March 31, 2011	
Table of Contents	
UNITED STATES	
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION	
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549	
FORM 10-K	
(Mark One)	
x ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010	3 OR 15(d) OF THE
TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT For the transition period from to	ON 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
Commission file number: 1-34392	
Plug Power Inc.	
(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)	
Delaware (State or Other Jurisdiction	22-3672377 (I.R.S. Identification
of Incorporation or Organization)	Number)

PLUG POWER INC

Form 10-K

968 <i>i</i>	ALBANY	SHAKER	ROAD,	LATHAM.	, NEW	YORK 12110

(Address of Principal Executive Offices, including Zip Code)

(518) 782-7700

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class Common Stock, par value \$.01 per share Series A Junior Participating Cumulative

Preferred Stock, par value \$.01 per share

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered The NASDAQ Capital Market

The NASDAQ Capital Market

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes o No x

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes o No x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Sections 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes o No o

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," "non-accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company o
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No x

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity of the registrant held by non-affiliates of the registrant on June 30, 2010 was \$38,646,553.

As of March 29, 2011, 132,434,673 shares of the registrant's common stock were issued and outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

None.

Table of Contents

INDEX TO FORM 10-K

PART I

		Page
Item 1.	Business	3
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	10
Item 1B.	<u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	23
Item 2.	<u>Properties</u>	23
Item 3.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	23
Item 4.	Removed and Reserved	24
PART II		
Item 5.	Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	24
Item 6.	Selected Financial Data	26
Item 7.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	27
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	45
Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	46
Item 9.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	46
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures	46
Item 9B.	Other Information	47
PART III		
Item 10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	47
Item 11.	Executive Compensation	54
Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	76
Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	80

Item 14.	Principal Accounting Fees and Services	80
PART IV		
Item 15.	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	81

PART I

Forward-Looking Statements

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with our accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto included within this Annual Report on Form 10-K. In addition to historical information, this Annual Report on Form 10-K and the following discussion contain statements that are not historical facts and are considered forward-looking within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act and Section 21E of the Exchange Act. These forward-looking statements contain projections of our future results of operations or of our financial position or state other forward-looking information. In some cases you can identify these statements by forward-looking words such as "anticipate," "believe," "could," "continue," "estimate," "expect," "intend," "may," "should," "will," "would," "plan," "projected" or the negative of such words or other similar words or phrases. We believe that it is important to communicate our future expectations to our investors. However, there may be events in the future that we are not able to accurately predict or control and that may cause our actual results to differ materially from the expectations we describe in our forward-looking statements. Investors are cautioned not to unduly rely on forward-looking statements because they involve risks and uncertainties, and actual results may differ materially from those discussed as a result of various factors, including, but not limited to: the risk that we continue to incur losses and might never achieve or maintain profitability, the risk that the additional capital we expect we will need to raise to fund our operations beyond the first quarter of 2012 may not be available; our lack of extensive experience in manufacturing and marketing products may impact our ability to manufacture and market products on a profitable and large-scale commercial basis; the risk that unit orders will not ship, be installed and/or converted to revenue, in whole or in part; the risk that pending orders may not convert to purchase orders, in whole or in part; the risk that our continued failure to comply with NASDAO's listing standards may severely limit our ability to raise additional capital; the cost and timing of developing, marketing and selling our products and our ability to raise the necessary capital to fund such costs; the ability to achieve the forecasted gross margin on the sale of our products; the actual net cash used for operating expenses may exceed the projected net cash for operating expenses; the cost and availability of fuel and fueling infrastructures for our products; market acceptance of our GenDrive systems; our ability to establish and maintain relationships with third parties with respect to product development, manufacturing, distribution and servicing and the supply of key product components; the cost and availability of components and parts for our products; our ability to develop commercially viable products; our ability to reduce product and manufacturing costs; our ability to successfully expand our product lines; our ability to improve system reliability for our GenDrive systems; competitive factors, such as price competition and competition from other traditional and alternative energy companies; our ability to protect our intellectual property; the cost of complying with current and future federal, state and international governmental regulations; and other risks and uncertainties discussed under Item IA—Risk Factors. Readers should not place undue reliance on our forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements speak only as of the date on which the statements were made and are not guarantees of future performance. Except as may be required by applicable law, we do not undertake or intend to update any forward-looking statements after the date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Item 1. Business Company Background

Plug Power Inc., or the Company, is a leading provider of alternative energy technology focused on the design, development, commercialization and manufacture of fuel cell systems for the industrial off-road (forklift or material handling) market. Plug Power has also developed products for the back-up and stationary power markets worldwide. Effective April 1, 2010, the Company was no longer considered a development stage enterprise since its principal

operations began to provide more than insignificant revenues as the Company received orders from repeat customers, increased its customer base and had a significant backlog. Prior to April 1, 2010, the Company was considered a development stage enterprise because substantially all of our resources and efforts were aimed at the discovery of new knowledge that could lead to significant improvement in fuel cell reliability and durability, and the establishment, expansion and stability of markets for our products.

3

We are focused on proton exchange membrane, or PEM, fuel cell and fuel processing technologies and fuel cell/battery hybrid technologies, from which multiple products are available. A fuel cell is an electrochemical device that combines hydrogen and oxygen to produce electricity and heat without combustion. Hydrogen is derived from hydrocarbon fuels such as liquid petroleum gas (LPG), natural gas, propane, methanol, ethanol, gasoline or biofuels. Hydrogen can also be obtained from the electrolysis of water. Hydrogen can be purchased directly from industrial gas providers or can be produced on-site at consumer locations.

We concentrate our efforts on developing, manufacturing and selling our hydrogen-fueled PEM GenDrive® products on commercial terms for industrial off-road (forklift or material handling) applications, with a focus on multi-shift high volume manufacturing and high throughput distribution sites.

We have previously invested in development and sales activities for low-temperature remote-prime power GenSys® products and our GenCore® product, which is a hydrogen fueled PEM fuel cell system to provide back-up power for critical infrastructure. While Plug Power will continue to service and support GenSys and/or GenCore products on a limited basis, our main focus is our Gendrive product line.

The Company sells its products worldwide, with a primary focus on North America, through our direct product sales force, original equipment manufacturers (OEMs) and their dealer networks. We sell to business, industrial and government customers.

We were organized in the State of Delaware on June 27, 1997 and became a public company listed on the NASDAQ exchange on October 29, 1999. We were originally a joint venture between Edison Development Corporation and Mechanical Technology Incorporated. In 2007, we acquired all the issued and outstanding equity of Cellex Power Products, Inc. (Cellex) and General Hydrogen Corporation (General Hydrogen). Through these acquisitions, and our continued GenDrive product development efforts, Plug Power became the first fuel cell company to offer a complete suite of products; Class 1 - sit-down counterbalance trucks, Class 2 – stand-up reach trucks and Class 3 – rider pallet trucks. The launch of our Class 2 product occurred in January of 2010.

Unless the context indicates otherwise, the terms "Company," "Plug Power," "we," "our" or "us" as used herein refers to Plug Power Inc. and its subsidiaries.

Business Strategy

We are committed to developing effective, economical and reliable fuel cell products and services for businesses, government agencies and commercial consumers. Building on our substantial fuel cell application and product integration experience, we are focused on generating strong relationships with customers who value increased reliability, productivity, energy security and a sustainable future.

