian Acquisition

On March 24, 2006, we finalized an agreement with Rostik's Restaurant Ltd. ("RRL"), a franchisor and operator of a chicken chain in Russia known as Rostik's, under which we acquired the Rostik's brand and associated intellectual property for \$15 million (\$10 million of which has been paid as of June 17, 2006). We will provide financial support, including loans and guarantees, up to \$30 million to support future development by RRL in Russia. This agreement also includes a put/call option that may be exercised, subject to certain conditions, between the fifth and seventh year whereby ownership of then existing restaurants would be transferred to YRI.

The co-branding as Rostik's/KFC of ninety-four existing Rostik's units and the eighteen existing KFC franchise restaurants in Russia is underway.

12. Guarantees, Commitments and Contingencies

Lease Guarantees and Contingencies

As a result of (a) assigning our interest in obligations under real estate leases as a condition to the refranchising of certain Company restaurants; (b) contributing certain Company restaurants to unconsolidated affiliates; and (c) guaranteeing certain other leases, we are frequently contingently liable on lease agreements. These leases have varying terms, the latest of which expires in 2031. As of June 17, 2006 and December

Edgar Filing: YUM BRANDS INC - Form 10-Q

31, 2005, the potential amount of undiscounted payments we could be required to make in the event of non-payment by the primary lessee was \$360 million and \$374 million, respectively. The present value of these potential payments discounted at our pre-tax cost of debt at June 17, 2006 was \$289 million. Our franchisees are the primary lessees under the vast majority of these leases. We generally have cross-default provisions with these franchisees that would put them in default of their franchise agreement in the event of non-payment under the lease. We believe these cross-default provisions significantly reduce the risk that we will be required to make payments under these leases. Accordingly, the liability recorded for our probable exposure under such leases at June 17, 2006 and December 31, 2005 was not material.

Franchise Loan Pool Guarantees - We had provided approximately \$16 million of partial guarantees of two franchisee loan pools related primarily to the Company's historical refranchising programs and, to a lesser extent, franchisee development of new restaurants, at June 17, 2006 and December 31, 2005. In support of these guarantees, we posted letters of credit of \$4 million. We also provide a standby letter of credit of \$18 million under which we could potentially be required to fund a portion of one of the franchisee loan pools. The total

loans outstanding under these loan pools were approximately \$76 million and \$77 million at June 17, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively.

Any funding under the guarantees or letters of credit would be secured by the franchisee loans and any related collateral. We believe that we have appropriately provided for our estimated probable exposures under these contingent liabilities. These provisions were primarily charged to refranchising (loss) gain.

Insurance Programs

We are self-insured for a substantial portion of our current and prior years' coverage including workers' compensation, employment practices liability, general liability, automobile liability and property losses (collectively, "property and casualty losses"). To mitigate the cost of our exposures for certain property and casualty losses, we make annual decisions to self-insure the risks of loss up to defined maximum per occurrence retentions on a line by line basis or to combine certain lines of coverage into one loss pool with a single self-insured aggregate retention. The Company then purchases insurance coverage, up to a certain limit, for losses that exceed the self-insurance per occurrence or aggregate retention. The insurers' maximum aggregate loss limits are significantly above our actuarially determined probable losses; therefore, we believe the likelihood of losses exceeding the insurers' maximum aggregate loss limits is remote.

In the U.S. and in certain other countries, we are also self-insured for healthcare claims and long-term disability for eligible participating employees subject to certain deductibles and limitations. We have accounted for our retained liabilities for self-insured property and casualty losses, healthcare and long-term disability claims, including reported and incurred but not reported claims, based on information provided by independent actuaries.

Due to the inherent volatility of actuarially determined property and casualty loss estimates, it is reasonably possible that we could experience changes in estimated losses which could be material to our growth in quarterly and annual net income. We believe that we have recorded reserves for property and casualty losses at a level which has substantially mitigated the potential negative impact of adverse developments and/or volatility.

Change of Control Severance Agreements

The Company has severance agreements with certain key executives (the "Agreements") that are renewable on an annual basis. These Agreements are triggered by a termination, under certain conditions, of the executive's employment following a change in control of the Company, as defined in the Agreements. If triggered, the affected executives would generally receive twice the amount of both their annual base salary and their annual incentive, at the higher of target or actual for the preceding year, a proportionate bonus at the higher of target or actual performance earned through the date of termination, outplacement services and a tax gross-up for any excise taxes. These Agreements have a three-year term and automatically renew each January 1 for another three-year term unless the Company elects not to renew the Agreements. If these Agreements had been triggered as of June 17, 2006, payments of approximately \$36 million would have been made. In the event of a change of control, rabbi trusts would be established and used to provide payouts under existing deferred and incentive compensation plans.

Litigation

Edgar Filing: YUM BRANDS INC - Form 10-Q

We are subject to various claims and contingencies related to lawsuits, taxes, environmental and other matters arising out of the normal course of business. We provide reserves for such claims and contingencies when payment is probable and estimable in accordance with SFAS No. 5 Accounting for Contingencies.

On August 13, 2003, a class action lawsuit against Pizza Hut, Inc., styled Coldiron v. Pizza Hut, Inc., was filed in the United States District Court, Central District of California. Plaintiff alleges that she and other current and former Pizza Hut Restaurant General Managers (RGMs) were improperly classified as exempt employees

under the U.S. Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA). There is also a pendent state law claim, alleging that current and former RGMs in California were misclassified under that state s law. Plaintiff seeks unpaid overtime wages and penalties. On May 5, 2004, the District Court granted conditional certification of a nationwide class of RGMs under the FLSA claim, providing notice to prospective class members and an opportunity to join the class. Approximately 12 percent of the eligible class members have elected to join the litigation. However, on June 30, 2005, the District Court granted Pizza Hut s motion to strike all FLSA class members who joined the litigation after July 15, 2004. The effect of this order is to reduce the number of FLSA class members to only approximately 88 (or approximately 2.5% of the eligible class members).

In November 2005, the parties agreed to a settlement. The Court granted preliminary approval of the settlement on June 28, 2006. We have provided for the settlement amount in our Financial Statements. The parties will now proceed with the final fairness hearing and class notice, with conclusion of this matter expected in late 2006.

On November 26, 2001, a lawsuit against Long John Silver s, Inc. (LJS) styled Kevin Johnson, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated v. Long John Silver s, Inc. (Johnson) was filed in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Tennessee, Nashville Division. Johnson s suit alleged that LJS s former Security/Restitution for Losses policy (the Policy) provided for deductions from RGMs and Assistant Restaurant General Managers (ARGMs) salaries that violate the salary basis test for exempt personnel under regulations issued pursuant to the FLSA. Johnson alleged that all RGMs and ARGMs who were employed by LJS for the three year period prior to the lawsuit i.e., since November 26, 1998 should be treated as the equivalent of hourly employees and thus were eligible under the FLSA for overtime for any hours worked over 40 during all weeks in the recovery period. In addition, Johnson claimed that the potential members of the class are entitled to certain liquidated damages and attorneys fees under the FLSA.

LJS believed that Johnson s claims, as well as the claims of all other similarly situated parties, should be resolved in individual arbitrations pursuant to LJS s Dispute Resolution Program (DRP), and that a collective action to resolve these claims in court was clearly inappropriate under the current state of the law. Accordingly, LJS moved to compel arbitration in the Johnson case. LJS and Johnson also agreed to stay the action effective December 17, 2001, pending mediation and entered into a tolling agreement for that purpose. After mediation did not resolve the case, and after limited discovery and a hearing, the Court determined on June 7, 2004, that Johnson s individual claims should be referred to arbitration. Johnson appealed, and the decision of the District Court was affirmed in all respects by the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit on July 5, 2005.

On December 19, 2003, counsel for plaintiff in the above referenced Johnson lawsuit, filed a separate demand for arbitration with the American Arbitration Association (AAA) on behalf of former LJS managers Erin Cole and Nick Kaufman (the Cole Arbitration). Claimants in the Cole Arbitration demand a class arbitration on behalf of the same putative class - and the same underlying FLSA claims - as were alleged in the Johnson lawsuit. The complaint in the Cole Arbitration subsequently was amended to allege a practice of deductions (distinct from the allegations as to the Policy) in violation of the FLSA salary basis test, and to add Victoria McWhorter, another LJS former manager, as an additional claimant. LJS has denied the claims and the putative class alleged in the Cole Arbitration, and it is LJS s position that the claims of Cole, Kaufman, and McWhorter should be individually arbitrated.