Our business strategy leverages our unique fuel cell application and integration knowledge to identify early adopter markets for which we can design and develop innovative systems and customer solutions that provide superior value, ease-of-use and environmental design.

4

Table of Contents

We have made significant progress in our analysis of the material handling and stationary power markets. We believe we have developed reliable products which allow the end customers to eliminate incumbent power sources from their operations, and realize their sustainability objectives through clean energy alternatives.

Our strategy is to focus our resources on the material handling market with GenDrive, a superior alternative to lead-acid batteries. Our strategy also includes the following objectives: decrease product costs by leveraging the supply chain, lower manufacturing costs, improve system reliability, expand our sales network to effectively reach more of our targeted customers and provide customers with high-quality products, service and post-sales support experience.

Our longer-term objectives are to deliver economic, social, and environmental benefits in terms of reliable, clean, cost-effective fuel cell solutions and, ultimately, sustainability.

We believe continued investment in research and development is critical to the development and enhancement of innovative products, technologies and services. In addition to evolving our direct hydrogen fueled systems, we continue to capitalize on our investment in power electronics, controls, software and reforming technology.

Business Organization

We manage our business as a single enterprise, emphasizing shared learning across end-user applications and common supplier/vendor relationships.

Products

We sell and continue to develop a range of fuel cell products to replace lead-acid batteries in material handling vehicles and industrial trucks for some of North America's largest distribution and manufacturing businesses. Our primary product line is GenDrive®, a hydrogen fueled PEM fuel cell system to provide power to industrial vehicles. We are focusing our efforts on material handling applications (forklifts) at multi-shift high volume manufacturing and high throughput distribution sites where our products and services provide a unique combination of productivity, flexibility and environmental benefits. Plug Power has introduced new GenDrive product offerings to augment our product suite and allow full site conversions. During the year, we experienced add-on orders from Walmart, Coca-Cola, Sysco and Central Grocers. We also received several other new orders from various companies, some of which have not been publicly announced. We expect continued sales momentum in 2011 with our key target customers.

In 2010, we repositioned the majority of our GenSys business to focus our resources on our continued success in the material handling market. In furtherance of this objective, in October 2010, the Company licensed the intellectual property relating to its stationary power products, GenCore and GenSys, to IdaTech plc on a non-exclusive basis. Plug Power maintains ownership of, and the right to use, the patents and other intellectual property licensed to IdaTech. As part of the transaction, Plug Power also sold inventory, equipment and certain other assets related to its stationary power business. Total consideration for the licensing and assets was \$5 million and was received during October 2010. The consideration is subject to reduction by a maximum of \$1 million in the event that the Company does not deliver certain of the assets sold. As of December 31, 2010, \$1.0 million is included in assets held for sale in the consolidated balance sheets.

We continue to develop and monitor future iterations of our products aligned with our evolving product roadmap. Plug Power currently has 85% market share in the fuel cell powered material handling industry and is transforming the US fuel cell manufacturing industry into a globally competitive force that will lead to the export, rather than the

import, of these innovative energy products.

5

Product Support & Services

To promote fuel cell adoption and maintain post-sale customer satisfaction, we offer a range of service and support options. These options include installation, commissioning, remote monitoring, product manuals, as well as on-site technical support.

Additionally, GenDrive product support and services may also include customer training and using available lift truck dealer networks' service personnel. Such personnel may assist with the commissioning and installation of GenDrive products and, in some cases, regularly scheduled preventative maintenance.

Markets/Geography & Order Status

Our commercial sales for GenDrive products are in the material handling market, which primarily consist of large fleet, multi-shift operations in high-volume manufacturing and high-throughput distribution centers. In 2010, all of our GenDrive product installations were in North America.

We shipped 650 units and received 543 orders for our GenDrive product during the year ending December 31, 2010. Backlog on December 31, 2010 was 527 units representing approximately \$12.8 million in billable value including approximately \$700,000 related to 20 GenDrive products that were awarded under various government projects that were unfunded as of December 31, 2010. Backlog on December 31, 2009 was 654 units representing approximately \$15.6 million in billable value which includes approximately \$700,000 related to 20 GenDrive products that were awarded under various government projects that were unfunded as of December 31, 2009.

<u>GenDrive</u>	2010	2009
Product Shipments	552	131
Lease Shipments	98	140
Cancellations	20	-
Orders	543	584
Backlog	527	654

Under all product lines, we have accepted orders that require certain conditions or contingencies to be satisfied prior to shipment, some of which are outside of our control. Historically, shipments made against these orders generally occur between ninety (90) days and twenty-four (24) months from the date of acceptance of the order.

The assembly of GenDrive products that we sell is performed at our manufacturing facility in Latham, New York. Currently, the supply and manufacture of several critical components used in our products are performed by sole-sourced third-party vendors in the U.S. and Canada.

We intend to focus our efforts on developing, manufacturing and selling our GenDrive products and do not expect to develop or manufacture GenSys or GenCore products in the near term.

In 2010, to the extent of existing purchase commitments, we continued to manufacture and support our GenCore® product, a hydrogen fueled PEM fuel cell system to provide back-up power for critical infrastructure. We received 2 orders during the year ending December 31, 2009. Backlog on December 31, 2009 was 10 units representing approximately \$130,000 in billable value. On February 23, 2009, our Distributor Agreement with IST Telecom expired; 100 units that had been ordered pursuant to this Distributor Agreement and in backlog were cancelled.

<u>GenCore</u>	2010	2009	
Shipments	10	31	
Cancellations	-	101	
Orders	-	2	
Backlog	-	. 1	0

The assembly of GenCore products that we sell is performed at our manufacturing facility in Latham, New York. Currently, the supply and manufacture of several critical components used in our products are performed by sole-sourced third-party vendors in the U.S. and Canada.

Distribution, Marketing and Strategic Relationships

We have developed strategic relationships with well-established companies in key areas including distribution, service, marketing, supply, technology development and product development. We sell our products worldwide, with a primary focus on North America, through our direct product sales force, original equipment manufacturers (OEMs) and their dealer networks.

At December 31, 2010, contracts with two customers and one federal government agency each accounted for 10% or more of total consolidated revenues.

Competition

We are confronted by aggressive competition in all areas of our business. The markets we address for motive power are characterized by the presence of well-established battery and combustion generator products in addition to several competing fuel cell companies. Over the past several years, there has been price competition in these markets. The principal competitive factors in the markets in which we operate include price, product features, including size and weight, relative price and performance, product quality and reliability, design innovation, marketing and distribution capability, service and support and corporate reputation.

In the material handling market, we believe our GenDrive products have an advantage over lead-acid batteries for customers who run high-throughput distribution centers with multi shift operations by offering increased productivity with lower operational costs. However, we expect competition in this space to intensify as competitors attempt to imitate our approach with their own offerings. Some of these current and potential competitors have substantial resources and may be able to provide such products and services at little or no profit or even at a loss to compete with our offerings.

Intellectual Property

We believe that neither we nor our competitors can achieve a significant proprietary position on the basic technologies currently used in PEM fuel cell systems. However, we believe the design and integration of our system and system components, as well as some of the low-cost manufacturing processes that we have developed, are intellectual property that can be protected. Our intellectual property portfolio covers among other things: fuel cell components that reduce manufacturing part count; fuel cell system designs that lend themselves to mass manufacturing; improvements to fuel cell system efficiency, reliability and system life; and control strategies, such as added safety protections and operation under extreme conditions. In general, our employees are party to agreements providing that all inventions (whether patented or not) made or conceived while an employee of Plug Power, which are related to or result from work or research that Plug Power performs, will remain the sole and exclusive property of Plug Power.