Arbitrations under LJS s DRP, including the Cole Arbitration, are governed by the rules of the AAA. In October 2003, the AAA adopted its Supplementary Rules for Class Arbitrations (AAA Class Rules). The AAA appointed an arbitrator for the Cole Arbitration. On June 15, 2004, the arbitrator issued a clause construction award, ruling that the DRP does not preclude class arbitration. LJS moved to vacate the clause construction award in the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina. On September 15, 2005, the federal court in South Carolina ruled that it did not have jurisdiction to hear LJS s motion to vacate. LJS has appealed the U.S. District Court s ruling to the United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit. LJS has also filed a motion to vacate the clause construction award in South Carolina state court. While judicial review of the clause construction award was pending in the U.S. District Court, the arbitrator permitted claimants to move for a class determination award, which was opposed by LJS. On September 19, 2005, the

Edgar Filing: YUM BRANDS INC - Form 10-Q

arbitrator issued a class determination award, certifying a class of LJS's RGMs and ARGMs employed between December 17, 1998, and August 22, 2004, on FLSA claims, to proceed on an opt-out basis under the AAA Class Rules. That class determination award was upheld on appeal by the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina on January 20, 2006, and the arbitrator declined to reconsider the award. LJS has appealed the ruling of the U.S. District Court to the United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit. LJS has also filed a motion to vacate the class determination award in South Carolina state court.

LJS believes that the DRP provides for individual arbitrations. LJS also believes that if the Cole Arbitration must proceed on a class basis, (i) the proceedings should be governed by the opt-in collective action structure of the FLSA, and (ii) a class should not be certified under the applicable provisions of the FLSA. LJS also believes that each individual should not be able to recover for more than two years (and a maximum three years) prior to the date they file a consent to join the arbitration. We have provided for the estimated costs of the Cole Arbitration, based on a projection of eligible claims, the amount of each eligible claim, the estimated legal fees incurred by the claimants and the results of settlement negotiations in this and other wage and hour litigation matters. But in view of the novelties of proceeding under the AAA Class Rules and the inherent uncertainties of litigation, there can be no assurance that the outcome of the arbitration will not result in losses in excess of those currently provided for.

On September 21, 2005, a collective action lawsuit against the Company and KFC Corporation, originally styled Parler v. Yum Brands, Inc., d/b/a KFC, and KFC Corporation, was filed in the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota. Plaintiff alleges that he and other current and former KFC Assistant Unit Managers (AUMs) were improperly classified as exempt employees under FLSA. Plaintiff seeks overtime wages and liquidated damages. On January 17, 2006, the District Court dismissed the claims against the Company with prejudice, leaving KFC Corporation as the sole defendant. Notice was mailed to current and former AUMs advising them of the litigation and providing an opportunity to join the case if they choose to do so. Plaintiff has requested leave to amend the complaint to add related state law claims.

We believe that KFC has properly classified its AUMs as exempt under the FLSA and accordingly intend to vigorously defend against all claims in this lawsuit. However, in view of the inherent uncertainties of litigation, the outcome of this case cannot be predicted at this time. Likewise, the amount of any potential loss cannot be reasonably estimated.

On December 17, 2002, Taco Bell was named as the defendant in a class action lawsuit filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California styled Moeller, et al. v. Taco Bell Corp. On August 4, 2003, plaintiffs filed an amended complaint that alleges, among other things, that Taco Bell has discriminated against the class of people who use wheelchairs or scooters for mobility by failing to make its approximately 220 company-owned restaurants in California (the California Restaurants) accessible to the class. Plaintiffs contend that queue rails and other architectural and structural elements of the Taco Bell restaurants relating to the path of travel and use of the facilities by persons with mobility-related disabilities (including parking spaces, ramps, counters, restroom facilities and seating) do not comply with the U.S. Americans with Disabilities Act (the ADA), the Unruh Civil Rights Act (the Unruh Act), and the California Disabled Persons Act (the CDPA). Plaintiffs have requested: (a) an injunction from the District Court ordering Taco Bell to comply with the ADA and its implementing regulations; (b) that the District Court declare Taco Bell in violation of the ADA, the Unruh Act, and the CDPA; and (c) monetary relief under the Unruh Act or CDPA. Plaintiffs, on behalf of the class, are seeking the minimum statutory damages per offense of either \$4,000 under the Unruh Act or \$2,000 under the CDPA for each aggrieved member of the class. Plaintiffs contend that there may be in excess of 100,000 individuals in the class. For themselves, the four named plaintiffs have claimed aggregate minimum statutory damages of no less than \$16,000, but are expected to claim greater amounts based on the number of Taco Bell outlets they visited at which they claim to have suffered discrimination.