During 2010, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office issued one new patent to the Company and we currently have a total of 150 issued patents. We also have 21 U.S. patent applications pending. The number of pending patent applications decreased in 2010 as we continued our efforts to focus our intellectual property protection on our current product offerings. Additionally, we have seven trademarks registered with the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

Furthermore, as of December 31, 2010 there were 26 pending U.S. patent applications filed on behalf of Honda and one U.S. patent issued to Honda relating to joint development work on the Home Energy Station (HES) and to which we have certain rights.

In October 2010, the Company licensed the intellectual property relating to its stationary power products, GenCore and GenSys, to IdaTech plc on a non-exclusive basis. Plug Power maintains ownership of, and the right to use, the patents and other intellectual property licensed to IdeaTech. As part of the transaction, Plug Power also sold inventory, equipment and certain other assets related to its stationary power business. Total consideration for the licensing and assets was \$5 million and was received during October 2010. The consideration is subject to reduction by a maximum of \$1 million in the event that the Company does not deliver certain of the assets sold. As of December 31, 2010, \$1.0 million is included in assets held for sale in the consolidated balance sheets.

Government Regulation

We believe that we will not be subject to existing federal and state regulatory commissions governing traditional electric utilities and other regulated entities. Our products and their installations are, however, subject to oversight and regulation at the state and local level in accordance with state and local statutes and ordinances relating to, among others, building codes, fire codes, public safety, electrical and gas pipeline connections and hydrogen siting. The level of regulation may depend, in part, upon where a system is located. For example, the 2008 National Electrical Code (NEC) is a model code written by the National Fire Protection Association, or NFPA, that governs the electrical wiring of most homes, businesses and other buildings in the United States. The NEC has been adopted by local jurisdictions throughout the United States and is enforced by local officials, such as building and electrical inspectors. Article 692 of the NEC governs the installation of stationary fuel cell systems, such as our GenSys or GenCore products. Accordingly, all of our stationary products installed in a jurisdiction that has adopted the NEC are installed in accordance with Article 692.

In addition, product safety standards have been established by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) covering the overall fuel cell system. When in production, our GenCore product was certified by independent third-parties such as the Canadian Standards Association (CSA International) to be in compliance with such ANSI standards. Additionally, the F2 and F3 GenDrive products are designed with the intent of meeting the requirements of UL 2267 "Fuel Cell Power Systems for Installation in Industrial Electric Trucks" and NFPA 505 "Fire Safety Standard for Powered Industrial Trucks." The hydrogen tanks used in these systems have been either certified to ANSI/CSA NGV2-2007 or designed to meet ISO/TS 15869 "Gaseous hydrogen and hydrogen blends-Land vehicle fuel tanks." We will continue to design our GenDrive products to meet ANSI and/or other standards in 2011. Other than these requirements, at this time we do not know what additional requirements, if any, each jurisdiction will impose on our products or their installation. We also do not know the extent to which any new regulations may impact our ability to distribute, install and service our products. As we continue distributing our systems to our target markets, the federal, state or local government entities may seek to impose regulations or competitors may seek to influence regulations through lobbying efforts.

Raw Materials

Although most components essential to our business are generally available from multiple sources, we currently obtain certain key components including, but rate and actual management fee rate as a percentage of total assets at common asset levels -- the actual rate includes advisory fees and the effects of any fee waivers -- compared to the other funds in its Lipper category. They also compared the Fund's total expenses to those of other comparable funds. The information showed that the Fund had fees and expenses within the range of fees and expenses of comparable funds. The Board concluded that the Fund's management fee and fee rate and overall expense ratio are reasonable compared to those of other comparable funds. In evaluating the costs of the services to be provided by BlackRock Advisors under the New Investment Advisory Agreement, the directors considered, among other things, whether advisory fees or other expenses would change as a result of the Transaction. Based on their review of the materials provided and the fact that the New Investment Advisory Agreement is substantially similar to the Current Investment Advisory Agreement in all material respects, including the rate of compensation, the directors determined that the Transaction should not increase the total fees payable, including any fee waivers, or after any expense caps or expense reimbursements, for advisory and administrative services. The directors noted that it was not possible to MUNIHOLDINGS CALIFORNIA INSURED FUND, INC. JUNE 30, 2006 23 Disclosure of New Investment Advisory Agreement (concluded) predict how the Transaction would affect BlackRock Advisors' profitability from its relationship with the Fund. The directors discussed with BlackRock Advisors its general methodology to be used in determining its profitability with respect to its relationship with the Fund and noted that they expect to receive profitability information from BlackRock Advisors on at least an annual basis. Fees and Economies of Scale -- The

Board considered the extent to which economies of scale might be realized as the assets of the Fund increase and whether there should be changes in the management fee rate or structure in order to enable the Fund to participate in these economies of scale. The Board considered economies of scale to the extent applicable to the Fund's closed-end structure and determined that the Fund currently appropriately benefits from any economies of scale and no changes were currently necessary. In reviewing the Transaction, the directors considered, among other things, whether advisory fees or other expenses would change as a result of the Transaction. Based on the fact that the New Investment Advisory Agreement is substantially similar to the Current Investment Advisory Agreement in all material respects, including the rate of compensation, the directors determined that as a result of the Transaction, the Fund's total advisory fees would be no higher than the fees under its Current Investment Advisory Agreement. The directors concluded that, because the rates for advisory fees for the Fund would be no higher than its current fee rates, the proposed management fee structure, including any fee waivers, was reasonable and that no additional changes were currently necessary. Fall-Out Benefits -- The directors considered whether the Fund would generate any fall-out benefits to BlackRock Advisors. Fall-out benefits are indirect profits from other activities that accrue to the adviser or its affiliates solely because of the existence of the Fund. In evaluating the fall-out benefits to be received by BlackRock Advisors under the New Investment Advisory Agreement, the directors considered whether the Transaction would have an impact on the fall-out benefits received by the Investment Adviser by virtue of the Current Investment Advisory Agreement, Based on their review of the materials provided, including materials received in connection with their most recent approval or continuance of the Current Investment Advisory Agreement, and their discussions with management of the Investment Adviser and BlackRock, the directors determined that those benefits could include increased ability for BlackRock to distribute shares of its funds and other investment products. The directors noted that any such benefits were difficult to quantify with certainty at this time, and indicated that they would continue to evaluate them going forward. Investment Performance -- The directors considered investment performance for the Fund. The directors compared the Fund's performance -- both including and excluding the effects of fees and expenses -- to the performance of a comparable group of funds, and the performance of a relevant index or combination of indexes. The comparative information received from Lipper showed Fund performance at various levels within the range of performance of comparable funds over different time periods. The directors believed the Fund's performance was satisfactory. The Board noted BlackRock's considerable investment management experience and capabilities, but was unable to predict what effect, if any, consummation of the Transaction would have on the future performance of the Fund. Conclusion -- After the independent directors of the Fund deliberated in executive session, the entire Board, including the independent directors, approved the New Investment Advisory Agreement, concluding that the advisory fee rate was reasonable in relation to the services provided and that the New Investment Advisory Agreement was in the best interests of the shareholders. In approving the New Investment Advisory Agreement, the Board noted that it anticipated reviewing the continuance of the agreement in advance of the expiration of the initial two-year period. Contingent BlackRock Subadvisory Agreement -- Matters Considered by the Board At the telephonic and in-person meetings held during April and May 2006 at which the Board of Directors discussed and approved the New Investment Advisory Agreement, the Board, including the independent directors, also discussed and approved a contingent subadvisory agreement (the "Contingent Subadvisory Agreement") between the Investment Adviser and BlackRock Advisors (the "BlackRock Subadviser"). The Contingent Subadvisory Agreement is intended to ensure that the Fund operate with efficient portfolio management services until the closing of the Transaction, in the event that the Board deems it necessary and in the best interests of the Fund and its shareholders that the BlackRock Subadviser assist in managing the operations of the Fund during the interim period until the closing of the Transaction. If shareholders approve the Contingent 24 MUNIHOLDINGS CALIFORNIA INSURED FUND, INC. JUNE 30, 2006 Subadvisory Agreement, it will take effect only upon recommendation from the Investment Adviser and upon subsequent approval of the Board in the period up to the closing of the Transaction. The effectiveness of the Contingent Subadvisory Agreement, therefore, would be contingent on further Board approval after shareholders approve it. Pursuant to the Contingent Subadvisory Agreement, the BlackRock Subadviser would receive a monthly fee from the Investment Adviser equal to 50% of the advisory fee received by the Investment Adviser. The Investment Adviser would pay the BlackRock Subadviser out of its own resources. There would be no increase in Fund expenses as a result of the Contingent Subadvisory Agreement. In making its approval at the May in-person meeting, the Board considered the Contingent Subadvisory Agreement in conjunction with the New Investment Advisory Agreement and reviewed the same information and factors discussed above, and came to the

same conclusions. The Board also considered in conjunction with the Contingent Subadvisory Agreement the necessity of ensuring that the Fund operate with effective management services until the closing of the Transaction. In reviewing the subadvisory fee rate provided in the Contingent Subadvisory Agreement, the Board took note of the fact that both the Investment Adviser and the BlackRock Subadviser would have significant responsibilities under their respective advisory agreements. The Investment Adviser would remain responsible for oversight of the Fund's operations and administration and the BlackRock Subadviser would provide advisory services to the Fund under the Contingent Subadvisory Agreement. The Board also took into account the expected short duration of the term of any Contingent Subadvisory Agreement and the fact that total advisory fees paid by the Fund would not increase as a result of the Contingent Subadvisory Agreement. Under all of the circumstances, the Board concluded that it was a reasonable allocation of fees for the BlackRock Subadviser to receive 50% of the advisory fee paid by the Fund to the Investment Adviser. After the independent directors deliberated in executive session, the entire Board, including the independent directors, approved the Contingent Subadvisory Agreement, concluding that the advisory fee was reasonable in relation to the services provided and that the Contingent Subadvisory Agreement was in the best interests of shareholders. MUNIHOLDINGS CALIFORNIA INSURED FUND, INC. JUNE 30, 2006 25 Officers and Directors Number of Portfolios in Other Public Position(s) Length of Fund Complex Directorships Held with Time Overseen by Held by Name Address & Age Fund Served Principal Occupation(s) During Past 5 Years Director Director

Interested Director

------ Robert

C. P.O. Box 9011 President 2005 to President of the MLIM/FAM-advised funds since 2005; 131 Funds None Doll, Jr.* Princeton, NJ and present President and Chief Investment Officer of MLIM and 178 Portfolios 08543-9011 Director FAM since 2001; Co-Head (Americas Region) thereof Age: 51 from 2000 to 2001 and Senior Vice President from 1999 to 2001; President and Director of Princeton Services, Inc. ("Princeton Services") since 2001; President of Princeton Administrators, L.P. ("Princeton Administrators") since 2001; Chief Investment Officer of OppenheimerFunds, Inc. in 1999 and Executive Vice President thereof from 1991 to 1999.

director, trustee or member of an advisory board of certain other investment companies for which MLIM or FAM acts as investment adviser. Mr. Doll is an "interested person," as defined in the Investment Company Act, of the Fund based on his positions with MLIM, FAM, Princeton Services and Princeton Administrators. Directors serve until their resignation, removal or death, or until December 31 of the year in which they turn 72. As Fund President, Mr. Doll serves at the pleasure of the Board of Directors. 26 MUNIHOLDINGS CALIFORNIA INSURED FUND, INC. JUNE 30, 2006 Officers and Directors (continued) Number of Portfolios in Other Public Position(s) Length of Fund Complex Directorships Held with Time Overseen by Held by Name Address & Age Fund Served Principal Occupation(s) During Past 5 Years Director Director

Independent Directors*

------ James

Kenneth A. P.O. Box 9095 Director 2005 to Professor, Harvard University since 1992; Professor, 39 Funds None Froot Princeton, NJ present Massachusetts Institute of Technology from 1986 to 59 Portfolios 08543-9095 1992. Age:

P.O. Box 9095 Director 2002 to Member of the Committee of Investment of Employee 39 Funds Kimco Grills**
Princeton, NJ present Benefit Assets of the Association of Financial 59 Portfolios Realty 08543-9095 Professionals ("CIEBA") since 1986; Member of Corporation Age: 71 CIEBA's Executive Committee since 1988 and its Chairman from 1991 to 1992; Assistant Treasurer of International Business Machines Corporation ("IBM") and Chief Investment Officer of IBM Retirement Funds from 1986 to 1993; Member of the Investment Advisory Committee of the State of New York Common Retirement Fund since 1989; Member of the Investment Advisory Committee of the

Howard Hughes Medical Institute from 1997 to 2000; Director, Duke University Management Company from 1992 to 2004, Vice Chairman thereof from 1998 to 2004, and Director Emeritus thereof since 2004; Director, LaSalle Street Fund from 1995 to 2001; Director, Kimco Realty Corporation since 1997; Member of the Investment Advisory Committee of the Virginia Retirement System since 1998, Vice Chairman thereof from 2002 to 2005, and Chairman thereof since 2005; Director, Montpelier Foundation since 1998 and its Vice Chairman since 2000; Member of the Investment Committee of the Woodberry Forest School since 2000; Member of the Investment Committee of the National Trust for Historic Preservation since 2000.

MUNIHOLDINGS CALIFORNIA INSURED FUND, INC. JUNE 30, 2006 27 Officers and Directors (continued) Number of Portfolios in Other Public Position(s) Length of Fund Complex Directorships Held with Time Overseen by Held by Name Address & Age Fund Served Principal Occupation(s) During Past 5 Years Director Director

Independent Directors* (concluded)

------ Herbert

I. P.O. Box 9095 Director 1997 to Chairman of the Board of Directors of Vigilant 39 Funds None London Princeton, NJ present Research, Inc. since 2006; Director of Reflex 59 Portfolios 08543-9095 Security since 2006; Director of Cerego, LLC since Age: 67 2006; Director of InnoCentive, Inc. since 2006; Professor Emeritus, New York University since 2005; John M. Olin Professor of Humanities, New York University from 1993 to 2005; and Professor thereof from 1980 to 2005; President, Hudson Institute since 1997 and Trustee thereof since 1980; Dean, Gallatin Division of New York University from 1976 to 1993; Distinguished Fellow, Herman Kahn Chair, Hudson Institute from 1984 to 1985; Director, Damon Corp. from 1991 to 1995; Overseer, Center for Naval Analyses from 1983 to 1993.