On February 23, 2004, the District Court granted Plaintiffs' motion for class certification. The District Court certified a Rule 23(b)(2) mandatory injunctive relief class of all individuals with disabilities who use wheelchairs or electric scooters for mobility who, at any time on or after December 17, 2001, were denied, or

are currently being denied, on the basis of disability, the full and equal enjoyment of the California Restaurants. The class includes claims for injunctive relief and minimum statutory damages.

Pursuant to the parties' agreement, on or about August 31, 2004, the District Court ordered that the trial of this action be bifurcated so that stage one will resolve Plaintiffs' claims for equitable relief and stage two will resolve Plaintiffs' claims for damages. The parties are currently proceeding with the equitable relief stage of this action. During this stage, Taco Bell filed a motion to partially decertify the class to exclude from the Rule 23(b)(2) class claims for monetary damages. The District Court denied the motion. Plaintiffs filed their own motion for partial summary judgment as to liability relating to a subset of the California Restaurants. The District Court denied that motion as well. Discovery is ongoing as of the date of this report.

Taco Bell has denied liability and intends to vigorously defend against all claims in this lawsuit. Although this lawsuit is at a relatively early stage in the proceedings, it is likely that certain of the California Restaurants will be determined to be not fully compliant with accessibility laws and that Taco Bell will be required to take certain steps to make those restaurants fully compliant. However, at this time, it is not possible to estimate with reasonable certainty the potential costs to bring non-compliant California Restaurants into compliance with applicable state and federal disability access laws. Nor is it possible at this time to reasonably estimate the probability or amount of liability for monetary damages on a class wide basis to Taco Bell.

Obligations to PepsiCo, Inc. After Spin-off

In connection with our October 6, 1997 spin-off from PepsiCo, Inc. ("PepsiCo") (the "Spin-off"), we entered into separation and other related agreements (the "Separation Agreements") governing the Spin-off and our subsequent relationship with PepsiCo. These agreements provide certain indemnities to PepsiCo.

Under the terms of these agreements, we have indemnified PepsiCo for any costs or losses it incurs with respect to all letters of credit, guarantees and contingent liabilities relating to our businesses under which PepsiCo remains liable. As of June 17, 2006, PepsiCo remains liable for approximately \$25 million on a nominal basis related to these contingencies. This obligation ends at the time PepsiCo is released, terminated or replaced by a qualified letter of credit. We have not been required to make any payments under this indemnity.

Under the Separation Agreements, PepsiCo maintains full control and absolute discretion with regard to any combined or consolidated tax filings for periods through October 6, 1997. PepsiCo also maintains full control and absolute discretion regarding any common tax audit issues. Although PepsiCo has contractually agreed to, in good faith, use its best efforts to settle all joint interests in any common tax audit issue on a basis consistent with prior practice, there can be no assurance that determinations made by PepsiCo would be the same as we would reach, acting on our own behalf. Through June 17, 2006, there have not been any determinations made by PepsiCo where we would have reached a different determination.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Introduction and Overview

Edgar Filing: YUM BRANDS INC - Form 10-Q

YUM! Brands, Inc. and Subsidiaries (collectively referred to as "YUM" or the "Company") comprises the worldwide operations of KFC, Pizza Hut, Taco Bell, Long John Silver's ("LJS") and A&W All-American Food Restaurants ("A&W") (collectively "the Concepts") and is the world's largest quick service restaurant ("QSR") company based on the number of system units. YUM is the second largest QSR company outside the U.S. with over 14,000 units.

Through its Concepts, YUM develops, operates, franchises and licenses a system of both traditional and non-traditional QSR restaurants. Traditional units feature dine-in, carryout and, in some instances, drive-thru or delivery services. Non-traditional units, which are typically licensed outlets, include express units and kiosks which have a more limited menu and operate in non-traditional locations like malls, airports, gasoline service

stations, convenience stores, stadiums, amusement parks and colleges, where a full-scale traditional outlet would not be practical or efficient.