------ Roberta

P.O. Box 9095 Director 1999 to Shareholder, Modrall, Sperling, Roehl, Harris & 39 Funds None Cooper Princeton, NJ present Sisk, P.A. since 1993; President, American Bar 59 Portfolios Ramo 08543-9095 Association from 1995 to 1996 and Member of the Age: 63 Board of Governors thereof from 1994 to 1997; Shareholder, Poole, Kelly & Ramo, Attorneys at Law P.C. from 1977 to 1993; Director of ECMC Group (service provider to students, schools and lenders) since 2001; Director, United New Mexico Bank (now Wells Fargo) from 1983 to 1988; Director, First National Bank of New Mexico (now Wells Fargo) from 1975 to 1976; Vice President, American Law Institute since 2004.

Robert S. P.O. Box 9095 Director 2002 to Principal of STI Management (investment adviser) 39 Funds None Salomon, Princeton, NJ present from 1994 to 2005; Chairman and CEO of Salomon 59 Portfolios Jr. 08543-9095 Brothers Asset Management Inc. from 1992 to Age: 69 1995; Chairman of Salomon Brothers Equity Mutual Funds from 1992 to 1995; regular columnist with Forbes Magazine from 1992 to 2002; Director of Stock Research and U.S. Equity Strategist at Salomon Brothers Inc. from 1975 to 1991; Trustee, Commonfund from 1980 to 2001.

until their resignation, removal or death, or until December 31 of the year in which they turn 72. ** Co-Chairman of the Board of Directors and the Audit Committee. 28 MUNIHOLDINGS CALIFORNIA INSURED FUND, INC. JUNE 30, 2006 Officers and Directors (concluded) Position(s) Length of Held with Time Name Address & Age Fund Served Principal Occupation(s) During Post 5 Years

Fund Officers*

------ Donald

C. P.O. Box 9011 Vice 1997 to Managing Director of MLIM and FAM since 2006 and Treasurer thereof since 1999; Burke Princeton, NJ President present First Vice President of MLIM and FAM from 1997 to 2005; Senior Vice President and 1999; 08543-9011 and and 1999 Treasurer of Princeton Services since 1999 and Director since 2004; Vice President Age: 46 Treasurer to of FAM Distributors, Inc. ("FAMD") since 1999 and Director since 2004; Vice present President of MLIM and FAM from 1990 to 1997; Director of Taxation of MLIM from 1990 to 2001; Vice President, Treasurer and Secretary of the IQ Funds since 2004.

Kenneth A. P.O. Box 9011 Senior Vice 2002 to Managing Director (Municipal Tax-Exempt Fund Management) of MLIM since 2000; Jacob Princeton, NJ President present Director of MLIM from 1997 to 2000. 08543-9011 Age: 55

John M
P.O. Box 9011 Senior Vice 2002 to Managing Director (Municipal Tax-Exempt Fund Management) of MLIM since 2000; Loffredo Princeton, NJ President present Director of MLIM from 1997 to 2000. 08543-9011 Age: 42
C. P.O. Box 9011 Vice 1997 to Managing Director (Municipal Tax-Exempt Fund Management) of MLIM since 2003 O'Connor Princeton, NJ President present Director of MLIM from 2000 to 2003; Vice President of MLIM from 1993 to 2000. 08543-9011 Age: 44
P.O. Box 9011 Chief 2004 to Chief Compliance Officer of the MLIM/FAM-advised funds and First Vice President and Hiller Princeton, NJ Compliance present Chief Compliance Officer of MLIM (Americas Region) since 2004; Chief Compliance 08543-9011 Officer Officer of the IQ Funds since 2004; Global Director of Compliance at Morgan Stanley Age: 54 Investment Management from 2002 to 2004; Managing Director and Global Director of Compliance at Citigroup Asset Management from 2000 to 2002; Chief Compliance Officer at Soros Fund Management in 2000; Chief Compliance Officer at Prudential Financial from 1995 to 2000; Senior Counsel in the Securities and Exchange Commission's Division of Enforcement in Washington, D.C. from 1990 to 1995.
A. P.O. Box 9011 Secretary 2004 to Director (Legal Advisory) of MLIM since 2002; Vice President of MLIM from 1999 to Pellegrino Princeton, NJ present 2002; Attorney associated with MLIM since 1997; Secretary of MLIM, FAM, FAMD and 08543-9011 Princeton Services since 2004. Age: 46* Officers of the
Fund serve at the pleasure of the Board of Directors.
Custodian The Bank of New York 100 Church Street New York, NY 10286 Transfer Agent Common Stock: The Bank of New York 101 Barclay Street 11 East New York, NY 10286 Preferred Stock: The Bank of New York 101 Barclay Street 7 West New York, NY 10286 NYSE Symbol MUC MUNIHOLDINGS CALIFORNIA INSURED FUND, INC. JUNE 30, 2006 29 Proxy Results During the six-month period ended June 30, 2006, MuniHoldings California Insured Fund, Inc. s Common Stock shareholders voted on the following proposal. The proposal was approved at a shareholders' meeting on April 27, 2006. A description of the proposal and number of shares voted are as follows:
2006, MuniHoldings California Insured Fund, Inc.'s Preferred Stock shareholders (Series A-E) voted on the following proposal. The proposal was approved at a shareholders' meeting on April 27, 2006. The description of the proposal and number of shares voted are as follows:
Withheld For From Voting
Board of Directors: Robert C. Doll, Jr., James H. Bodurtha, Kenneth A. Froot, Joe Grills, Herbert I. London, Roberta Cooper Ramo and Robert S. Salomon, Jr. 12,106 9
CALIFORNIA INSURED FUND, INC. JUNE 30, 2006 Availability of Quarterly Schedule of Investments The Fund files its complete schedule of portfolio holdings with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") for the first and third quarters of each fiscal year on Form N-Q. The Fund's Forms N-Q are available on the SEC's Web site at http://www.sec.gov. The Fund's Forms N-Q may also be reviewed and copied at the SEC's Public Reference Room in Washington, DC. Information on the operation of the Public Reference Room may be obtained by calling 1-800-SEC-0330. Electronic Delivery The Fund offers electronic delivery of communications to its shareholders. In order to receive this service, you must register your account and provide us with e-mail information. To sign up for this service, simply access this Web site at http://www.icsdelivery.com/live and follow the instructions. When you visit this site, you will obtain a personal identification number (PIN). You will need this PIN should you wish to

update your e-mail address, choose to discontinue this service and/or make any other changes to the service. This service is not available for certain retirement accounts at this time. MUNIHOLDINGS CALIFORNIA INSURED FUND, INC. JUNE 30, 2006 31 [LOGO] Merrill Lynch Investment Managers www.mlim.ml.com

------ Mercury Advisors A Division of Merrill Lynch Investment Managers www.mercury.ml.com MuniHoldings California Insured Fund, Inc. seeks to provide shareholders with current income exempt from federal and California income taxes. The Fund seeks to achieve this objective by investing primarily in a portfolio of long-term investment grade municipal obligations the interest on which, in the opinion of bond counsel to the issuer, is exempt from federal and California income taxes. This report, including the financial information herein, is transmitted to shareholders of MuniHoldings California Insured Fund, Inc. for their information. It is not a prospectus. Past performance results shown in this report should not be considered a representation of future performance. The Fund has leveraged its Common Stock and intends to remain leveraged by issuing Preferred Stock to provide the Common Stock shareholders with a potentially higher rate of return. Leverage creates risks for Common Stock shareholders, including the likelihood of greater volatility of net asset value and market price of shares of the Common Stock, and the risk that fluctuations in the short-term dividend rates of the Preferred Stock may affect the yield to Common Stock shareholders. Statements and other information herein are as dated and are subject to change. A description of the policies and procedures that the Fund uses to determine how to vote proxies relating to portfolio securities is available (1) without charge, upon request, by calling toll-free 1-800-637-3863; (2) at www.mutualfunds.ml.com; and (3) on the Securities and Exchange Commission's Web site at http://www.sec.gov. Information about how the Fund voted proxies relating to securities held in the Fund's portfolio during the most recent 12-month period ended June 30 is available (1) at www.mutualfunds.ml.com and (2) on the Securities and Exchange Commission's Web site at http://www.sec.gov. MuniHoldings California Insured Fund, Inc. Box 9011 Princeton, NJ 08543-9011 #HOLDCA -- 6/06 Item 2 - Code of Ethics - The registrant has adopted a code of ethics, as of the end of the period covered by this report, that applies to the registrant's principal executive officer, principal financial officer and principal accounting officer, or persons performing similar functions. A copy of the code of ethics is available without charge upon request by calling toll-free 1-800-MER-FUND (1-800-637-3863). Item 3 - Audit Committee Financial Expert - The registrant's board of directors has determined that (i) the registrant has the following audit committee financial experts serving on its audit committee and (ii) each audit committee financial expert is independent: (1) Joe Grills, (2) Robert S. Salomon, Jr., and (3) Stephen B. Swensrud (retired as of December 31, 2005). Item 4 - Principal Accountant Fees and Services (a) Audit Fees - Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2006 - \$32,500 Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2005 - \$32,000 (b) Audit-Related Fees - Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2006 - \$3,500 Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2005 - \$3,500 The nature of the services include assurance and related services reasonably related to the performance of the audit of financial statements not included in Audit Fees. (c) Tax Fees - Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2006 - \$6,000 Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2005 - \$5,700 The nature of the services include tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning. (d) All Other Fees - Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2006 - \$0 Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2005 - \$0 (e)(1) The registrant's audit committee (the "Committee") has adopted policies and procedures with regard to the pre-approval of services. Audit, audit-related and tax compliance services provided to the registrant on an annual basis require specific pre-approval by the Committee. The Committee also must approve other non-audit services provided to the registrant and those non-audit services provided to the registrant's affiliated service providers that relate directly to the operations and the financial reporting of the registrant. Certain of these non-audit services that the Committee believes are a) consistent with the SEC's auditor independence rules and b) routine and recurring services that will not impair the independence of the independent accountants may be approved by the Committee without consideration on a specific case-by-case basis ("general pre-approval"). However, such services will only be deemed pre-approved provided that any individual project does not exceed \$5,000 attributable to the registrant or \$50,000 for all of the registrants the Committee oversees. Any proposed services exceeding the pre-approved cost levels will require specific pre-approval by the Committee, as will any other services not subject to general pre-approval (e.g., unanticipated but permissible services). The Committee is informed of each service approved subject to general pre-approval at the next regularly scheduled in-person board meeting. (e)(2) 0% (f) Not Applicable (g) Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2006 - \$2,909,800 Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2005 - \$8,181,305 (h) The registrant's audit committee has considered and determined that the provision of non-audit services that were rendered to the registrant's investment adviser and any entity controlling, controlled by, or under common control with the investment adviser that provides ongoing services to the

registrant that were not pre-approved pursuant to paragraph (c)(7)(ii) of Rule 2-01 of Regulation S-X is compatible with maintaining the principal accountant's independence, Regulation S-X Rule 2-01(c)(7)(ii) - \$1,227,000, 0% Item 5 - Audit Committee of Listed Registrants - The following individuals are members of the registrant's separately-designated standing audit committee established in accordance with Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. 78c(a)(58)(A)): James H. Bodurtha Kenneth A. Froot Joe Grills Herbert I. London Roberta Cooper Ramo Robert S. Salomon, Jr. Stephen B. Swensrud (retired as of December 31, 2005) Item 6 - Schedule of Investments - Not Applicable Item 7 - Disclosure of Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures for Closed-End Management Investment Companies - Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures Each Fund's Board of Directors/Trustees has delegated to Merrill Lynch Investment Managers, L.P. and/or Fund Asset Management, L.P. (the "Investment Adviser") authority to vote all proxies relating to the Fund's portfolio securities. The Investment Adviser has adopted policies and procedures ("Proxy Voting Procedures") with respect to the voting of proxies related to the portfolio securities held in the account of one or more of its clients, including a Fund. Pursuant to these Proxy Voting Procedures, the Investment Adviser's primary objective when voting proxies is to make proxy voting decisions solely in the best interests of each Fund and its shareholders, and to act in a manner that the Investment Adviser believes is most likely to enhance the economic value of the securities held by the Fund. The Proxy Voting Procedures are designed to ensure that the Investment Adviser considers the interests of its clients, including the Funds, and not the interests of the Investment Adviser, when voting proxies and that real (or perceived) material conflicts that may arise between the Investment Adviser's interest and those of the Investment Adviser's clients are properly addressed and resolved. In order to implement the Proxy Voting Procedures, the Investment Adviser has formed a Proxy Voting Committee (the "Committee"). The Committee is comprised of the Investment Adviser's Chief Investment Officer (the "CIO"), one or more other senior investment professionals appointed by the CIO, portfolio managers and investment analysts appointed by the CIO and any other personnel the CIO deems appropriate. The Committee will also include two non-voting representatives from the Investment Adviser's Legal department appointed by the Investment Adviser's General Counsel. The Committee's membership shall be limited to full-time employees of the Investment Adviser. No person with any investment banking, trading, retail brokerage or research responsibilities for the Investment Adviser's affiliates may serve as a member of the Committee or participate in its decision making (except to the extent such person is asked by the Committee to present information to the Committee, on the same basis as other interested knowledgeable parties not affiliated with the Investment Adviser might be asked to do so). The Committee determines how to vote the proxies of all clients, including a Fund, that have delegated proxy voting authority to the Investment Adviser and seeks to ensure that all votes are consistent with the best interests of those clients and are free from unwarranted and inappropriate influences. The Committee establishes general proxy voting policies for the Investment Adviser and is responsible for determining how those policies are applied to specific proxy votes, in light of each issuer's unique structure, management, strategic options and, in certain circumstances, probable economic and other anticipated consequences of alternate actions. In so doing, the Committee may determine to vote a particular proxy in a manner contrary to its generally stated policies. In addition, the Committee will be responsible for ensuring that all reporting and recordkeeping requirements related to proxy voting are fulfilled. The Committee may determine that the subject matter of a recurring proxy issue is not suitable for general voting policies and requires a case-by-case determination. In such cases, the Committee may elect not to adopt a specific voting policy applicable to that issue. The Investment Adviser believes that certain proxy voting issues require investment analysis - such as approval of mergers and other significant corporate transactions - akin to investment decisions, and are, therefore, not suitable for general guidelines. The Committee may elect to adopt a common position for the Investment Adviser on certain proxy votes that are akin to investment decisions, or determine to permit the portfolio manager to make individual decisions on how best to maximize economic value for a Fund (similar to normal buy/sell investment decisions made by such portfolio managers). While it is expected that the Investment Adviser will generally seek to vote proxies over which the Investment Adviser exercises voting authority in a uniform manner for all the Investment Adviser's clients, the Committee, in conjunction with a Fund's portfolio manager, may determine that the Fund's specific circumstances require that its proxies be voted differently. To assist the Investment Adviser in voting proxies, the Committee has retained Institutional Shareholder Services ("ISS"). ISS is an independent adviser that specializes in providing a variety of fiduciary-level proxy-related services to institutional investment managers, plan sponsors, custodians, consultants, and other institutional investors. The services provided to the Investment Adviser by ISS include in-depth research, voting recommendations (although the Investment Adviser is not obligated to follow such