The retail food industry, in which the Company competes, is made up of supermarkets, supercenters, warehouse stores, convenience stores, coffee shops, snack bars, delicatessens and restaurants (including the QSR segment), and is intensely competitive with respect to food quality, price, service, convenience, location and concept. The industry is often affected by changes in consumer tastes; national, regional or local economic conditions; currency fluctuations; demographic trends; traffic patterns; the type, number and location of competing food retailers and products; and disposable purchasing power. Each of the Concepts compete with international, national and regional restaurant chains as well as locally-owned restaurants, not only for customers, but also for management and hourly personnel, suitable real estate sites and qualified franchisees.

Our business consists of three reporting segments: United States, the International Division and the China Division. The China Division includes mainland China (China), Thailand and KFC Taiwan and the International Division includes the remainder of our international operations.

The Company's key strategies are:

- Building dominant restaurant brands in China

- Driving profitable international expansion

- Improving restaurant operations

- Multibranding category-leading brands

The Company is focused on five long-term measures identified as essential to our growth and progress. These five measures and related key performance indicators are as follows:

- China Division and International Division expansion

 - China Division and International Division system-sales growth (local currency)

 - Number of new China Division and International Division restaurant openings

 - Net China Division and International Division unit growth

- Multibrand innovation and expansion

 - Number of multibrand restaurant locations

 - Number of multibrand units added

 - Number of franchise multibrand units added

- Portfolio of category-leading U.S. brands

 - U.S. blended same store sales growth

- Global franchise fees

 - New restaurant openings by franchisees

 - Franchise fee growth

- Strong cash generation and returns

Edgar Filing: YUM BRANDS INC - Form 10-Q

Cash generated from all sources
Cash generated from all sources after capital spending
Restaurant margins
U.S. and International Division operating margins

Our progress against these measures is discussed throughout the Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD&A).

Throughout the MD&A, the Company provides the percentage change excluding the impact of foreign currency translation. These amounts are derived by translating current year results at prior year average exchange rates. We believe the elimination of the foreign currency translation impact provides better year-to-year comparability without the distortion of foreign currency fluctuations.

The following MD&A should be read in conjunction with the unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Financial Statements), the Cautionary Statements and our annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005 (2005 Form 10-K).

All Note references herein refer to the accompanying Notes to the Financial Statements. Tabular amounts are displayed in millions except per share and unit count amounts, or as otherwise specifically identified.

Significant Known Events, Trends or Uncertainties Impacting or Expected to Impact 2006 Comparisons with 2005

The following factors impacted comparability of operating performance for the quarter and/or year to date ended June 17, 2006 or could impact comparisons for the remainder of 2006. Certain of these factors were previously discussed in our 2005 Form 10-K.

Mainland China Issues

Our KFC business in mainland China was negatively impacted by the interruption of product offerings and negative publicity associated with a supplier ingredient issue experienced in late March 2005 as well as consumer concerns related to Avian Flu in the fourth quarter of 2005. As a result of the aforementioned issues, the China Division experienced system sales growth in the full year 2005 of 11% excluding foreign currency translation which was below our ongoing target of at least 22%. For the quarter and year to date ended June 17, 2006, we achieved China Division growth rates of 29% and 22%, respectively, for system sales and 28% and 23%, respectively, for Company sales, both excluding foreign currency translation. Given these positive trends, we believe KFC sales in mainland China have rebounded from the negative impact of the supplier ingredient issue and Avian Flu.

United States Commodity Costs

Restaurant profits in the United States were positively impacted by a decline in commodity costs (principally meats and cheese) in the quarter and year to date ended June 17, 2006 versus the quarter and year to date ended June 11, 2005. Commodity costs declined by approximately \$16 million and \$25 million in the quarter and year to date ended June 17, 2006, respectively. We expect commodity costs in the second half of 2006 to be favorable versus 2005 by an additional \$10 million to \$15 million with the majority of the benefit occurring in the quarter ending September 9, 2006.

Beverage Agreement Contract Termination

During the first quarter of 2006, we entered into an agreement with a beverage supplier to certain of our Concepts to terminate a long-term supply contract. As a result of the cash payment we have made to the supplier in connection with this termination, we recorded a charge of \$8 million in the quarter ended March 25, 2006. We anticipate entering into an agreement with an alternative beverage supplier for these Concepts in the near future that will provide for more favorable beverage pricing than the agreement being terminated. We anticipate that the contract termination charge we recorded in the quarter ended March 25, 2006 will largely be offset by more favorable beverage pricing for our Concepts recognized the balance of the year such that the net impact on 2006 net income will not be significant. We expect to continue to benefit from the more favorable pricing in 2007 and beyond.