recommendations), vote execution, and recordkeeping. ISS will also assist the Fund in fulfilling its reporting and recordkeeping obligations under the Investment Company Act. The Investment Adviser's Proxy Voting Procedures also address special circumstances that can arise in connection with proxy voting. For instance, under the Proxy Voting Procedures, the Investment Adviser generally will not seek to vote proxies related to portfolio securities that are on loan, although it may do so under certain circumstances. In addition, the Investment Adviser will vote proxies related to securities of foreign issuers only on a best efforts basis and may elect not to vote at all in certain countries where the Committee determines that the costs associated with voting generally outweigh the benefits. The Committee may at any time override these general policies if it determines that such action is in the best interests of a Fund. From time to time, the Investment Adviser may be required to vote proxies in respect of an issuer where an affiliate of the Investment Adviser (each, an "Affiliate"), or a money management or other client of the Investment Adviser (each, a "Client") is involved. The Proxy Voting Procedures and the Investment Adviser's adherence to those procedures are designed to address such conflicts of interest. The Committee intends to strictly adhere to the Proxy Voting Procedures in all proxy matters, including matters involving Affiliates and Clients. If, however, an issue representing a non-routine matter that is material to an Affiliate or a widely known Client is involved such that the Committee does not reasonably believe it is able to follow its guidelines (or if the particular proxy matter is not addressed by the guidelines) and vote impartially, the Committee may, in its discretion for the purposes of ensuring that an independent determination is reached, retain an independent fiduciary to advise the Committee on how to vote or to cast votes on behalf of the Investment Adviser's clients. In the event that the Committee determines not to retain an independent fiduciary, or it does not follow the advice of such an independent fiduciary, the powers of the Committee shall pass to a subcommittee, appointed by the CIO (with advice from the Secretary of the Committee), consisting solely of Committee members selected by the CIO. The CIO shall appoint to the subcommittee, where appropriate, only persons whose job responsibilities do not include contact with the Client and whose job evaluations would not be affected by the Investment Adviser's relationship with the Client (or failure to retain such relationship). The subcommittee shall determine whether and how to vote all proxies on behalf of the Investment Adviser's clients or, if the proxy matter is, in their judgment, akin to an investment decision, to defer to the applicable portfolio managers, provided that, if the subcommittee determines to alter the Investment Adviser's normal voting guidelines or, on matters where the Investment Adviser's policy is case-by-case, does not follow the voting recommendation of any proxy voting service or other independent fiduciary that may be retained to provide research or advice to the Investment Adviser on that matter, no proxies relating to the Client may be voted unless the Secretary, or in the Secretary's absence, the Assistant Secretary of the Committee concurs that the subcommittee's determination is consistent with the Investment Adviser's fiduciary duties In addition to the general principles outlined above, the Investment Adviser has adopted voting guidelines with respect to certain recurring proxy issues that are not expected to involve unusual circumstances. These policies are guidelines only, and the Investment Adviser may elect to vote differently from the recommendation set forth in a voting guideline if the Committee determines that it is in a Fund's best interest to do so. In addition, the guidelines may be reviewed at any time upon the request of a Committee member and may be amended or deleted upon the vote of a majority of Committee members present at a Committee meeting at which there is a quorum. The Investment Adviser has adopted specific voting guidelines with respect to the following proxy issues: o Proposals related to the composition of the Board of Directors of issuers other than investment companies. As a general matter, the Committee believes that a company's Board of Directors (rather than shareholders) is most likely to have access to important, nonpublic information regarding a company's business and prospects, and is therefore best-positioned to set corporate policy and oversee management. The Committee, therefore, believes that the foundation of good corporate governance is the election of qualified, independent corporate directors who are likely to diligently represent the interests of shareholders and oversee management of the corporation in a manner that will seek to maximize shareholder value over time. In individual cases, the Committee may look at a nominee's history of representing shareholder interests as a director of other companies or other factors, to the extent the Committee deems relevant. o Proposals related to the selection of an issuer's independent auditors. As a general matter, the Committee believes that corporate auditors have a responsibility to represent the interests of shareholders and provide an independent view on the propriety of financial reporting decisions of corporate management. While the Committee will generally defer to a corporation's choice of auditor, in individual cases, the Committee may look at an auditors' history of representing shareholder interests as auditor of other companies, to the extent the Committee deems relevant, o Proposals related to management compensation and employee benefits. As a general matter, the

Committee favors disclosure of an issuer's compensation and benefit policies and opposes excessive compensation, but believes that compensation matters are normally best determined by an issuer's board of directors, rather than shareholders. Proposals to "micro-manage" an issuer's compensation practices or to set arbitrary restrictions on compensation or benefits will, therefore, generally not be supported. o Proposals related to requests, principally from management, for approval of amendments that would alter an issuer's capital structure. As a general matter, the Committee will support requests that enhance the rights of common shareholders and oppose requests that appear to be unreasonably dilutive. o Proposals related to requests for approval of amendments to an issuer's charter or by-laws. As a general matter, the Committee opposes poison pill provisions. o Routine proposals related to requests regarding the formalities of corporate meetings, o Proposals related to proxy issues associated solely with holdings of investment company shares. As with other types of companies, the Committee believes that a fund's Board of Directors (rather than its shareholders) is best-positioned to set fund policy and oversee management. However, the Committee opposes granting Boards of Directors authority over certain matters, such as changes to a fund's investment objective, that the Investment Company Act envisions will be approved directly by shareholders, o Proposals related to limiting corporate conduct in some manner that relates to the shareholder's environmental or social concerns. The Committee generally believes that annual shareholder meetings are inappropriate forums for discussion of larger social issues, and opposes shareholder resolutions "micromanaging" corporate conduct or requesting release of information that would not help a shareholder evaluate an investment in the corporation as an economic matter. While the Committee is generally supportive of proposals to require corporate disclosure of matters that seem relevant and material to the economic interests of shareholders, the Committee is generally not supportive of proposals to require disclosure of corporate matters for other purposes. Item 8 - Portfolio Managers of Closed-End Management Investment Companies - as of June 30, 2006. (a)(1) Mr. Walter C. O'Connor is primarily responsible for the day-to-day management of the registrant's portfolio ("Portfolio Manager"). Mr. O'Connor has been a Managing Director (Municipal Tax-Exempt) of MLIM since 2004, a Director (Municipal Tax-Exempt) of MLIM from 1997 to 2004 and a Vice President of MLIM from 1993 to 1997 and has over 13 years of experience investing in Municipal Bonds as a portfolio manager on behalf of registered investment companies. He has been the portfolio manager and Vice President of the Fund since 1997. (a)(2) As of June 30, 2006: (iii) Number of Other Accounts and (ii) Number of Other Accounts Managed Assets for Which Advisory Fee is and Assets by Account Type Performance-Based Other Other Registered Other Pooled Registered Other Pooled (i) Name of Investment Investment Other Investment Investment Other Portfolio Manager Companies Vehicles Accounts Companies Vehicles Accounts ----------- Walter C. O'Connor 4 0 0 0 0 0 \$3,110,910,754 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 (iv) Potential Material Conflicts of Interest Real, potential or apparent conflicts of interest may arise when a portfolio manager has day-to-day portfolio management responsibilities with respect to more than one fund or account, including the following: Certain investments may be appropriate for the Fund and also for other clients advised by the Investment. Adviser and its affiliates, including other client accounts managed by the Fund's portfolio management team. Investment decisions for the Fund and other clients are made with a view to achieving their respective investment objectives and after consideration of such factors as their current holdings, availability of cash for investment and the size of their investments generally. Frequently, a particular security may be bought or sold for only one client or in different amounts and at different times for more than one but less than all clients. Likewise, because clients of the Investment Adviser and its affiliates may have differing investment strategies, a particular security may be bought for one or more clients when one or more other clients are selling the security. The investment results for the Fund may differ from the results achieved by other clients of the Investment Adviser and its affiliates and results among clients may differ. In addition, purchases or sales of the same security may be made for two or more clients on the same day. In such event, such transactions will be allocated among the clients in a manner believed by the Investment Adviser and its affiliates to be equitable to each. The Investment Adviser will not determine allocations based on whether it receives a performance based fee from the client. In some cases, the allocation procedure could have an adverse effect on the price or amount of the securities purchased or sold by the Fund. Purchase and sale orders for the Fund may be combined with those of other clients of the Investment Adviser and its affiliates in the interest of achieving the most favorable net results to the Fund. To the extent that the Fund's portfolio management team has responsibilities for managing accounts in addition to the Fund, a portfolio manager will need to divide his time and attention among relevant accounts. In some cases, a real, potential or apparent conflict may also arise where (i) the Investment Adviser may have an incentive, such as a performance based fee, in managing one account and not with respect to other