Store Portfolio Strategy

From time to time we sell Company restaurants to existing and new franchisees where geographic synergies can be obtained or where their expertise can generally be leveraged to improve our overall operating performance, while retaining Company ownership of key U.S. and international markets. In the U.S., we are in the process of increasing franchise ownership of restaurants from 75% currently to 80%. This two-year plan calls for selling approximately 1,000 Company restaurants to franchisees in 2006 and 2007. Through June 17, 2006 107 company restaurants in the U.S. have been sold to franchisees as part of this plan. Refranchisings reduce our reported revenues and restaurant profits and increase the importance of system sales growth as a key performance measure.

The following table summarizes our worldwide refranchising activities:

	Quarter		Year to date	
	6/17/06	6/11/05	6/17/06	6/11/05
Number of units refranchised	51	127	132	141
Refranchising proceeds, pre-tax	\$26	\$37	\$48	\$41
Refranchising net gains, pre-tax	\$(15)	\$(13)	\$(11)	\$(11)

In addition to our refranchising program, from time to time we close restaurants that are poor performing, we relocate restaurants to a new site within the same trade area or we consolidate two or more of our existing units into a single unit (collectively store closures). Store closure costs (income) includes the net of gains or losses on sales of real estate on which we are not currently operating a Company restaurant, lease reserves established when we cease using a property under an operating lease and subsequent adjustments to those reserves, and other facility-related expenses from previously closed stores.

The following table summarizes worldwide Company store closure activities:

	Quarter		Year to date	
	6/17/06	6/11/05	6/17/06	6/11/05
Number of units closed	40	44	75	87
Store closure costs (income)	\$2	\$(1)	\$3	\$3

The impact on operating profit arising from refranchising and Company store closures is the net of (a) the estimated reductions in restaurant profit, which reflects the decrease in Company sales, and general and administrative expenses and (b) the estimated increase in franchise fees from the stores refranchised. The amounts presented below reflect the estimated impact from stores that were operated by us for all or some portion of the comparable period in 2005 and were no longer operated by us as of June 17, 2006. The amounts do not include results from new restaurants that we opened in connection with a relocation of an existing unit or any incremental impact upon consolidation of two or more of our existing units into a single unit.

Edgar Filing: YUM BRANDS INC - Form 10-Q

The following table summarizes the estimated impact on revenue of refranchising and Company store closures:

	Quarter ended 6/17/06			
	U.S.	International	China	Worldwide
	U.S.	Division	Division	Worldwide
Decreased Company sales	\$ (87)	\$ (28)	\$ (4)	\$ (119)
Increased franchise fees	3			3
Decrease in total revenues	\$ (84)	\$ (28)	\$ (4)	\$ (116)
	Year to date ended 6/17/06			
	U.S.	International	China	Worldwide
	U.S.	Division	Division	Worldwide
Decreased Company sales	\$ (169)	\$ (58)	\$ (7)	\$ (234)
Increased franchise fees	6	1		7
Decrease in total revenues	\$ (163)	\$ (57)	\$ (7)	\$ (227)

The following table summarizes the estimated impact on operating profit of refranchising and Company store closures:

	Quarter ended 6/17/06			
	U.S.	International	China	Worldwide
	U.S.	Division	Division	Worldwide
Decreased restaurant profit	\$ (10)	\$ (2)	\$	\$ (12)
Increased franchise fees	3			3
Decreased general and administrative expenses				
Decrease in operating profit	\$ (7)	\$ (2)	\$	\$ (9)
	Year to date ended 6/17/06			
	U.S.	International	China	Worldwide
	U.S.	Division	Division	Worldwide
Decreased restaurant profit	\$ (18)	\$ (2)	\$	\$ (20)
Increased franchise fees	6	1		7
Decreased general and administrative expenses				
Decrease in operating profit	\$ (12)	\$ (1)	\$	\$ (13)