accounts it manages or (ii) where a member of the Fund's portfolio management team owns an interest in one fund or account he or she manages and not another. (a)(3) As of June 30, 2006: Portfolio Manager Compensation The Portfolio Manager Compensation Program of MLIM and its affiliates, including the Investment Adviser, is critical to MLIM's ability to attract and retain the most talented asset management professionals. This program ensures that compensation is aligned with maximizing investment returns and it provides a competitive pay opportunity for competitive performance. Compensation Program The elements of total compensation for MLIM and its affiliates portfolio managers are a fixed base salary, annual performance-based cash and stock compensation (cash and stock bonus) and other benefits. MLIM has balanced these components of pay to provide portfolio managers with a powerful incentive to achieve consistently superior investment performance. By design, portfolio manager compensation levels fluctuate -- both up and down -- with the relative investment performance of the portfolios that they manage. Base Salary Under the MLIM approach, like that of many asset management firms, base salaries represent a relatively small portion of a portfolio manager's total compensation. This approach serves to enhance the motivational value of the performance-based (and therefore variable) compensation elements of the compensation program. Performance-Based Compensation MLIM believes that the best interests of investors are served by recruiting and retaining exceptional asset management talent and managing their compensation within a consistent and disciplined framework that emphasizes pay for performance in the context of an intensely competitive market for talent. To that end, MLIM and its affiliates portfolio manager incentive compensation is based on a formulaic compensation program. MLIM's formulaic portfolio manager compensation program includes: investment performance relative to a subset of general closed-end, California insured municipal debt funds over 1-, 3- and 5-year performance periods and a measure of operational efficiency. Portfolio managers are compensated based on the pre-tax performance of the products they manage. If a portfolio manager's tenure is less than 5 years, performance periods will reflect time in position. Portfolio managers are compensated based on products they manage. A discretionary element of portfolio manager compensation may include consideration of: financial results, expense control, profit margins, strategic planning and implementation, quality of client service, market share, corporate reputation, capital allocation, compliance and risk control, leadership, workforce diversity, supervision, technology and innovation. MLIM and its affiliates also consider the extent to which individuals exemplify and foster ML & Co.'s principles of client focus, respect for the individual, teamwork, responsible citizenship and integrity. All factors are considered collectively by MLIM management. Cash Bonus Performance-based compensation is distributed to portfolio managers in a combination of cash and stock. Typically, the cash bonus, when combined with base salary, represents more than 60% of total compensation for portfolio managers. Stock Bonus A portion of the dollar value of the total annual performance-based bonus is paid in restricted shares of ML & Co. stock. Paying a portion of annual bonuses in stock puts compensation earned by a portfolio manager for a given year "at risk" based on the company's ability to sustain and improve its performance over future periods. The ultimate value of stock bonuses is dependent on future ML & Co. stock price performance. As such, the stock bonus aligns each portfolio manager's financial interests with those of the ML & Co. shareholders and encourages a balance between short-term goals and long-term strategic objectives. Management strongly believes that providing a significant portion of competitive performance-based compensation in stock is in the best interests of investors and shareholders. This approach ensures that portfolio managers participate as shareholders in both the "downside risk" and "upside opportunity" of the company's performance. Portfolio managers therefore have a direct incentive to protect ML & Co.'s reputation for integrity. Other Compensation Programs Portfolio managers who meet relative investment performance and financial management objectives during a performance year are eligible to participate in a deferred cash program. Awards under this program are in the form of deferred cash that may be benchmarked to a menu of MLIM mutual funds (including their own fund) during a five-year vesting period. The deferred cash program aligns the interests of participating portfolio managers with the investment results of MLIM products and promotes continuity of successful portfolio management teams. Other Benefits Portfolio managers are also eligible to participate in broad-based plans offered generally to employees of ML & Co. and its affiliates, including broad-based retirement, 401(k), health, and other employee benefit plans. (a)(4) Beneficial Ownership of Securities. As of June 30, 2006, Mr. O'Connor does not beneficially own any stock issued by the Fund. Item 9 - Purchases of Equity Securities by Closed-End Management Investment Company and Affiliated Purchasers - Not Applicable Item 10 - Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders - Not Applicable Item 11 - Controls and Procedures 11(a) - The registrant's certifying officers have reasonably designed such disclosure controls and procedures to ensure material information relating to the registrant is

made known to us by others particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared. The registrant's certifying officers have determined that the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures are effective based on our evaluation of these controls and procedures as of a date within 90 days prior to the filing date of this report. 11(b) -There were no changes in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 30a-3(d) under the Act (17 CFR 270.30a-3(d)) that occurred during the second fiscal half-year of the period covered by this report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting. Item 12 - Exhibits attached hereto 12(a)(1) - Code of Ethics - See Item 2 12(a)(2) - Certifications - Attached hereto 12(a)(3) - Not Applicable 12(b) - Certifications - Attached hereto Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized. MuniHoldings California Insured Fund, Inc. By: /s/ Robert C. Doll, Jr., Chief Executive Officer of MuniHoldings California Insured Fund, Inc. Date: August 23, 2006 Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated. By: /s/ Robert C. Doll, Jr. ----- Robert C. Doll, Jr., Chief Executive Officer of MuniHoldings California Insured Fund, Inc. Date: August 23, 2006 By: /s/ Donald C. Burke ------ Donald C. Burke, Chief Financial Officer of MuniHoldings California Insured Fund, Inc. Date: August 23, 2006