Results of Operations

	Quarter 6/17/06	6/11/05	% B/(W)	Year to date 6/17/06	6/11/05	% B/(W)
Company sales	\$ 1,912	\$ 1,902	1	\$3,731	\$ 3,712	1
Franchise and license fees	270	251	7	536	495	8
Revenues	\$ 2,182	\$ 2,153	1	\$4,267	\$ 4,207	1
Company restaurant profit	\$ 301	\$ 266	14	\$ 585	\$ 525	11
% of Company sales	15.8%	14.0%	1.8 ppts.	15.7%	14.2%	1.5 ppts.
Operating profit	307	261	18	589	512	15
Interest expense, net	36	30	(25)	71	58	(23)
Income tax provision	79	53	(47)	156	123	(26)
Net income	\$ 192	\$ 178	8	\$ 362	\$ 331	9
Diluted earnings per share ^(a)	\$ 0.68	\$ 0.59	15	\$ 1.28	\$ 1.09	17

(a) See Note 3 for the number of shares used in this calculation.

Restaurant Unit Activity

	Company	Unconsolidated Affiliates	Franchisees	Total Excluding Licensees
<u>Worldwide</u> Beginning of year	7,587	1,648	22,666	31,901
New Builds	148	48	338	534
Acquisitions	1		(1)	
Refranchising	(132)		132	
Closures	(75)	(20)	(280)	(375)
Other	3	(3)	(38)	(38)
End of quarter	7,532	1,673	22,817	32,022
% of Total	24%	5%	71%	100%

The above totals exclude 2,143 and 2,376 licensed units at June 17, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively. The International Division total excludes 86 units from the acquisition of the Rostik s brand (see Note 11). These units will be presented as franchisee new builds as the co-branding into Rostik s/KFC restaurants occurs.

Edgar Filing: YUM BRANDS INC - Form 10-Q

<u>United States</u>	Company	Unconsolidated Affiliates	Franchisees	Total Excluding Licensees
Beginning of year	4,686		13,605	18,291
New Builds	26		86	112
Acquisitions				
Refranchising	(107)		107	
Closures	(47)		(172)	(219)
Other	3		(23)	(20)
End of quarter	4,561		13,603	18,164
% of Total	25%		75%	100%

The above totals exclude 1,944 and 2,181 licensed units at June 17, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively.

<u>International Division</u>	Company	Unconsolidated Affiliates	Franchisees	Total Excluding Licensees
Beginning of year	1,375	1,096	8,848	11,319
New Builds	15	13	248	276
Acquisitions				
Refranchising	(24)		24	
Closures	(15)	(19)	(106)	(140)
Other		(3)	(14)	(17)
End of quarter	1,351	1,087	9,000	11,438
% of Total	12%	9%	79%	100%

The above totals exclude 199 and 195 licensed units at June 17, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively. The International Division total excludes 86 units from the acquisition of the Rostik s brand. These units will be presented as franchisee new builds as the co-branding into Rostik s/KFC restaurants occurs.

<u>China Division</u>	Company	Unconsolidated Affiliates	Franchisees	Total Excluding Licensees
Beginning of year	1,526	552	213	2,291
New Builds	107	35	4	146
Acquisitions	1		(1)	
Refranchising	(1)		1	
Closures	(13)	(1)	(2)	(16)
Other			(1)	(1)
End of quarter	1,620	586	214	2,420
% of Total	67%	24%	9%	100%

There are no licensed units in the China Division.

Multibrand restaurants are included in the totals above. Multibrand conversions increase the sales and points of distribution for the second brand added to a restaurant but do not result in an additional unit count. Similarly, a new multibrand restaurant, while increasing sales and points of distribution for two brands, results in just one

Edgar Filing: YUM BRANDS INC - Form 10-Q

additional unit count. Franchise unit counts below include both franchisee and unconsolidated affiliate multibrand units. Following are multibrand restaurant totals at June 17, 2006 and December 31, 2005:

<u>6/17/06</u>	Company	Franchise	Total
United States	1,767	1,457	3,224
International Division	16	181	197
Worldwide	1,783	1,638	3,421

<u>12/31/05</u>	Company	Franchise	Total
United States	1,696	1,400	3,096
International Division	17	176	193
Worldwide	1,713	1,576	3,289

For the year to date ended June 17, 2006, Company and franchise multibrand unit gross additions were 97 and 61, respectively. There are no multibrand units in the China Division.

System Sales Growth

<u>Quarter</u>	Increase	Increase excluding		
			currency translation	
	6/17/06	6/11/05	6/17/06	6/11/05
United States	1%	4%	N/A	N/A
International Division	5%	11%	8%	6%
China Division	33%	13%		